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In the ocean, chromium (Cr) is a redox-sensitive trace metal. The reduction of Cr(VI) to Cr(III) occurs in oxygen deficient zones (ODZs), and Cr reduction in general has been identified as a significant Cr isotope fractionation mechanism. This thesis presents the first Cr isotope variations (δ53Cr) in ODZs of the ocean and adds to the sparse Cr isotope data published for modern seawater.

I developed a precise and accurate Cr isotope method for seawater samples. Seawater acidification converts total Cr to Cr(III) which is preconcentrated by Mg(OH)2 coprecipitation. A three-column anion exchange chromatography scheme separates Cr from isobaric and polyatomic interferences present in the seawater and reagent matrixes. Isotope analysis is performed on a MC-ICP-MS IsoProbe. The addition of a 50Cr-54Cr double spike allows for accurate correction of procedural and instrumental Cr mass fractionations.

The first Cr isotope ratio data for a full water column profile in the Pacific Ocean is presented. This station serves as a fully oxic counterpart to stations located within the ODZ of the Eastern Tropical North Pacific. At one station, Cr concentrations are lower and δ53Cr values are heavier within the ODZ. This is consistent with Cr reduction resulting in isotopically lighter, particle-reactive Cr(III), which is scavenged and exported from the water column. A strong correlation of δ53Cr and δ15NNO3 at this station suggests that Cr reduction may be microbially mediated instead of simply being a product of thermodynamic equilibrium. Alternatively, Cr may be reduced by Fe(II). In the anoxic bottom waters of the Santa Barbara Basin a strong Cr reduction signal (lower [Cr], heavier δ53Cr) is observed, which may result from the same aforementioned Cr reduction mechanisms. A shift to the heaviest seawater Cr isotope signatures yet observed was detected in the oxic bottom waters of the shallow Arctic Chukchi shelf, while Cr concentrations decreased. This extreme isotope signal may result from Cr reduction by a reduced species (e.g. Fe(II)), which was released from the underlying anoxic shelf sediments. Cr in the Atlantic layer and in the bottom water of a central Arctic station appears to be shaped by a novel, unidentified process.
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Chapter 1. Introduction

1.1. Marine biogeochemistry of chromium

In the ocean, chromium (Cr) is a redox-sensitive trace metal with a concentration range of 1.2 nmol/kg – 6.5 nmol/kg (e.g. Bonnand et al., 2013; Scheiderich et al., 2015). The equilibrium between its two stable redox species in aqueous solutions (i.e. Cr(III) and Cr(VI)) is governed by the system’s pH and redox potential, Eh (figure 1.1). In oxic seawater, Cr(VI) is present mainly as the highly soluble oxyanion, CrO$_4^{2-}$ (chromate). In contrast, Cr(III) occurs as particle-reactive hydroxo complexes Cr(OH)$_2^+$ and Cr(OH)$_3^0$.

Although Cr(VI) is the dominant oxidation state in the ocean, Cr(III) can reach significant levels in oxygen deficient zones (Murray et al., 1983; Rue et al., 1997). Due to slow re-oxidation kinetics by O$_2$ (Schroeder and Lee, 1975) and H$_2$O$_2$ (Pettine and Millero, 1990), Cr(III) has a residence time of months to years. Particle scavenging of Cr(III) followed by export to the sediments and chemical precipitation are sinks for Cr in the ocean. Ultramafic and mafic rocks host Cr as immobile Cr(III) (Nriagu and Nieboer, 1988). Oxidative weathering catalyzed by manganese oxides (Fendorf and Zasoski, 1992; Oze et al., 2007) releases soluble Cr(VI) which is transported to the ocean via rivers. River input constitutes by far the most important source of Cr to the ocean. In comparison, ground waters are believed to supply only ~0.3% of the riverine Cr flux (McClain and Maher, 2016; Qin and Wang, 2017). Both hydrothermal inputs (Sander and Koschinsky, 2000) and benthic fluxes (Jeandel and Minster, 1984) may hold local importance. Past estimates of the Cr ocean residence time have varied substantially: 9000 years - 45000 years (Campbell and Yeats, 1984; Reinhard et al., 2013; Van Der Weijden and Reith, 1982). Due to increased flux estimates of the riverine Cr source (McClain and Maher, 2016), the most recent estimate of the Cr ocean residence time is 3000 years (Qin and Wang, 2017; Reinhard et al., 2013).
1.2. Notations, natural Cr isotope abundances, reporting Cr isotope signatures and fractionation factors

In this thesis, [Cr] will be used interchangeably with Cr concentrations.

Cr has four stable isotopes with the following natural abundances: $^{50}$Cr at 4.35%, $^{52}$Cr at 83.79%, $^{53}$Cr at 9.50%, and $^{54}$Cr at 2.36% (Holden, 2011; Shields et al., 1966).

When reporting Cr isotope signatures, the ratio of $^{53}$Cr to $^{52}$Cr in a sample is compared to the ratio of $^{53}$Cr to $^{52}$Cr in a standard reference material (equation 1). For Cr isotope studies, the conventional choice of standard reference material (abbreviated SRM) is SRM 979. Consequently, all sample ratios within this thesis are expressed as relative deviations from SRM 979 in parts per thousand ($\%\text{o}$).

\[
\delta^{53}\text{Cr} (\%\text{o}) = \left( \frac{\left( \frac{^{53}\text{Cr}}{^{52}\text{Cr}} \right)_{\text{Sample}}}{\left( \frac{^{53}\text{Cr}}{^{52}\text{Cr}} \right)_{\text{SRM 979}}} - 1 \right) \times 1000 \tag{1}
\]

The fractionation factor ($\alpha$) expresses the magnitude of a Cr isotope effect by comparing the $^{53}$Cr to $^{52}$Cr ratio of the product to that of the reactant (equation 2).

\[
\alpha = \frac{\left( \frac{^{53}\text{Cr}}{^{52}\text{Cr}} \right)_{\text{Product}}}{\left( \frac{^{53}\text{Cr}}{^{52}\text{Cr}} \right)_{\text{Reactant}}} \tag{2}
\]

Alternatively, a Cr isotope effect can be measured by the ‘difference fractionation factor’ ($\varepsilon$) as the difference between the $\delta^{53}$Cr values of the product and the reactant (equation 3).

\[
\varepsilon (\%\text{o}) = \delta^{53}\text{Cr}_{\text{Product}} - \delta^{53}\text{Cr}_{\text{Reactant}} \tag{3}
\]

The fractionation factor expressions $\alpha$ and $\varepsilon$ are related by the approximation given in equation 4.
1.3. Reported mechanisms of Cr isotope fractionations

Cr mass fractionations between terrestrial reservoirs have been found to be mass-dependent.

Cr reduction induces significant kinetic isotope fractionation. The reduction of Cr(VI) present as CrO$_4^{2-}$ necessitates the breakage of a strong Cr-oxygen bond. The higher vibrational frequencies associated with lighter Cr isotopes allow their Cr-O bonds to break more readily relative to the Cr-O bonds of heavier Cr isotopes. In consequence, the lighter isotopes display greater reaction rates during reduction and the resultant Cr(III) is isotopically light relative to the original Cr(VI) (Schauble et al., 2004). As removal of particle reactive Cr(III) generally occurs swiftly, Rayleigh fractionation models (Rayleigh, 1896) are usually employed to derive the fractionation factors of Cr isotope effects.

Reductants of Cr(VI) include acid volatile sulfides, ferrous iron (Fe(II)), organic matter and organic acids (Fendorf et al., 2000; Graham and Bouwer, 2010; Kitchen et al., 2012; Patterson et al., 1997; Pettine et al., 1998; Wielinga et al., 2001). Both aerobic and anaerobic microbes have displayed Cr reducing capabilities (e.g. Basu et al., 2014). Additionally, unintended uptake of CrO$_4^{2-}$ by microbes may occur due to its structural resemblance to SO$_4^{2-}$ (Cervantes et al., 2001). Since the intracellular reduction of chromate is accompanied by the production of free radicals, Cr(VI) is classified as a toxin (Cervantes et al., 2001). Fractionation factors determined for abiotic and biotic reduction vary widely ($\varepsilon$=0.2‰-5‰; Basu et al., 2014; Basu and Johnson, 2012; Berna et al., 2010; Døssing et al., 2011; Ellis et al., 2002; Han et al., 2012; Kitchen et al., 2012; Sikora et al., 2008; Xu et al., 2015).

In contrast, the isotope effect of Cr oxidation is more uncertain as studies disagree on both direction and magnitude ($\varepsilon$=-2.5‰ - +1‰; Bain and Bullen, 2005; Ellis et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2010; Zink...
et al., 2010). Cr oxidation consists of multiple reactions with intermediate Cr species (Zink et al., 2010).

In natural settings, equilibrium Cr isotope fractionation appears to be of limited relevance. At isotope equilibrium, heavier isotopes preferentially form stronger bonds such as \( \text{CrO}_4^{2-} \), whereas light isotopes preferentially form weaker bonds such as Cr(III) hydroxo complexes (Bigeleisen and Mayer, 1947; Urey, 1947). In practice, the necessity of a simultaneous transfer of three electrons and a shift in coordination environment results in very slow isotope exchange (Schauble et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2015).

1.4. Cr isotope signatures reported for different terrestrial reservoirs

Figure 1.2 gives an overview of the Cr isotope variations observed for terrestrial reservoirs. Schoenberg et al. (2008) characterized bulk silicate earth (BSE) isotopically (\( \delta^{53}\text{Cr} = 0.124 \pm 0.101\% \); average \( \delta^{53}\text{Cr} \) of mantle xenoliths, ultramafic rocks, cumulates, oceanic and continental basalts). Soil and weathering profiles tend to be lighter than BSE due to release of isotopically heavy Cr(VI) during oxidative weathering (e.g. Crowe et al., 2013; Frei et al., 2014; Frei and Polat, 2013). Since rivers receive this isotopically heavy Cr(VI), they carry heavy Cr isotope signatures (e.g. Farkaš et al., 2013; Frei et al., 2014; Paulukat et al., 2015). Groundwater can exhibit very heavy Cr isotope signatures due to intense Cr reduction along the flow path (e.g. Berna et al., 2010; Ellis et al., 2002; Izbicki et al., 2008). Published seawater Cr isotope variations are discussed separately (chapter 1.6.).

1.5. The Cr isotope system as a paleo-redox proxy

In recent years, the Cr isotope system has been studied extensively as a geological paleo-redox proxy (e.g. Crowe et al., 2013; Frei et al., 2009; Planavsky et al., 2014). Once a critical amount of oxygen has accumulated in the Earth’s atmosphere, Cr oxidation catalyzed by MnO\(_2\) can occur. The mobilized Cr(VI) is thought to carry a heavier Cr isotope signature compared to mineral Cr in
rocks. Before Cr(VI) was released by oxidative weathering, unfractionated Cr(III) was supplied to the ocean as solid detrital minerals or dissolved Cr(III) after being leached under acidic conditions (Konhauser et al., 2011). The shift from unfractionated Cr to isotopically heavier Cr in geological archives of marine precipitation has been used as a record of atmospheric oxygenation (starting with Frei et al., 2009).

### 1.6. Published seawater Cr isotope data

The first seawater Cr isotope data was published by Bonnard et al. in 2013. They observed relatively uniform total Cr concentrations ([Cr]=5.80 nmol/kg – 6.50 nmol/kg) and total Cr isotope signatures (δ\(^{53}\)Cr=0.41‰ - 0.66‰) throughout the water column of the Argentine Basin (South Atlantic). The isotope signatures of these seawater samples were heavier relative to those of the mantle and the continental crust (δ\(^{53}\)Cr=-0.26‰ to -0.04‰; Schoenberg et al., 2008). This is consistent with Cr being subject to isotopic fractionations during weathering and/ or during transport via rivers to the ocean.

Cr isotope signatures and concentrations exhibited a much wider range ([Cr] = 1.23 nmol/kg - 3.13 nmol/kg, δ\(^{53}\)Cr= 0.99‰ – 1.55‰) in profiles taken in the Beaufort Sea (Arctic Ocean; Scheiderich et al., 2015). The profiles were interpreted to reflect conservative mixing between a modified Pacific water mass (lower [Cr], higher δ\(^{53}\)Cr) and the Atlantic water (higher [Cr], lower δ\(^{53}\)Cr). Additionally, Cr data for individual samples from the Pacific and the Atlantic Ocean was reported.

Scheiderich et al. (2015) plotted their data and Bonnard et al. (2013)’s data on a δ\(^{53}\)Cr-ln([Cr]) plot and observed that the data fell onto one Rayleigh-like fractionation line. They hypothesized that this line represented a global seawater relationship with the exception of local effects from freshwater inputs. Furthermore, they suggested that only one process or several processes with the same fractionation factor moved Cr in seawater samples along the observed Rayleigh-like fractionation line. Processes they proposed were the reduction and removal of Cr in the surface water, the reduction of Cr in oxygen minimum zones, and the remineralization of Cr(III) from particles and sediments.
1.7. Cr reduction in oxygen deficient zones of the ocean

Even though Cr reduction has been identified as the most important Cr isotope fractionation mechanism, oxygen deficient zones (ODZs) of the ocean remain unstudied with respect to their Cr isotope signatures. Filling this gap was the initial motivation of this PhD thesis.

At seawater pH, the reduction potential of the Cr(VI)-Cr(III) redox couple is in the same range than that of NO$_3^-$-NO$_2^-$ redox couple (Stumm and Morgan, 2012). It is conceivable that Cr(VI) reduction occurs in oxygen deficient zones of the ocean as a product of thermodynamic equilibrium and/or of microbial mediation. Microbes respire organic matter using the electron acceptor which will yield the highest free energy first, oxygen, before switching to electron acceptors that oxidize carbon with declining free energy yields, such as nitrate (Froelich et al., 1979) and perhaps even chromate.

Indeed, Cr reduction has been observed in the low oxygen zone of the Eastern Tropical North Pacific (ETNP). Murray et al. (1983) analyzed Cr concentrations across an east–west transect off the coast of Baja California, Mexico (Chapter 3, figure 2). Their study found a Cr(VI) minimum centered between 200m and 400m depth which is most intense closest to the coast and absent at the station farthest out in the ocean. Dissolved Cr(III) concentrations reach their maximum (0.5 nmol/kg -1.0 nmol/kg) at the top of the oxygen minimum zone (OMZ). Within the OMZ particulate Cr concentrations of up to ~ 1.1 nmol/kg are recorded. The transect was located at the northern edge of the ETNP ODZ core where oxygen concentrations at higher than 10 µmol/kg should not support in situ Cr(VI) reduction. Rue et al. (1997) measured Cr(VI) and dissolved Cr(III) concentrations at a site in the ETNP (Chapter 3, figure 2: 15°N 107°W) with oxygen concentrations of 1 µmol/kg to 5 µmol/kg (110 m - 600 m depth). Comparing Cr(VI) concentrations within the ODZ to those measured at a nearby station without an ODZ, a deficit of up to 2 nmol/kg Cr(VI) was found within the ODZ. Dissolved Cr(III) concentrations peaked at 0.9 nmol/kg within the suboxic waters and accounted for up to ~50% of the chromate deficit. The other half of the chromate anomaly was attributed to scavenging and export of particle reactive Cr(III). It should be noted, that the Cr(VI) deficit is observed between ~100m and ~1000m depth, whereas the presence of dCr(III) is seen over a much narrower depth range (~100m to ~600m).
1.8. Thesis outline

At the outset of my PhD, no published method for the determination of Cr isotope signatures in seawater existed. This lead to the development of a novel seawater Cr isotope method that is presented in detail in chapter 2. We assess the performance by repeatedly processing pure Cr SRM 979 solution through the procedure. Furthermore, we demonstrate that the method yields oceanographically consistent total Cr isotope data for a water column profile at the SAFe station (30°N 140°W) in the Pacific Ocean.

In Chapter 3, we study the signal produced by Cr reduction in anoxic zones of the ocean. To this end we measured Cr isotope ratios and concentrations at two stations within the ODZ of the ETNP and at a station in the Santa Barbara Basin which features anoxic bottom waters. Cr profiles are compared to those available at the nearby SAFe station.

Cr isotope ratio data from the Arctic Ocean is presented in chapter 4. For intercalibration purposes (with respect to Scheiderich et al., 2015), a full water column profile was taken in the Central Arctic Ocean. On the same expedition, the shallow water column on the Chukchi shelf was sampled. The waters of the Chukchi shelf are fully oxic, but intense denitrification has been reported in the underlying anoxic sediments (Brown et al., 2015; Chang and Devol, 2009; Devol et al., 1997).

Chapter 5 gives a summary, conclusions and recommendations for future research.

Results of a side project are presented very briefly in the appendix. In order to evaluate if significant seasonal variability in total Cr concentrations occurs (as suggested by data reported by Connelly et al., 2006), total Cr concentrations were measured for weekly samples taken over a year at ~ 45m depth off of Bermuda.
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Chromium is a compatible element during magmatic activity and thus resides mostly in ultramafic and maﬁc rocks (Faure 1991). Compared with its state in Earth's near-surface environment, Cr mainly exists as Cr(III) in igneous rocks. Although Cr(II) has been observed at noticeable abundances in some meteorites (Berry and O'Neill 2004; Berry et al. 2006; Eeckhout et al. 2007; Bell et al. 2014), it is unstable in the oxic near-surface environment. Deep in the mantle where the oxygen fugacities are lower, a small fraction of Cr(II) is possible [in olivine (Burns 1975)], but the dominant Cr species is Cr(III). It is also expected that there is a considerable amount of Cr in the Earth’s core present as metallic Cr (Moynier et al. 2011). Because Cr is widely used in industrial activities, it is also an anthropogenic contaminant in surface and groundwater (e.g., (Rai et al. 1989)). Cr(VI) is highly soluble and is carcinogenic, whereas Cr(III) is sparingly soluble and has limited toxicity because it lacks the oxidizing power that causes damage to cells, unlike Cr(VI). Because of the contrasting geochemical behaviors between Cr(III) and Cr(VI), Cr(VI) contamination can be remediated through reduction induced by either microbial or abiotic mechanisms (Fendorf and Li 1996; Patterson et al. 1997; Pettine et al. 1998; Kim et al. 2001; Wielinga et al. 2001; Sikora et al. 2008; Graham and Bouwer 2009; Han et al. 2012; Kitchen et al. 2012; Xu et al. 2015).

Research History of the Cr isotopic System

Early interest in Cr isotopes has been largely advanced by the application of $^{53}$Mn–$^{53}$Cr short-lived radiochronometers to dating early solar system events; e.g., Birck and Allègre (1985). Although $^{53}$Mn became extinct shortly after the formation of the solar system, the variations in the abundance of its daughter product, $^{53}$Cr, measured in meteorites reflect “alive” $^{53}$Mn in the early solar system, which can be used to date the early solar system history. In addition, anomalous $^{54}$Cr abundance was discovered first in refractory inclusions of carbonaceous chondrites and more recently in various types of meteoritic materials; e.g., Birck (1985).

Figures for Chapter 1

Figure 1.1. This Eh-pH diagram for Cr was adopted from Qin and Wang (2017).
Figure 1.2. Isotope variations observed for different terrestrial reservoirs are given. This figure was adopted from Qin and Wang (2017).
Chapter 2. Determination of Accurate and Precise Chromium Isotope Ratios in Seawater Samples Illustrated by Analysis of SAFe Station in the Pacific Ocean

2.1. Introduction

Ever since redox transformations between the stable oxidation states of chromium (i.e. Cr(III) and Cr(VI)) have been observed to result in significant isotope fractionation (Ball and Bassett, 2000), the terrestrial chromium isotope system has enjoyed considerable interest. Four stable isotopes of chromium exist: $^{50}\text{Cr}$ (4.345%), $^{52}\text{Cr}$ (83.789%), $^{53}\text{Cr}$ (9.501%), and $^{54}\text{Cr}$ (2.365%) (Holden, 2011). Typically, the Cr isotope signature of a sample ($\delta^{53}\text{Cr}$) is reported as the relative deviation of the sample’s $^{53}\text{Cr}/^{52}\text{Cr}$ ratio to that of standard reference material (=SRM) 979 in per mil (equation 1).

$$
\delta^{53}\text{Cr} \, (\text{‰}) = \left( \frac{\frac{^{53}\text{Cr}}{^{52}\text{Cr}}_{\text{Sample}}}{\frac{^{53}\text{Cr}}{^{52}\text{Cr}}_{\text{SRM}}} - 1 \right) \times 1000
$$

First, the Cr isotope system was used to track the reduction of toxic and soluble Cr(VI) to benign and immobile Cr(III) in groundwater (Ellis et al., 2004, 2002). These studies observed the preferential reduction of isotopically light chromate/ CrO$_4^{2-}$, which resulted in the remaining Cr(VI) pool becoming isotopically heavier as the reduction proceeded. Later, shifts in Cr isotope signatures of marine precipitates were interpreted to reflect the oxidation state of the atmosphere through Earth’s history (Frei et al., 2009). This study inspired the wide-spread use of the Cr isotope system as a paleo-redox tracer (e.g. Cole et al., 2016; Crowe et al., 2013; D’Arcy et al., 2017; Planavsky et al., 2014). The basis for this tracer’s usage is that a threshold level of atmospheric oxygen is required to form manganese oxides which are a prerequisite for the oxidation of immobile Cr(III) hosted by rock minerals (Bartlett and James, 1979; Fendorf, 1995; Oze et al., 2007). The released hexavalent Cr is isotopically heavy and is carried to the ocean via rivers. In the absence of oxygen, the ocean receives unfraccionated Cr(III) from detrital minerals and
bacterially promoted acid leaching (Konhauser et al., 2011). In order to better understand how the Cr isotopic signal is transferred from Cr(III) containing rock minerals into marine precipitates, numerous studies have sought to characterize aspects of the terrestrial Cr cycle such as weathering profiles (e.g. Berger and Frei, 2014; Crowe et al., 2013; Frei et al., 2014; Frei and Polat, 2013; Wang et al., 2016), and rivers (e.g. D’Arcy et al., 2016; Farkaš et al., 2013; Frei et al., 2014; Paulukat et al., 2015; Wu et al., 2017). In contrast, only two studies have presented precise Cr isotope and concentration data for seawater samples (i.e. Bonnand et al. (2013), and Scheiderich et al. (2015)).

Since Cr is only present in trace amounts in seawater (1.23 nmol/kg – 6.50 nmol/kg; Bonnand et al., 2013; Scheiderich et al., 2015), seawater Cr isotope methods require high yields, a sensitive detection method and/or big sample volumes. In preparation for sample detection on a mass spectrometer, separation of Cr from the seawater’s salt matrix as well as from isobaric (i.e. Ti, V, Fe) and polyatomic (e.g. S) interferences is imperative. Table 2.1 gives an overview of the interferences afflicting masses 49-56. This separation is often achieved by taking advantage of the opposing charges Cr displays in its trivalent and hexavalent states (e.g. Ball and Bassett, 2000). Cr loss due to incomplete reduction and oxidation of Cr during sample processing can lead to significant isotope fractionations. Therefore, Cr studies commonly rely on double spiking techniques (as opposed to sample-standard bracketing) that enable accurate and precise correction of isotope fractionations occurring during both sample processing and instrumental analysis (Dodson, 1963).

Here, we present a detailed double spike procedure to determine accurate and precise isotope ratios of Cr in seawater samples which is distinct from those employed by Bonnand et al. (2013) and Scheiderich et al. (2015). We assess the performance by repeatedly processing pure Cr SRM 979 solution through the procedure. Furthermore, we demonstrate that the method yields oceanographically consistent total Cr isotope data for a water column profile at the SAFe station (30°N 140°W) in the Pacific Ocean.
2.2. Procedure

2.2.1. Chemicals and materials

The handling of chemicals and samples took place under clean conditions (i.e. class 100 clean laminar flow benches, positive-pressure clean labs with recirculating fume hoods) while wearing polyethylene gloves.

2.2.1.1. Reagents

Acids (6 M hydrochloric and 16 M nitric) were secured at reagent grade and distilled four times in a Vycor still. Blank checks for each batch of acid were conducted before use and showed adequately low levels of Cr and its isobaric interferences.

High purity water was obtained by passing deionized water through two rough ion exchange resin cartridges and one ultrapure ion exchange cartridge followed by re-distillation in a ‘Barnstead Mega-Pure’ borosilicate glass still (Thermo Scientific).

Reagent grade aqueous ammonia (NH₃) was purified via isothermal distillation (i.e. a transfer of ammonia from a concentrated 16 M solution into distilled water). Blank checks for each batch of ammonia solution were carried out before use. The titration of a randomly selected batch of distilled NH₃ yielded a strength of ~8 M.

Suprapur® 30% (v/v) hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) was acquired from Merck KGaA. Since H₂O₂ disintegrates easily, it was stored in a dark cold room when not in use. Light exposure was minimized during use.

Ammonium persulfate (APS) salt was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (BioXtra, ≥98.0%). Solutions of APS are unstable; hence they were newly prepared within hours before use.

The anion exchange resin AG 1-X8, 200 - 400 mesh size, was obtained from Eichrom in the chloride form. The resin was transferred into a teflon bottle such that ~1/3 of the bottle was filled
with beads. After water was added, the bottle was shaken vigorously, suspending the beads in the solution. Degraded beads are lighter and settle more slowly than intact beads, making it possible to decant the degraded beads during this step. The residual beads undergo rinses in the following sequence: methanol (~1 hour), 5 distilled water rinses, 1 M NaOH (~1 hour), 10 distilled water rinses, 6 M HCl (~7 days), 5 distilled water rinses, 6 M HCl (~1 day), 5 distilled water rinses. Omitting this batch cleaning step significantly increases the Cr resin blank.

### 2.2.1.2. Standards

All primary standard solutions and all prepared dilutions were stored in plastic bags inside of glass jars, filled with a small amount of water. This avoids the loss of water from the standards and prevents a concentration increase of the standards over time.

The Cr isotopic reference material, SRM 979, was acquired from the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) in the form of a hydrated chromium (III) nitrate, Cr(NO$_3$)$_3$ • 9H$_2$O. This chromium salt was dissolved in 2% (v/v) HNO$_3$, a medium in which it is readily soluble, and which will preserve Cr in its trivalent state. The absolute isotopic abundance ratios for SRM 979 were established by Shields et al. (1966). The $^{50}$Cr-$^{54}$Cr double spike was derived from Cr-50 and Cr-54 enriched metal powders which were purchased from ISOFLEX USA with a certified isotopic composition of: 1) Cr-50 enriched metal powder: 99.70% Cr-50, 0.25% Cr-52, 0.03% Cr-53, 0.02% Cr-54, and 2) Cr-54 enriched metal powder: <0.01% Cr-50, <0.05% Cr-52, 0.02% Cr-53, 99.8% Cr-54. Dissolving Cr metal in an oxidizing acid such as nitric acid, can lead to the formation of a thin surface oxide layer (Haber and Goldschmidt, 1906), effectively passivating the metal and inhibiting its dissolution (Hittorf, 1900; Keir, 1790). Cr shares this passivating property with metals such as aluminum, nickel and iron. Consequently, each Cr metal powder was first dissolved in 1 M HCl, even though a final double spike matrix of dilute HNO$_3$ was desired. The dissolution took place in a pre-cleaned teflon beaker with a moderate supply of heat facilitating this step. The resulting solution was dried down and brought up in 2% (v/v) HNO$_3$. In this fashion, separate primary solutions for each Cr-50 and Cr-54 were generated which contained Cr only in the trivalent form. The optimal double spike
composition to minimize error propagation during $^{50}$Cr-$^{54}$Cr double spike calculations was determined to be: 57.65% Cr-50, 0.14% Cr-52, 0.10% Cr-53, and 42.10% Cr-54 (Rudge et al., 2009). The primary solutions were mixed to produce this desired double spike composition.

Two Cr concentration standards were purchased for concentration calibration of the SRM and the double spike solutions. Both standards have a certified concentration of 1000 µg/mL of Cr(III) in 2% (v/v) HNO$_3$ with one originating from Inorganic Ventures and one being supplied by Sigma-Aldrich.

2.2.1.3. Leaching protocol

Various bottles, vials and chromatographic columns made of high density polyethylene as well as teflon containers were used in this work. Acid leaching protocols were followed to ensure that these vessels were devoid of trace metals. Caps and threads of big bottles (volume $\geq$ 250mL) were first leached overnight in a cold, reagent grade 2M HCl bath. After 3 water rinses the bottles were filled with 1 M HCl (reagent grade), triple bagged and exposed to 60°C in an oven. They stayed in the oven for two nights, being inverted after the first night. After 5 water rinses the bottles were filled with clean 0.06 M HCl and again exposed to 60°C in an oven for two days. After decanting the dilute acid, the bottles were considered trace metal clean. For smaller bottles and teflon containers (volume < 250 mL) the 2 M HCl bath was skipped, and bottles were submerged in a 4 L bottle filled with 1 M HCl (reagent grade). The leaching protocol from this point onwards is identical to the one detailed above. Small vials (1.5 mL) were similarly submerged in 1 M HCl and then cleaned individually using squirt bottles of clean water and clean 0.06 M HCl.

2.2.2. Pre-concentration and purification of Cr in seawater

Seawater samples initially contain both trivalent and hexavalent Cr. Upon acidification with 2 mL 6 M HCl per liter of seawater the pH of the samples is lowered to ~2 and conversion of Cr(VI) to Cr(III) begins. Semeniuk et al. (2016) concluded that it takes 3 weeks for complete conversion to occur at a pH of ~2. Erring on the side of caution, all samples within this thesis were acidified for significantly longer time periods ($\geq$ 11 months) before being processed.
Clean 1 L separatory funnels are filled with seawater and the weight of this added seawater is recorded. At this point, the trivalent $^{50}$Cr-$^{54}$Cr double spike is added to the funnels, which are given a manual shake and subsequently transferred onto a shaker table for at least a day. In total three days appear sufficient for effecting complete isotope equilibrium between double spike Cr and natural Cr.

Cr is pre-concentrated from seawater samples using Mg(OH)$_2$ (s) coprecipitation. This technique was applied for lead, copper, and cadmium in seawater samples by Wu & Boyle (1997). Positively charged Cr(III) ions associate with Mg(OH)$_2$, whereas Cr(VI) oxyanions do not (Semeniuk et al., 2016). Aqueous NH$_3$ is added to the seawater sample until Mg(OH)$_2$ forms which is allowed to settle overnight. By opening the stopcock, the precipitate is collected into a 50 mL tube. The supernatant is siphoned off carefully, and the remaining precipitate is neutralized with HCl. It is advisable to keep the precipitate as small as possible in order to minimize the amount of HCl needed to dissolve it. The size of the Mg(OH)$_2$ pellet is a function of time and the amount of aqueous ammonia added. In this procedure, the formation cannot be significantly shortened as the precipitate settles by gravity to avoid the need for large-volume centrifugation. Therefore, we pay close attention to minimizing the amount of ammonia solution added. For testing purposes, a small sample aliquot receives incremental NH$_3$ (aq) additions, each of which is given ≥30 min to react with the sample, until a visible precipitate is detected after centrifugation. The total volume of ammonia solution used in this test is scaled up to the volume of seawater in the funnel. Typically, seawater samples of pH 2.10 – pH 1.97 require an addition of 5.1 ml – 6.2 ml aqueous ~8 M NH$_3$ per liter of sample. If a given sample contains more than ~10 µmol of silicic acid, a fraction of the ammonia solution appears to be consumed by an unidentified byproduct (possibly SiO(OH)$_3$) and additional NH$_3$ is required to induce the Mg(OH)$_2$ coprecipitation. While minimizing the precipitate size is important, no Mg(OH)$_2$ will form if too little aqueous NH$_3$ is added and Cr(III) that sits in basic media will be lost to the funnel walls and may be converted back to Cr(VI).

Once the precipitate has been neutralized, 30 µL of 6 M HCl is added to render the solution acidic. Furthermore, ultrapure H$_2$O is added until a total volume of 9 mL is reached, which optimally results in a 0.02 M HCl medium. At this point 130 µL of solution is being carefully removed for
pH testing and if necessary the pH is adjusted with HCl or by dilution with H$_2$O. Each sample receives 1 mL of 0.2 M APS, capped tightly, shaken, and immediately transferred into a heating block that is set to 110°C, where it remains for 1 hour. After cooling, the sample is loaded onto the first ion exchange column. Although Cr oxidation using APS has been employed with slight variations by a number of Cr studies (e.g. Ball and Bassett, 2000; Frei et al., 2009; Götz and Heumann, 1988; Schoenberg et al., 2008), these references do not point out the strong potential for pitfalls during this crucial step. Please refer to section 2.3.1. for information on how small changes in acidic medium and temperature can significantly influence the performance of the oxidation and the amount of sulfate that remains in the sample after this step.

Poly-Prep chromatography columns from Bio-Rad are loaded with 2 mL of anion exchange resin AG 1-X8 200 - 400 mesh size from Eichrom. The greater a resin’s preference for an ion, the greater the corresponding selectivity coefficient will be. This resin has a relative selectivity of 22 for Cl$^-$, 65 for NO$_3^-$ and 85 for HSO$_4^-$ (Bio-Rad, 1998a). The Cr(VI) oxyanion has a similar relative selectivity for AG 1-X8 as HSO$_4^-$ (Ball and Bassett, 2000). Bearing this information in mind, the resin is cleaned with 5 mL of 5 M HNO$_3$. Three 3 mL dH$_2$O rinses, which seek to flush out all traces of nitric acid, follow. To convert the resin from the NO$_3^-$ form to the Cl$^-$ form, 12 mL of 1 M HCl are added to the column. To adjust the column to the sample’s acidic medium, 5 mL of 0.018 N HCl are introduced. At this point, the sample is loaded onto the column. Cr(VI) oxyanions and as a byproduct, persulfate, sulfate and bisulfate anions will easily exchange with the chloride ions and bind to the resin. Magnesium and other cations have no affinity to the anion exchange resin and are flushed out with a 3 mL H$_2$O rinse, a 5 mL 0.2 M HCl rinse, and another two 3 mL H$_2$O rinses. Cr is eluted from the column with 10 mL of 2 M HNO$_3$ + 2% (v/v) H$_2$O$_2$. Hydrogen peroxide reduces anionic Cr(VI) to cationic Cr(III) for which the resin has no affinity. While part of the elution occurs via this reduction, substitution of Cr(VI) oxyanions with NO$_3^-$ also takes place. This substitution by NO$_3^-$ is furthermore responsible for the release of sulfate and bisulfate ions from the binding sites of the resin. Both acid strengths and volumes were generally lowered compared to other studies which used the same column chemistry (e.g. Ball and Bassett, 2000; Frei et al., 2009; Schoenberg et al., 2008).
After drying down the final eluate, a droplet of sulfuric acid remains. The sulfuric acid digests any resin residue, eliminating the need for an aqua regia digestion. Nevertheless, the presence of sulfur is problematic during MC-ICP-MS analysis since it causes polyatomic interferences (seen as $^{32}\text{S}^{16}\text{O}^{1}\text{H}^{+}$ on mass 49), and thereby prevents the measurement of accurate Cr isotope signatures. To remove sulfur from the sample matrix several Cr isotope studies rely on the cation exchange resin AG 50W-X8 (e.g. Ball and Bassett, 2000; Halicz et al., 2008). Positively charged Cr(III) ions bind to this resin, whereas the negatively charged sulfur species pass through. While the quantity of sulfur is greatly diminished in this manner, AG 50W-X8 is composed of sulfonic acid functional groups (Bio-Rad, 1998b) which unavoidably contribute a small amount of sulfur to the final Cr eluate. Taking this into account, we instead repeat column run 1 with the crucial adjustment of Cr now being present as Cr(III) and not as Cr(VI). To ensure the complete conversion to Cr(III), the sample is brought up in 110 µL of 1 M HCl, and 50 µL of 30% (v/v) H$_2$O$_2$ is added. Under these strongly acidic conditions chromate is rapidly reduced by hydrogen peroxide in a first-order reaction (Pettine et al., 2002), whereas a reduction reaction would take longer and be of a higher order in a less acidic medium (Vander Griend et al., 2002). After a short waiting period (~1 hour), water is added to get to a total volume of 11 mL, which results in a 0.018 M HCl medium. Once 2 mL of AG 1-X8 resin have been cleaned and preconditioned as previously described, the sample is loaded onto the column. Sulfur is present as negatively charged species which exchange easily with the chloride ions, whereas positively charged Cr ions pass through the column and are collected in a Savillex vial. Three 1 mL portions of 0.01 M HCl are added to push the sample Cr$^{3+}$ fully through the column. The samples are dried down and resin residue is digested with aqua regia (150 µL 6 M HCl, 25 µL 16 M HNO$_3$).

A small fraction of the seawater sample’s Fe content still remains after the second ion exchange column. To separate Cr from the residual Fe, the sample is brought up in 500 µL of 6 M HCl. Under these conditions FeCl$_4^{-}$ complexes are formed which have a high affinity for the AG 1-X8 resin (Scheiderich et al., 2015). Having ensured that the Cr is in its trivalent form by adding 10 µL of 30% (v/v) H$_2$O$_2$, Cr will pass through the column and is collected. Since the amount of Fe that we seek to bind to the resin is relatively small, and the sample matrix is very pure after two prior column runs, a much smaller amount (~ 0.25 mL) of AG 1-X8 resin is loaded into shrink-teflon micro columns. The same process as previously detailed is used to clean and precondition the resin.
Quantities of 5 M HNO₃ are scaled down accordingly. Instead of using 1 M HCl to convert the resin to the chloride form, 6 M HCl is used. After the sample is loaded, another three 150 µL additions of 6 M HCl ensure that the sample has been fully rinsed from the column.

The sample is dried down, the resin residue is digested with aqua regia and ~360 µL of 2% (v/v) HNO₃ is added (based on an average nebulizer flowrate of ~50 µL/min). At this point, Cr is dissolved in a very pure dilute nitric acid matrix, well-suited for MC-ICP-MS analysis.

2.2.3. MC-ICP-MS analysis

Isotope ratio analysis took place on an IsoProbe multi-collector inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer (MC-ICP-MS) originally manufactured by Micromass (later dubbed G/V and partially succeeded by Isotopx). The final analyte solution was converted into an aerosol spray using a ~50 µL/min MicroMist® (Glass Expansion) nebulizer and subsequently passed through a heated Spiro desolvating membrane (Elemental Scientific). In our application, ion extraction from the plasma into the mass analyzer relies on a small positive potential (soft extraction) rather than a strong negative potential (hard extraction). In the soft extraction mode, a more focused ion beam exits the skimmer cone (Archer and Vance, 2004). The IsoProbe is equipped with a hexapole collision cell. Here the ion beam collides with argon gas resulting in a reduction of the kinetic energy and the energy spread of the analyte ions. A smaller range of energies translates into a more accurate mass resolution by the magnetic field. Additionally, hydrogen gas is added in the hexapole and readily releases an electron. This electron combines with positively charged interfering ions which are eliminated from the ion beam. In this manner, polyatomic interferences such as \(^{40}\text{Ar}^{12}\text{C}^+\) on \(^{52}\text{Cr}\), \(^{40}\text{Ar}^{14}\text{N}^+\) on \(^{54}\text{Cr}\) and \(^{54}\text{Fe}\), and \(^{40}\text{Ar}^{16}\text{O}^+\) on \(^{56}\text{Fe}\) are eliminated. After leaving the hexapole region the ion beam enters the lens stack. Here the ion beam gets optimally aligned and accelerated to ~6000 V before entering the magnetic sector. Analyte ions are detected by an array of Faraday cups with the current measured by the voltage drop across a calibrated resistor.

Data on mass 49 (\(^{49}\text{Ti}\)), mass 50 (\(^{50}\text{Ti}, ^{50}\text{V}, ^{50}\text{Cr}\)), mass 51 (\(^{51}\text{V}\)), mass 52 (\(^{52}\text{Cr}\)), mass 53 (\(^{53}\text{Cr}\)), mass 54 (\(^{54}\text{Cr}, ^{54}\text{Fe}\)) and mass 56 (\(^{56}\text{Fe}\)) needs to be collected in order to monitor the four Cr masses.
and their isobaric interferences. Given that the isobaric interferences are small compared to the Cr signal, typical abundance ratios (e.g. %Ti-50/%Ti-49) can be used to calculate the signal of the isobaric inference. Even though the IsoProbe is equipped with two low mass/axial and seven high mass movable Faraday cups, the mass range of our IsoProbe is not wide enough for simultaneous collection of the required masses, so peak jumping is employed. In total 10 cycles, each consisting of 3 sequences, are recorded. Sequence 1 records masses 49 (L2), 50 (L1), 51 (H1), 52 (H2), 53 (H3) and 54 (H5) simultaneously at an axial magnet mass of 50.5 for a duration of 20 s per cycle. Sequence 2 is a shorter version of sequence 1 (with a duration of only 2 s per cycle). Sequence 3 is recorded at an axial magnet setting of ~54.42 amu and records mass 56 on detector H2 for a duration of 2 s of per cycle. On our instrument, setting the magnet from an axial magnet mass of 50.5 to ~54.42 produces a peak jump of 4 mass units for detector H2. In practice, this means Faraday cup H2 which collects $^{52}\text{Cr}$ data during sequence 1 and 2, collects $^{56}\text{Fe}$ data during sequence 3. See table 2.2 for an overview of the cup configuration. Please refer to section 2.2.4.1. for a detailed explanation of the incorporation of the $^{56}\text{Fe}$ signal and of the isobaric interference corrections.

The same operating conditions that maximize Cr sensitivity also may maximize the signal coming from polyatomic interferences. At this point, only sulfur polyatomic interferences remain a concern (e.g. $^{32}\text{S}^{16}\text{O}^{+}$ on mass 49, $^{34}\text{S}^{16}\text{O}^{+}$ on mass 50, $^{34}\text{S}^{16}\text{O}^{+}$ on mass 51). To fine-tune optimal operating conditions, a Cr-S mixture in 2% (v/v) HNO$_3$ is run while monitoring the ratio of mass 49, the mass most affected by sulfur polyatomic interferences, to mass 52 (=only $^{52}\text{Cr}$). Settings that increase the ratio of ‘signal mass 49 (mV)/ signal mass 52 (V)’ are unfavorable, since they increase the sulfur signal disproportionately. Figure 2.1 illustrates that tuning can achieve a major decrease in the mass 49 polyatomic S signal while suffering only a small loss in the Cr signal by: (a) decreasing the nebulizer gas flow (with our system, a higher Cr sensitivity is seen at 0.83 L/min), (b) decreasing the intermediate gas flow slightly, and (c) moving the torch box away from the cones (maximum Cr sensitivity is seen at 2-3 mm). Decreasing the nebulizer flow, increases the sample flow rate and requires dilution of the sample signal by bringing it up in a larger acid volume, so it effectively leads to a larger decrease in Cr signal than is evident in figure 2.1. In practice, we have chosen a compromise of a nebulizer flow of ~ 0.73. Although a nebulizer flow of ~ 0.73 is higher than the setting for minimal polyatomic S signal, it reduces the S mass 49
polyatomic signal relative to the Cr signal. Optimal run parameters varied slightly from day to day and were thus determined at the beginning of every IsoProbe run. The effect of torch power on the sensitivity of S polyatomic interferences was explored but no strong effect was observed.

In low resolution mode under typical operating conditions, which are listed in table 2.3, 0.72 V-1.62 V for a 2000 nmol/kg solution of Cr SRM were recorded on mass 52. Generally, the addition of a small nitrogen gas flow to the desolvator exit increased Cr sensitivity.

At the beginning of each IsoProbe run, baseline measurements are performed while the analyzer slide valve is shut. This low-level background is automatically subtracted from all subsequent data. Additionally, “on peak zero” (OPZ) acid blanks (2% (v/v) HNO₃) are run as frequently as necessary and interpolated values subtracted from sample measurements.

A triplet of solutions are run for double spike (DS) calibration purposes: SRM, SRM-DS and, DS followed by longer washout periods. The ‘SRM+DS’ solution has the same concentration of SRM and DS as its pure counterparts (e.g. pure SRM, pure DS). The same ratio of SRM/DS used for this calibration is targeted when adding double spike to samples. Please refer to the section 2.2.4.1. for details of the double spike calibration calculations.

As part of the double spike calibration the initial $^{54}\text{Cr}/^{50}\text{Cr}$ value of the SRM-DS solution is set so that $\delta^{53}\text{Cr}$ w.r.t. SRM979 = 0.00‰. When further SRM-DS solutions are measured repeatedly over the course of an IsoProbe run, it is evident that the calculated $\delta^{53}\text{Cr}$ of the Cr SRM drifts slightly with respect to the initial calibration (figure 2.2b). The cause of this drift is not known. While a drift in instrumental mass bias should be corrected for by the DS calculations, a buildup of polyatomic interferences over the course of a run offers another potential explanation. Regardless of the cause of the drift, its small and gradual nature allows a correction to the sample’s $\delta$ value with respect to the average $\delta$ value of the sample’s bracketing SRM-DS solutions. On average the $\delta^{53}\text{Cr}$ values of bracketing solutions for a given sample are observed to differ by about 0.04‰. The largest observed shift in $\delta^{53}\text{Cr}$ values of bracketing solutions for a given sample is 0.11‰. This shift contributes an uncertainty of ±0.055‰ to the corresponding sample’s $\delta^{53}\text{Cr}$ value, which is smaller than the uncertainty of ±0.07‰ (2 standard deviations) of repeated measurements of SRM-DS solutions processed through the seawater isotope method (see section 2.3.2.).
For Fe isotope analysis on the IsoProbe, it was observed that the calculated $\delta$ value of standards varied with their concentration (Bergquist, 2004). Erring on the side of caution for our Cr isotope runs on the IsoProbe, the bracketing SRM-DS solutions are matched in concentration to the samples. Even though some IsoProbe runs suggest a correlation, not all seven IsoProbe runs show such a correlation between the concentration and the delta value of the SRM-DS solutions consistently (figure 2.2a). Instead all seven IsoProbe runs show the aforementioned gradual drift of $\delta^{53}$Cr values of SRM-DS solutions with time. Since matching the samples and standards in concentration does not appear to be necessary, a future gain in precision should be obtained by running the SRM+DS solutions at a concentration comparable to the highest concentration samples.

To avoid analytical artifacts the Sample/DS ratio is matched to the SRM/DS ratio of bracketing solutions as closely as possible (i.e. for sample batch 1 a DS : SRM and a DS : sample ratio of 0.76 : 1 was targeted, for sample batch 4 to 5 a DS : SRM and a DS : sample ratio of 1 : 1 was targeted). When SRM-DS solutions of varying ratios (35%DS - 50%DS in SRM-DS solutions) were analyzed, a linear correlation between the %DS in SRM-DS solution and the delta value was observed (figure 2.3). This observation may be coincidental since it could simply reflect the gradual drift of the $\delta^{53}$Cr values of SRM-DS solutions with time. Further experiments featuring a greater number and a broader range of %DS in SRM-DS solutions being measured in a more random fashion (instead of being lined up by %DS in SRM-DS) should be conducted.

2.2.4. Data reduction

2.2.4.1. Double spike isotope ratio calculations

Subsequent calculations use constants provided by the Cr SRM certificate of analysis (Shields et al., 1966) and the ‘Table of the isotopes’ by Holden (2011).

The raw data of a given sample, which consists of 10 cycles with 3 sequences each, is imported into an Excel spreadsheet. Plots are generated automatically for every sample. Examining the
$^{53}\text{Cr}/^{52}\text{Cr}$ ratios, $^{54}\text{Cr}/^{50}\text{Cr}$ ratios, and signal intensities of $^{49}\text{Ti}$, $^{51}\text{V}$, $^{52}\text{Cr}$, $^{56}\text{Fe}$ makes it easy to identify and exclude outliers in the data. An average signal for each mass is calculated from the manually revised 10 cycles.

The OPZ acid background is interpolated linearly between discrete acid blank measurements. Every sample is thus matched with an approximated acid background that is subtracted from the sample signal before any further modifications are made.

As mentioned before, a triplet of samples is run in order to calibrate the double spike: SRM, SRM-DS, and DS. The initial instrumental mass fractionation factor, $\beta_1$, is calculated from this Cr SRM run using the exponential law (equations 2 and 3, Russell et al., 1978). In the case of the Cr SRM run, the term $(^{53}\text{Cr}/^{52}\text{Cr})_{\text{true}}$ refers to the certified $^{53}\text{Cr}/^{52}\text{Cr}$ ratio of the SRM 979 (Shields et al., 1966).

\[
\left(\frac{^{53}\text{Cr}}{^{52}\text{Cr}}\right)_{\text{true}} = \left(\frac{^{53}\text{Cr}}{^{52}\text{Cr}}\right)_{\text{measured}} \times \left(\frac{\text{mass}^{53}_{\text{Cr}}}{\text{mass}^{52}_{\text{Cr}}}\right)^{\beta_1} \tag{2}
\]

\[
\beta_1 = \frac{\log\left(\frac{\left(\frac{^{53}\text{Cr}}{^{52}\text{Cr}}\right)_{\text{true}}}{\left(\frac{^{53}\text{Cr}}{^{52}\text{Cr}}\right)_{\text{measured}}}\right)}{\log\left(\frac{\text{mass}^{53}_{\text{Cr}}}{\text{mass}^{52}_{\text{Cr}}}\right)} \tag{3}
\]

Assuming $^{52}\text{Cr}_{\text{true}} = ^{52}\text{Cr}_{\text{measured}}$, equation 2 is applied to all masses (and all sequences) of the DS. While $\text{mass}^{52}_{\text{Cr}}$ stays constant in the denominator, the numerator is exchanged for the mass of the main isotope of the given mass (e.g. numerator for mass 50 is $\text{mass}^{50}_{\text{Cr}}$ instead of $\text{mass}^{50}_{\text{V}}$ or $\text{mass}^{50}_{\text{Ti}}$, numerator for mass 54 is $\text{mass}^{54}_{\text{Cr}}$ instead of $\text{mass}^{54}_{\text{Fe}}$). Equation 4 shows the signal on mass 50 being corrected for instrumental mass fractionation:
\[
(50Cr, V, Ti_{S1})_{true} = (50Cr, V, Ti_{S1})_{measured} \times \left( \frac{\text{mass}_{50Cr}}{\text{mass}_{52Cr}} \right)^{b_1}
\]

Subsequently, known abundance ratios (e.g. \(\%^{50}Ti/\%^{49}Ti\), \(\%^{50}V/\%^{51}V\)) are used to correct for isobaric interferences stemming from V, Ti, and Fe (equation 5).

\[
(50Cr_{S1})_{true} = (50Cr, V, Ti_{S1})_{true} - \frac{\%^{50}Ti}{\%^{49}Ti} \times (49Ti_{S1})_{true} - \frac{\%^{50}V}{\%^{51}V} \times (51V_{S1})_{true}
\]

As mentioned before, the mass range of the MIT IsoProbe is not big enough to measure \(^{56}Fe\) within the same sequence (i.e. at the same acceleration potential) as masses 49 - 54. Instead, sequence 1 records masses 49 - 54 for 20 s, sequence 2 again records masses 49 - 54 but only for 2 s, and sequence 3 shifts the acceleration potential to record mass 56 for 2 s. Throughout this chapter, sequences 1, 2 and 3 are indicated within equations using subscripts S1, S2 and S3, respectively. While there can be minor changes in sensitivity during the analysis of a sample, sequence 2 and 3 are both short and are assumed to experience no change in sensitivity. Additionally, plotting and comparing the relevant signal intensities (i.e. \(52Cr_{S1}, 52Cr_{S2}, 56Fe_{S3}\)) allows us to identify and exclude problematic data points. For example, if the signal intensity of \(52Cr_{S2}\) stayed constant over 10 cycles, whereas the \(56Fe_{S3}\) signal intensity stayed constant except for a 30% higher reading in cycle 2, it makes sense to exclude this data point.

In order to incorporate the \(56Fe_{S3}\) signal into the main sequence, S1, we adjust for changes in sensitivity between S1 and S2/S3 using the ratio \((52Cr_{S1})_{true}/(52Cr_{S2})_{true}\) (equation 6). All 3 measurements \((=52Cr_{S1}, 52Cr_{S2}, 56Fe_{S3})\) are made on the same Faraday cup, H2, thereby avoiding concerns related to differences in cup efficiencies.

\[
(56Fe_{S1})_{true} = \frac{(52Cr_{S1})_{true}}{(52Cr_{S2})_{true}} \times (56Fe_{S3})_{true}
\]

The newly derived \((56Fe_{S1})_{true}\) and the known abundance ratios of \(\%^{54}Fe/\%^{56}Fe\) are used to correct for isobaric interferences on \(^{54}Cr\) (equation 7).
At this point, we have derived the true $^{50}\text{Cr}$, $^{52}\text{Cr}$, $^{53}\text{Cr}$, and $^{54}\text{Cr}$ signals of the double spike solution. Double spike ratios (i.e. $(^{52}\text{Cr}/^{50}\text{Cr})_{DS}$, $(^{53}\text{Cr}/^{50}\text{Cr})_{DS}$, $(^{54}\text{Cr}/^{50}\text{Cr})_{DS}$) are calculated for later use.

Next, we turn to the SRM-DS run data which will undergo at least 4 iterations and 6 steps within each iteration.

**Iteration 1, Step 1:** The data is corrected for instrumental mass fractionation using $\beta_1$ and for contributions of Ti, V, and Fe isobaric interferences, yielding estimates for the true $^{50}\text{Cr}$, $^{52}\text{Cr}$, $^{53}\text{Cr}$, and $^{54}\text{Cr}$ signals of the SRM-DS solution.

**Iteration 1, Step 2:** Since the $^{50}\text{Cr}$-$^{54}\text{Cr}$ double spike is very pure ($^{52}\text{Cr}/^{50}\text{Cr}$ and $^{52}\text{Cr}^{54}\text{Cr} < 0.003$) we assume that all $^{52}\text{Cr}$ and all $^{53}\text{Cr}$ derives from the SRM. Using the certified $(^{50}\text{Cr}/^{52}\text{Cr})_{SRM}$ we can calculate the contribution of $^{50}\text{Cr}$ from the SRM. Subtracting this contribution from the $^{50}\text{Cr}_{SRM-DS}$ signal we derive the DS contribution to the $^{50}\text{Cr}_{SRM-DS}$ signal (equation 10). Similarly, we use the certified $(^{54}\text{Cr}/^{52}\text{Cr})_{SRM}$ ratio to arrive at the DS contribution to the $^{54}\text{Cr}_{SRM-DS}$ signal (equation 11).

\[
\begin{align*}
^{50}\text{Cr}_{SRM-DS} &= {^{50}\text{Cr}}_{DS\ in\ SRM-DS} + {^{50}\text{Cr}}_{SRM\ in\ SRM-DS} \\
{^{50}\text{Cr}}_{DS\ in\ SRM-DS} &= {^{50}\text{Cr}}_{SRM-DS} - {^{50}\text{Cr}}_{SRM\ in\ SRM-DS} \\
{^{50}\text{Cr}}_{DS\ in\ SRM-DS} &= {^{50}\text{Cr}}_{SRM-DS} - {^{52}\text{Cr}}_{SRM-DS} \times \left(\frac{{^{50}\text{Cr}}}{{^{52}\text{Cr}}}\right)_{SRM} \\
{^{54}\text{Cr}}_{DS\ in\ SRM-DS} &= {^{54}\text{Cr}}_{SRM-DS} - {^{52}\text{Cr}}_{SRM-DS} \times \left(\frac{{^{54}\text{Cr}}}{{^{52}\text{Cr}}}\right)_{SRM}
\end{align*}
\]
Iteration 1, Step 3: In step 2 we calculated the $^{50}\text{Cr}$ and $^{54}\text{Cr}$ signal of the DS within the SRM-DS mixture. Here (equation 12), we derive a correction to the mass fractionation factor, $\beta_2$, by comparing the new ($^{54}\text{Cr}/^{50}\text{Cr})_{DS\text{ in SRM-DS}}$ ratio to the ($^{54}\text{Cr}/^{50}\text{Cr})_{DS}$ ratio calculated above from the DS run.

$$
\beta_2 = \log \left( \frac{\left(\frac{^{54}\text{Cr}}{^{50}\text{Cr}}\right)_{DS\text{ in SRM-DS}}}{\left(\frac{^{54}\text{Cr}}{^{50}\text{Cr}}\right)_{DS}} \right)
$$

Iteration 1, Step 4: We apply the exponential mass fractionation law to the $^{53}\text{Cr}$ signal of the SRM-DS mixture, the $^{50}\text{Cr}_{DS\text{ within SRM-DS}}$ signal, and the $^{54}\text{Cr}_{DS\text{ within SRM-DS}}$ signal using $\beta_2$ as the exponent. All of these signals had previously been corrected for instrumental mass fractionation using $\beta_1$.

Iteration 1, Step 5: We calculate the SRM $^{52}\text{Cr}$ and SRM $^{53}\text{Cr}$ signal in the SRM-DS mixture by subtracting DS contributions (see equations 15 and 16, respectively). By assuming all $^{50}\text{Cr}$ and all $^{54}\text{Cr}$ in the SRM-DS mixture stems from the double spike and using ($^{52}\text{Cr}/^{50}\text{Cr})_{DS}$ and ($^{53}\text{Cr}/^{50}\text{Cr})_{DS}$, we arrive at the DS contribution to the $^{52}\text{Cr}$ and $^{53}\text{Cr}$ signals.

$$
^{52}\text{Cr}_{SRM-DS} = ^{52}\text{Cr}_{DS\text{ in SRM-DS}} + ^{52}\text{Cr}_{SRM\text{ in SRM-DS}}
$$

$$
^{52}\text{Cr}_{SRM\text{ in SRM-DS}} = ^{52}\text{Cr}_{SRM-DS} - ^{52}\text{Cr}_{DS\text{ in SRM-DS}}
$$

$$
^{52}\text{Cr}_{SRM\text{ in SRM-DS}} = ^{52}\text{Cr}_{SRM-DS} - ^{50}\text{Cr}_{SRM-DS} \times \left(\frac{^{52}\text{Cr}}{^{50}\text{Cr}}\right)_{DS}
$$

$$
^{53}\text{Cr}_{SRM\text{ in SRM-DS}} = ^{53}\text{Cr}_{SRM-DS} - ^{50}\text{Cr}_{SRM-DS} \times \left(\frac{^{53}\text{Cr}}{^{50}\text{Cr}}\right)_{DS}
$$
Iteration 1, Step 6: Now, we compare the ratio \((^{53}\text{Cr}/^{52}\text{Cr})_{\text{SRM within SRM-DS}}\) to the certified ratio \((^{53}\text{Cr}/^{52}\text{Cr})_{\text{SRM}}\) by dividing them. The result should be close to 1.

Iteration 2, Step 1: The original SRM-DS data (same data that served as starting point in iteration 1, step 1) is corrected for the revised estimate of the instrumental mass fractionation using ‘\(\beta_1+\beta_2\)’ as exponents. Subsequently, we correct for contributions of isobaric interferences, yielding the new estimated true \(^{50}\text{Cr}, ^{52}\text{Cr}, ^{53}\text{Cr}, \) and \(^{54}\text{Cr}\) signals of the SRM-DS solution.

The subsequent steps repeat themselves with each iteration adding a new \(\beta_n\). In the sixth step of the final iteration we again take the ratio of \((^{53}\text{Cr}/^{52}\text{Cr})_{\text{SRM within SRM-DS}}\) and the certified \((^{53}\text{Cr}/^{52}\text{Cr})_{\text{SRM}}\). Using Excel’s ‘Goal seek’ function we force the ratio \((^{53}\text{Cr}/^{52}\text{Cr})_{\text{SRM within SRM-DS}}/(^{53}\text{Cr}/^{52}\text{Cr})_{\text{SRM}}\) to be 1 (exact to at least 6 significant figures behind the decimal point) by changing the original \((^{54}\text{Cr}/^{50}\text{Cr})_{\text{DS}}\).

This new \((^{54}\text{Cr}/^{50}\text{Cr})_{\text{DS}}\) is used for all bracketing SRM-DS samples and seawater samples. These samples are taken through the same 4 plus iterations detailed here, except that the ‘Goal seek’ function is not employed. The final ratio \((^{53}\text{Cr}/^{52}\text{Cr})_{\text{Sample within Sample-DS}}\) is compared to the \((^{53}\text{Cr}/^{52}\text{Cr})_{\text{SRM}}\) to yield the \(\delta^{53}\text{Cr}\) value with respect to SRM 979 (equation 17).

\[
\delta^{53}\text{Cr} (\%o) = \left( \frac{\left( \frac{^{53}\text{Cr}}{^{52}\text{Cr}} \right)_{\text{Sample within Sample-DS}}}{\left( \frac{^{53}\text{Cr}}{^{52}\text{Cr}} \right)_{\text{SRM}}} - 1 \right) \times 1000 \tag{17}
\]

For a pure SRM+DS mixture, two iterations typically suffice to arrive at a \((^{53}\text{Cr}/^{52}\text{Cr})_{\text{SRM within SRM-DS}}/(^{53}\text{Cr}/^{52}\text{Cr})_{\text{SRM}}\) ratio that is stable to the 6th digit after the decimal point (i.e. difference between ratio after iteration 2 and after iteration 3 is on the order of 0.0001‰ or less). For processed samples, an additional iteration is required to attain a \((^{53}\text{Cr}/^{52}\text{Cr})_{\text{Sample within Sample-DS}}/(^{53}\text{Cr}/^{52}\text{Cr})_{\text{SRM}}\) ratio with the same stability. Erring on the side of caution we recommend (the above mentioned) 4 plus iterations regardless of the initial data input.
2.2.4.2. Double spike concentration calculations

Single isotope dilution, in which one isotope is artificially enriched within the sample-spike mixture, is a commonly employed method to determine sample concentrations. Our $^{50}\text{Cr}$-$^{54}\text{Cr}$ double spike addition can be viewed as two simultaneous single isotope dilutions as it artificially increases two isotopes within the sample-spike mixture. The same single isotope dilution equations are applied once with respect to $^{50}\text{Cr}$ as the isotope spike and once with respect to $^{54}\text{Cr}$ as the isotope spike. These two single isotope dilution calculations will each yield a sample concentration. The calculated concentrations are typically 0.03‰ - 0.08‰ apart and are averaged to produce the final sample concentration. The elements of the single isotope dilution equation (equation 18) with respect to $^{50}\text{Cr}$ as the isotope spike are: the concentration of the sample ($C_{\text{sample}}$), the fraction of $^{50}\text{Cr}$ in the double spike ($f_{\text{spike}}$), the fraction of $^{50}\text{Cr}$ in the double spike ($f_{\text{natural}}$), the concentration of the spike ($C_{\text{spike}}$), the spike and the sample volume ($V_{\text{spike}}$ and $V_{\text{sample}}$, respectively), the measured $^{52}\text{Cr}/^{50}\text{Cr}$ ratio of the sample-spike mixture corrected for instrumental mass fractionation ($R_{\text{true-sample}}$), the $^{52}\text{Cr}/^{50}\text{Cr}$ ratio of the double spike corrected for instrumental mass fractionation ($R_{\text{true-spike}}$), and the $^{52}\text{Cr}/^{50}\text{Cr}$ ratio of the double spike corrected for instrumental mass fractionation ($R_{\text{natural}}$).

$$C_{\text{sample}} = \frac{f_{\text{spike}}}{f_{\text{natural}}} \times C_{\text{spike}} \times \left( \frac{V_{\text{spike}}}{V_{\text{sample}}} \right) \times \left[ \frac{R_{\text{true-sample}} - R_{\text{true-spike}}}{R_{\text{natural}} - R_{\text{true-sample}}} \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

First, the double spike concentration was calibrated against certified Cr concentration standards of natural isotopic composition. The concentration of the SRM dilution and the seawater samples, considered to have a natural isotopic composition, were calibrated against the now known double spike concentration.

2.2.4.3. Quantifying the isobaric corrections

In order to quantify the isobaric corrections made on $^{50}\text{Cr}$ we have to compare the signal on mass 50 to the $^{50}\text{Cr}$ signal after the isobaric corrections with respect to Ti and V are performed (equation 19). All signals, which are taken from the final iteration, have previously been corrected for instrumental mass fractionation using exponent ‘$\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \ldots + \beta_{\text{final iteration}}$’. Equation 20 shows how
to quantify the isobaric correction on $^{54}$Cr by comparing the signal on mass 54 to the $^{54}$Cr signal once the $^{54}$Fe contribution is subtracted.

\[
Isobaric\ Correction\ on\ ^{50}\text{Cr}\ (\%) = \left( \frac{\left( ^{50}\text{Cr}_{S_1} \right)_{\text{true}}}{\left( ^{50}\text{Cr}, V_{Ti_{S_1}} \right)_{\text{true}}} \right) \times 1000 \quad (19)
\]

\[
Isobaric\ Correction\ on\ ^{54}\text{Cr}\ (\%) = \left( \frac{\left( ^{54}\text{Cr}_{S_1} \right)_{\text{true}}}{\left( ^{54}\text{Cr}, Fe_{S_1} \right)_{\text{true}}} \right) \times 1000 \quad (20)
\]

\[2.2.4.4.\text{ Cr recovery calculations}\]

To calculate the recovery of a sample we compare the $^{52}$Cr signal of the sample to the $^{52}$Cr signals of the bracketing SRM-DS mixtures. The bracketing SRM-DS mixtures come in different dilutions. The dilution ‘SRM-DS 100%’ is set up such that it would match the final analyte concentration of a SRM-DS mixture processed over the column procedure with 100% recovery. For example, if we choose to process 1.6 nmol of Cr SRM over the column procedure which we bring up in a final volume of 360 $\mu$L for IsoProbe analysis, at 100% recovery we would expect a Cr SRM concentration of 1.6 nmol/360 $\mu$L $\approx$ 4444 nmol/L in the final analyte. The bracketing SRM-DS dilutions are set up such that they contain 4444 nmol/L of Cr SRM at dilution 100% ($=C_{\text{SRM-DS 100%}}$). Equation 21 returns the recovery of an SRM that was processed like a sample. The equation needs to be adjusted for seawater samples because the final analyte of a seawater sample at 100% recovery does not necessary match the concentration of the bracketing SRM-DS 100% dilution. For example, a seawater sample was found to contain 0.8 nmol/kg of Cr ($=C_{\text{SW Sample}}$) and a weight of 1kg was used at the start of the method ($=W_{\text{SW Sample}}$). If the Cr in the seawater sample were recovered at 100% and brought up in 360 $\mu$L ($=V_{\text{SW final analyte}}$), the final analyte solution would have a concentration of 0.8 nmol/360 $\mu$L $\approx$ 2222 nmol/L natural Cr. Remember that the SRM-DS 100% dilution contained 4444 nmol/L of natural Cr. Equation 22 contains an additional scaling factor that accounts for the difference in the initial amount of Cr present in the seawater sample versus the SRM-DS mixture. Since every sample is bracketed by two SRM-DS mixtures, equations 21 and 22 are applied twice and the resulting recoveries are averaged.
Recovery of SRM Sample (%) = \frac{^{52}Cr_{SRM\,sample}}{^{52}Cr_{SRM-DS}} \times \%SRM - DS \quad (21)

\begin{align*}
SW \, Sample \, Recovery(\%) &= \frac{^{52}Cr_{SW \, Sample}}{^{52}Cr_{SRM-DS}} \times \frac{C_{SRM-DS} \times 100\% \times V_{SW \, final \, analyte}}{C_{SW \, sample} \times V_{SW \, sample}} \times \%SRM - DS 
\end{align*} 

2.3. Results and discussion

2.3.1. The intricacies of the Cr oxidation step using ammonium persulfate

The success of the described Cr oxidation step using APS hinges on carefully controlling the strength of the acidic medium. In strongly acidic solutions APS decomposes to H\(_2\)O\(_2\) (Elbs and Schönherr, 1895; Palme, 1920). In that case, the initial oxidation of Cr using APS is partially reversed since H\(_2\)O\(_2\) reduces Cr under acidic conditions. No hydrogen peroxide is generated when APS decomposes in dilute acidic solutions. In our study, an acidic medium of ≤0.02 M HCl was found to reliably support quantitative Cr oxidation using APS. A replication of the oxidation in a medium of 0.2 M HCl (as suggested by Crowe et al. (2013)) using 1 mL of 0.2 M APS resulted in only 30% of Cr being present as Cr(VI). This is likely due to Cr(VI) being reduced back to Cr(III) by generated H\(_2\)O\(_2\). We must therefore assume Crowe et al. (2013) meant to stipulate a medium of 0.02 M HCl instead of 0.2 M HCl. Since the generation of H\(_2\)O\(_2\) is an easy pitfall of Cr oxidation with APS, it is valuable for Cr studies to address this little-known aspect of APS chemistry.

Additionally, the setup of the APS oxidation step can determine which sulfur species are created and thereby how much sulfur sticks to the first ion exchange column. The presence of sulfur in the final analyte solution is undesirable because sulfur polyatomics interfere with the Cr isotope analysis; this will be explained in more detail later in this chapter. In dilute acidic media, the decomposition of APS can be described by the following reaction (equation 23):

\[ S_2O_8^{2-} + H_2O \rightarrow 2HSO_4^{2-} + \frac{1}{2}O_2 \] 

\[ (23) \]
The extent of persulfate decomposition is enhanced by higher temperatures and lower pH (Kolthoff and Miller, 1951). To evaluate which species ($S_2O_8^{2-}$ or $HSO_4^{2-}$) has a higher affinity for the first ion exchange resin, two solutions are passed over the column: (1) 1 mL 0.2 M of APS is added to 9 mL of 0.022 M HCl and no heat is introduced; (2) 1 mL 0.2 M of APS is added to 9 mL of 0.022 M HCl, the solution is boiled in a closed Savillex vial at 130°C for 1 hour, and loaded onto the column upon cooling. Persulfate in solution 2 will have undergone a much higher degree of decomposition and will contain a larger fraction of $HSO_4^{2-}$ than solution 1. After both solutions are processed over the first column, the final eluate is dried down. Solution 1 (less APS decomposition) results in a much smaller droplet of sulfuric acid. This suggests that the lower the temperature and the weaker the acidic medium during Cr oxidation with APS, the less sulfur will be present after column step 1. Even though APS is a very strong oxidizer (standard reduction potential $E_0=2.123$ V, equation 23, Vanysek (1998)), a certain amount of heat is needed for the Cr(III) oxidation reaction to occur. Other studies commonly conduct this oxidation step at 130°C in a 0.02 M HCl medium (e.g. Frei et al., 2014; Schoenberg et al., 2008). In our experiments, Cr(III) oxidation remained quantitative over a temperature range of 100°C to 130°C. It is possible that even lower temperatures lead to quantitative Cr(III) oxidation but 100°C was the lowest temperature at which the reaction was studied. Typically, a medium of 0.02 M HCl is chosen. Here, we settled on a slightly less acidic medium (0.018 M HCl equivalent to a pH of 1.74). As long as the solution is acidic enough to keep Cr(III) in solution, it could potentially be even less acidic than the medium we utilized. Another parameter affecting the degree of APS decomposition is boiling time, with shorter time periods of boiling leading to less degradation. This parameter was not examined in our research. Changing the setup of the oxidation step (before: 0.02 M HCl, 130°C, 1 hour; now: 0.018 M HCl, 110°C, 1 hour) resulted in a marked decrease of sulfur in the final analyte. Before this modification, up to 1/3 of samples still contained significant traces of sulfur when analyzed on the MC-ICP-MS; with the revised procedure, only 1 out of 65 processed seawater samples contained significant levels of sulfur (more details in section 2.3.2.). In both scenarios, the 2nd ion exchange column, which is designed to separate S and Cr, was performed. Due to the adjusted Cr oxidation step, this second column received less sulfur to begin with and was able to separate the remaining S more reliably from Cr than previously.
2.3.2. Accuracy and precision of SRM-DS solutions processed through the oxidation step and over the 3-column scheme

In order to validate the Cr isotope method, two pure SRM-DS solutions and two acid blanks were processed together with each batch of samples. The SRM-DS solutions were mixed in the same ratio as was targeted for the Sample-DS and bracketing SRM-DS solutions. Furthermore, to mirror the typical Cr load of the processed seawater samples, a Cr SRM load of 1.6 nmol was chosen. Both pure SRM and DS solutions were present in a 2% (v/v) HNO$_3$ matrix. After the solutions were mixed, they were dried down and brought up in 9 mL of 0.02 M HCl. In the case of the acid blanks, 9 mL of 0.02 M HCl served as the starting solution. From this point forward the SRM-DS and blank solutions were treated the same as the seawater samples. Ammonium persulfate was added, followed by Cr oxidation and three column runs.

For eight processed SRM-DS solutions an average recovery of 87% and $\delta^{53}$Cr w.r.t. SRM979 = $+0.02 \pm 0.07\%$ (±2 SD) was obtained (figure 2.4). The method blank was deemed negligible since it contributed an average of 1.2% (=0.017 nmol of Cr) to the $^{52}$Cr signals of the SRM-DS solutions. Average isobaric corrections were 2.17‰ on $^{50}$Cr and 0.04‰ on $^{54}$Cr.

Even though the column procedure and the operating conditions are designed such that sulfur interferences are minimal, sulfur traces were present in 2 out of a total of 10 processed SRM-DS solutions. Ball and Bassett (2000), who used thermal ionization mass spectrometry (TIMS) instead of MC-ICP-MS to characterize Cr isotope ratios, observed that the presence of sulfur shifted the true $^{53}$Cr/$^{52}$Cr isotope ratio in unpredictable and mathematically unresolvable ways. In our case, two samples show sulfur traces based on unusually high isobaric corrections on $^{50}$Cr if we assume that the signal on mass 49 stems from titanium. Fortunately, the accumulation of significant levels of titanium from reagents or accidental contamination has not been observed. Therefore, for pure starting solutions any calculated isobaric interference correction of Ti on mass 50 exceeding ~6‰ suggests the presence of sulfur; the corresponding delta value should be excluded from the data set and the sample rerun. The same criteria should be applied to seawater samples since it is a reasonable assumption that significant levels of titanium will not be present in the final matrix. Seawater contains only trace amounts of Ti (typically ≤265 pmol/L; Orians et al., 1990) and our column chemistry does not pre-concentrate Ti from seawater. In figure 2.4, the $\delta^{53}$Cr values of
processed SRM-DS samples presenting with low levels of sulfur are plotted in brackets ($\delta^{53}\text{Cr wrt } \text{SRM979} = +0.04\%, +0.12\%$ with a calculated isobaric interference correction of Ti on mass 50 = 10.6\% and 14.1\%, respectively).

For this thesis 65 seawater samples were processed (table 2.4; table 3.1; table 4.1). In contrast to the processed SRM+DS solutions, only 1 out of 65 final analyte solutions of processed seawater samples contained sulfur remnants. Why would sulfur remnants be disproportionally present in the processed SRM-DS solutions compared to the processed seawater samples? After the preconcentration of the seawater-DS mixture in a Mg(OH)$_2$ pellet, matrix ions other than Cr remain. The elevated presence of matrix ions could impact both the oxidation step and the first column run. Ammonium persulfate used in the Cr oxidation step may react to a different extent if more matrix ions are present and thus the nature and/or quantity of the sulfur species being generated in this step may differ for pure Cr SRM-DS and seawater-DS solutions. Furthermore, once the seawater samples are loaded onto the 1st column Cr and S oxyanions will have to compete with a higher quantity of matrix anions for resin binding spots, potentially leading to fewer sulfur anions being retained by the resin than would be the case for a pure Cr SRM-DS solution.

2.3.3. Application of the developed Cr isotope method to seawater samples

2.3.3.1. Seawater sampling

In the Eastern Tropical North Pacific (ETNP) Ocean, a 13-depth water column profile was retrieved at SAFe (30°N 140°W, figure 2.5) on May 17th 2009 (trace metal isotope cast, GT event 2066). Sampling took place on the R/V Knorr (195-8) during the US GEOTRACES IC2 inter-comparison cruise. Surface seawater was pumped into a large volume tank using the Bruland lab’s trace metal clean tow-fish (Bruland et al., 2005). Subsurface samples were again taken with the US GEOTRACES rosette. All samples were filtered within hours of collection using pre-cleaned 0.2 µm Osmonics capsules. The surface sample was acidified with concentrated HCl to a pH of ~1.7, whereas the subsurface samples were acidified to a pH of ~2. While acidification took place shortly after the sample retrieval (May 2009), the samples were processed for total Cr isotope signatures in April 2017.
A ~20L seawater sample, that serves as a long-term Cr isotope reference sample, was collected in the mid-South Pacific Subtropical Gyre (SPSG) near Easter Island (26.2484°S 103.9608°W) at 100m using a DOM pump. The sample was retrieved as part of the BiG RAPA expedition (R/V Melville, MV1015) on 12/12/2010, filtered (0.2µm AcroPak Supor capsule) and acidified to pH ~2 the same day. The sample was first processed through the isotope method in February 2017.

2.3.3.2. Method performance for seawater samples

In order to most accurately report how the developed method performs for seawater samples, the following statistics are based on all seawater samples that were analyzed within this PhD thesis (table 2.4; table 3.1; table 4.1).

On average, Cr in seawater samples was recovered at 65%. The Cr recovery of individual samples ranged from 33% to 91%. The method blank contributed an average of 1.9% to the $^{52}\text{Cr}$ signal of the sample and was therefore deemed negligible. For the sample with the lowest final Cr load, the method blank’s contribution rose to 3.5%. For the sample with the highest final Cr load, a blank contribution of 0.7% was recorded. Isobaric corrections on $^{54}\text{Cr}$ due to $^{54}\text{Fe}$ and on $^{50}\text{Cr}$ due to $^{50}\text{Ti}$ and $^{50}\text{V}$ were similarly marginal ($^{54}\text{Cr}$: average = 0.12‰, range = 0.01‰ - 1.50‰, $^{50}\text{Cr}$: average = 1.31‰, range = 0.32‰ - 5.35‰).

Up to this date, the aforementioned internal reference seawater sample has only been analyzed three times. As mentioned above, the final sample analyte of 1 out of 65 processed seawater samples contained sulfur remnants. This was noticed due to an abnormally high isobaric correction of Ti on mass 50 (10.8‰). Coincidentally, the sample in question is one of the three repeatedly measured internal reference seawater samples. Low-level sulfur remnants like these are not expected to affect the accurate determination of the sample’s Cr concentration (no sulfur present: [Cr]= 3.29 nmol/kg, 3.30 nmol/kg; sulfur present: [Cr]= 3.30 nmol/kg). Sulfur polyatomic interferences may however affect the determined Cr isotope ratio. In this specific case, the $\delta^{53}\text{Cr}$ values were not significantly different (no sulfur present: $\delta^{53}\text{Cr}$= 0.93‰, 0.99‰; sulfur present: $\delta^{53}\text{Cr}$= 1.01‰), nevertheless the $\delta^{53}\text{Cr}$ value of the affected sample will be excluded from later discussions.
2.3.3.3. Results and discussion of seawater data

The first full water column profile of Cr isotope ratios at a station in the Pacific Ocean (SAFe: 30°N 140°W, Johnson et al., 2007) is presented in figure 2.6. Water mass distributions at this site, which have been reviewed extensively by Talley (2008) and Talley et al. (2011), are shown alongside the Cr data. In the upper 200m, total Cr concentrations are stable at 3.16 nmol/kg - 3.19 nmol/kg. Over the same depth range δ^{53}Cr values increase gradually from 1.01‰ to 1.11‰. North Pacific Intermediate Water (NPIW) is located at 300m to 600m depth. Between 600m and 1300m, Antarctic Intermediate Water (AAIW) mixes with NPIW. Pacific Deep Water (PDW) and modified Upper Circumpolar Deep Water (UCDW) occupy the depth range between 1300m and 3800m. Within these 3 layers (600m – 3800m), [Cr] increases gradually with depth and reaches a maximum of 4.77 nmol/kg at 3000m. At the same time δ^{53}Cr values decrease continuously with depth down to 0.70‰ in the deepest sample. Finally, Lower Circumpolar Deep Water (LCDW) resides at depths below 3800m. Here, Cr concentrations decrease slightly to 4.51 nmol/kg and δ^{53}Cr values range between 0.68‰ and 0.74‰.

2.3.3.3.1. Cr as a potential nutrient-type element

At the SAFe station, the shape of the Cr concentration profile is very similar to that of the silicic acid (dSi) concentration profile (figure 2.6). This nutrient-like shape of the Cr concentration profile has been observed by other studies, some of which suggested that Cr distributions in seawater are partially biologically controlled (e.g. Cranston and Murray, 1978; Campbell and Yeats, 1981). Laboratory experiments detected the intracellular accumulation and the extracellular adsorption of (primarily) Cr(III) by a model diatom species (Semeniuk et al., 2016). Since Cr(VI) reduction typically leads to isotopically light Cr(III) (e.g. Zink et al., 2010), Scheiderich et al. (2015) and Semeniuk et al. (2016) theorize that isotopically light Cr(III) is removed via biological sequestration from the surface ocean and transported to depth, where the isotopically light Cr(III) is partially released back into the ocean. At SAFe, we measured lower [Cr] and higher δ^{53}Cr values in the surface ocean consistent with biological removal of isotopically light Cr(III), and we observed higher [Cr] and lower δ^{53}Cr values at depth consistent with remineralization of isotopically light Cr(III).
Even though the SAFe profile taken on its own agrees with the proposed biological cycling of Cr, a more general conundrum remains: Contrary to virtually all nutrients or trace metals with nutrient-type distributions, Cr concentrations in the deep water seemingly do not consistently increase along the water’s flow path from the Atlantic to the Pacific Ocean (Scheiderich et al., 2015; Sirinawin et al., 2000). The surface and deep waters of a station in South Atlantic appear to hold substantially higher Cr concentrations and lighter Cr isotope ratios ([Cr] = 5.80 nmol/kg nmol/kg, δ⁵³Cr = 0.52 ‰; Bonnard et al., 2013) compared to the deep waters of the Pacific SAFe station ([Cr] = 4.77 nmol/kg, δ⁵³Cr = 0.70 ‰). It is also worth noting that Bonnard et al. (2013)’s South Atlantic profile shows very little variation in Cr concentrations and Cr isotope ratios down the water column, whereas [dSi], [NO₃⁻] and [PO₄³⁻] show typical nutrient type profiles at this site. Therefore, this profile as a whole is difficult to reconcile with Cr being a nutrient-type element. Chromium may still be a nutrient-type element, but further research is needed to resolve these inconsistencies. For now, Scheiderich et al. (2015) suggest that the lower oxygen levels of the Pacific Ocean (relative to the Atlantic Ocean) promote a higher Cr scavenging flux which results in a higher Cr export flux to the sediments.

2.3.3.3.2. Origin of the heavy Cr isotope peak at 200m depth at SAFe

Interestingly, the heaviest Cr isotope signature at SAFe was not recorded at the surface (as would be expected in a biological Cr uptake scenario) but at 200m depth as part of a multiple-point heavy Cr isotope peak (figure 2.6). The data points that comprise this peak are within a 0.10‰ range which is smaller than the uncertainty of ±0.07‰ (2SD) of each individual measurement. Given that the peak is not a one-point but a very smooth six-point feature, we tentatively treat it as real.

Even though dust input could lower the isotopic signature of Cr in surface waters (δ⁵³Cr of bulk silicate earth = −0.124±0.101‰; Schoenberg et al., 2008) and thereby lead to an apparent peak in heavy Cr at 200m depth, this scenario is unlikely. Cr in dust is generally assumed to be relatively insoluble and estimates of dust input at SAFe are low (0.2 – 0.5 g m⁻² yr⁻¹; Jickells et al., 2005). Furthermore, Cr input from dust dissolution should lead to higher Cr concentrations at the ocean surface which we do not observe at SAFe.
SAFe is located in the oligotrophic North Pacific gyre where oxygen levels ([O$_2$] > 13.2 µmol/kg, figure 2.6) are not low enough to favor thermodynamic Cr reduction. However, Cr reduction has been observed in the oxygen deficient zone (ODZ) of the Eastern Tropical North Pacific (ETNP) (Murray et al., 1983; Rue et al., 1997). Rue et al. (1997) detected a deficit of up to 2 nmol/kg Cr(VI) in the ODZ of the ETNP and a concurrent Cr(III) peak of up to 0.9 nmol/kg. They reasoned that after soluble Cr(VI) is reduced to particle-reactive Cr(III), a fraction of the Cr(III) is scavenged by particles and exported. Since Cr reduction typically results in isotopically light Cr(III) being produced (e.g. Zink et al., 2010), the total Cr remaining after Cr(III) export should become isotopically heavier. The signal imprinted by Cr reduction (=lower [Cr], heavier δ$^{53}$Cr) in the ODZ of the ETNP may advect or diffuse to SAFe station and result in the heavy Cr isotope peak that is observed at 200m depth. Chapter 3 presents total Cr concentration and isotope data from the ODZ of the ETNP which supports this scenario.

2.3.3.3.3. Position of SAFe samples and the internal reference sample on the global Cr array

All seawater Cr isotope data published to date (Bonnand et al., 2013; Scheiderich et al., 2015) plot on a Rayleigh-like fractionation on a δ$^{53}$Cr-ln([Cr]) plot. Scheiderich et al. (2015) suggested that Cr in seawater is being moved along this potentially globally applicable line by one or more mechanisms that are characterized by the same fractionation factors. Even though, the Cr samples from SAFe station and our internal reference seawater sample also fall on this Rayleigh-like fractionation line (figure 2.7), too few seawater samples have been analyzed globally to exclude that Cr undergoes reactions associated with different fractionation factors. In fact, Arctic Cr isotope data presented in chapter 4 clearly falls off the previously observed Rayleigh-like fractionation line.
2.4. Conclusions

A new Cr isotope method for seawater samples was presented and shown to be both accurate and precise. Here, we will compare our method to the two other procedures that yielded precise Cr isotope and concentration data for seawater (Bonnand et al., 2013; Scheiderich et al., 2015).

In our method, quantitative Cr preconcentration from seawater is achieved using Mg(OH)\textsubscript{2}-coprecipitation instead of Fe-coprecipitation (Bonnand et al., 2013; Scheiderich et al., 2015), thereby limiting the amount of Fe that needs to be separated from Cr to the sample’s initial Fe content. Following the preconcentration, we rely on Cr oxidation using ammonium persulfate and a first anion exchange column step (AG 1-X8), both of which have been used numerous times by Cr isotope studies (Ball and Bassett, 2000; Frei et al., 2009; Schoenberg et al., 2008). We point out previously unmentioned pitfalls and intricacies of this oxidation step and optimize acid usage during the first column step. Overall our 3-ion exchange column scheme demands acids of both significantly lesser strength and smaller volume than that of Bonnard et al. (2013) and avoids the usage of hydrofluoric acid (Scheiderich et al., 2015). Both Bonnard et al. (2013) and Scheiderich et al. (2015) rely on the cation exchange column AG 50W-X8 as their final purification step, whereas our purification scheme solely utilizes anion exchange column AG 1-X8. The usage of AG 50W-X8 resin can be problematic as it features sulfonic acid functional groups, which lead to an unavoidable sulfur residue. This residue is not easily removed by evaporation due to the very high boiling point of sulfuric acid (>300°C, Nielsen et al., 2011). Especially for MC-ICP-MS analysis the presence of sulfur polyatomics can be detrimental to an accurate Cr isotope ratio measurement (Ball and Bassett, 2000). The average Cr recovery of our method (65%) is on par with that of Scheiderich et al. (2015) (Chris Holmden, personal communication). We were unable to ascertain the total recovery of the other Cr seawater isotope method from the literature (Bonnand et al., 2013), but it appears to be < 80% (Bonnand et al., 2011). The total procedural Cr blank of our method (0.017 nmol) is of the same magnitude than that of Scheiderich et al. (2015) and significantly lower than that of Bonnard et al. (2013) (up to 0.93 nmol).

The first full water column profile of Cr isotope ratios in the Pacific Ocean (SAFe station) was generated using the outlined procedure. The profile is oceanographically consistent as Cr
concentrations and isotope ratios develop gradually with water masses and the profile shapes are correlated with other oceanographic parameters. The correlation of the Cr and dissolved Si data is evident; the SAFe data on its own supports Cr being a nutrient-type element. However in the context of other published data, inconsistencies remain with regard to the potential biological control on Cr (Bonnand et al., 2013; Scheiderich et al., 2015; Sirinawin et al., 2000). Furthermore, we speculate that a heavy Cr isotope peak at 200m at SAFe may originate from advection and diffusion of a Cr reduction signal out of the ODZ of the ETNP. In δ^{53}Cr-ln([Cr]) space, the SAFe data and our internal reference sample (from 100m depth in the SPSG) fall on the same previously observed Rayleigh-like fractionation line (Scheiderich et al., 2015). Even though all Cr data to date fall on this line, too few seawater samples have been analyzed to assume that Cr in seawater is only fractionated along this line.
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### Tables for Chapter 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>mass 49 (amu)</th>
<th>mass 50 (amu)</th>
<th>mass 51 (amu)</th>
<th>mass 52 (amu)</th>
<th>mass 53 (amu)</th>
<th>mass 54 (amu)</th>
<th>mass 56 (amu)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Titanium</td>
<td>5.410%</td>
<td>5.180%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanadium</td>
<td>0.250%</td>
<td>99.750%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium</td>
<td>4.345%</td>
<td>83.789%</td>
<td>9.501%</td>
<td>2.365%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.845%</td>
<td>91.754%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfur-polyatomics</td>
<td>$^{33}\text{S}^{16}\text{O}^+$</td>
<td>$^{34}\text{S}^{18}\text{O}^+$</td>
<td>$^{34}\text{S}^{16}\text{O}^+$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argon-polyatomics</td>
<td>$^{36}\text{Ar}^{14}\text{N}^+$</td>
<td>$^{38}\text{Ar}^{12}\text{C}^+$</td>
<td>$^{36}\text{Ar}^{16}\text{O}^+$</td>
<td>$^{38}\text{Ar}^{14}\text{N}^+$</td>
<td>$^{40}\text{Ar}^{13}\text{C}^+$</td>
<td>$^{38}\text{Ar}^{16}\text{O}^+$</td>
<td>$^{40}\text{Ar}^{16}\text{O}^+$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloride-polyatomics</td>
<td>$^{35}\text{Cl}^{14}\text{N}^+$</td>
<td>$^{37}\text{Cl}^{12}\text{C}^+$</td>
<td>$^{35}\text{Cl}^{16}\text{O}^+$</td>
<td>$^{37}\text{Cl}^{14}\text{N}^+$</td>
<td>$^{37}\text{Cl}^{15}\text{N}^+$</td>
<td>$^{35}\text{Cl}^{18}\text{O}^+$</td>
<td>$^{40}\text{Ca}^{19}\text{O}^+$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium-polyatomics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.1. The abundance percentages of Cr and its isobaric interferences (i.e. Ti, V, Fe) are listed. Additionally, polyatomic interferences in the mass range 49 - 56 are given. No isotopes with abundances of less than 0.05% were considered. In order to be listed, one of the isotopes of a polyatomic interference was required to have an abundance greater or equal to 20%. Polyatomic interferences comprised of more than two elements were omitted.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Duration (s)</th>
<th>Axial mass</th>
<th>L2</th>
<th>L1/Axial</th>
<th>H1</th>
<th>H2</th>
<th>H3</th>
<th>H5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>50.5</td>
<td>$^{49}\text{Ti}$</td>
<td>$^{50}\text{Cr}, ^{50}\text{Ti}, ^{50}\text{V}$</td>
<td>$^{51}\text{V}$</td>
<td>$^{52}\text{Cr}$</td>
<td>$^{53}\text{Cr}$</td>
<td>$^{54}\text{Cr}, ^{54}\text{Fe}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>50.5</td>
<td>$^{50}\text{Cr}, ^{50}\text{Ti}, ^{50}\text{V}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$^{52}\text{Cr}$</td>
<td>$^{53}\text{Cr}$</td>
<td>$^{54}\text{Cr}, ^{54}\text{Fe}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$\sim 54.42^\circ$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$^{56}\text{Fe}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.2. The Faraday cup configuration for detecting Cr and its isobaric interferences on the IsoProbe is shown. A sample is being measured over 10 cycles each consisting of 3 sequences. *The axial mass setting for sequence 3 is calibrated at the beginning of every IsoProbe run. A Cr-Fe mixture is run to determine the axial mass at which the $^{56}\text{Fe}$ peak is centered on cup H2 while keeping the HT unchanged over the 3 sequences.
Table 2.3. Typical parameters for a Cr isotope run on MIT’s IsoProbe MC-ICP-MS are given.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Setting</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Forward power</td>
<td>1100-1200</td>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflected power</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cool gas flow</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>L/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate gas flow</td>
<td>0.95-1.10</td>
<td>L/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebulizer 1 gas flow</td>
<td>0.73-0.75</td>
<td>L/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebulizer 2 gas flow (desolvator)</td>
<td>2.60-2.95</td>
<td>L/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial torch position*</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen hexapole gas flow</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>mL/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argon hexapole gas flow</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>mL/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexapole RF Amplitude</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HT</td>
<td>5943-5984</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Axial torch position is set to 0 mm when the outer torch cylinder is 5 mm from the cone.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>batch no.</th>
<th>location or processed SRM+DS</th>
<th>cruise</th>
<th>station</th>
<th>lat. (°N)</th>
<th>long. (°E)</th>
<th>depth (m)</th>
<th>[Cr] (nmol/kg)</th>
<th>δ⁵³Cr (%)</th>
<th>Ti &amp; V correction on Cr50 (%)</th>
<th>Fe correction on Cr54(‰)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>SRM+DS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-4.62</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SRM+DS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-4.31</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>SRM+DS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>-0.84</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SRM+DS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-2.10</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>SRM+DS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-1.03</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SRM+DS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-0.60</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>SRM+DS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-10.58</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SRM+DS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-2.47</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>South Pacific</td>
<td>MV1015</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-26.25</td>
<td>-103.96</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>-1.94</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South Pacific</td>
<td>MV1015</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-26.25</td>
<td>-103.96</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>-10.81</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SRM+DS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>-1.38</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SRM+DS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>-14.11</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>North Pacific</td>
<td>GT IC 2</td>
<td>SAFe 1</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>-140.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>-0.90</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>-1.25</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>-0.50</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>200</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>-0.82</td>
<td>-0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>350</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>-1.03</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>500</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>-0.55</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>750</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>-0.48</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>-0.48</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>-0.78</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>4.51</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>-1.05</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>-0.63</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>-3.84</td>
<td>-0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4500</td>
<td>4.51</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>-0.67</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>South Pacific</td>
<td>MV1015</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-26.25</td>
<td>-103.96</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>-0.40</td>
<td>-0.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.4. Data associated with processed SRM-DS mixtures, our internal reference sample (MV1015, 100m), and SAFe station samples is listed.
Figures for Chapter 2

Figure 2.1. Sulfur polyatomic interferences, which affect mass 49 the most, can be significantly decreased relative to chromium (most abundant isotope at mass 52) by changing (a) the neb 1 gas flow, (b) the intermediate gas flow, and (c) the axial position of the torch box.
Figure 2.2. The $\delta^{53}$Cr values for bracketing SRM-DS solutions of a typical Cr isotope IsoProbe are plotted a) against the concentration of the bracketing SRM-DS solution and b) against the run sequence of the bracketing SRM-DS solutions. Both the $\delta^{53}$Cr values and the concentrations of the bracketing solutions are given relative to those of the initial SRM-DS solution used in the DS calibration. A drift of $\delta^{53}$Cr values with time rather than with concentration was identified.
Figure 2.3. SRM-DS solutions containing varying percentages of double spike were analyzed and their $\delta^{53}\text{Cr}$ values are displayed here. The run order is indicated by the numbers next to the data points.

Figure 2.4. Ten pure SRM+DS solutions were processed as samples through the Cr oxidation and the 3-column procedure. Excluding 2 samples (seen here in brackets), which presented with traces of sulfur, the average $\delta^{53}\text{Cr}$ w.r.t. SRM979 = +0.02 ± 0.07 ‰ (±2 SD).
Figure 2.5. Sampling locations are superimposed onto oxygen concentrations (µmol/kg) at 400 m depth. The displayed annual oxygen data originates from the World Ocean Atlas 2009 (WOA09) (Garcia et al., 2010). For conversion of oxygen data from ml/L to µmol/kg an embedded function of Ocean Data View (ODV) was used (Schlitzer, 2013). ODV was also used to generate this map.
Figure 2.6. Full water column profiles are given for a suite of parameters at SAFe station in the North Pacific Ocean. The error bars on Cr isotope ratios are 2SD.
Figure 2.7. Cr data from SAFe and our internal reference sample (MV1015, 100m) is shown alongside published data (Bonnand et al., 2013; Scheiderich et al., 2015) on a $\delta^{53}$Cr-ln([Cr]) plot.
Chapter 3. Investigating chromium cycling in oxygen deficient waters of the Northeast Pacific Ocean using stable Cr isotopes

3.1. Introduction

Redox transformations between the two stable oxidation states of chromium in aqueous solutions (i.e. Cr(III) and Cr(VI)) can result in significant isotope fractionations (e.g. Ellis et al., 2002; Zink et al., 2010). In particular, the reduction of soluble Cr(VI) results in particle-reactive and easily immobilized Cr(III), that is isotopically light compared to the initial Cr(VI) reactant pool. In recent years, the Cr isotope system has been used extensively to track Cr(VI) reduction in groundwater (e.g. Berna et al., 2010; Ellis et al., 2002; Izbicki et al., 2012) and to characterize isotope effects of Cr(VI) reduction in laboratory experiments (e.g. Basu and Johnson, 2012; Døssing et al., 2011; Kitchen et al., 2012; Sikora et al., 2008). However, oxygen deficient waters of the ocean, which appear to be active sites of Cr reduction (Murray et al., 1983; Rue et al., 1997), have not been studied using a stable Cr isotope approach.

In the ocean, total Cr exhibits concentrations between 1.2 nmol/kg and 6.5 nmol/kg, and the first Cr isotope studies of oxic seawater measured δ⁵³Cr signatures that ranged from 0.41‰ to 1.55‰ (chapter 2, Bonnand et al., 2013; Scheiderich et al., 2015). While Cr(VI) as soluble CrO₄²⁻ prevails in oxygenated seawater, particle-reactive Cr(III) can reach significant concentrations in anoxic seawater.

Cr reduction has been observed in the low oxygen zone of the Eastern Tropical North Pacific (ETNP) Ocean (Murray et al., 1983; Rue et al., 1997). Rue et al. (1997) measured Cr(VI) and dissolved Cr(III) concentrations at a site (figure 3.1) that featured an oxygen-deficient zone (ODZ) between 110m and 600m depth ([O₂]= 1 µmol/kg - 5 µmol/kg). Comparing Cr(VI) concentrations within the ODZ to those measured at a nearby station without an ODZ, a deficit of up to 2 nmol/kg Cr(VI) was found within the ODZ. Dissolved Cr(III) concentrations peaked at 0.9 nmol/kg within the suboxic waters and accounted for up to ~50% of the chromate deficit. The other half of the
chromate anomaly was attributed to scavenging and export of particle reactive Cr(III). It should be noted, that the Cr(VI) deficit is observed between ~100m and ~1000m depth, whereas the presence of dCr(III) is seen over a much narrower depth range (~100m to ~600m). Murray et al. (1983) made similar observations for an east – west transect off the coast of Baja California, Mexico (figure 3.1). Here, oxygen concentrations were as low as 10 µmol/kg but not truly oxygen deficient. This study found a Cr(VI) minimum centered between 200m and 400m depth which is most intense closest to the coast and absent at the station farthest out in the ocean. Dissolved Cr(III) concentrations reach their maximum (0.5 nmol/kg – 1.0 nmol/kg) at the top of the oxygen minimum zone (OMZ). Within the OMZ, particulate Cr concentrations of up to ~ 1.1 nmol/kg are recorded.

Since Cr(VI) reduction typically produces isotopically light Cr(III) (e.g. Ellis et al., 2002), a buildup of Cr(III) in anoxic waters such as that observed by Murray et al. (1983) and Rue et al. (1997) is likely isotopically light relative to the initial Cr(VI) pool. Furthermore, both studies indirectly suggest that a fraction of the reduced Cr leaves the ODZ due to scavenging onto particles. As a whole this implies, that the residual Cr of the anoxic water becomes isotopically heavier due to Cr(VI) reduction followed by export of isotopically light Cr(III). In order to test this hypothesis, we measured Cr isotope ratios and concentrations at two stations within the ODZ of the ETNP and at a station in the Santa Barbara Basin which features anoxic bottom waters. Cr profiles are compared to those available at the nearby SAFe station (presented in chapter 2), which is characterized by a fully oxygenated water column ([O₂] > 13.2 µmol/kg).

3.2. Seawater sampling and oceanographic setting

During an expedition of the R/V New Horizon (NH1410) two profiles were taken in the Eastern Tropical North Pacific off of Mexico by Mark Altabet and Frank Stewart (figure 3.1, Stn. 2T: 9 depths, 18.9012°N 108.8002°W; Stn. 7T: 8 depths, 18.2004°N 104.2027°W). Samples were collected with GO-FLO bottles that were not specifically designed for trace metal sampling (i.e. they featured exterior metal parts). Fortunately, Cr is not as contamination-prone as other trace metals (e.g. zinc, iron) and Cr signatures of seawater samples were not compromised. Since this sampling opportunity presented itself at the eleventh hour, seawater samples were not filtered.
An additional water column profile (8 depths) was collected by Jessica Fitzsimmons within the Santa Barbara Basin (figure 3.1 and 3.2, 34.2304°N 120.0255°W) on July 24, 2014 during expedition MV1405 on board the R/V Melville. Teflon coated GO-FLO bottles were used for sampling. Santa Barbara Basin samples were filtered immediately using 0.2µm AcroPak Supor capsules.

All seawater samples presented in this chapter were stored at pH~2 for more than 2 years.

Stations 2T and 7T are located in the ETNP, which constitutes the largest body of oxygen deficient waters on Earth (Paulmier and Ruiz-Pino, 2009). This eastern boundary upwelling area is characterized by high productivity and consequently, high rates of O₂ consumption due to remineralization of organic matter (Small et al., 1987). Additionally, the ETNP experiences sluggish ventilation of water masses (Luyten et al., 1983; Reid Jr, 1965). The imbalance between O₂ consumption and O₂ renewal gives rise to an extensive oxygen deficient zone (ODZ) (Karstensen et al., 2008).

The Santa Barbara Basin (max. depth of ~585m) is one in a series of 14 offshore basins in the continental shelf off of Southern California. With the California coast to the north and the Channel Islands to the south, water can only be exchanged over narrow sills to the east and to the west (figure 3.2). The Santa Monica Basin is located on the other side of the eastern sill (depth of ~200m) and the open Pacific Ocean lies beyond the Santa Barbara Basin’s western sill (depth of ~475m).

Wind-driven upwelling of nutrients and cyclonic eddies lead to high primary productivity in the surface waters of the Santa Barbara Basin (Brzezinski and Washburn, 2011). High primary productivity results in enhanced rates of organic carbon export to the underlying waters and sediments. Oxygen is consumed when microbes remineralize organic carbon leading to anoxia in the water column and sediments below the sill depth.

The bottom waters of the Santa Barbara Basin are renewed periodically. The ‘new’ water originates at depth within the oxygen-minimum zone of the eastern North Pacific and upwells
north of Point Conception. Subsequently, a strong northwest flow transports the water into the basin over the western sill (Bograd et al., 2002; Reimers et al., 1990; Sholkovitz and Gieskes, 1971). Here, the colder and slightly more oxygenated water spills over the sill and replaces the less dense bottom water. Full or partial bottom water renewal events typically occur in winter or spring when upwelling is strongest (Bograd et al., 2002). Additionally, turbidity currents may transport sediment and oxic seawater from above the redoxcline to the center of the basin without changing salinity or temperature of the bottom waters (Sholkovitz and Soutar, 1975). After bottom waters are disturbed, conditions rapidly (within ~2 months) return to a normal state (Sholkovitz and Gieskes, 1971).

3.3. Methods

Total Cr isotope signatures of seawater samples were determined using a technique that has been detailed elsewhere (chapter 2), therefore only a brief summary is given here. The acidification of seawater samples to pH 2 prompts the conversion of Cr(VI) to Cr(III) over the course of several months (Semeniuk et al., 2016). Once the entirety of Cr in the sample is present as Cr(III), a $^{50}\text{Cr}-^{54}\text{Cr}$ double spike (also present in the trivalent form) is equilibrated with the sample for 3 days. This double spike addition enables accurate correction of procedural and instrumental Cr mass fractionations (Rudge et al., 2009). Preconcentration of Cr occurs via Mg(OH)$_2$ coprecipitation which is selective for Cr(III) (Semeniuk et al., 2016). The resulting Mg(OH)$_2$ pellet is neutralized with HCl and ultimately brought up in 0.02 M HCl. In preparation for the first ion-exchange column step, Cr is oxidized to Cr(VI) using ammonium persulfate (Götz and Heumann, 1988). This step demands careful pH, temperature and time control due to pitfalls such as the unwanted generation of H$_2$O$_2$ (Palme, 1920) leading to subsequent back-reduction of Cr(VI). Purification of the seawater matrix is achieved with a 3-anion exchange column scheme (AG 1-X8). The first column separates Cr, which is present as the oxyanion CrO$_4^{2-}$, from the salt matrix (e.g. Mg) and from isobaric interferences (i.e. V, Ti, Fe) (Ball and Bassett, 2000). Sulfur species, which form polyatomics that interfere with accurate Cr analysis, are removed in a second column run for which Cr is present in the trivalent state. Traces of Fe are eliminated in a third column step with Fe being present as FeCl$_4^{-}$ (Yamakawa et al., 2009) and Cr being present as positively charged Cr(III).
Abundances of masses 49 (Ti), 50 (Cr,Ti,V), 51 (V), 52 (Cr), 53 (Cr), 54 (Cr, Fe) and 56 (Fe) in purified samples are detected in low resolution mode on the IsoProbe, a MC-ICP-MS featuring a hexapole collision cell capable of eliminating many polyatomic interferences. The machine is tuned such that the ratio of the Cr signal to the polyatomic sulfur signal is maximized. Once the true $^{53}\text{Cr}/^{52}\text{Cr}$ ratio of a sample is determined, it is compared to the $^{53}\text{Cr}/^{52}\text{Cr}$ ratio of standard reference material 979 (i.e. SRM 979) and relative deviations are reported as $\delta^{53}\text{Cr}$ values in per mil (equation 1).

$$\delta^{53}\text{Cr} (\text{%}) = \left( \frac{^{53}\text{Cr}}{^{52}\text{Cr}} \right)_{\text{Sample}} - 1 \right) \times 1000 \quad (1)$$

When quantifying the magnitude of an isotope effect, we refer to fractionation factors $\alpha$, which compares the $^{53}\text{Cr}/^{52}\text{Cr}$ ratio of the product to that of the reactant (equation 2), and $\varepsilon$, compares the $\delta^{53}\text{Cr}$ value of the product to that of the reactant (equation 3). The fractionation factors are approximately linked by equation 4.

$$\alpha = \frac{^{53}\text{Cr}}{^{52}\text{Cr}} \text{Product} \quad (2)$$

$$\varepsilon(\%) = \delta^{53}\text{Cr}_{\text{Product}} - \delta^{53}\text{Cr}_{\text{Reactant}} \quad (3)$$

$$\varepsilon(\%) \approx 1000 \times \ln(\alpha) \approx 1000 \times (\alpha - 1) \quad (4)$$

### 3.3.1. Other oceanographic parameters

Mark Altabet (UMass Dartmouth) and Annie Bourbonnais (WHOI) measured nitrogen isotope signatures of nitrate at stations 2T and 7T in the ETNP.
3.4. Results and Discussion

In order to directly compare the Cr data measured at the fully oxygenated SAFe station (chapter 2, figure 2.6) to the Cr data measured at the 3 stations featuring anoxic waters (i.e. 2T, 7T, Santa Barbara Basin), we correct for upwelling of water towards the coast using potential density expressed as $\sigma_0$ (figure 3.3). The [Cr]-$\sigma_0$ relationship at SAFe can be approximated by a 4th-order polynomial (upper panel of figure 3.4). This relationship is applied to $\sigma_0$ of the 3 partly anoxic stations and results in the creation of an upwardly shifted and compressed SAFe Cr concentration profile. Likewise, the $\delta^{53}$Cr - $\sigma_0$ relationship at SAFe can be approximated by a 4th-order polynomial (lower panel of figure 3.4), which is applied to $\sigma_0$ of the three partially oxygen deficient stations to give a $\sigma_0$ adjusted SAFe Cr isotope ratio profile. Figures 3.5, 3.6 and 3.7 show the total Cr concentration and isotope ratios measured at 2T, 7T and at the Santa Barbara Basin site (blue dots) alongside the $\sigma_0$ adjusted SAFe profiles (orange lines).

3.4.1. Station 2T in the core of the ETNP’s ODZ

3.4.1.1. Comparison between Cr data at 2T and SAFe station

At station 2T, oxygen concentrations are less than 1.6 $\mu$mol/kg between 130m and 820m depth (figure 3.5). Measured total Cr concentrations at this station are up to 0.84 nmol/kg lower relative to those at SAFe. The biggest [Cr] deficit is seen at 100m depth. Below 100m the [Cr] deficit becomes gradually smaller until Cr concentrations at 2T and SAFe coincide at 800m. Between a depth range of 100m to 600m, total measured Cr isotope signatures at 2T are up to 0.17‰ heavier compared those recorded at SAFe.

These observations are consistent with Cr reduction within the ODZ producing isotopically light, particle-reactive Cr(III), which is partially scavenged by particles and exported to depth. Isotopically heavy Cr(VI) is left behind in the upper water column. During remineralization of organic matter some of the previously scavenged light Cr(III) may be returned to the water column. Continuous remineralization of organic matter and thus release of light Cr below the depth of the
onset of Cr reduction may explain why the total Cr concentration deficit and heavy Cr isotope anomaly becomes smaller with depth.

The fractionation factor (α), characterizing the observed Cr reduction at station 2T, can be found using the Rayleigh distillation equation (Rayleigh, 1896). This equation relates the initial Cr isotope ratio (δ\(^{53}\)Cr\(_O\)) and the transient Cr isotope ratio (δ\(^{53}\)Cr\(_t\)) of a system to the remaining Cr fraction (f) when Cr is removed with a constant fractionation factor (α).

\[
\delta^{53}\text{Cr}_t = (\delta^{53}\text{Cr}_O + 1000) \times f^{(\alpha-1)} - 1000
\]  

(5)

\[
f = \frac{C_t}{C_O}
\]

(6)

The initial Cr isotope ratio and the initial Cr concentration (C\(_O\)) can be extracted from the σ-adjusted SAFe profiles at 2T. The measured Cr concentration of seawater samples taken at station 2T serves as the transient Cr concentration (C\(_t\)). By choosing reasonable fractionation factors associated with Cr reduction, we can model the Cr isotope ratio of the residual Cr(VI) fraction (figure 3.8). A fractionation factor of α=0.99956 (i.e. ε=-0.44) is able to mimic the Cr isotope profile observed at 2T the best.

### 3.4.1.2. Probable effect of analyzing unfiltered ODZ samples versus filtered ODZ samples

Since this was a last-minute sampling effort, seawater samples at stations 2T and 7T were not filtered. As mentioned previously, up to 1.1 nmol/kg of particulate Cr has been measured in the ODZ of the ETNP (Murray et al., 1983). The particulate Cr fraction presumably consists of primarily particle-reactive isotopically light Cr(III). Consequently, if our samples had been filtered, we would expect to see a bigger Cr concentration deficit and a bigger anomaly of heavier δ\(^{53}\)Cr within the ODZ.
For several decades, microbes were thought to break down organic matter using electron acceptors in a strict succession dictated by their free energy yields (Froelich et al., 1979). In this traditional view, the dissimilatory usage of electron acceptors with energy yields below that of the NO\textsubscript{3}^{-}.NO\textsubscript{2}^{-} couple (such as the CrO\textsubscript{4}^{2-} - Cr(OH)\textsubscript{2}^{+} and the SO\textsubscript{4}^{2-} - HS\textsuperscript{-} couple), did not occur until nitrate concentrations were zero. In recent years, metagenomics techniques have revealed that nitrate and sulfate reduction can take place simultaneously (e.g. Canfield et al., 2010). Since chromate’s reduction potential at seawater pH is only slightly lower than that of nitrate and higher than that of sulfate (Stumm and Morgan, 2012), it is conceivable that microbes directly reduce chromate in oxygen depleted waters.

The microbial reduction of nitrate leaves the residual NO\textsubscript{3}^{-} enriched in \textsuperscript{15}N, which results in an apparent isotope effect of 20\%o – 30\%o (e.g. Cline and Kaplan, 1975; Brandes et al., 1998; Voss et al., 2001; Sutka et al., 2004). Compared to typical deep-sea \textsuperscript{15}N\textsubscript{NO3} signatures (+5.5 \%o; Casciotti, 2016), all \textsuperscript{15}N\textsubscript{NO3} signatures recorded at station 2T are heavy (figure 3.5) which indicates heterotrophic denitrification. In contrast, \textsuperscript{53}Cr isotope signatures of 2T at 600m and 800m depth were not heavy relative to those at SAFe. Nevertheless, when \textsuperscript{15}N\textsubscript{NO3} signatures are plotted against \textsuperscript{53}Cr signatures at station 2T (figure 3.9), a strong correlation is observed. Excluding a single data point at 100m, $R^2$ equals 0.91 (without exclusion, $R^2$=0.58). This correlation supports the direct microbial reduction of Cr(VI) in the ODZ at station 2T. The fact that both nitrogen and chromium reduction are stronger in the upper portion of the ODZ would then be attributed to limitation of the process by the flux of sinking organic matter. A positive correlation between bulk sedimentary \textsuperscript{53}Cr and \textsuperscript{15}N\textsubscript{NO3} was previously found in Peru Margin samples on glacial-interglacial timescales (Gueguen et al., 2016).

Alternatively to direct microbial Cr reduction, dFe(II) may have acted as a reductant of Cr(VI) (Eary and Rai, 1988). Low oxygen shelf waters off Oregon and Washington have been observed to be sources of dFe(II) to the coastal upwelling region (Lohan and Bruland, 2008). Similarly,
reducing continental-margin sediments were observed to be the source of dFe(II) to offshore waters of Peru (Chever et al., 2015; Hong and Kester, 1986). Analogously, Fe(III) may be reduced microbially in the anoxic pore waters of the Mexican shelf and subsequently transported offshore to station 2T. Furthermore, in-situ reduction of Fe in the ODZ cannot be excluded. Even though no direct dFe(II) measurements have been reported for the ODZ of the ETNP, total Fe concentrations have been shown to be unusually high here (up to 6 nmol/kg at 150m, 18°N 108°W, Landing and Bruland, 1987) and Fe(II) is likely to make up an significant fraction of total Fe.

3.4.2. Station 7T in the core of the ETNP’s ODZ

Station 7T is located closer to the Mexican coast compared to station 2T. Even though O₂ levels are lower than 1.3 µmol/kg between 100m and 800m depth, no pronounced deficit in total Cr concentrations is seen relative to the σ₀ adjusted SAFe station (figure 3.6). Likewise, the total Cr isotope signatures coincide with those seen at SAFe apart from a slightly heavier δ⁵³Cr signature at 600m. These observations imply that little or no Cr reduction and removal is taking place at station 7T despite the presence of anoxic conditions.

A peak in heavy δ¹⁵N of NO₃⁻ was seen lower in the water column of this station (400m - 500m), although all of the nitrate is heavier than typical deep ocean nitrate (~+5.5‰) (figure 3.6). If dFe(II) originating from reducing continental-margin sediments was responsible for Cr(VI) reduction at station 2T, we would have expected to see the same or a higher extent of Cr reduction at station 7T as it is located closer to the Mexican coast.

3.4.3. Santa Barbara Basin

3.4.3.1. Patterns in dissolved [Cr] and δ⁵³Cr

At the time of our sampling, very low oxygen (1.47 µmol/kg) and nitrate (5.99 µmol/kg) concentrations and a negative N* of -49 µmol/kg were observed in the bottom waters of the basin (figure 3.7). As anoxia and denitrification were well established, we can dismiss the potential occurrence of a flushing or turbidity current event immediately prior to sampling. Total Cr concentrations were steady over the upper 350m at ~3 nmol/kg except for a minor excursion to
2.78 nmol/kg at 100m. Over the same depth range δ^{53}Cr isotope signatures were constant (+1.00‰ - +1.07‰) given the 2 SD error bar on the measurement (±0.07‰). Both of these concentration and δ^{53}Cr values agree well with our observations in the upper 350 m at SAFe ([Cr] = 3.16 - 3.24 nmol/kg, δ^{53}Cr = +1.01‰ - +1.11‰). At our Santa Barbara Basin site, nitrate concentrations increase in the upper 400m. The effects of denitrification manifest from 451m downwards ([O_2] ≤ 10.85 µmol/kg) with nitrate concentrations and N* values decreasing. Simultaneously, total Cr concentrations decrease from at least 465m onwards and reach a minimum concentration of 1.79 nmol/kg at 570m. Cr isotopic signatures increase from 465m onwards to a maximum δ^{53}Cr value of 1.43‰ at 545m. Since the δ^{53}Cr values at 545m and at 570m are only 0.09‰ apart (compared to an uncertainty of ± 0.07‰, 2SD), we are unable to discern at which of these depths the true maximum δ^{53}Cr value occurs.

Although the δ^{15}N of NO_3^- was not determined during our expedition, Sigman et al. (2003) saw a significant shift to heavier δ^{15}N of NO_3^- values between 500m depth and the basin’s bottom depth (~8.5‰ vs ~12‰). This was interpreted as a denitrification signal.

These observations are consistent with Cr reduction in anoxic waters producing isotopically light, particle-reactive Cr(III), which is partially scavenged by particles and exported to depth. Isotopically heavy Cr(VI) is left behind in the upper water column.

Analogous to the Rayleigh distillation calculations of station 2T, we can model the effect of different fractionation factors associated with the observed degree of Cr reduction in the Santa Barbara Basin (figure 3.10). A fractionation factor of α=0.99935 (i.e. ε=-0.65‰) is able to recreate the Cr isotope profile observed in the Santa Barbara Basin the best.

### 3.4.3.2. Water column and sedimentary Cr reduction

The Santa Barbara Basin is a site of intense denitrification. Taking advantage of the different N isotope effects that water column and sedimentary denitrification produce in the remaining NO_3^-, Sigman et al. (2003) attributed at least 76% of the observed nitrate loss to sedimentary denitrification.
Likewise, Cr reduction may be occurring both in the anoxic water column and in the anoxic pore waters of the sediment. If Cr reduction is happening in the anoxic pore waters of the sediment, then there would be a steep Cr concentration gradient near the sediment-water interface (see section 3.4.3.4.). The transfer of Cr from the bottom waters into the sediments, would result in lower Cr concentrations in the bottom waters.

But a similar steep concentration gradient is known to occur for nitrate in the upper pore waters of reducing sediments, and evidence suggests that total diffusion of nitrate into the sedimentary denitrification zone produces a relatively minor nitrate $\delta^{15}N$ fractionation (~1.5 ‰; Brandes and Devol, 2002, 1997). In the absence of contrary information, we should expect a similar result for Cr isotope fractionation, hence although sedimentary Cr reduction is a plausible mechanism for reducing bottom water chromium concentrations and enriching the Cr concentration of reducing sediments, it would not appear to be a viable mechanism for the heavy Cr isotope enrichments that we observe.

**3.4.3.3. Potential mechanisms of Cr(VI) reduction**

As previously mentioned, dissolved Fe(II) is a potent reductant of Cr(VI) (Eary and Rai, 1988; Sedlak and Chan, 1997). Ample indirect evidence exists for the presence of high dFe(II) levels in the sediments and bottom waters. John et al. (2012) saw total Fe concentrations increase from 8.8 nmol/kg above sill depth to 29.5 nmol/kg at 570m depth within the anoxic bottom waters of the Santa Barbara Basin. Simultaneously, Fe isotope ratios ($\delta^{56}Fe$) shifted to much lighter values within the bottom waters, which are typically produced by Fe(III) reduction to Fe(II). John et al. (2012) ascribed their observations to Fe reduction in the anoxic sediment pore waters and a subsequent flux of Fe(II) into the bottom waters. At the time our Cr profile was taken, total Fe concentrations reached up to 59.9 nmol/kg at 573m depth (Jessica N. Fitzsimmons, personal communication) with dFe(II) likely accounting for the majority of it.
In consequence, dFe(II) is a potential reductant of Cr(VI) in both the bottom waters and the sediment pore waters of the Santa Barbara Basin. Additionally, direct microbial Cr reduction may occur in both of these anoxic environments. Furthermore, Cr may be reduced by acid volatile sulfides in the anoxic sediment pore waters (Graham and Bouwer, 2010).

3.4.3.4. Cr concentration gradient for the possibility of sedimentary Cr reduction

Under the assumption that all observed Cr reduction occurs in the anoxic sediment pore waters of the Santa Barbara Basin, a pore water Cr concentration gradient can be calculated using Fick’s first law of diffusion (Fick, 1855). Applying this law, the Cr removal flux over the Santa Barbara Basin’s anoxic sediment area should equal the diffusion coefficient \( D \) multiplied by the pore water Cr concentration gradient \( \frac{\Delta [Cr]}{\Delta z} \) (equation 7). In order to calculate the Cr removal flux over the basin’s anoxic sediment area, we need to estimate how much total Cr is lost in the basin, and the time since the basin’s bottom waters were last renewed (equation 8). The area of the anoxic sediment does not need to be estimated since this term will cancel out.

\[
\text{Cr removal flux over the SBB’s anoxic sediment area} = D \times \frac{\Delta [Cr]}{\Delta z} \quad (7)
\]

\[
\frac{\text{total Cr lost in SBB}}{\text{anoxic sediment area of SBB} \times \text{time since last renewal of bottom waters}} = D \times \frac{\Delta [Cr]}{\Delta z} \quad (8)
\]

We roughly integrate the Cr lost in the basin by placing a triangle in the space between the Cr concentration profile of the adjusted SAFe station and that of our basin site \((= 0.5 \times (3.00 \frac{nmol}{kg} - 1.79 \frac{nmol}{kg}) \times (585m - 465m))\) and multiplying it by the basin’s anoxic sediment area. As this latter term appears in the denominator and the terms will cancel out.

On a quarterly basis, oxygen and nutrient concentrations in the water column of the Santa Barbara Basin are determined by the California Cooperative Oceanic Fisheries Investigations (CalCOFI). One CalCOFI occupation took place three days before our samples were taken and confirms that
anoxia and denitrification were well-established in the bottom waters immediately prior to our sampling (figure 3.11). In contrast, CalCOFI data from April 13th, 2014 show higher O₂ levels (up to 13 µmol/kg) and nitrate concentrations are not substantially depleted relative to phosphate concentrations (figure 3.11). After a major flushing event, it takes ~2 months for anoxia and denitrification to manifest in the basin’s bottom water (Sholkovitz and Gieskes, 1971). In consequence, the bottom waters of the Santa Barbara Basin were at least partially replaced sometime between mid-February and mid-April 2014. For our calculations, we estimate that the last renewal of the bottom waters occurred 4 months prior to sampling, which took place on July 24, 2014.

Using these estimates and a typically diffusion coefficient of \(2.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}\), we arrive at a pore water Cr concentration gradient of \(~28 \text{ nM/cm}\). Given that the bottom waters only hold 1.84nM of Cr, Cr in sediment pore waters would get exhausted over ~0.65mm.

3.4.4. Cr data from ETNP (2T, 7T) and the Santa Barbara Basin on the global Cr array

On a δ⁵³Cr-In([Cr]) plot (figure 3.12), the Cr data from stations 2T, 7T and from the Santa Barbara Basin site plot on the same Rayleigh-like fractionation line as published seawater data (Bonnand et al., 2013; Scheiderich et al., 2015) and SAFe station (chapter 2).

3.5. Conclusions

Our observations at station 2T in the ETNP and at our Santa Barbara site are consistent with Cr reduction in anoxic waters producing isotopically light, particle-reactive Cr(III), which is partially scavenged by particles and exported to depth. Isotopically heavy Cr(VI) is left behind in the upper water column. Since seawater samples from station 2T were not filtered, the magnitude of the Cr reduction signal may have been suppressed by the presence of isotopically light Cr(III) particles.
At station 2T, the observed correlation of \( \delta^{53}\text{Cr} \) and \( \delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3} \) suggests that Cr reduction may be microbially mediated instead of simply being a product of thermodynamic equilibrium. Alternatively, Cr(VI) may be reduced by Fe(II), which was generated in-situ by dissimilatory Fe reduction and/or was generated in the anoxic pore waters of the Mexican shelf by dissimilatory Fe reduction and subsequently transported offshore to station 2T.

In the Santa Barbara Basin, Cr reduction may take place via the same mechanisms in the anoxic bottom waters and/or in the anoxic sediment pore waters. Additionally, acid volatile sulfides may contribute to Cr(VI) reduction in the anoxic sediment pore waters. Although the sedimentary Cr reduction can contribute to lowering the Cr concentration of the bottom waters, it is unlikely to result in significant Cr isotope fractionation. If all of the observed Cr reduction took place in the basin’s sediment, Cr in the pore waters would get depleted over a depth range of several millimeters. This narrow depth range would make any Cr analysis in such pore waters extremely challenging.

Is the SAFe station a suitable, fully oxic water column counterpart? The peak in heavy Cr and a subtle decrease in Cr concentrations at SAFe (at 200m depth) are located along the same isopycnal as the biggest total Cr deficit and heaviest Cr anomaly at station 2T (at 100m depth). This point is best illustrated by comparing the Cr profiles of 2T and the \( \sigma_0 \) adjusted SAFe station at 100m (figure 3.5). It is conceivable that a signal of Cr reduction (=lower [Cr], heavier \( \delta^{53}\text{Cr} \)) generated within the ODZ of the ETNP at station 2T can advect or diffuse to the SAFe station. In that case, a direct comparison between the two stations may underestimate the magnitude of Cr reduction occurring within the ODZ. To verify this hypothesis a transect from the ODZ into oxic waters of the North Pacific is required. Alternatively, a more remote station in the North Pacific such as Station ALOHA (22.75° N, 158°W) could be analyzed with the hope that it is minimally impacted by transport of a Cr reduction signal out of the ODZ.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>batch no.</th>
<th>location</th>
<th>cruise</th>
<th>station</th>
<th>lat. (°N)</th>
<th>long. (°E)</th>
<th>depth (m)</th>
<th>[Cr] (nmol/kg)</th>
<th>δ^{53}Cr (%)</th>
<th>Ti &amp; V correction on Cr50 (%)</th>
<th>Fe correction on Cr54(‰)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>ETNP</td>
<td>NH1410</td>
<td>2T</td>
<td>18.90</td>
<td>-108.80</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>-0.94</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>-3.34</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>200</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>-1.01</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>250</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>-1.32</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>300</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>-2.75</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>400</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>-0.93</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>500</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>-3.54</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>600</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>-1.12</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>800</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>-1.47</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>ETNP</td>
<td>NH1410</td>
<td>7T</td>
<td>18.20</td>
<td>-104.20</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>-0.77</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>-0.77</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>200</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>-1.14</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>250</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>-1.36</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>300</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>-0.67</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>400</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>-0.48</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>600</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>800</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>-0.46</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Santa Barbara Basin</td>
<td>MV1405</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>34.23</td>
<td>-120.03</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>-0.54</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>-1.23</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>200</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>-0.81</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>350</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>-0.36</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>465</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>520</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>-0.82</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>545</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>570</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>-0.56</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.1. Cr isotope ratio and concentration data associated with stations 2T and 7T in the Eastern Tropical North Pacific Ocean and with a station in the Santa Barbara Basin are listed.
Figure 3.1. The sampling locations of stations 2T, 7T, SAFe and SBB (=Santa Barbara Basin) are superimposed onto the distribution of oxygen concentrations (µmol/kg) at 400 m depth. Annual oxygen data in ml/L (1° grid) from the World Ocean Atlas 2009 (WOA09) served as a basis for this plot (Garcia et al., 2010). The original oxygen data was converted to µmol/kg using an embedded function of Ocean Data View (Schlitzer, 2013). Ocean Data View was also used to make this map. Note that the lowest oxygen concentration at SAFe station is not found at 400 m but at 864 m with 13.2 µmol/kg. The Santa Barbara Basin exhibits $[O_2] < 2.0$ µmol/kg from 532 m to the bottom depth (579 m).
Figure 3.2. The bathymetry of the Santa Barbara Basin is shown. The red dot marks our sampling location.
Figure 3.3. Upwelling of waters is observed towards the coast of Mexico and California.
Figure 3.4. The [Cr]-σ₀ relationship at SAFe is approximated by a 4<sup>th</sup>-order polynomial (upper panel). Likewise, the δ<sup>53</sup>Cr - σ₀ relationship at SAFe is approximated by a 4<sup>th</sup>-order polynomial (lower panel).
Figure 3.5. Full water column profiles are given for a suite of parameters at station 2T which is located within the ODZ of the Eastern Tropical Pacific. Total Cr concentration and isotope ratios measured at 2T (blue dots) are shown alongside the $\sigma_0$ adjusted SAFe profiles (orange lines). Mark Altabet (UMass Dartmouth) and Annie Bourbonnais (WHOI) measured nitrogen isotope signatures of nitrate. Error bars of Cr isotope ratios at 2SD.
Figure 3.6. Full water column profiles are given for a suite of parameters at station 7T which is located within the ODZ of the Eastern Tropical Pacific. Total Cr concentration and isotope ratios measured at 7T (blue dots) are shown alongside the $\sigma_0$ adjusted SAFe profiles (orange lines). Mark Altabet (UMass Dartmouth) and Annie Bourbonnais (WHOI) measured nitrogen isotope signatures of nitrate. Error bars of Cr isotope ratios at 2SD.
Figure 3.7. Chromium, oxygen, potential temperature, salinity, nitrate and N* data is plotted for a water column profile taken in the center of the Santa Barbara Basin. Note that the depth of the western sill, that connects the Santa Barbara Basin to the North Pacific, is 475m. Nutrient data was kindly provided by Tyler Coale and Kenneth Bruland, who are both located at University of California, Santa Cruz. Error bars of Cr isotope ratios at 2SD.
Figure 3.8. Using the Rayleigh distillation equation, we model the Cr isotope ratio of the residual Cr(VI) fraction after Cr reduction. For this purpose, three fractionation factors have been chosen. The fractionation factor listed in green font recreates the observed profiles at station 2T best.
Figure 3.9. $\delta^{15}$N$_{\text{NO}_3}$ signatures of station 2T, which were measured by Mark Altabet (UMass Dartmouth) and Annie Bourbonnais (WHOI), are plotted against $\delta^{53}$Cr signatures of station 2T.

$R^2$ (all data points) = 0.58

$R^2$ (excl. data point at 100m) = 0.91
Figure 3.10. Using the Rayleigh distillation equation, we model the Cr isotope ratio of the residual Cr(VI) fraction after Cr reduction. For this purpose, three fractionation factors have been chosen. The fractionation factor listed in green font recreates the observed profiles at our Santa Barbara Basin best.
Figure 3.11. Oxygen concentrations and N* data measured in the Santa Barbara Basin in April and July 2014 are shown. Oxygen and nutrient data, which were used to calculate N*, originate from quarterly expeditions that are conducted by the California Cooperative Oceanic Fisheries Investigations (CalCOFI).
Figure 3.12. Samples from stations 2T, 7T and our Santa Barbara Basin site are plotted in $\delta^{53}$Cr-$\ln([\text{Cr}])$ space alongside published seawater Cr isotope data (Bonnand et al., 2013; Scheiderich et al., 2015).
Chapter 4. Investigating Cr Cycling on the Arctic Chukchi Shelf and in the Central Arctic Ocean using Cr Stable Isotopes

4.1. Introduction

North Pacific surface waters at station PAPA (50°N 145°W, Scheiderich et al., 2015) and at the SAFe station (30°N 140°W, chapter 2 of this thesis) have higher Cr concentrations and lower Cr isotope ratios than the Pacific layer waters of Arctic stations (Scheiderich et al., 2015). This implies that Cr in Pacific waters is being modified during transport into the Arctic Ocean (Scheiderich et al., 2015).

Pacific inflow to the Arctic Ocean occurs through the Bering Strait via the highly productive surface waters of the Chukchi shelf. Scheiderich et al. (2015) suggested that the Cr modification of Pacific source waters occurs within the Chukchi shelf waters as biological productivity may promote Cr reduction (by an unspecified mechanism) followed by export of reduced Cr to the sediments.

The high primary productivity of the Chukchi Sea, ultimately leads to anoxia in the underlying sediments due to the respiration of high fluxes of exported organic matter. In the absence of oxygen, microbes break down organic matter using alternative electron acceptor such as nitrate. The reduction process of nitrate (=fixed nitrogen) to N₂O and N₂ gas is called denitrification. Several studies have observed high rates of denitrification in the anoxic sediments of the shallow Chukchi shelf (Chang and Devol, 2009; Devol et al., 1997; Mills et al., 2015). Nitrogen cycling on the Chukchi shelf is complex and more accurately described as a coupled partial nitrification-denitrification (CPND) process (Granger et al., 2011; Mills et al., 2015). This process leads to traceable negative N* values and to traceable ¹⁵N-enriched and ¹⁸O-depleted isotope signatures of NO₃⁻ (Granger et al., 2011). Since the Chukchi shelf is very shallow (~50m), the denitrification signal can be transferred from the sediment – seawater interface to the entire water column. For example, brine rejection in the early winter leads to convective overturning and mixing of the shallow water column (Pickart et al., 2016). Since sedimentary denitrification rates do not vary
with season (Chang and Devol, 2009), both PSW and PWW may carry this denitrification signal from the Chukchi shelf into the Canada Basin (Brown et al., 2015).

Since chromate’s reduction potential at seawater pH is only slightly lower than that of nitrate and higher than that of sulfate (Stumm and Morgan, 2012), it is conceivable that microbes directly reduce chromate in the anoxic Chukchi shelf sediment. Additionally, potent Cr(VI) reductants such as Fe(II) and sulfide may be produced in and released from the anoxic sediments.

We had the opportunity to participate in the 2015 US Arctic GEOTRACES expedition which featured sampling stations in the North Pacific Ocean, the Bering Strait, on the Chukchi Shelf and in multiple basins of the Western Arctic Ocean (figure 4.1). Here, we present Cr concentration and Cr isotope data from a station on the shallow Chukchi shelf and from a central Arctic station.

4.2. Seawater sampling and oceanographic setting

A 23-depth water column profile was taken during the US Arctic GEOTRACES expedition aboard the U.S. Coast Guard Cutter Healy (HLY1502, GN01) at 85.1347°N and 150.0967°W (station 43, figure 4.1). This station is located on the Alpha ridge with the Canada basin to the south and the Makarov basin to the north. At the time of occupation (September 15th and 16th 2015) surface waters were covered by thick ice floes.

A second water column profile was retrieved on the Chukchi shelf (station 61, figure 4.1) at 72.8°N and 159.6°W on October 10th 2015. A bottom depth of 82m was recorded at this station.

All seawater samples were collected with the US GEOTRACES Carousel, which contains 24 Teflon-coated 12-L GO-FLO bottles (Cutter and Bruland, 2012). On deck the GO-FLO bottles were removed from the rosette and transferred into a clean van for sampling. Within 2 hours of collection the seawater was filtered with either a pre-cleaned 0.2µm AcroPak Supor capsule or a pre-cleaned 25mm membrane filter (Supor, 0.45µm). The 2L seawater samples were acidified to pH ~2 with 4ml of 6N HCl in December 2015 and processed for total Cr isotope signatures in December 2016 (station 43) and in April 2017 (station 61).
4.3. Methods

Total seawater Cr isotope signatures were determined via a procedure that has been presented in detail in thesis chapter 2. Here, an abbreviated version is given. First, all Cr in a given seawater sample is converted to the trivalent Cr form by acidification and prolonged storage at pH 2 (Semeniuk et al., 2016). Subsequently, a $^{50}\text{Cr} - ^{54}\text{Cr}$ double spike (also present as Cr(III)) is equilibrated with the seawater sample over a 3-day time period. A double spike technique was chosen since it permits the correction of mass fractionations that occur during sample processing and instrumental analysis (Rudge et al., 2009). Total Cr is preconcentrated as Cr(III) by Mg(OH)$_2$ coprecipitation (Semeniuk et al., 2016; Wu and Boyle, 1997). The resulting Mg(OH)$_2$ pellet is dissolved slightly beyond the neutralization point using HCl, such that Cr is present in a 0.02 M HCl medium. Cr is separated from isobaric (i.e. Ti, V, Fe) and polyatomic interferences (e.g. S) through a series of 3 AG 1-X8 anion-exchange columns, the first of which requires Cr to be present in the oxidized form. Cr oxidation is performed using ammonium persulfate (Götz and Heumann, 1988) under carefully controlled pH, temperature and time settings in order to prevent back-reduction of Cr(VI) due to H$_2$O$_2$ generation (Palme, 1920). Now that Cr is present as negatively charged CrO$_4^{2-}$, it sticks to the binding sites of the first anion exchange column, whereas the matrix cations (e.g. Mg, V, Ti, Fe) do not stick (Ball and Bassett, 2000). The second anion exchange column functions as a sulfur cleanup step with SO$_4^{2-}$ again binding to the resin, while positively charged Cr(III) ions pass through. A third column step eliminates Fe traces as Fe sticks to the resin as negatively charged FeCl$_4^{-}$ complexes (Yamakawa et al., 2009) and positively charged Cr(III) ions do not. Mass abundances (49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 56) in the purified sample were detected on the IsoProbe. This MC-ICP-MS contains a hexapole collision chamber that removes many polyatomic interferences and thereby allows Cr isotope analysis in low resolution mode. During tuning of the IsoProbe the Cr signal is maximized relative to the polyatomic sulfur signal. Seawater isotopic signatures are reported as $\delta^{53}\text{Cr}$ values in per mil by comparing the $^{53}\text{Cr}/^{52}\text{Cr}$ ratio of a sample to that of SRM979 (equation 1).

$$\delta^{53}\text{Cr} \ (\%_o) = \left( \frac{\left(\frac{^{53}\text{Cr}}{^{52}\text{Cr}}\right)_{\text{Sample}}}{\left(\frac{^{53}\text{Cr}}{^{52}\text{Cr}}\right)_{\text{SRM979}}} - 1 \right) \times 1000 \quad (1)$$
4.3.1. Other oceanographic parameters

Fe(II) concentrations were determined immediately after sample retrieval by Maija I. Heller (Ifremer).

Salinity, temperature, and pressure were measured with the CTD sensors of the GEOTRACES carousel. Potential temperature was derived from this data using a MATLAB script that is based on the international thermodynamic equation of seawater (IOC et al., 2010) and provided by the Gibbs-SeaWater (GSW) Oceanographic Toolbox (McDougall and Barker, 2011).

Nutrient (i.e. [NO$_3^-$], [PO$_4^{3-}$], [dSi]) and oxygen concentrations were measured by ODF scientists from UCSD/SIO under the leadership of James H. Swift.

The tracer N* measures NO$_3^-$ loss or gain relative to the Redfield [NO$_3^-$] : [PO$_4^{3-}$] relationship (equation 2; Deutsch et al., 2001; Gruber and Sarmiento, 1997). Generally, negative N* values indicate NO$_3^-$ loss due to denitrification, whereas positive N* values indicate NO$_3^-$ gain due to N$_2$ fixation.

\[
N^* \left( \frac{\mu mol}{kg} \right) = ([NO_3^-] + [NO_2^-] + [NH_4^+]) - 16\times[PO_4^{3-}] + 2.9 \frac{\mu mol}{kg}
\]  

Taking advantage of the distinct nitrate : phosphate concentration ratios of Pacific and Atlantic waters, the fraction of Pacific water (FRAC$_{PW}$) in a given Arctic Ocean sample was calculated (Jones et al., 2003, 1998). While the slope of the N : P line exhibited by the pure endmembers is anchored in the Redfield ratio (Redfield, 1958) and therefore similar, the lines themselves are offset. At the same phosphate concentration, Pacific water contains less nitrate than Atlantic water due to denitrification within the basin. In making this comparison, we are aware of nitrate $\delta^{18}$O data indicating that the inflowing Pacific nitrate is completely recycled over the shelf (Granger et al., 2011); we simply note that both nitrate and phosphate are both largely remineralized, hence retaining the Pacific N:P relationship. Following the example of Jones et al. (1998), we plot nitrate and phosphate data taken within the Arctic Ocean (Station 7 – Station 48) during the 2015 US
GEOTRACES Arctic expedition (figure 4.2). Linear regression (courtesy of James H. Swift, SIO) is used to arrive at equations 3 and 4 which describe the two endmembers:

\[
PO_{4_{AW}} = (0.0792 \times NO_{3_{OBS}}) - 0.135 \tag{3}
\]

\[
PO_{4_{PW}} = (0.0711 \times NO_{3_{OBS}}) + 0.720 \tag{4}
\]

\(PO_{4_{AW}}\) refers to the phosphate concentration the pure Atlantic water endmember would have at the observed nitrate concentration (=\(NO_{3_{OBS}}\)) of a given sample. Similarly, \(PO_{4_{PW}}\) refers to the phosphate concentration the pure Pacific water endmember would have at the observed nitrate concentration (=\(NO_{3_{OBS}}\)) of a given sample. Comparing the observed phosphate concentration of the sample in question (\(PO_{4_{OBS}}\)) to the calculated phosphate concentration of the pure endmembers, allows us to quantify the fraction of Pacific water (\(FRAC_{PW}\)) in the sample:

\[
FRAC_{PW} = \frac{PO_{4_{OBS}} - PO_{4_{AW}}}{PO_{4_{PW}} - PO_{4_{AW}}} \tag{5}
\]

4.4. Results and Discussion

4.4.1. Shallow Chukchi Shelf (Station 61)

The properties of the Pacific inflow to the Arctic Ocean vary seasonally, giving rise to Pacific Winter Water (PWW) and Pacific Summer Water (PSW). Looking at the water column of station 61 on the shallow Chukchi shelf, the upper 40m are occupied by PSW, whereas PWW resides in the bottom water (figure 4.3). PWW is characterized by decreased (but still fully oxic) \(O_2\) levels, lower temperatures, higher salinity and higher dissolved silicate levels. Most strikingly, the bottom waters are characterized by very negative \(N^*\) values, which indicate denitrification.

At station 61, Cr isotope ratios shift dramatically from \(~1.40\%\) in the upper 20m to 3.85\% and 4.15\% below 50m depth (figure 4.4). These are, by far, the heaviest seawater Cr isotope signatures
measured within this thesis, as well as reported in the seawater Cr isotope literature (Bonnand et al., 2013; Scheiderich et al., 2015). At the same time, Cr concentrations first increase slightly between the surface and 20m depth (1.63 nmol/kg to 1.95 nmol/kg) before dropping to 1.52 nmol/kg below 50m. These observations are consistent with Cr reduction, which results in lower [Cr] and heavier $\delta^{53}$Cr. However, the magnitude of this $\delta^{53}$Cr increase is in the upper range of observed isotope effects in laboratory experiments and field data (e.g. Ellis et al., 2002).

4.4.1.1. $\delta^{53}$Cr-ln([Cr]) plot

In $\delta^{53}$Cr-ln([Cr]) space, the Chukchi shelf samples taken at 55m and 80m depth plot far from the Rayleigh-like fractionation line, that was previously observed for samples presented by Bonnard et al. (2013), Scheiderich et al. (2015), and in chapters 2 and 3 of this thesis (figure 4.5). This implies a much higher fractionation factor than the average $\varepsilon=0.80\%$ previously observed for the global Cr array (Scheiderich et al., 2015) and perhaps a novel isotope fractionation process at work. In $\delta^{53}$Cr-ln([Cr]) space, the Chukchi shelf samples taken in the upper 20m coincide with Arctic surface mixed layer samples analyzed by Scheiderich et al. (2015).

We proceed by discussing potential Cr reduction mechanisms that may occur in the oxic bottom waters and in the anoxic sediment pore waters of the Chukchi shelf.

4.4.1.2. Cr(VI) reduction by Fe(II) in the oxic bottom waters

By far the highest dFe(II) concentrations of the entire US Arctic Ocean expedition were detected at station 61, at depths of 55m (~0.1 nM) and 80m (~0.5 nM) (figure 4.4; Maija I. Heller, personal communication). The only viable source of this reduced Fe species are the underlying anoxic sediments, where concentrations can be expected to be even higher than in the bottom waters. Dissolved Fe(II) is a potent reductant of Cr(VI), with the reaction occurring on the order of minutes at micromolar concentrations in the laboratory (Eary and Rai, 1988).

$$3\text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{CrO}_4^{2-} + 16\text{H}^+ = 3\text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{Cr}^{3+} + 8\text{H}_2\text{O}$$

(6)
Cr reduction by Fe(II) would likely generate isotopically light Cr(III), which is particle-reactive. Cr(III) would presumably be scavenged by particles, and residual heavy Cr(VI) would remain in the lower water column.

Sedlak and Chan (1997) investigated the kinetics of this reaction and found that it was first order with respect to both Fe(II) and Cr(VI). Under the room temperature laboratory conditions of ~1 µM Fe(II), the Cr(VI) half-life was a few minutes. With Fe(II) concentrations ~20000x lower and at 4°C, the Cr(VI) half-life would be about two – three months.

4.4.1.3. Cr(VI) reduction in the anoxic sediment pore waters

Cr is observed to be enriched in reducing sediments (Reinhard et al., 2014). If Cr reduction is happening in the anoxic pore waters of the sediment, then there would be a steep Cr concentration gradient near the sediment-water interface (see section 4.4.1.5). The transfer of Cr from the bottom waters into the sediments, would result in lower Cr concentrations in the bottom waters.

But a similar steep concentration gradient is known to occur for nitrate in the upper pore waters of reducing sediments, and evidence suggests that total diffusion of nitrate into the sedimentary denitrification zone produces a relatively minor nitrate δ¹⁵N fractionation (~1.5 ‰; Brandes and Devol, 2002, 1997). In the absence of contrary information, we should expect a similar result for Cr isotope fractionation, hence although sedimentary Cr reduction is a plausible mechanism for reducing bottom water chromium concentrations and enriching the Cr concentration of reducing sediments, it would not appear to be a viable mechanism for the heavy Cr isotope enrichments that we observe.

There is very little published pore water data for chromium. Brumsack and Gieskes (1983) generally observed an increase of total Cr concentrations with depth in sediment pore waters in the oxygen minimum zone of the Gulf of California. As this increase in [Cr] was correlated to an increase in ‘a yellow substance’, which they classified as organic matter, they attributed it to remineralization of Cr rich organic matter. Shaw et al. (1990) observed a peak in Cr concentrations at the oxic/suboxic pore water interface which they ascribed to a recent deepening of the oxic zone,
triggering oxidative release of Cr(III) from minerals and particle surfaces. Cr concentrations in pore waters fell within the suboxic zone indicating Cr removal via reduction.

4.4.1.4. Cr(VI) reduction mechanisms in the anoxic sediment pore waters

In the anoxic sediment zone, Fe(III) in Fe(III)hydroxides can be reduced to dFe(II) by microbes. *Shewanella* is such a bacterium capable of dissimilatory Fe(III) reduction (Caccavo et al., 1992; Wielinga et al., 2001). The produced dFe(II) can swiftly reduce Cr(VI) with reaction times on the order of minutes (Eary and Rai, 1988). In this process dFe(II) is oxidized to dFe(III), which is a highly insoluble species that will likely precipitate again as Fe(III)hydroxides. The produced Cr(III) may be immobilized by incorporation into Fe(III)hydroxides, and by scavenging onto the surface of Fe(III)hydroxide minerals or other particles in the sediment. As previously mentioned, high levels of dFe(II) were detected in the bottom waters at station 61 (figure 4.4; Maija I. Heller, personal communication). This serves as indirect evidence for the presence of high concentrations of dFe(II) in the underlying sediments, so it is likely that some significant Cr reduction is occurring within them.

Additionally, acid volatile sulfides (AVS) have been recognized as potent reductants of Cr in sediments (Graham and Bouwer, 2010; Pettine et al., 1994). AVS is an operational measurement that includes multiple dissolved sulfide reductants (e.g. H₂S, HS⁻, polysulfides) and mineral sulfide reductants (e.g. FeS, Fe₃S₄).

Furthermore, Cr(VI) may itself be used directly as an electron acceptor during anaerobic respiration by microbes. Several studies have observed high rates of denitrification in the anoxic sediments of the shallow Chukchi shelf (Chang and Devol, 2009; Devol et al., 1997; Mills et al., 2015) and the energy gain for the Cr(VI)-Cr(III) redox couple is only slightly lower than that of the NO₃⁻-NO₂⁻ redox couple (Stumm and Morgan, 2012).

Fendorf et al. (2000) compared Cr(VI) reduction rates for dFe(II), dS(-II) and direct microbial reduction. At a pH of ~8, which we assume to prevail in the sediment pore waters, reduction rates
for Fe(II) far exceed those of sulfide and microbial reduction. This makes Fe(II) the most likely reductant in the anoxic sediments of the Chukchi shelf.

4.4.1.5. Cr concentration gradient for the possibility of sedimentary Cr reduction

Following the assumption that all observed Cr reduction occurs in the anoxic sediment pore waters of the Chukchi shelf, a pore water Cr concentration gradient can be calculated using Fick’s first law of diffusion (Fick, 1855). Applying this law, the Cr removal flux over the Chukchi shelf’s anoxic sediment area should equal the diffusion coefficient \(D\) multiplied by the pore water Cr concentration gradient \(\Delta [Cr]/\Delta z\) (equation 7). In order to calculate the Cr removal flux over the shelf’s anoxic sediment area (equation 8), we need to estimate three terms: the difference in Cr concentration of the incoming Pacific waters (SAFe station, \([Cr]=3.16\) nmol/kg, chapter 2) and the bottom waters of station 61 (\([Cr]=1.52\) nmol/kg), the Pacific inflow through the Bering Strait (\(0.8 \times 10^6\) \(m^3/s\), Beszczynska-Möller et al. (2011)), and the area that the shallow Chukchi shelf spans (\(3.54 \times 10^{11}\) m\(^2\)).

\[
Cr\text{ removal flux over the Chukchi shelf’s anoxic sediment area} = D \times \frac{\Delta [Cr]}{\Delta z} \quad (7)
\]

\[
\frac{\Delta [Cr]_{Pacific-Arctic} \times Pacific\ inflow\ through\ the\ Bering\ Strait}{shallow\ Chukchi\ shelf\ area} = D \times \frac{\Delta [Cr]}{\Delta z} \quad (8)
\]

Using these estimates and a typically diffusion coefficient of \(2.5 \times 10^{-5}\) \(cm^2/s\), we arrive at a pore water Cr concentration gradient of \(\sim 15\) \(nM/cm\). Given that the bottom waters only hold 1.56 nM of Cr, Cr in sediment pore waters would get exhausted over \(~1mm\).

However, as noted above, sedimentary Cr reduction should not be expected to contribute much to the observed bottom water Cr isotope fractionation. Although the sedimentary sink could be a significant source of Cr loss to the sediments, there must be a comparably significant mechanism that can produce a Cr isotope signal. As noted above, we suggest that Fe(II) diffusion from the sediments into the oxic bottom water – followed by Cr(VI) reduction to Cr(III) - may be this
mechanism. This is supported by the observed high bottom water Fe(II) concentrations and the kinetics of the reaction.

4.4.2. Central Arctic (Station 43)

The water column at station 43 above the Alpha Ridge is composed of both Pacific and Atlantic waters. When the fraction of Pacific water in each sample at station 43 is calculated using equations 3 – 5 (figure 4.6), it becomes evident that the upper 100m predominantly contain Pacific water (e.g. 97%-76%, generally decreasing with depth). Below 100m, Pacific water is no longer the dominant water mass and is completely absent below ~250m depth.

Considering figures 4.6 and 4.7 in tandem, we attempt to identify water masses. A first temperature maximum between 35m and 54m indicates the presence of PSW, whereas a temperature minimum between 74m and 99m indicates the presence of PWW. Furthermore, the highest silicate and phosphate concentrations, relatively high nitrate and relatively low oxygen concentrations, and the most negative N* values are all recorded at a depth of 99m and match commonly accepted characteristics of PWW (Jones and Anderson, 1986; Woodgate et al., 2005). Fram Strait Branch Waters (FSBW) give rise to a temperature maximum (Rudels et al., 2013), which occurs at 329m at station 43. Beneath this maximum, an inflection point occurs in potential temperature – salinity space at ~700m depth, where colder Barents Sea Branch Water (BSBW) becomes dominant (Rudels et al., 2013). Bottom waters with relatively lower oxygen concentrations are located below the BSBW (below ~1200m depth).

At station 43, a general increase of chromium concentrations and a general decrease of Cr isotopic signatures occurs synchronously with depth (figure 4.6). An analogous ‘mirror image’ of Cr concentration and Cr isotope profiles was previously observed by Scheiderich et al. (2015) in the Canadian basin (figure 4.1). At station 43, both the Cr concentration and isotope profile develop proportionally to the fraction of Pacific water. Consequently, we can infer that the mixing of Pacific water (lower [Cr], heavier $\delta^{53}$Cr) and Atlantic water (higher [Cr], lighter $\delta^{53}$Cr) gives rise to the overall shape of the Cr profiles. We proceed to describe the Cr profiles in greater detail.
The lowest total chromium concentration (1.62 nmol/kg) at station 43 is found at ~19m depth (figure 4.6). Concentrations increase generally over the upper ~224m to 2.58nmol/kg and are maintained through ~1000m. Over the same depth span, the $\delta^{53}$Cr isotope signatures drop from +1.65‰ to +0.87‰. The presence of PWW at depth 99.6m is reflected as an indentation towards slightly lower Cr concentrations and slightly heavier Cr isotope ratios.

Both Cr concentration and Cr isotope profiles show fluctuations that exceed analytical uncertainties at intermediate depths. While the variations in $\delta^{53}$Cr are marginally significant when considering that each measurement has an uncertainty of at least $\pm 0.07$‰ (2 SD), the variations in [Cr] are significant (precision for reference sample: [Cr] = 3.30±0.01 nmol/kg (2 SD), n=3). A possible explanation is ‘the existence of several cyclonic circulation cells at intermediate depths, closely linked to topography’ (J. H. Swift, personal communication), which have been confirmed by cruise data. Specifically, the circulation of older and colder Atlantic water was observed above the Alpha Ridge (J. H. Swift, personal communication), where our station is located. In general, a certain degree of interweaving of waters from the Makarov Basin and the Canadian Basin can be expected as station 43 sits on a ridge between the two basins.

Within the bottom waters (starting at ~1200m depth with declining [O$_2$]), both Cr concentrations and Cr isotope signatures become much more stable than in the overlying Atlantic water masses. Cr in the bottom waters appears to be isotopically lighter than Cr in the above Barents Sea Branch Waters and Fram Strait Branch Waters ($\delta^{53}$Cr bottom water= 0.80±0.05‰, $\delta^{53}$Cr BSBW=0.93±0.01‰, $\delta^{53}$Cr FSBW=0.93±0.17‰; 2SE for all). On the other hand, the mean Cr concentrations of these 3 water masses are not significantly different ([Cr]bottom water=2.65±0.07 nmol/kg, [Cr]BSBW=2.63±0.18 nmol/kg, [Cr]FSBW=2.57±0.11 nmol/kg; 2SE for all).

Five meters above the seafloor, the $\delta^{53}$Cr signature of seawater shifts to a significantly more positive value (+1.00‰), while the [Cr] increases only slightly from 2.69 nmol/kg to 2.73 nmol/kg.

In the above discussion, the sample at 1600m depth with a much higher Cr concentration of 3.46 nmol/kg (in brackets, figure 4.6) is excluded due to likely contamination.
4.4.2.1. Cr data from station 43 above the Alpha Ridge on a $\delta^{53}$Cr-ln([Cr]) plot

In $\delta^{53}$Cr-ln([Cr]) space, Cr in the Pacific water mass at station 43 falls on the previously observed Rayleigh-like fractionation line (figure 4.8). This implies that the extreme Cr isotope fractionation signal, that was imprinted onto the Chukchi shelf samples at 55m and 80m depth and resulted in them falling off the previously observed Rayleigh-like fractionation line, is not transported to station 43 within the Pacific water layer.

In contrast to Cr in the Pacific water mass, Cr in the Atlantic and bottom water masses at station 43 plots below the previously observed Rayleigh-like fractionation line (figure 4.8). Out of all samples, the 4 bottom water samples plot furthest below the line. This is a first indication that Cr isotope signatures in the Atlantic and bottom water masses are shaped by processes distinct from those shaping Cr isotope signatures of samples which fall onto the Rayleigh-like fractionation line. At this point, these processes are unknown.

4.5. Conclusions

Cr gets progressively lower in concentration and heavier in isotope signature as Pacific water flows from the SAFe station in the North Pacific to our central Arctic station (SAFe: [Cr]= 3.16 nmol/kg, $\delta^{53}$Cr=1.01‰; Station 61: [Cr]= 1.63 nmol/kg, $\delta^{53}$Cr= 1.43‰; Station 43: [Cr]= 1.62 nmol/kg, $\delta^{53}$Cr=1.56‰). This may be due to biological uptake of light Cr followed by export to depth (Scheiderich et al., 2015; Semeniuk et al., 2016). More stations need to be analyzed between SAFe station and our central Arctic station to understand how significant the role of the highly productive Chukchi waters is in this removal and fractionation of Cr.

In the bottom waters of the shallow Chukchi shelf, we observed a shift to the heaviest Cr isotope signatures for seawater reported to date ($\delta^{53}$Cr=3.85‰, 4.15‰), while Cr concentrations decreased. This isotope signal is consistent with Cr reduction which takes place in the oxic bottom waters due to the release of a potent Cr(VI) reductant such as ferrous iron from the anoxic sediments of the Chukchi shelf. Additionally, Cr reduction (e.g. by Fe(II) or acid volatile sulfides, direct dissimilatory Cr(VI) reduction) will likely occur within the anoxic sediments, but is expected to
create very little isotope fractionation into the bottom water. In $\delta^{53}\text{Cr-In([Cr])}$ space, the Chukchi bottom water samples plot far from the Rayleigh-like fractionation line, that was previously observed for samples presented by Bonnand et al. (2013), Scheiderich et al. (2015), and in chapters 2 and 3 of this thesis. This implies a much higher fractionation factor than the average $\varepsilon=0.80\%$ previously observed for the global Cr array (Scheiderich et al., 2015) and perhaps a novel isotope fractionation process at work.

A full water column profile taken at 85°N was analyzed. In general, Cr profiles at this station reflect the mixing of modified Pacific water (lower [Cr], heavier $\delta^{53}\text{Cr}$) and Atlantic water (higher [Cr], lighter $\delta^{53}\text{Cr}$). The extreme Cr isotope fractionation signal, that was imprinted onto the Chukchi shelf samples at 55m and 80m depth, is not reflected in the Pacific water layer of station 43. In contrast to Cr in the Pacific water mass, Cr in the Atlantic and bottom water masses at station 43 plots below the previously observed Rayleigh-like fractionation line. This is a first indication that Cr isotope signatures in the Atlantic and bottom water masses are shaped by processes distinct from those shaping Cr isotope signatures of samples which fall onto the Rayleigh-like fractionation line. At this point, these processes are unknown.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>batch no.</th>
<th>location</th>
<th>cruise</th>
<th>station</th>
<th>lat. (°N)</th>
<th>long. (°E)</th>
<th>depth (m)</th>
<th>[Cr] (nmol/kg)</th>
<th>δ⁵³Cr (‰)</th>
<th>Ti &amp; V correction on Cr50 (‰)</th>
<th>Fe correction on Cr54(‰)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Arctic: Alpha Ridge</td>
<td>HLY1502</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>85.13</td>
<td>-150.10</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>-3.53</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>53.9</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>-1.28</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>73.9</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>-2.33</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>138.9</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>-0.97</td>
<td>-0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>499.2</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>-0.66</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>599.1</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>-1.56</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>848.9</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>-1.10</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1198.8</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>-2.35</td>
<td>-1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2053.6</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>-4.23</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2153.7</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>-0.95</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Arctic: Alpha Ridge</td>
<td>HLY1502</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>85.13</td>
<td>-150.10</td>
<td>34.9</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>-1.50</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>99.6</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>-0.46</td>
<td>-0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>173.8</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>-0.60</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>224</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>-0.41</td>
<td>-0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>274.4</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>-0.85</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>414</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>-3.07</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>498.9</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>-0.39</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>698.9</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>-0.32</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>998.8</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>-1.01</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1398.9</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>-1.17</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1598.9</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>-4.95</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1798.8</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>-5.53</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2179</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>-0.63</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Arctic: Chukchi Shelf</td>
<td>HLY1502</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>72.80</td>
<td>-159.62</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>-0.92</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>-0.98</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>54.9</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>-1.11</td>
<td>-1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>79.6</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>-0.72</td>
<td>-1.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.1. Cr isotope ratio and concentration data associated with two stations in the Arctic Ocean.
Figure 4.1. This map shows the sampling locations of the US Arctic GEOTRACES expedition (August to October 2015). We present Cr data from station 43, which is located on the Alpha Ridge with the Makarov Basin to the north and the Canada Basin to the south. Note the location of the Chukchi shelf between Russia and Alaska. Previously, Scheiderich et al. (2015) published Cr concentration and isotope ratio data of seawater samples which were taken in the Canada Basin (red trapezoid above marks their general sampling area). This map was made using Ocean Data View (Schlitzer, 2013).
Figure 4.2. Phosphate and nitrate concentrations of seawater samples within the Arctic Ocean (stations 7 - 48) are plotted. Pure Atlantic-origin water (= black dots) and pure Pacific-origin water (= dark red dots) form 2 distinct lines on this plot. Data points in the middle of these two lines are a product of mixing between the two endmembers. Each sample’s data point is colored according to the fraction of Pacific-origin water it contains. This plot was made using Ocean Data View (Schlitzer, 2013).
Figure 4.3. A potential temperature-salinity plot helps identify water masses at station 61 of the US Arctic GEOTRACES expedition: PSW = Pacific Summer Water, and PWW = Pacific Winter Water.
Figure 4.4. Full water column profiles are given for a suite of parameters at station 61 in the Arctic Ocean. Fe(II) concentrations were measured by Maija I. Heller. Cr isotope ratio error bars are 2SD.
Figure 4.5. Samples from station 61 on the Chukchi shelf are plotted in $\delta^{53}$Cr-ln([Cr]) space alongside published seawater Cr isotope data (Bonnand et al., 2013; Scheiderich et al., 2015).
Figure 4.6. Full water column profiles (1st row) and more detailed profiles of the upper 500 m (2nd row) are given for a suite of parameters at station 43 in the Arctic Ocean. Cr isotope ratio error bars are 2SD.
Figure 4.6 continued. Full water column profiles (1st row) and more detailed profiles of the upper 500 m (2nd row) are given for a suite of parameters at station 43 in the Arctic Ocean.
Figure 4.6 continued. Full water column profiles (1st row) and more detailed profiles of the upper 500 m (2nd row) are given for a suite of parameters at station 43 in the Arctic Ocean.
Figure 4.7. A potential temperature-salinity plot helps identify water masses at station 43 of the US Arctic GEOTRACES expedition: PSW = Pacific Summer Water, PWW = Pacific Winter Water, FSBW = Fram Strait Branch Water, and BSBW = Barents Sea Branch Water.
Figure 4.8. Samples from station 43 in the Central Arctic Ocean are plotted in $\delta^{53}$Cr-$\ln([Cr])$ space alongside published seawater Cr isotope data (Bonnand et al., 2013; Scheiderich et al., 2015).
Chapter 5. Summary, Conclusions & Outlook

5.1. Method for determination of accurate and precise Cr isotope ratios in seawater

Within this PhD thesis, I developed a precise and accurate chromium isotope method for seawater samples. After acidification of seawater samples to pH 2, Cr(VI) is converted to Cr(III) over the course of several months (Semeniuk et al., 2016). A $^{50}$Cr-$^{54}$Cr double spike is added and allowed to equilibrate with the sample for 3 days. At this point, all chromium is present as trivalent Cr which can be preconcentrated with Mg(OH)$_2$ coprecipitation (Wu and Boyle, 1997; Semeniuk et al., 2016). HCl is added slightly beyond the neutralization point of the Mg(OH)$_2$ pellet, such that Cr is present in a 0.02 M HCl solution. This is followed by a Cr oxidation step using ammonium persulfate which demands careful pH and temperature control. Although this oxidation step has been employed by several other non-seawater Cr isotope studies (e.g. Ball and Bassett, 2000; Frei et al., 2009; Schoenberg et al., 2008), none point out the pitfall of a back-reduction due to H$_2$O$_2$ generation (Palme, 1920). Additionally, the degree of APS decomposition during this step determines how much sulfur persists after the first anion-exchange column. Purification of the seawater matrix is achieved with a 3-anion exchange column scheme. The first column separates Cr, which is present as the oxyanion CrO$_4^{2-}$, from the salt matrix (e.g. Mg) and from isobaric interferences (i.e. V, Ti, Fe) (e.g. Ball and Bassett, 2000; Frei et al., 2009; Schoenberg et al., 2008). Sulfur species, which form polyatomics that interfere with accurate Cr analysis, are removed in a second column run for which Cr is present in the trivalent state. Traces of Fe are eliminated in a third column step with Fe present as FeCl$_4^-$ and Cr present as positively charged Cr(III) (Yamakawa et al., 2009). Abundances of masses 49-56 of purified samples are detected in low resolution mode on the IsoProbe, a MC-ICP-MS featuring a hexapole collision cell capable of eliminating many polyatomic interferences. The machine is tuned such that the ratio of the Cr signal to the polyatomic sulfur signal is maximized. $\delta^{53}$Cr values of samples are calculated with respect to bracketing SRM-DS solutions.

Method adjustment for seawater Cr(III) isotope ratio measurements. Although only total Cr isotope signatures were determined here, the developed method can be used to measure Cr(III)
isotope signatures of seawater samples. Samples need to be frozen and processed immediately upon thawing. In the case of Cr(III), a 3-day isotope equilibration period between sample and DS is not possible. Since the sample would need to remain at seawater pH for 3 days, Cr(III) would likely partially adsorb to the walls of the separatory funnels. Furthermore, over the course of 3 days uncontrolled changes in redox state may occur and artificially alter the seawater’s original Cr(III) isotope signature. Tests during the method development stage indicated a Cr(III) recovery of 100% for the Mg(OH)₂ coprecipitation step. This was independently verified by Davidson et al. (2017) and Semeniuk et al. (2016) using a Cr-51 radio tracer. Given a reliable 100% Cr recovery during the preconcentration step, isotope equilibration between the sample and the DS is not necessary and consequently, the Mg(OH)₂ precipitation can be induced directly after adding the DS. A further option to separate Cr(III) from Cr(VI) is the addition of Chelex 100 resin to seawater immediately after sampling. At the pH of seawater, Chelex 100 resin binds ≥99% of Cr(III) but not Cr(VI) (Rue et al., 1997). So far, the Cr blank associated with this resin has been significant and may obstruct the precise determination of Cr(III) isotope ratios upon elution of Cr(III) from the resin beads. In contrast, the hexavalent fraction of Cr should not be affected by this resin blank. Once resin beads are filtered out of the seawater sample, it can be acidified to convert Cr(VI) to Cr(III) in solution and processing through the developed isotope method can start once conversion is complete.

5.2. Interpretation of Cr isotope ratio data of analyzed seawater samples

In this thesis, seawater samples from the Arctic Ocean, the open North Pacific Ocean (including from within the oxygen deficient zone of the Eastern Tropical North Pacific), and the Santa Barbara Basin were analyzed for total Cr isotope signatures (δ⁵³Cr) and total Cr concentrations. Hereby, the number of reliable published Cr isotope data for seawater samples (Bonnand et al., 2013; Scheiderich et al., 2015) has been more than doubled. In all three investigated settings, a fraction of the Cr data indicated that in-situ Cr reduction was occurring. The reduction of soluble Cr(VI) results in the production of isotopically light, particle reactive Cr(III) (e.g. Ellis et al., 2002). Trivalent Cr is removed from the system by scavenging onto solid surfaces. The residual Cr becomes isotopically heavy (e.g. Ellis et al., 2002).
**Oligotrophic North Pacific.** Here, we present the first full water column profile of Cr isotope ratios in the Pacific Ocean (SAFe station). The profile is oceanographically consistent as Cr concentrations and isotope ratios develop gradually with water masses and the profile shapes are correlated with other oceanographic parameters. The correlation of the Cr and dissolved Si data is evident; the SAFe data on its own supports Cr being a nutrient-type element. However in the context of other published data, inconsistencies remain with regard to the potential biological control on Cr (Bonnand et al., 2013; Scheiderich et al., 2015; Sirinawin et al., 2000). Furthermore, we speculate that a heavy Cr isotope peak at 200m at SAFe may originate from advection and diffusion of a Cr reduction signal out of the ODZ of the ETNP. In $\delta^{53}\text{Cr}$-ln([Cr]) space, the SAFe data and our internal reference sample (from 100m depth in the SPSG) fall on the same previously observed Rayleigh-like fractionation line (Scheiderich et al., 2015).

**Eastern Tropical North Pacific (ETNP) and Santa Barbara Basin.** We measured Cr isotope ratios and concentrations at two stations within the ODZ of the ETNP and at a station in the Santa Barbara Basin which features anoxic bottom waters. Cr profiles are compared to those available at the nearby SAFe station, which is characterized by a fully oxygenated water column.

Our observations at station 2T in the ETNP and at our Santa Barbara site are consistent with Cr reduction in anoxic waters producing isotopically light, particle-reactive Cr(III), which is partially scavenged by particles and exported to depth. Isotopically heavy Cr(VI) is left behind in the upper water column. Since seawater samples from station 2T were not filtered, the magnitude of the Cr reduction signal may have been suppressed by the presence of isotopically light Cr(III) particles.

At station 2T, the observed correlation of $\delta^{53}\text{Cr}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ suggests that Cr reduction may be microbially mediated instead of simply being a product of thermodynamic equilibrium. Alternatively, Cr(VI) may be reduced by Fe(II), which was generated in-situ by dissimilatory Fe reduction and/or was generated in the anoxic pore waters of the Mexican shelf by dissimilatory Fe reduction and subsequently transported offshore to station 2T.

In the Santa Barbara Basin, Cr reduction may take place via the same mechanisms in the anoxic bottom waters and/or in the anoxic sediment pore waters. Additionally, acid volatile sulfides may
contribute to Cr(VI) reduction in the anoxic sediment pore waters. Although the sedimentary Cr reduction can contribute to lowering the Cr concentration of the bottom waters, it is unlikely to result in significant Cr isotope fractionation. If all of the observed Cr reduction took place in the basin’s sediment, Cr in the pore waters would get depleted over a depth range of a millimeter. This narrow depth range would make any Cr analysis in such pore waters extremely challenging.

Is the SAFe station a suitable, fully oxic water column counterpart? The peak in heavy Cr and a subtle decrease in Cr concentrations at SAFe (at 200m depth) are located along the same isopycnal as the biggest total Cr deficit and heaviest Cr anomaly at station 2T (at 100m depth). This point is best illustrated by comparing the Cr profiles of 2T and the $\sigma_0$ adjusted SAFe station at 100m (figure 3.5). It is conceivable that a signal of Cr reduction (=lower [Cr], heavier $\delta^{53}$Cr) generated within the ODZ of the ETNP at station 2T can advect or diffuse to the SAFe station. In that case, a direct comparison between the two stations may underestimate the magnitude of Cr reduction occurring within the ODZ. To verify this hypothesis a transect from the ODZ into oxic waters of the North Pacific is required. Alternatively, a more remote station in the North Pacific such as Station ALOHA (22.75° N, 158°W) could be analyzed with the hope that it is minimally impacted by transport of a Cr reduction signal out of the ODZ.

**Arctic Ocean.** Cr gets progressively lower in concentration and heavier in isotope signature as Pacific water flows from the SAFe station in the North Pacific to our central Arctic station (SAFe: [Cr]= 3.16 nmol/kg, $\delta^{53}$Cr=1.01‰; Station 61: [Cr]= 1.63 nmol/kg, $\delta^{53}$Cr= 1.43‰; Station 43: [Cr]= 1.62 nmol/kg, $\delta^{53}$Cr=1.56‰). This may be due to biological uptake of light Cr followed by export to depth (Scheiderich et al., 2015; Semeniuk et al., 2016). More stations need to be analyzed between SAFe station and our central Arctic station to understand how significant the role of the highly productive Chukchi waters is in this removal and fractionation of Cr.

In the bottom waters of the shallow Chukchi shelf, we observed a shift to the heaviest Cr isotope signatures for seawater reported to date ($\delta^{53}$Cr=3.85‰, 4.15‰), while Cr concentrations decreased. This isotope signal is consistent with Cr reduction which takes place in the oxic bottom waters due to the release of a potent Cr(VI) reductant such as ferrous iron from the anoxic sediments of the Chukchi shelf. Additionally, Cr reduction (e.g. by Fe(II) or acid volatile sulfides, direct
dissimilatory Cr(VI) reduction) will likely occur within the anoxic sediments, but is expected to create very little isotope fractionation into the bottom water. In $\delta^{53}\text{Cr-ln([Cr])}$ space, the Chukchi bottom water samples plot far from the Rayleigh-like fractionation line, that was previously observed for samples presented by Bonnard et al. (2013), Scheiderich et al. (2015), and in chapters 2 and 3 of this thesis. This implies a much higher fractionation factor than the average $\varepsilon=0.80\%$ previously observed for the global Cr array (Scheiderich et al., 2015) and perhaps a novel isotope fractionation process at work.

A full water column profile taken at 85°N was analyzed. In general, Cr profiles at this station reflect the mixing of modified Pacific water (lower [Cr], heavier $\delta^{53}\text{Cr}$) and Atlantic water (higher [Cr], lighter $\delta^{53}\text{Cr}$). The extreme Cr isotope fractionation signal, that was imprinted onto the Chukchi shelf samples at 55m and 80m depth, is not reflected in the Pacific water layer of station 43. In contrast to Cr in the Pacific water mass, Cr in the Atlantic and bottom water masses at station 43 plots below the previously observed Rayleigh-like fractionation line. This is a first indication that Cr isotope signatures in the Atlantic and bottom water masses are shaped by processes distinct from those shaping Cr isotope signatures of samples which fall onto the Rayleigh-like fractionation line. At this point, these processes are unknown.

5.3. Recommendations for future research

Our data shows that changes in isotope signatures of total Cr (=dissolved Cr(III) + dissolved Cr(VI)) in seawater can be detected in response to reduced, isotopically light Cr(III) being scavenged and exported from the system. These shifts in isotope signatures should be even more extreme for individual redox species (=dissolved Cr(III) versus dissolved Cr(VI)). Therefore, future research aimed at studying Cr isotope effects produced by Cr reduction should characterize both Cr(III) and total Cr isotope signatures.

Even though particulate Cr will eventually be exported and removed from the water column, it can reach significant intermittent levels in anoxic zones (Murray et al., 1983). If no filtration is performed the presence of isotopically light particulate Cr(III) may mute the heavy $\delta^{53}\text{Cr}$ anomaly of total Cr or Cr(VI). Sample filtration is thus imperative when wanting to study the fully
articulated heavy $\delta^{53}$Cr anomaly of total Cr or Cr(VI) produced by Cr reduction. It would also make sense to deliberately sample the particulate Cr in the ODZ and measure its isotopic composition.

Future research aimed at studying Cr isotope effects produced by Cr reduction should focus on the following environments: (1) silled basins that develop an anoxic layer (e.g. the Black Sea, the Cariaco Basin, the Saanich Inlet, the Framvaren Fjord), (2) upwelling zones that display anoxia (e.g. the Benguela upwelling system off the coast of Namibia, the Chilean/Peruvian shelf), (3) oxygen deficient zones of the open ocean (e.g. Arabian Sea, Eastern Tropical Southern and Northern Ocean), (4) oxic waters that receive potent Cr(VI) reductants such as ferrous iron from anoxic sediments, and (5) anoxic sediment pore waters. In general, Cr reduction is likely to occur in anoxic (or oxic waters) that exhibit strong signals of denitrification, and reduced species such as dFe(II).

Another potentially important process that has been suggested to control the distribution of Cr in the ocean remains to be tackled by future research: It has been proposed that significant Cr(III) levels can be produced in surface waters by photochemically and biologically mediated redox reactions and are subsequently stabilized by organic ligand complexation (e.g. Sander et al., 2003; Van Der Weijden and Reith, 1982). If this is the case, there is a potential for significant isotope fractionation between inorganic Cr(III), organically complexed Cr(III) and Cr(VI) in the surface waters.
5.4. References


Appendix

Annual variability of total Cr concentrations of seawater samples taken at 40m - 47m depth off of Bermuda

Connelly et al. (2006) presented total Cr concentrations for the upper water column (down to 500m depth) at Hydrostation ‘S’ located southeast of Bermuda. Based on their data, total Cr concentrations varied significantly over the year (sampling occurred in March, June, September, November, and January). Specifically, at a depth of ~50m total Cr concentrations ranged from ~2 nmol/kg to ~5 nmol/kg.

Here, we present total Cr concentrations for seawater samples taken for ~1 year on a ~ weekly basis between 40m and 47m depth at a site proximate to that sampled by Connelly et al. (2006). As part of the Bermuda Testbed Mooring (Dickey et al., 1998), which was situated ~80km southeast of Bermuda (31.73°N, 61.17°W), an autonomous trace metal sampler was installed. This sampler called MITESS is described in detail by Bell et al. (2002). Samples presented here were taken between 11/18/1998 and 10/01/1999.

Total Cr concentrations were analyzed using a single isotope dilution method (sample volume of ~12 mL). Duplicates of each sample were processed (pooled standard deviation of ±0.26 nmol/kg, 2σ). Total Cr concentrations ranged between 2.38 nmol/kg and 2.97 nmol/kg (figure A.1, table A.1). A very subtle seasonal variability was detected with samples from the end of February to the end of June holding slightly less Cr (avg.[Cr]_{02/23/1999-06/30/1999} = 2.51±0.11 nmol/kg, avg.[Cr]_{rest of the year} = 2.75±0.22 nmol/kg; ±2SE). Therefore, our findings do not confirm the strong seasonal variations reported by Connelly et al. (2006).
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### Tables for Appendix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Sampling date</th>
<th>depth m</th>
<th>avg [total Cr] nmol/kg</th>
<th>rejected avg [total Cr] nmol/kg</th>
<th>comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>11/18/98</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td>11/24/98</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>11/30/98</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>12/12/98</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>12/18/98</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.82</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>12/24/98</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>12/30/98</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>01/05/99</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>01/11/99</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>01/17/99</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>01/23/99</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>01/29/99</td>
<td>44</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>pH ~3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107</td>
<td>02/10/99</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108</td>
<td>02/11/99</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109</td>
<td>02/16/99</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>02/22/99</td>
<td>44</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>contaminated for Pb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td>02/23/99</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113</td>
<td>02/28/99</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114</td>
<td>03/07/99</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115</td>
<td>03/13/99</td>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>pH ~3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117</td>
<td>04/02/99</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>04/14/99</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121</td>
<td>04/20/99</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
<td>04/26/99</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>05/24/99</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131</td>
<td>05/30/99</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>134</td>
<td>06/06/99</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137</td>
<td>06/24/99</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>138</td>
<td>06/30/99</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>07/05/99</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>153</td>
<td>08/27/99</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>155</td>
<td>09/03/99</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156</td>
<td>09/10/99</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>157</td>
<td>09/17/99</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td></td>
<td>pH ~3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158</td>
<td>09/24/99</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>161</td>
<td>10/01/99</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table A.1. Total Cr concentrations between 40m - 47m depth off the coast of Bermuda are listed.
Figure A.1. Variations in total Cr concentrations of seawater samples taken between 40m and 47m depth off the coast of Bermuda are shown.