

1 **Field methods**

2 The 7.94-m firn core used in this study was collected in December 2010 using a
3 3-inch diameter Pico hand auger. Core physical properties (dimensions, mass,
4 temperature) were measured on site as each ~1-m section was retrieved. Diameter was
5 measured with digital calipers (1 mm accuracy), length was measured with a meter stick
6 (5 mm accuracy), and mass was measured on a digital balance (0.1 g accuracy). Density
7 was calculated by dividing the weight of each core section by the corresponding volume,
8 resulting in a down-core depth-density profile. Density varied from 0.23 g·cm⁻³ at the
9 surface to 0.54 g·cm⁻³ at 8-m depths. Temperature profiles were taken by inserting a
10 thermistor probe (0.2°C accuracy) into the core approximately every 40 cm as it was
11 retrieved. Temperature varied between -13 and -18 °C. Core sections were bagged in
12 layflat tubing, stapled shut, and packed in core tubes inside ice-core boxes with
13 temperature data loggers for shipping. Loggers recorded temperatures every 30 minutes
14 (±0.1°C accuracy). During transit, samples maintained an average temperature of -
15 21.1°C, and never exceeded -15.8°C.

16

17 **Core dating and accumulation rates**

18 Age-depth relationship and accumulation rates were established using the δ¹⁸O
19 firn-core record (Figure 2) tuned with University of Wisconsin Automatic Weather
20 Station (AWS) temperature data (<http://amrc.ssec.wisc.edu/>). The Byrd and WAIS
21 Divide (WSD) sites were the nearest *in situ* AWS sites to our core location (Figure 1).
22 Temperature data from the two stations (~100 km apart) were highly correlated ($r^2 =$
23 0.91). Thus, despite being further from the DIV2010 coring site, Byrd AWS data were

24 used in this study because this dataset had fewer gaps in the time series. AWS air
25 temperatures were recorded 3 m above the ground at 10-minute intervals using a
26 platinum resistance thermometer (0.5°C accuracy). Three-hourly data were available for
27 most months, with 10-minute data used where 3-hourly data were unavailable. Daily and
28 monthly temperature means were calculated from averaged 3-hourly and 10-minute
29 datasets. Annual maxima and minima in the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ record were pinned to annual maxima
30 and minima in the AWS temperature data to define mid-summer and mid-winter,
31 respectively, and intervening dates were then linearly interpolated. This method relies on
32 the strong high-latitude temperature modulation of the isotopic composition of
33 precipitation [Jouzel *et al.*, 1997; Schneider *et al.*, 2005].

34 Annual accumulation rates were derived by multiplying densities by the core
35 length per year (or season, for seasonal accumulation rates). Annual accumulation at the
36 core site is relatively equally distributed seasonally. From 2002–2010, average summer
37 (Oct–Mar) accumulation rate was $40 \text{ g}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}\cdot\text{yr}^{-1}$ ($\sigma = 16 \text{ g}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}\cdot\text{yr}^{-1}$), and average winter
38 (Apr–Sep) accumulation rate was $33 \text{ g}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}\cdot\text{yr}^{-1}$ ($\sigma = 12 \text{ g}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}\cdot\text{yr}^{-1}$). This is equivalent to
39 ~7-cm snowfall each month, greater than our 3-5-cm core sampling scheme. The
40 temporal resolution of our core is ~monthly, similar or better to that of other recent, like
41 ice-core studies (e.g. Abram *et al.*, 2011; Sneed *et al.*, 2011). MSA and Cl^- times series
42 were matched directly to this age-depth chronology based on the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ record.

43 The 8-m DIV2010 core dates from October 2001 to December 2010. We
44 calculated a local mean accumulation rate of $\sim 39 \text{ g}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}\cdot\text{yr}^{-1}$ over the core record ($\sigma = 8.7$
45 $\text{g}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}\cdot\text{yr}^{-1}$). The minimum and maximum mean annual accumulation rates are $26.2 \text{ g}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}\cdot\text{yr}^{-1}$
46 $^2\cdot\text{yr}^{-1}$ (2009) and $55.5 \text{ g}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}\cdot\text{yr}^{-1}$ (2008), respectively. These accumulation rates are

47 similar to those reported from previous West Antarctic studies in the region. The ITASE
48 cores geographically nearest to the DIV2010 site are sites 2001-2 and 2001-3.
49 Accumulation rates at ITASE sites 2001-2 and 2001-3 are $42.5 \text{ g}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}\cdot\text{yr}^{-1}$ (1890–2002)
50 and $32.7 \text{ g}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}\cdot\text{yr}^{-1}$ (1859–2002), respectively [Dixon *et al.*, 2004]. A separate study
51 based on the ITASE cores showed that accumulation increases almost linearly across the
52 divide between the Ross and Amundsen sectors of WAIS (increasing accumulation rate
53 in the direction of the Amundsen sector) [Conway and Rasmussen, 2009]. However,
54 accumulation rate estimates closest to the area of our study ($>34 \text{ g}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}\cdot\text{yr}^{-1}$) are
55 comparable to our estimates, as well as to the estimates of others [Banta *et al.*, 2008;
56 Dixon *et al.*, 2004; Neumann *et al.*, 2008]. Accumulation rates from other ITASE cores
57 along the same divide, but 300 km farther inland from our core site (2000-1), are
58 substantially lower ($21 \text{ g}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}\cdot\text{yr}^{-1}$) [Banta *et al.*, 2008]. A modern accumulation rate
59 estimate at the WAIS Divide drill site (Figure 1) is $22 \text{ g}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}\cdot\text{yr}^{-1}$ [Fegyveresi *et al.*,
60 2011].

61

62 **Lab Methods**

63 The core was subsampled using an acid-cleaned hand saw in a -25°C freezer room
64 5 months after collection. Discrete samples were stapled in plastic layflat tubing and
65 stored at -25°C . For $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ analyses, firn-core samples were melted in ambient clean lab
66 temperatures (20°C), transferred into 2-mL glass autosampler vials, and sealed with septa
67 screw caps. Sample isotope ratios were standardized using three working standards
68 calibrated against the IAEA standards VSMOW and SLAP. Final $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ values are
69 reported on the VSMOW/SLAP scale.

70 Before the day of MSA and Cl^- analysis, the outer 2 cm of each firn sample was
71 physically removed by scraping the surface with a microtome blade (cleaned with
72 deionized (DI) water) in a -25°C freezer room. Core samples were transferred to cleaned
73 melting containers, melted in ambient clean lab temperatures (20°C) under a laminar flow
74 hood, and refrozen in DI-cleaned accuvettes. On the day of analysis, core samples were
75 re-melted in the accuvettes and transferred to 0.5-mL autosampler vials using a DI-
76 cleaned micropipette. DI water used in all analyses had a resistivity $\geq 18.2\text{M}\Omega\text{-cm}$.
77 Gloves were used at all times and plastic-ware was used for all steps of sample
78 preparation (following [Curran and Palmer, 2001]). Pre-cleaned plastic-ware was rinsed
79 and twice soaked overnight in DI water and subsequently dried in a laminar flow hood.
80 Prior to use, all plastic-ware and vials were rinsed again with DI water and dried in a
81 laminar flow hood. Autosampler caps and vials were stored in DI water.

82

83 **References**

- 84 Abram, N. J., R. Mulvaney, and C. Arrowsmith (2011), Environmental signals in a highly
85 resolved ice core from James Ross Island, Antarctica, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *116*(D20),
86 D20116.
- 87 Banta, J. R., J. R. McConnell, M. M. Frey, R. C. Bales, and K. Taylor (2008), Spatial and
88 temporal variability in snow accumulation at the West Antarctic Ice Sheet Divide
89 over recent centuries, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *113*(D23), D23102.
- 90 Conway, H., and L. A. Rasmussen (2009), Recent thinning and migration of the Western
91 Divide, central West Antarctica, *Geophysical research letters*, *36*(12), L12502.

92 Curran, M. A. J., and A. S. Palmer (2001), Suppressed ion chromatography methods for
93 the routine determination of ultra low level anions and cations in ice cores,
94 *Journal of Chromatography A*, 919(1), 107-113.

95 Dixon, D., P. A. Mayewski, S. Kaspari, S. B. Sneed, and M. J. Handley (2004), A 200
96 year sub-annual record of sulfate in West Antarctica, from 16 ice cores, *Annals of*
97 *Glaciology*, 39, 545-556.

98 Fegyveresi, J. M., R. B. Alley, M. K. Spencer, J. J. Fitzpatrick, E. J. Steig, J. W. C.
99 White, J. R. McConnell, and K. C. Taylor (2011), Late-Holocene climate
100 evolution at the WAIS Divide site, West Antarctica: bubble number-density
101 estimates, *Journal of Glaciology*, 57(204), 629-638.

102 Neumann, T. A., H. Conway, S. F. Price, E. D. Waddington, G. A. Catania, and D. L.
103 Morse (2008), Holocene accumulation and ice sheet dynamics in central West
104 Antarctica, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 113(F2), F02018.

105 Sneed, S. B., P. A. Mayewski, and D. A. Dixon (2011), An emerging technique: multi-
106 ice-core multi-parameter correlations with Antarctic sea-ice extent, *Annals of*
107 *Glaciology*, 52(57), 347-354.

108 Weller, R., F. Traufetter, H. Fischer, H. Oerter, C. Piel, and H. Miller (2004),
109 Postdepositional losses of methane sulfonate, nitrate, and chloride at the European
110 Project for Ice Coring in Antarctica deep-drilling site in Dronning Maud Land,
111 Antarctica, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109(D7), D07301.