Post-stroke epileptogenesis is associated with altered intrinsic properties of hippocampal pyramidal neurons leading to increased theta resonance
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ABSTRACT

Brain insults like stroke, trauma or infections often lead to blood-brain barrier-dysfunction (BBBd) frequently resulting into epileptogenesis. Affected patients suffer from seizures and cognitive comorbidities that are potentially linked to altered network oscillations. It has been shown that a hippocampal BBBd in rats leads to in vivo seizures and increased power at theta (3–8 Hz), an important type of network oscillations. However, the underlying cellular mechanisms remain poorly understood. At membrane potentials close to the threshold for action potentials (APs) a subpopulation of CA1 pyramidal cells (PCs) displays intrinsic resonant properties due to an interplay of the muscarine-sensitive K⁺-current (Iₚₖ) and the persistent Na⁺-current (IₚNa). Such resonant neurons are more excitable and generate more APs when stimulated at theta frequencies, being strong candidates for contributing to hippocampal theta oscillations during epileptogenesis. We tested this hypothesis by characterizing changes in intrinsic properties of hippocampal PCs one week after post-stroke epileptogenesis, a model associated with BBBd, using slice electrophysiology and computer modeling. We find a higher proportion of resonant neurons in BBBd compared to sham animals (47 vs. 29%), accompanied by an increase in their excitability. In contrast, BBBd non-resonant neurons showed a reduced excitability, presented with lower impedance and more positive AP threshold. We identify an increase in IₚNa combined with either a reduction in Iₚₖ or an increase in Iₚₖ⁎ as possible mechanisms underlying the observed changes. Our results support the hypothesis that a higher proportion of more excitable resonant neurons in the hippocampus contributes to increased theta oscillations and an increased likelihood of seizures in a model of post-stroke epileptogenesis.

1. Introduction

Epileptogenesis, the process in which an impaired brain becomes epileptic, is the time period for preventing disease progress into epilepsy. In fact, an effective disease prevention requires the understanding of the underlying pathophysiological mechanisms. Previously, it has been shown that specific alterations in the neuronal network activity are frequently observed during epileptogenesis. These include changes in the power of gamma and theta oscillations as well as in sharp-wave ripples that are most prominent in the hippocampal formation, an area important for learning and memory and prone to develop epileptic activity (Buzsáki, 2015; Noebels et al., 2012; Valero et al., 2017). Theta oscillations (3–8 Hz), one type of brain network oscillations particular important for episodic memory as well as spatial and temporal information processing (Buzsáki, 2002), appears to be increased in a model of acquired epileptogenesis. In this model, local field potential recordings of hippocampal activity in behaving rats undergoing epileptogenesis show a larger power in theta oscillations together (Lippmann et al., 2017) with hyperexcitability compared to sham rats (Lapilover et al., 2012; Lippmann et al., 2017). These changes of hippocampal activity suggest that epileptogenesis is boosting the mechanisms underlying theta oscillations. As of today, the cellular alterations underlying these
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changes in network activity are poorly understood.

Hippocampal theta oscillations are generated from the interplay of synaptic and intrinsic membrane properties that are tuned to favor synaptic transmission, signal integration and action potential (AP) generation at theta frequencies (Buzsáki, 2002). Potentially important for the generation of theta activity is the fact that CA1 pyramidal cells (PCs) behave as resonators, displaying higher voltage responses for input at theta frequencies (Hu et al., 2002; Hutcheon and Yarom, 2000). While all PCs display resonance at hyperpolarized potential (Hu et al., 2002), only a subpopulation (~20%) displays resonance at near-threshold (perithreshold) potential (Vera et al., 2017) and, thereby, is able to generate APs at theta frequencies as well as to communicate the synaptic transmission, signal integration and AP (Vera et al., 2002), only a subpopulation (~20%) displays resonance at near-threshold (perithreshold) potential (Vera et al., 2017) and, thereby, is able to generate APs at theta frequencies as well as to communicate the preferred theta frequency to downstream neurons (Richardson et al., 2003; Rotstein, 2014; Vera et al., 2020). Therefore, resonant neurons are likely to contribute to increased theta power that was observed together with hippocampal epileptic activity in vivo (Lippmann et al., 2017).

This perithreshold theta resonance is mediated by the interplay of muscarinic-sensitive potassium current (I_{MKS}), leak current (I_{Lok}), and the persistent sodium current (I_{NaP}) (Vera et al., 2017). All PCs contain I_{MKS}, I_{Lok}, and I_{NaP}, but whether they express perithreshold resonance depends on the resulting amplitude of those currents. Neurons containing high levels of I_{MKS} and low levels of I_{M} and I_{NaP} are highly excitable and depolarize too fast to allow I_{M} to become activated, i.e., those neurons are not expressing resonance (‘NonRes’ neurons). In contrast, neurons that are able to activate I_{M} and I_{NaP} below threshold express perithreshold resonance and increase AP firing probability at theta frequencies (‘Res’ neurons) (Vera et al., 2017). As I_{M}, I_{NaP} and I_{NaP} are highly modulated by metabotropic signaling (Astman et al., 1998; Delmas and Brown, 2005; Gorellova and Yang, 2000; Mantegazza et al., 2005; Moore et al., 1988; Schweitzer, 2000), and cholinergic neuro-modulation (Hallwell and Adams, 1982; Madison et al., 1987; Yamada-Haniff and Bean, 2013), it is possible that the fraction of resonant neurons changes dynamically (Vera et al., 2017). Moreover, it has been described that epileptogenesis homeostatically downregulates the cholinergic system (Friedman et al., 2007; Gnatek et al., 2012), suggesting that perithreshold resonance could therewith be strongly influenced.

Here we use in vitro electrophysiology as well as computer simulations to test whether epileptogenesis is associated with changes in resonant proportion and properties of PCs. We studied this question in a model of blood-brain barrier-dysfunction (BBBd) in the rat hippocampus, because BBBd represents a common cause for acquired epileptogenesis after brain diseases like stroke, tumor, trauma or infections (Abbott et al., 2006; Neuwelt, 2004). Indeed, we find that following a cortical stroke the hippocampal area CA1 is characterized by containing a higher proportion of resonant neurons and, in addition, by resonant cells showing a higher and non-resonant cells showing a lower excitability compared to sham conditions.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Ethics

The Institutional Animal Care & Use Committee of the Marine Biological Laboratory, Woods Hole, MA, USA, approved the animal care and experimental procedures under the protocol number 17-07C according to the applicable U.S. Animal Welfare Act. By combining experiments including perioperative analgesia and computer simulations we followed the 3R guidelines in animal research (Replacement, Reduction, Refinement).

2.2. Photothrombotic stroke induction

A photothrombotic stroke or sham surgery was performed on male adult Sprague Dawley rats (9–12 weeks old), as previously described (Lippmann et al., 2017). In short, rats were anesthetized via an intraperitoneal injection of ketamine and xylazine (100 and 10 mg/kg body weight (b.w.), respectively). Animals were preemptively treated with the analgesics metamizole (100 mg/kg b.w. injected subcutaneously (s.c.) and lidocaine (2% gel on the scalp). Body temperature was rectally monitored and kept constant at 37 °C via an electronically controlled heating pad. Rats were head fixed in a stereotactic frame, the scalp was incised and the right calvarium was exposed to a light guide (ø = 3.5 mm, which was centered 2.75 mm posterior and 2.75 mm lateral from bregma). Either the photostimulator Rose benzal (20 mg/kg b.w., dissolved in saline, animal n = 5) or saline alone (animal n = 3) was injected intravenously into the tail vein, for stroke induction or sham condition, respectively. After the skull was illuminated for 15 min (150 W halogen lamp, Zeiss KL 1500 LCD, 3E) the scalp was sutured, and a depot of saline was injected s.c. (15 ml/kg b.w.). Postoperative analgesia was supplied via the drinking water for 2 days (400 mg metamizole +4 ml 20% glucose per 100 ml water) and animal health was checked daily.

2.3. Slice preparation

One week after surgery, rats were deeply anesthetized using ketam-ine/xylazine as described above. Rats were transcardially perfused with an ice-cold dissection solution containing (in mM): 206 sucrose, 2.8 KCl, 1 MgCl2, 2 MgSO4, 1 CaCl2, 26 NaHCO3, 1.125 Na2HPO4, 10 glucose and 0.4 ascorbic acid (equilibrated with 95% O2 and 5% CO2), pH 7.3 (Vera et al., 2017) for at least 2 min until the blood was fully exchanged with the dissection solution. Rats were then decapitated and their brain was rapidly removed and transferred into the same solution. Parasagittal cortico-hippocampal slices of the treated (i.e., the right) hemisphere, containing the dorsal hippocampus, were obtained using a vibrotome (Leica VT1000S). Slices were transferred to a submerged holding chamber filled with artificial cerebro-spinal fluid (ACSF) containing (in mM): 124 NaCl, 2.8 KCl, 1.25 NaH2PO4, 26 NaHCO3, 10 Glucose, 2 MgCl2, 2 CaCl2 and 0.4 ascorbic acid (equilibrated with 95% O2 and 5% CO2), adjusted to pH 7.3 and 290 mOsm. Slices were allowed to recover for at least 1 h at room temperature before using them for recordings. Brains for the BBBd group were visually checked for a sufficient photothermolysis through all cortical layers before taking brains into account for the treatment group to ensure a hippocampal BBBd as shown in several of our previous publications (Kim et al., 2017; Lapi-lover et al., 2012; Lippmann et al., 2017).

2.4. Electrophysiological recordings

The experimental procedure was previously described (Vera et al., 2020; Vera et al., 2017). For this study, 17 neurons were recorded from 3 sham-treated animals and 19 neurons were recorded from 5 BBBd-treated animals. In short, whole cell patch clamp recordings of CA1 pyramidal cells were conducted at 34 ± 2 °C under visual guidance using an upright microscope (Axio Examiner.A1, Zeiss, Germany) equipped with DIC optics. Neurons were recorded from the medial CA1 region of the dorsal hippocampus that is particularly affected from BBBd (Lippmann et al., 2017). One week after surgery, rats were deeply anesthetized using ketamine/xylazine as described above. Rats were transcardially perfused with an ice-cold dissection solution containing (in mM): 206 sucrose, 2.8 KCl, 1 MgCl2, 2 MgSO4, 1 CaCl2, 26 NaHCO3, 1.125 Na2HPO4, 10 glucose and 0.4 ascorbic acid (equilibrated with 95% O2 and 5% CO2), pH 7.3 (Vera et al., 2017) for at least 2 min until the blood was fully exchanged with the dissection solution. Rats were then decapitated and their brain was rapidly removed and transferred into the same solution. Parasagittal cortico-hippocampal slices of the treated (i.e., the right) hemisphere, containing the dorsal hippocampus, were obtained using a vibrotome (Leica VT1000S). Slices were transferred to a submerged holding chamber filled with artificial cerebro-spinal fluid (ACSF) containing (in mM): 124 NaCl, 2.8 KCl, 1.25 NaH2PO4, 26 NaHCO3, 10 Glucose, 2 MgCl2, 2 CaCl2 and 0.4 ascorbic acid (equilibrated with 95% O2 and 5% CO2), adjusted to pH 7.3 and 290 mOsm. Slices were allowed to recover for at least 1 h at room temperature before using them for recordings. Brains for the BBBd group were visually checked for a sufficient photothermolysis through all cortical layers before taking brains into account for the treatment group to ensure a hippocampal BBBd as shown in several of our previous publications (Kim et al., 2017; Lapi-lover et al., 2012; Lippmann et al., 2017).
2.5. ZAP stimulation and data analysis

The ZAP (impedance amplitude profile) stimulus consisted of a pseudo-sinusoidal current of constant amplitude and linearly increasing frequency from 0 to 20 Hz in 10 s (Puil et al., 1986). The protocol was repeated 8 to 10 times in every neuron and the membrane voltage responses were averaged for the impedance analysis. The impedance profile [Z(f)] was obtained from the ratio of the Fast Fourier Transforms (FFT) of the output (voltage) and input (current) waves (Z(f) = FFT(V(t))/FFT(I(t))). The impedance is a complex quantity [Z(f) = Z_real + iZ_imaginary], where Z_real is the resistive component of the impedance and Z_imaginary the reactive component. For a given frequency, the complex impedance can be plotted as a vector, whose magnitude and phase lag \(\Phi(f)\), i.e., the angle with the real axis, respectively, are given by the following expressions:

\[
|Z(f)| = \sqrt{Z_{\text{real}}(f)^2 + Z_{\text{imaginary}}(f)^2} \quad (1)
\]

\[
\Phi(f) = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{Z_{\text{imaginary}}(f)}{Z_{\text{real}}(f)}\right) \quad (2)
\]

Throughout the text, the term ‘impedance’ refers to the magnitude of the impedance vector. The impedance ‘phase lag’ corresponds to the phase shift of the voltage wave relative to the current wave. Frequencies below 0.5 Hz were not plotted in the impedance and phase lag profile graphs. The mean difference in phase lag over theta was determined by calculating the mean of the curve difference.

2.6. Quantification of resonance

We measured the preferred frequency (f_max) of each neuron as the frequency at which the impedance amplitude reached a peak, i.e., \(|Z_{\text{max}}|\) (cf. eq. 1). For simplicity, we refer throughout the text to \(Z_{\text{max}}\) as resonance strength and calculated as the ratio between \(Z_{\text{max}}\) and the impedance at 0.5 Hz (Z_{0.5 Hz}) (Hutchison et al., 1996). For a more precise determination of \(f_{\text{max}}\) and Q values, the experimental impedance data of every cell was fitted with a polynomial curve between 0.2 and 15 Hz to reveal \(Z_{\text{max}}\).

2.7. Quantification of membrane capacitance

We measured the membrane capacitance in voltage clamp configuration according to the method described by Golowasch et al. (2009). In brief, neurons were held at −80 mV and a squared voltage step of −5 mV was applied for 500 ms. The capacitance was obtained as the integral of the mobilized charge divided by the voltage step.

2.8. Sample size estimation

We used the method described by Dell et al. (2002) to evaluate the sample size necessary to detect changes in the ‘proportion of Res neurons’ as a dichotomous variable. For an effect size of 20% (increase of Res neurons from 30 to 50%) with a power of 0.8 (1-beta) and a significance level (alpha) of 0.05, we estimated a requirement of 103 neurons per experimental group.

2.9. Monte Carlo simulation

To assess the level of confidence of the observed increase in the population of BBBd resonant neurons we measured the probability of finding a given change in the proportion of Res neurons just by chance. Monte Carlo simulations consisted on recreating our experimental samples by randomly choosing 17 neurons out of a population of 110 simulated neurons that reproduce the distribution of Res and NonRes neurons observed in control conditions (see “Simulating a neuronal population...” below, and see the distribution of Q values of the population in Fig. 7B left). Next, we analyzed their resonant properties at depolarized membrane potentials to find the proportion of resonant neurons at each observation. We repeated this procedure 1000 times; obtaining independent observations that were used to generate a pseudo-empirical curve for the probability of observing a given % of Res neurons having a sampling size of 17 neurons. We display this result as a cumulative probability density (100 bins of width 1, see Fig. 2E). To have a continuous distribution we fitted a sigmoid curve, bin size 0.5.

2.10. Firing probability measurements

Firing probability under ZAP stimulation was computed for each oscillatory period as the number of sweeps in which neurons fired one or more APs divided by the total number of sweeps (typically 8). The stimulation frequency was measured as the frequency of the current stimulus at the peak of each oscillation, producing the first peak at 1.2 Hz and the last peak at 20 Hz.

2.11. Computer simulations

We simulated CA1 pyramidal neurons with a point-process conductance-based model following the Hodgkin-Huxley formalism (Hodgkin and Huxley, 1952). The model included a passive leak current (\(I_{\text{leak}}\)), a persistent (non-inactivating) Na⁺-current \(I_{\text{NaP}}\) (French et al., 1990), the slow muscarinic-sensitive K⁺-current \(I_{\text{M}}\) (Adams et al., 1982), the current of hyperpolarization-activated cyclic nucleotide-gated cation channels \(I_{\text{h}}\) (Spahn et al., 1987), and the modified Hodgkin-Huxley-type transient Na⁺- and delayed-rectifier K⁺-currents for action potential generation \(I_{\text{NaH}}\) and \(I_{\text{Kh}}\), respectively (Richardson et al., 2003). The equation (eq.) describing the currents flowing across the membrane is:

\[
\frac{dV}{dt} = I_{\text{leak}} - (I_{\text{NaP}} + I_{\text{NaH}} + I_{\text{M}} + I_{\text{h}} + I_{\text{Na}} + I_{\text{Kh}})
\]

where C is the membrane capacitance (120 pF), V is the membrane potential in mV and \(I_{\text{leak}}\) is the applied current. Intrinsic ionic currents in eq. 3 followed the subsequent set of equations:

\[
I_{\text{leak}} = G_{\text{leak}}(V - E_{\text{leak}})
\]

\[
I_{\text{NaP}} = G_{\text{NaP}}w(V - E_{\text{Na}})
\]

\[
I_{\text{M}} = G_{\text{M}}(V - E_{\text{M}})
\]

\[
I_{\text{h}} = G_{\text{h}}(0.8f + 0.2s)(V - E_{\text{h}})
\]

\[
I_{\text{NaH}} = G_{\text{NaH}}m^3h(V - E_{\text{Na}})
\]

\[
I_{\text{Kh}} = G_{\text{Kh}}h^4(V - E_{\text{Kh}})
\]

with \(G_{i}\) and \(E_{i}\) being the time-varying conductance and reversal potential for the corresponding channel, respectively. The dynamics of the state variables \(x_i = w, r, \beta, s, m, h\) and \(n\) are ruled by the following equation:

\[
\frac{dx_i}{dt} = x_{\text{in}}(V) - x_i / \tau_x(V)
\]

where \(x_{\text{in}}(V)\) are the voltage-dependent steady-state values of \(x_i\) and \(\tau_x(V)\) are the corresponding voltage-dependent time constants for \(w, r, \beta, s\) and \(n\). Table 1 contains the values for the conductances, the reversal potentials, the equations describing the steady-state variables, and the time constants used in the simulations. The parameters for the gating variables \(w, r\) and \(\beta\) were obtained from Vera et al. (2017), with the fast time constant for \(I_{\text{NaP}}\) increased from 38 ms to 50 ms (see Table 1) to match the \(f_{\text{max}}\) of CA1 pyramidal neurons at −80 mV.

The dynamics of the state variables \(m, h\) and \(n\) also followed eq. (10).
but the voltage-dependent equilibrium values $x_{\alpha V}(V)$ were calculated as:

$$x_{\alpha V}(V) = \frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha_i + \beta_i}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

The rate constants $\alpha_i$ and $\beta_i$ were calculated according to a set of modified Hodgkin-Huxley equations shown in Table 2 (Richardson et al., 2003) that include modifications to adapt the model to the measured excitability of CA1 PCs: a left shift in the voltage sensitivity of $a_{\alpha V}$ (from $-32$ mV to $-55$ mV), $a_{\alpha V}$ (from $-46$ mV to $-52$ mV), $a_{\alpha V}$ (from $-36$ mV to $-38$ mV), $b_{\alpha V}$ (from $-57$ mV to $-65$ mV), $b_{\alpha V}$ (from $-16$ mV to $-22$ mV) and $b_{\alpha V}$ (from $-46$ mV to $-48$ mV); as well as an increase in $a_{\alpha V}$ slope (0.1 to 0.4). These changes were included in Table 2.

To simulate neurons at the same temperature at which experimental recordings were conducted (35 °C), we used temperature correction factors for modifying the time constant of $\tau_{\alpha V}$ (when $T = 35$ °C, $\tau_{\alpha V} = 4.17$) as well as $h_{\alpha V}$ (when $T = 35$ °C, $h_{\alpha V} = 4.5$). We used a data set of published $G_{h_{\alpha V}}$ values in CA1 pyramidal neurons in vitro with the voltage-clamp technique (Vera et al., 2017). In brief, currents were measured by the subtraction method after blocking each current with a selective drug (TTX for $I_{Na}$, XE991 for $I_{Ks}$). The subtracted current trace was transformed to a conductance over voltage trace $G_{Na}$, and the maximal conductance ($G_m$) was computed by fitting the theoretical function:

$$g_{Na}(V) = G_m \frac{1}{1 + e^{\frac{V}{\eta}}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

where $G_m$ is the maximal conductance in nS, $V$ the membrane potential in mV, $V_i$ the voltage at half activation, and $\eta$ the slope of the curve. We used a data set containing the $G_{Na}$ values from 11 neurons (in mS: 2.96, 3.92, 4.79, 4.88, 5.16, 5.84, 5.87, 6.04, 6.8, 7.45 and 7.53; mean ± SD: 5.57 ± 1.41), and $G_{M}$ values from 10 neurons (in mS: 3.95, 4.50, 4.96, 6.06, 6.90, 7.33, 7.49, 19.52, 24.10, 34.81; mean ± SD: 11.96 ± 10.52) from dorsal hippocampus. Out of these 10 $G_{Na}$ and 11 $G_{M}$ conductances we used the possible 110 combinatorial sets to simulate 110 distinct neurons. We noticed that when using these $G_{Na}$ values, we obtained an average Q value of 1.2 at depolarized subthreshold potential, reproducing well the Q values obtained from PCs in young rats (Vera et al., 2017). To reproduce the Q values, we obtained from PCs of adult sham rats, it was necessary to increase the resonant generating conductance $G_{M}$ by a factor of 1.8 (80% increase in each value), achieving a Q value of 1.7. Neuronal responses were simulated using a time resolution of 10 μs.

### 2.13. Sensitivity analysis of simulated CA1 pyramidal neurons and simulations of BBBd condition

We evaluated the contribution of $I_{Na}$, $I_{Ks}$ and $I_{M}$ to subthreshold excitability of CA1 pyramidal neurons by increasing $I_{Na}$ or $I_{Ks}$, or by decreasing $I_{M}$ in 20% steps the maximal conductance of each current. For each step all 110 modeled neurons were simulated under ZAP stimulation at subthreshold (1 PA below reaching the AP threshold) and suprathreshold potentials (minimal potential for evoking APs under ZAP stimulation).

To simulate the BBBd conditions we explored different increases of $G_{M}$ in all neurons to reproduce the proportional increase in Res and the concomitant decrease in NonRes neurons. To also reproduce the changes in intrinsic properties in NonRes neurons in BBBd, we either reduced $G_{Na}$ or increased $G_{Na}$ in only those neurons that kept being NonRes after the increase in $G_{M}$. We find that the two conditions that best reproduced our experimental observations were a 50% increase in $G_{M}$ in all neurons plus a 20% reduction in $G_{Na}$ in NonRes neurons (MBBd1) or a 60% increase in $G_{Na}$ in all neurons plus a 23% increase in $G_{Na}$ in NonRes neurons (MBBd2).

### 2.14. Simulation analysis and code accessibility

Simulated voltage responses were analyzed using the same software and codes used for the experimental data. Simulations and analysis were performed with Igor Pro 7.0 software (WaveMetrics, Inc., OR, USA) using custom scripts, all of which are available in GitHub (https://github.com/jorgeverab/HipocampalEpileptogenesis).

### 2.15. Statistical analysis

For statistical analysis, data from sham and BBBd groups were first tested for Gaussian distribution using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Normally distributed data were presented as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM) and tested for statistical significance using the Student’s t-test.
3. Results

3.1. Hippocampal theta resonance in a model of post-stroke epileptogenesis

We evaluated the impact of cortical photothrombosis on intrinsic frequency preference in hippocampal pyramidal cells using the established photothrombotic stroke model (Watson et al., 1984). To induce a cortical photothrombosis we intravenously injected the photosensitizer Rose bengal (20 mg/kg bodyweight) and exposed the right hemisphere through the intact skull with intense light (light guide ∅ = 3.5 mm, 150 W halogen lamp) for 15 min (Fig. 1A, upper panel). Within a few minutes cortical brain vessels clot (Schoknecht et al., 2014) producing a typical impedances plotted vs. frequency. The two schematic example traces are from neurons with resonant (Res) and non-resonant (NonRes) voltage responses in the theta range. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

The black traces show the resonant voltage responses in the theta range, while the f_\text{Zmax} of NonRes neurons occurs at lower frequencies (Fig. 1C, lower panel).

3.2. Post-stroke epileptogenesis increases the percentage of hippocampal theta resonant neurons

Since we previously observed increased hippocampal theta network activity during post-stroke epileptogenesis (as detected by in vivo field potential recordings, Lippmann et al., 2017), we first asked whether this change in network activity could be related to increased resonance properties of individual neurons and/or due to an increased proportion of neurons expressing resonance behavior. To address this question, we used a stimulation protocol aiming to evaluate whether PCs ‘prefer’ theta oscillations and fire APs selectively at theta frequencies (Vera et al., 2017). We performed whole-cell current-clamp recordings and applied ZAP current stimuli at two nearby but different perithreshold (i.e., around the AP threshold) potentials. We explored the ‘depolarized subthreshold V_m’ (subsequently abbreviated as ‘subthreshold V_m’ by depolarizing neurons just below the AP threshold when applying the ZAP stimulus, and the ‘suprathreshold V_m’ by depolarizing neurons so they start firing at least one AP per sweep of the ZAP stimulus (Fig. 2A)). Thereby, we could evaluate whether PCs express theta resonance before firing APs and whether PCs are effective in firing APs at theta frequencies to communicate this rhythm to downstream neurons. Because perithreshold theta resonance and AP firing at theta frequency are most likely to impact theta network activity, we focused our analysis on the perithreshold voltage range (see below).

Fig. 2A presents exemplary voltage responses of a sham non-resonant (grey) and a sham resonant neuron (black) at perithreshold potentials. The black traces show the resonant voltage responses in the theta range, which is the hallmark of Res neurons, and the typical occurrence of spikes at higher frequencies in the Res neuron compared to the NonRes neuron. The averaged impedance profile for sham resonant neurons showed that at subthreshold V_m, their Z_max was located in the theta frequency range (Fig. 2B), while the Z_max of non-resonant neurons was located at a lower frequency. When analyzing the Q values for sham and BBBd neurons, we found in each group two distinct neuronal populations. One population peaked at Q = 1 comprising NonRes neurons and a second distinct population dispersed above Q = 1.1 matching the Res neurons (Fig. 2C, Table 3). Previously, we have shown that 24% of...
PCs display perithreshold theta resonance in young naïve rats, while the remaining 76% of neurons do not show perithreshold resonance because the combination of $I_M$ and $I_{NaP}$ would cause neurons to resonate above the action potential threshold and neurons would not be capable of firing with theta preference (Vera et al., 2017). For sham-treated adult rats we found a similar percentage of 29% Res neurons (5 out of 17 cells). In contrast, the percentage of resonant neurons in BBBD-treated rats was 47% (9 out of 19 cells), representing a relative increase of 62% in the proportion of resonant neurons (Fig. 2D, Chi-square test $p = 0.27$). As finding statistical significance based on theoretical distributions requires a high sample size (we calculated more than 100 neurons per experimental group, see Methods “Sample size estimation”), we...
Intrinsic electrophysiologically properties obtained from sham and BBB-damaged (Res) as well as non-resonant neurons (NonRes). Values were obtained at hyperpolarized (Hyper), near resting (Rest), subthreshold (Sub) and suprathreshold (Supra) membrane potentials ($V_{\text{max}}$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Hyper</th>
<th>Rest</th>
<th>Sub</th>
<th>Supra</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$Z_{\text{max}}$ (MΩ)</td>
<td>61.0</td>
<td>58.0</td>
<td>81.0</td>
<td>58.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{\infty}$ (MΩ)</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Phi_{\text{max}}$ (°)</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>Hyper</th>
<th>Rest</th>
<th>Sub</th>
<th>Supra</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BBB-damaged</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>35.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sham</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>35.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-resonant</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>35.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3. Resonant neurons preserve intrinsic properties at subthreshold potentials in epileptogenesis

We investigated further intrinsic properties at perithreshold potentials. As particularly the frequency-dependent impedance differs between Res and NonRes neurons (Fig. 2B), we measured the peak impedance ($Z_{\text{max}}$), input resistance ($R_{\infty}$), and phase-lag ($\Phi$) at different membrane potentials (Fig. 3 and Table 3). At subthreshold potential, representative voltage responses displayed similar bandpass filtering in both conditions (Fig. 3A), resulting in similar resonance strength in the theta range in BBB-damaged and sham resonant neurons (Fig. 3A, Table 3). Analogously, the averaged impedance profiles (Fig. 3B) and peak impedances revealed similar values (Fig. 3C, t-test $p = 0.11$). Similarly, the input resistance ($R_{\infty}$) showed comparable values in BBB-damaged and sham neurons (Fig. 3D and Table 3, t-test $p = 0.36$). When comparing the phase lags, i.e., the delay between the current stimulus and the voltage response (Fig. 3E), BBB-damaged resonant neurons displayed slightly larger phase-lag values over all tested frequencies (Fig. 3F) and a mean increase of 8.9° over the theta frequency range (3–8 Hz). To quantify this shift at a frequency relevant for theta oscillations, we measured the...
phase-lag at 6 Hz ($\Phi_{6Hz}$), finding an increase of 6° in BBBD neurons (Fig. 3G, median Q3/Q1, sham: −43.0 6.0/0.5° and BBBD: −49.0 3.0/8.0°, MW p = 0.042). This corresponds to a shift of ~3 ms at 6 Hz. As changes in dendritic morphology have been associated with epilepsy (Casanova et al., 2014; Mattson et al., 1989; Swann et al., 2000), we also measured the membrane capacitance as an approximation to explore modifications of the cell morphology. We find that Res neurons display similar capacitance values (sham: 163.0 ± 12.0 pF and BBBD: 162.5 ± 7.5 pF, t-test p = 0.96), suggesting that the hippocampal BBBD did not produce major changes in cell morphology.

### 3.4. Hippocampal resonant neurons are more excitable in post-stroke epileptogenesis

We next analyzed the excitability of resonant neurons during epileptogenesis by applying ZAP stimuli at suprathreshold potentials, i.e., the potential at which the given neuron started firing APs (Fig. 4A, B). Both sham and BBBD neurons generated APs with higher probabilities in the 2–6 Hz range, while firing at frequencies below 2 Hz was almost absent (data for example traces: Fig. 4D–E). Resonant neurons of both groups reacted equally, both by firing a similar number of total APs (Fig. 4E). Because not only the number and frequency of spikes are important but also the excitability parameters like resting $V_m$ and the membrane potential at which neurons started firing, we next analyzed whether these parameters were altered in Res neurons of potentially epileptogenic rats. Although the resting $V_m$ was similar in sham and BBBD neurons (Fig. 4G, median Q3/Q1, sham: −72.0 1.0/6.0 mV vs. BBBD: −75.5 1.5/0.5 mV, MW p = 0.86), the suprathreshold $V_m$ (measured as the average of the voltage trace during the ZAP response) shifted 4 mV towards more hyperpolarized potentials in BBBD neurons (Fig. 4H, median Q3/Q1 sham: −56.5 1.5/2.0 mV vs. BBBD: −60.5 1.0/0.5 mV, MW p = 0.042). One possible cause underlying this observation could be a lowered AP threshold (the $V_m$ at which dV/dt exceeds 5 mV/ms). Indeed, the AP threshold was more hyperpolarized by 6 mV, although not quite reaching statistical significance (Fig. 4I, median Q3/Q1 sham: −52.0 1.5/2.0 mV vs. BBBD: −46.0 5.0/0.0 mV, MW p = 0.060). To investigate the membrane potential at which $I_{NaP}$ and $I_{Na}$ most intensively interact to generate theta resonance, we further measured the perithreshold $V_m$, i.e., the $V_m$ 30 ms before the AP threshold (average of 10 ms window). In fact, BBBD Res neurons reached their perithreshold $V_m$ at a significantly lower potential than sham Res neurons (Fig. 4J, median Q3/Q1 sham: −49.5 4.5/0.0 mV vs. BBBD: −55.0 1.0/2.0 mV, MW p = 0.042). Although the AP threshold did not quite reach statistical significance ($p = 0.06$), probably due to the small number of neurons, these results show that resonant neurons from BBBD rats require less depolarization to reach the supra- and perithreshold potentials, a strong sign of being more excitable and susceptible for generating theta resonance. Taken together, BBBD treated rats display a larger percentage of resonant neurons that are also more excitable.

### 3.5. In post-stroke epileptogenesis hippocampal non-resonant neurons show reduced subthreshold impedance

As performed for resonant neurons (Fig. 3), we next quantified the intrinsic properties of non-resonant neurons (Fig. 5). Fig. 5A-B show...
representative voltage traces and averaged impedance profiles for BBBd and sham non-resonant neurons at subthreshold potential. The voltage traces show the typical maximal response at frequencies close to 0 Hz, which was substantially reduced in the BBBd example neuron. Also, on average (Fig. 5C) the peak impedance was strongly reduced in BBBd neurons (sham: 166.0 ± 9.5 MΩ and BBBd: 122.0 ± 13.0 MΩ, t-test p = 0.014). Similarly, $R_{\text{in}}$ was significantly diminished in BBBd neurons (Fig. 5D, sham: 130.5 ± 8.0 MΩ and BBBd: 100.5 ± 10.0 MΩ, t-test p = 0.027). The reduction of peak impedance and $R_{\text{in}}$ can be associated with an increase in the total membrane conductance (more open channels) and/or with an increase in the total cellular membrane surface due to an enlargement of the dendritic tree. Both groups of neurons show similar membrane capacitances (median Q3/Q1 sham: 145.5 10.0/9.0 pF vs. BBBd: 156.0 50.0/9.0 pF, MW p = 0.042) of sham and BBBd resonant neurons. I-J. Traces in I display exemplary zoom ins of suprathreshold voltage responses depicting the AP threshold and the perithreshold $V_m$ (30 ms before the AP threshold) for sham (black) and BBBd (magenta). Comparison of AP threshold (MW p = 0.060) and perithreshold $V_m$ (MW p = 0.042) for sham and BBBd Res neurons. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

3.6. Hippocampal non-resonant neurons are less excitable in post-stroke epileptogenesis

As for resonant neurons (Fig. 4), we analyzed the excitability of non-resonant neurons during epileptogenesis (Fig. 6). Similarly, we first explored the firing probability at suprathreshold potentials (Fig. 6A-B) and found, as expected, that sham NonRes neurons fired preferentially at frequencies below 3 Hz, whereas BBBd NonRes neurons showed a reduced frequency preference (representative examples in Fig. 6D-E); the total number of spikes was not significantly different in the two groups (Fig. 6C, median Q3/Q1 sham: 24 7/12 vs. BBBd: 8 15/6, MW p = 0.1), confirming that both cell groups were depolarized to equivalent...
levels relative to the AP threshold. Notably, sham NonRes neurons revealed an average firing probability close to 1 for the lowest explored frequency (~1 Hz), whereas the firing probability of BBBd NonRes neurons was significantly reduced to ~0.4 in that range (Fig. 6F, at 1 Hz: sham 0.75 ± 0.1 vs. BBBd 0.39 ± 0.1, MW p = 0.03). This suggests that BBBd NonRes neurons may express some sort of a low-pass filter, reducing the amplitude of oscillations close to 0 Hz, and therefore expressing some resemblance to Res neurons.

As in Res neurons (Fig. 4), the resting $V_m$ was similar between groups (Fig. 6G, median Q3/Q1 sham: −74.0 2.5/1.5 vs. BBBd: 74.5 1.5/3.0, MW p = 0.78). However, the suprathreshold $V_m$ (Fig. 6H, sham: −60.5 ± 0.5 vs. BBBd −57.0 ± 1.5, t-test p = 0.041) was significantly more positive in BBBd compared to sham NonRes neurons. Furthermore, the AP threshold (Fig. 6I, sham: median Q3/Q1 sham: −51.5 2.5/1.5 mV vs. BBBd: −49.0 1.0/3.5 mV, MW p = 0.012) as well as the perithreshold $V_m$ (Fig. 6J, sham: −54.5 ± 0.5 vs. BBBd −50.5 ± 1.5, t-test p = 0.036) revealed significantly more positive values in BBBd neurons. Having more depolarized suprathreshold $V_m$, AP threshold as well as perithreshold $V_m$ imply that NonRes BBBd neurons require more excitatory inputs to start firing. Therefore, NonRes neurons are less excitable in two ways, first by having a reduced $R_m$ and second by displaying a more depolarized AP threshold (Figure 5 and 6). These findings contrast those from Res neurons, which showed significantly more negative potentials (cf. Fig. 4H, J). Taken together, BBBd NonRes neurons displayed a reduced preference for firing at below-theta frequencies and show, in opposite to BBBd Res neurons, more depolarized AP thresholds, both factors producing a reduction in their excitability.

### 3.7. Modulation of subthreshold intrinsic conductances can account for changes observed in post-stroke epileptogenesis

Since we observed that post-stroke epileptogenesis is associated with altered intrinsic properties of hippocampal pyramidal neurons, we aimed at identifying candidate conductances that could underlie the observed increase in the proportion of resonant neurons as well as the observed changes in excitability of both Res and NonRes neurons. For this purpose, we developed a comprehensive conductance-based computational model of CA1 pyramidal neurons using the Hodgkin and Huxley formalism. To reproduce the heterogeneity of intrinsic properties observed in our sham experiments (see Methods) we used the same population of simulated neurons used in the Monte Carlo simulations. This population consisted of 110 simulated neurons using a published set of conductances for $I_{NaP}$ and $I_{M-$} that were measured under voltage-clamp experiments in CA1 neurons (Vera et al., 2017) (see Methods). To validate our simulated population of PCs, we first investigated whether simulated neurons were able to display the variety of resonant and non-resonant behaviors observed experimentally. To this end we simulated the ZAP protocol at subthreshold potential in the same way we conducted the experiments (with a holding current 1 pA below the current necessary to induce AP firing). Fig. 7A displays representative traces from a simulated Res and NonRes neuron at sub- and suprathreshold potentials. Res neurons bandpass filter inputs and fire at theta frequencies, while NonRes neurons amplify lower frequency inputs and fire at the lowest tested frequencies, identical to hippocampal neurons in sham condition. When analyzing the resonant properties of simulated neurons, we find that the Q values of the simulated population show a bimodal distribution, with one component that peaks at Q = 1 containing NonRes neurons (70%, mean ± SEM: 1.01 ± 0.015.
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simulation vs. 1.01 \pm 0.1 experimental (exp.) data) and a second component with a peak at \(\sim 1.7\) containing Res neurons (30\%, 1.68 \pm 0.06 simulation vs. 1.71 \pm 0.25 exp. data; Fig. 7 B left). Histogramming the frequency at \(Z_{\text{max}}\) revealed a peak at lower frequencies associated with NonRes neurons (\(f_{Z_{\text{max}}}\) mean \pm SEM, 0.96 \pm 0.07 Hz simulation vs. 0.84 \pm 0.15 Hz exp. data), while values associated with Res neurons are distributed between 2 and 7 Hz (\(f_{Z_{\text{max}}} 4.1 \pm 0.2\) Hz simulations vs. 4.2 \pm 0.6 Hz exp. data; Fig. 7 B, right). Accordingly, the impedance profiles obtained at subthreshold potential show a clear difference between both neuronal groups. While NonRes neurons reveal a monotonic decay of impedance as frequency increases, Res neurons show the characteristic impedance reduction for frequencies below 3 and above 8 Hz (Fig. 7 C, left). Therefore, simulated neurons reproduce the heterogeneity of \(Q\) values and peak impedance frequencies. The phase-lag curves of simulated neurons show as well a difference between both cell populations as experimentally observed, with Res neurons displaying a lower phase-lag for all explored frequencies (Fig. 7 C, right). Furthermore, the \(R_{\text{m}}\) of simulated neurons covers a wide range (50–250 M\(\Omega\)), finding similar averaged values compared to the experimental data (NonRes 141.6 \pm 7.0 M\(\Omega\) vs. 130.4 \pm 8.0 M\(\Omega\), Res 90.0 \pm 7.2 M\(\Omega\) vs. 89.9 \pm 10.1 M\(\Omega\), for simulated vs. exp. data).

These results show that our conductance-based simulated population of PCs containing physiologically diverse values for \(G_M\) and \(G_{\text{NaP}}\) is capable of reproducing the range and heterogeneity of intrinsic properties we observed in experimental sham conditions. This supports the idea that the predominant contributors setting resonant behavior of neurons at subthreshold potentials are the intrinsic variabilities of \(I_M\) and \(I_{\text{NaP}}\), as previously proposed (Vera et al., 2017). Moreover, the fact that we can reproduce the heterogeneous intrinsic parameters by using the natural variability of \(G_M\) and \(G_{\text{NaP}}\) in hippocampal neurons suggests that perhaps a modulation of these conductances, as experimentally described (Astman et al., 1998; Delmas and Brown, 2005; Gorelova and Yang, 2000; Ma et al., 1997; Mantegazza et al., 2005; Moore et al., 1988; Schweitzer, 2000), might underlay the changes observed during post-stroke epileptogenesis. To test this hypothesis, we explored the sensitivity of neuronal excitability to modifications on \(G_M\) or \(G_{\text{NaP}}\) that are expected to increase the population of resonant neurons (Vera et al., 2017), as observed in BBBd. Therefore, we used the same values of the

Fig. 6. Hippocampal non-resonant neurons are less excitable in post-stroke epileptogenesis; same analysis as in Fig. 4 but for non-resonant neurons. A-B. Overlaid suprathreshold voltage responses (bottom) with marks of the corresponding APs (top) from a sham (A) and a BBBd non-resonant neuron (B). C. Total number of spikes out of eight sweeps in sham and BBBd NonRes neurons. D-E. Firing probability over frequency from the examples shown in A-B. F. Averaged firing probability of sham and BBBd non-resonant neurons. At 1 Hz the firing probability was statistically significant (MW \(p = 0.033\)). G-J. Resting \(V_m\), suprathreshold \(V_m\) (t-test \(p = 0.041\)), AP threshold (MW \(p = 0.012\)) and perithreshold \(V_m\) (t-test \(p = 0.036\)) of sham and BBBd non-resonant neurons.
parameters that describe the modeling of sham condition (MSham) and modified only the maximal conductance of $G_M$ or $G_{NaP}$ in 20% steps (from 20 to 100% change) for each neuron to then evaluate the effect at the population level (Fig. 7 D-F).

Increasing $G_M$ produces a rise in the percentage of resonant neurons that starts after a 40% increase, reaching up to ~60% of Res neurons after a 100% rise in $G_M$ (Fig. 7D). Interestingly, the increase in $G_M$ is associated with a small reduction in $f_{max}$ (Fig. 7E), similar to the trend observed in our experimental data (Fig. 2F). These simulations show that by increasing $G_M$ it is possible to switch NonRes to Res neurons, and, therefore, that an increased $G_M$ is a candidate to drive the changes observed in BBBd. In addition to the modification of resonant properties,
our experimental data show that BBBd also produces a reduction in $Z_{\text{max}}$ as well as in $R_m$ of NonRes neurons (Fig. 5C-D; Fig. 7F, left). The increase in $G_{\text{d}}$, however, fails to reproduce this observed drop of $R_m$ in NonRes neurons (Fig. 7F, middle), suggesting that $G_{\text{d}}$ cannot undermine all the modifications observed in post-stroke epileptogenesis.

In the case of $G_{\text{NaP}}$, it has been proposed that to increase the proportion of Res neurons it has to be reduced (Vera et al., 2017). Therefore, we explored the effect of reducing $G_{\text{NaP}}$ in 20% steps. As predicted, we find that reducing $G_{\text{NaP}}$ does indeed increase the proportion of Res neurons, reaching up to ~60% of Res neurons when reducing $G_{\text{NaP}}$ by 100% (Fig. 7D). However, the increase in the number of Res neurons is not accompanied with a reduction in $f_{\text{max}}$ that would further converge experimental data from BBBd Res neurons with our simulations (Figs. 2E & 7E).

Additionally, and in contrast to $G_{\text{d}}$ modification, the reduction of $G_{\text{NaP}}$ has a strong impact on the $R_m$ of all neurons, reproducing the drop observed in BBBd NonRes neurons after only a 20% decrease of $G_{\text{NaP}}$ (Fig. 7F), and inducing a reduction of $R_m$ in BBBd Res neurons that was not observed experimentally. Therefore, these results suggest that a reduction in $G_{\text{NaP}}$ can contribute, but by itself is not sufficient, to drive the changes observed in BBBd.

A third conductance present in hippocampal neurons that could contribute to the reduction in $R_m$ that we observed only in BBBd NonRes neurons, is the leak conductance ($G_{\text{leak}}$) (Schweitzer et al., 1998; Shinohara and Kawasaki, 1997). Leak conductance contributes to set the resting $V_m$ and the $R_m$ of cells, controlling cell excitability by producing a constant outward current that has a hyperpolarizing effect on $V_m$ (Goldstein et al., 2001). Therefore, an increase in $G_{\text{leak}}$ would reduce the $R_m$ of neurons and potentially influence the perithreshold dynamic that determines whether neurons resonate or not (Prescott et al., 2008; Vera et al., 2017). To test this possibility, we evaluated the effect of increasing $G_{\text{leak}}$ in 20% steps, finding that the proportion of Res neurons and the $f_{\text{max}}$ are insensitive to it (Fig. 7D, E). However, similar to the reduction of $G_{\text{NaP}}$, the increase in $G_{\text{leak}}$ has a strong effect on $R_m$, reproducing the drop in BBBd NonRes neurons after a reduction of only 20% (Fig. 7F).

Taking into account the results of our sensitivity analysis, it can be proposed that the changes observed in BBBd can arise as a consequence of several combinations of the studied conductances. Therefore, we explored the minimal mechanisms that could account for most of the changes observed in BBBd, having as landmark observations the increase in the proportion of Res neurons and the drop in $R_m$ that occurs only to NonRes neurons (Fig. 5D). We tested several combinations of changes involving $G_{\text{M}}, G_{\text{NaP}}$, and $G_{\text{leak}}$ applied to all neurons (Res and NonRes), finding that each time we reduced $G_{\text{NaP}}$ or increased $G_{\text{leak}}$, even with 10%, we always obtained a reduction in the $R_m$ of Res neurons (data not shown), being in discrepancy with our BBBd results. This indicates that the approach of modifying $G_{\text{NaP}}$ or $G_{\text{leak}}$ globally in all neurons cannot reproduce the BBBd condition, and suggests that the modifications that reduce $R_m$ should be directed specifically to NonRes neurons. Therefore, the reduction of $G_{\text{NaP}}$ or the increase in $G_{\text{leak}}$ that can reproduce the drop in $R_m$ seemed to affect particularly those neurons that did not shift to the Res population under BBBd condition but remained NonRes neurons. Based on this observation we tested whether a two-step mechanism, in which a first step increases $G_{\text{M}}$ in all neurons and a second step reduces the excitability only in NonRes neurons, can account for the changes observed in BBBd. Indeed, by combining a 50% increase in $G_{\text{M}}$ in all neurons and a reduction of 20% $G_{\text{NaP}}$ in NonRes neurons (Model BBBd1) or, by increasing 60% $G_{\text{M}}$ in all neurons and increasing $G_{\text{leak}}$ to BBBd NonRes neurons (Model BBBd2) it was possible to reproduce the increase in the proportion of Res neurons (Fig. 7D, right), their reduction in $f_{\text{max}}$ (Fig. 7E, right, median Q3/Q1, MSham: 3.85 1.10/0.75 vs. BBBd1: 3.05 1.20/0.50, MW $p = 0.015$, MSham vs. BBBd2: 3.35 + 0.90/0.85, MW $p = 0.033$) as well as the drop of $R_m$ in Res neurons (Fig. 7F, right, median Q3/Q1, MSham: 135.5 56.5/39.5 vs. BBBd1: 91.5 34.5/17.0, MW $p = 7e^{-6}$, MSham vs. BBBd2: 92.0 38.5/19.5, MW $p = 4e^{-5}$). Moreover, these two mechanisms also mimicked the decline in phase-lag observed in BBBd NonRes neurons (median Q3/Q1, MSham: $-48.5$ 13.0/12.5 vs. BBBd1: $-34.0$ 7.0/13.0, MW $p = 2e^{-5}$, MSham vs. BBBd2: $-37.5$ 8.5/13.5, MW $p = 0.013$). However, neither of those two-step mechanisms were able to account for the increase in phase-lag observed in Res neurons (see Table 4, MSham vs. BBBd1, MW $p = 0.16$, BBBd2 $p = 0.46$) and with the reductions in the impedance profile and $Z_{\text{max}}$ of Res neurons (see Table 4, MSham vs. BBBd1, MW $p = 0.44$, BBBd2 $p = 0.83$), suggesting that there are additional factors that were not contemplated in our simulations. Nevertheless, both two-step mechanisms replicated our experimental data with no changes in the impedance profile and $Z_{\text{max}}$ of Res neurons (Fig. 7G-J, median Q3/Q1, MSham: 157.0 97.5/43.0 vs. BBBd1: 108.0 42.5/20.0, MW $p = 1e^{-3}$, MSham vs. BBBd2: 110.5 50.0/23.0, MW $p = 4e^{-5}$) that are fundamental properties for determining the resonant behavior.

Taking together, our computer simulations indicate that by modulating subthreshold conductances it is possible to account for most of the changes we found in post-stroke epileptogenesis. While the increase in the proportion of Res neurons can be induced almost exclusively by an increase in $G_{\text{d}}$, the reduction of excitability observed in NonRes neurons can be produced by either a reduction of $G_{\text{NaP}}$ or by an increase in $G_{\text{leak}}$. Since these two mechanisms are not exclusive to each other, it is possible that modulating both conductances could work together to reduce the excitability of NonRes neurons in BBBd.

### 4. Discussion

We report on a global remapping of intrinsic properties of hippocampal PCs leading to increased perithreshold theta resonance during the phase of epileptogenesis. We find that i) the proportion of theta-resonant neurons points towards an increase, that ii) Res neurons show an increased phase lag as well as a more hyperpolarized suprathereshold and perithreshold $V_m$ and iii) that NonRes neurons show a reduction in $Z_{\text{max}}$ and $R_m$ as well as a more depolarized suprathereshold $V_m$, AP threshold and perithreshold $V_n$. Using a computer model of the biophysical properties of NonRes and Res neurons, these findings can be explained by a switch in the behavior of neurons from NonRes to Res. Our findings correlate well with our previous observation of an increase in theta power in vivo in epileptogenic rats (Lippmann et al., 2017). Altered resonance behavior is likely to have a severe impact on information processing within theta oscillations and may contribute to epilepsy-related cognitive dysfunctions.

BBBd has previously been suggested as a major cause underlying hippocampal hyperexcitability and network alterations following cortical photothrombosis (Lapilover et al., 2012; Lippmann et al., 2017). However, alternative explanations like increased cystatin c or neuropeptide Y expression (Kharlamov et al., 2007; Pirtillá and Pitkänä, 2006), free radicals or inflammatory responses (Bidmon et al., 1998; Cacheaux et al., 2009; Kharlamov et al., 2007; Nowicka et al., 2008; Schmidt et al., 2015) as well as changes in hippocampal blood flow or propagating abnormal activity from the injury core may also contribute to the observed effects in theta resonance behavior.

Of the many different and sometimes contrasting phenomena linked to epileptogenesis (Noebels et al., 2012), we consider theta activity in general and perithreshold theta resonance in particular highly relevant. It has previously been shown that theta oscillations often precede seizure initiation both in rodents and in humans (Amiri et al., 2019; Karunakaran et al., 2016; Kuo et al., 2018). Enhanced theta resonance behavior may favor abnormal network synchronization and, thereby, may ultimately contribute to epileptic seizures. It remains to be investigated whether perithreshold theta resonance is also affected in chemical- or stimulus-induced epilepsy models, for which theta oscillations were shown to undergo either decreases (Chauvière et al., 2009; Duglade et al., 2007; Kilias et al., 2018) or increases (Broggini et al., 2016; Kitagawa and Butuzova, 2009) in power, frequency, and phase coupling. However, we consider the model of BBBd-associated epileptogenesis as being closest to how most patients develop acquired
cations, excitability: the hyperpolarization-activated and cyclic nucleotide-gated specific channels are known to play important roles in subthreshold (Buzsáki, 2010). Trauma, tumors or infections, which often result into epilepsy (Friedman et al., 2007; Williams and Kauer, 1997; Zimmerman et al., 1996; Mittmann and Alzheimer, 1998). However, in hyperexcitability extracellular solutions as well as epileptic conditions neural network works develop an increased sensitivity for cholinergic activation especially in the hippocampus (Buzsáki et al., 2002; Dannenberg et al., 2017; Tempany et al., 1975) by suppressing $I_m$ (Brown et al., 2009; Halliwell and Adams, 1982) as well as $I_{Leak}$ (Benson et al., 1988; Madison et al., 1987) and by enhancing $I_{Nab}$ at perithreshold $V_m$ (Carillo-Reid et al., 2009; Yamada-Hanff and Bean, 2013), but see (Cantrell et al., 1996; Mittmann and Alzheimer, 1998). However, in hyperexcitable extracellular solutions as well as epileptic conditions neural networks develop an increased sensitivity for cholinergic activation (Friedman et al., 2007; Williams and Kauer, 1997; Zimmerman et al., 2008). With this increased sensitivity acetylcholine would be able to...
Further activate \( I_{\text{NaP}} \) as well as suppress \( I_{\text{M}} \) and \( I_{\text{Leak}} \) ultimately driving neurons towards their AP threshold and transforming network oscillations into epileptic activity. A homeostatic downregulation of the cholinergic system counteracting the epileptogenesis has previously been shown in chemical-induced epileptic rodents (Gnatek et al., 2012; Kaufer et al., 1998; Maslarova et al., 2013; Zimmerman et al., 2008).

Therefore, it could be expected that an overcompensated (i.e., reduced) cholinergic input to PCs will enhance \( I_{\text{M}} \) contributing to increase the fraction of Res neurons. Additionally, this reduced cholinergic input could also decrease \( I_{\text{NaP}} \) and/or increase \( I_{\text{Leak}} \) selectively in NonRes neurons. This could, according to our model, explain the reduction in \( R_a \) observed only in NonRes neurons. Although differential changes observed in Res and NonRes neurons are not yet clarified, it has been described that subpopulations of PCs in the hippocampus (Graves et al., 2012; Yamada-Hantúf and Bean, 2013) show differential sensitivity to cholinergic modulation. The present changes in intrinsic properties in post-stroke epileptogenesis and the observation that no major cell loss occurs in CA1 after cortical phototrombosis (Kharlamov et al., 2007) suggest a unilateral transformation of NonRes neurons to Res neurons, while Res neurons may remain unchanged. However, data from Res neurons are affected by the ‘newcomers’ that could explain their changes in phase lag as well as in excitability.

Although the network may compensate for a cholinergic hyperexcitability, an epileptogenic development may still advance due to other processes, e.g., a reduced cholinergic anti-inflammatory response (Gnatek et al., 2012) or BBBD-induced excitatory synapticogenesis (Weisberg et al., 2015). All in all, epileptogenesis may lead to an increased cholinergic sensitivity with a subsequent overcompensation targeting specifically NonRes neurons. Therefore, NonRes neurons may transform into Res neurons contributing towards an increased theta network activity.

In the context of homeostatic compensation it is also interesting to consider the effects of anti-epileptic drugs that were shown to either inhibit \( I_{\text{NaP}} \) like phenytoin, carbachamaze, or valproate (Colombo et al., 2013; Sun et al., 2007; Taverna et al., 1998) or preserve \( I_{\text{M}} \) like valproate or retigabine (Cooper, 2001; Kay et al., 2015). All these drugs effectively attenuate seizures but are also known to impair learning and memory (Jiff and Aldenkamp, 2013; Zwierzynska et al., 2017). Indeed, it has been shown that phenytoin, carbachamaze as well as valproate increased the power of theta network activity (Besser et al., 1992; Salinsky et al., 2004; Segura-Bruna et al., 2006) and, thereby potentially contribute towards impaired learning and memory. In view of the well-established pharmacological profile of anti-epileptic drugs, it is conceivable that the changes in intrinsic properties of PCs we observed mirror an attempt of the hippocampal network to homeostatically compensate for the growing hyperexcitability and epileptogeneity by reducing the cholinergic tone. Long-term in vivo recordings combined with pharmacological interventions will be required to address this hypothesis in future experiments. Independent on a beneficial or detrimental role of increased theta resonance, our data provides evidence for a link between the intrinsic resonance behavior of hippocampal PCs and epileptogenesis.

In conclusion, our work suggests that post-stroke epileptogenesis is associated with a rearrangement of the intrinsic excitability across hippocampal pyramidal cells at perithreshold potential that is seen as an increased proportion and excitability of resonant neurons together with a decreased proportion and excitability of non-resonant neurons. We propose that this remapping of perithreshold excitability may be caused by a global change related to the epileptogenic state that switches non-resonant to resonant neurons. Enhanced theta resonance would enable neurons to communicate their preferred theta frequency downstream to postsynaptic neurons strengthening theta network activity. This pathologic increased theta network activity could severely influence information processing and promote epilepsy-related cognitive dysfunctions.


