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Abstract

Mesoscale phenomena are ubiquitous and highly energetic features of ocean circulation. Their influence on biological and biogeochemical processes varies widely, stemming from not only advective transport but also through generation of environmental variations that affect biological and chemical rates. Elucidation of the attendant mechanisms of physical-biological-biogeochemical interaction is made difficult by the ephemeral nature of the underlying processes, necessitating the use of multidisciplinary approaches involving in situ observations, remote sensing, and modeling. All three aspects are woven through this review in an attempt to synthesize current understanding of the topic, with particular emphasis on novel developments in recent years.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Interconnection among the physics, biology, and biogeochemistry of the sea stems from three basic sources. First, the rates of biological and chemical reactions depend on environmental parameters such as temperature, salinity, nutrient concentration, etc. Second, hydrodynamic transport continually redistributes dissolved and suspended constituents in the water column. Third, constituents of interest can have directed motion through the water as a result of buoyancy (sinking or floating) and behavior (swimming) in the case of motile organisms. Each of these three aspects supports a variety of mechanisms creating biological and biogeochemical variability at a wide range of space and time scales. For example, the difference in deep water nutrient concentrations between the North Atlantic and North Pacific basins has been attributed to the joint effects of the global overturning circulation and remineralization of sinking particulate material (Broecker & Peng 1982).

A particularly strong manifestation of physical-biological-biogeochemical interactions takes place at the oceanic mesoscale. The currents, fronts, and eddies that comprise this class of phenomena occur on spatial scales of tens to hundreds of kilometers, and are in many ways dynamically analogous to atmospheric weather. Mesoscale motions are typically produced by instability processes that result in flow features that are in approximate geostrophic balance in the horizontal and hydrostatic balance in the vertical; they are characterized by small Rossby and Froude numbers, as well as small aspect ratios. Of course the mesoscale occurs within a continuum of scales, bounded above by the large scale that sets the mean gradients from which eddies are generated, and bounded below by the submesoscale, in which motions are also significantly influenced by rotation and stratification but ageostrophic effects play a primary role in the balance of forces. Although the dynamics of mesoscale eddies and fronts are closely related, we will focus here mostly on the former. For a recent review on the nature and consequences of oceanic eddies from a primarily physical point of view, see McWilliams (2008).

Targeted studies of the biological impacts of mesoscale eddies date back at least as far as the late 1970s and early 1980s (Supplementary Table). Pingree et al. (1979) pioneered interdisciplinary investigations of eddies in shelf seas. Some of the first synoptic maps of phytoplankton, primary production, and seston in the open ocean were collected during the POLYMODE program, providing evidence of eddy-driven variations in all of these quantities (Radchenko 1983, Roukhiyainen & Yunev 1983). At about that same time, advances in remote sensing yielded the first satellite-based estimates of chlorophyll, indicating a variance spectrum consistent with geostrophic turbulence (Gower et al. 1980). Detailed interdisciplinary process studies of Gulf Stream Rings began in that same era, focusing on eddy-induced variability and regional impacts (Ring Group 1981). These developments led to advancements in the conceptual basis for mesoscale physical-biological interactions (Legendre & Demers 1984, Mackas et al. 1985, Woods 1988). Interest in the large-scale integrated impacts of eddies on ocean biogeochemistry was stimulated by the apparent discrepancy between estimates of new production and nutrient supply through vertical mixing (Jenkins & Goldman 1985, Shulenberger & Reid 1981). Specifically, mesoscale processes were hypothesized to be responsible for the “missing” nutrients in the subtropical gyre (Jenkins 1988a). While debate on that topic has continued through the present time, several new aspects have emerged—including the question of whether eddies constitute a net sink of nutrients in the subpolar gyre (McGillicuddy et al. 2003) and in coastal upwelling regions (Gruber et al. 2011).

This review is an attempt to synthesize our understanding of mesoscale physical-biological-biogeochemical interactions, with particular emphasis on progress over the last 15
years. One new development during this time period is the analysis of both data and models in "eddy-centric" coordinates to illuminate the underlying dynamics; this approach is described in section 2. This framework is used in section 3 as a basis to survey the mechanisms by which eddies can influence upper ocean distributions of chlorophyll. Eddy impacts on mean properties and fluxes are reviewed in section 4, followed by descriptions of three relatively new and growing areas of inquiry: eddy-driven biological Reynolds stresses (section 5), controls on community composition and diversity (section 6), and mesoscale niche utilization by higher trophic levels (section 7). Concluding remarks are provided in section 8. This work builds on earlier reviews by Angel and Fasham (1983), Flierl and McGillicuddy (2002), Lewis (2002), Lévy (2008), Oschlies (2008), Klein and Lapeyre (2009), Williams and Follows (2011; see Chapter 9). Mahadevan (2016) reviews submesoscale biological and biogeochemical dynamics.

2. A NEW WINDOW INTO PHYSICAL-BIOLOGICAL INTERACTIONS AT THE MESOSCALE: ANALYSIS IN EDDY-CENTRIC COORDINATES

Recent progress in automated methods for identifying and tracking mesoscale eddies with satellite altimetry has facilitated construction of a global atlas of eddy trajectories, amplitudes, and sizes (Figure 1) (Chelton et al. 2011b). Use of the derived eddy-centric coordinates to merge altimetric sea surface height (SSH) data with other remotely sensed properties such as satellite ocean color (CHL), sea surface temperature (SST), and ocean vector winds is now providing unprecedented opportunities for investigation of the physical and biological dynamics of mesoscale phenomena. Construction of eddy-centric composites of many (in some cases, thousands) of synoptic realizations of satellite data has allowed mean eddy-driven signals to emerge. These coherent eddy-driven structures in physical and biological properties vary regionally, reflecting a variety of different mechanisms by which mesoscale dynamics can influence upper ocean CHL distributions (Gaube et al. 2014).

A key initial finding from the eddy-centric analysis of satellite-derived SSH was the degree of nonlinearity of mid-ocean eddies. Whereas earlier assessments of the westward propagating signal in SSH based on a single altimeter were attributed to linear Rossby wave dynamics (Chelton & Schlax 1996), higher resolution data products from multiple satellite missions merged together (Pascual et al. 2006) yielded a different picture. Chelton et al. (2007) computed a nonlinearity parameter from the ratio of the altimetrically-inferred geostrophic swirl velocity ($u$) to the propagation speed $I$ of each eddy feature, finding that the vast majority of eddies were nonlinear ($u/I > 1$). This finding has key implications with respect to interpretation of the associated biological signal: nonlinear eddies trap fluid inside them, whereas linearly propagating wavelike disturbances do not.

Initial investigations of the relationships between satellite-based SSH and CHL revealed coherence in large-scale westward propagating signals that were attributed to linear Rossby waves (Cipollini et al. 2001, Uz et al. 2001), analogous to the Chelton and Schlax (1996) assessment of westward propagating signals in SSH alone. A variety of mechanisms were proposed to explain the observed coherence in SSH and CHL, including (a) lateral advection of the mean chlorophyll gradient, (b) uplift of the deep chlorophyll maximum into the surface layer, (c) enhancement of phytoplankton biomass stimulated by upwelling of nutrients, and (d) accumulation of material in convergence zones within the planetary wave field (Charria 2003, Dandonneau et al. 2003, Killworth et al. 2004). These early studies focused on large-scale signals characteristic of Rossby waves by processing the satellite measurements with scale-
selective filters, and in some cases (Killworth et al. 2004) by utilizing only a single altimeter and thus a lower-resolution data set.

Using the new global data base of eddy trajectories, Chelton et al. (2011a) overlaid eddy tracks on the westward-propagating signals previously attributed to Rossby waves in the filtered SSH and ocean color data (Figure 2d,e). Coincidence of those features strongly suggests eddies are driving the variations. How might eddies be aliased into a larger-scale Rossby wave signal? Westward propagation of both types of features stems from latitudinal dependence of the effects of earth’s rotation, causing them to translate at approximately the same speed. In essence, a patchwork of westward propagating eddies has a zonal wavenumber-frequency spectrum that is qualitatively similar to that expected for linear Rossby waves, which explains why eddies can pass through the filters intended to eliminate them in earlier studies (McGillicuddy 2011).

These findings require reassessment of the underlying mechanisms used to explain satellite observations of variability in SSH and upper ocean chlorophyll. Although the same four basic processes of biomass modulation (a-d) mentioned above remain valid, their expression takes different dynamical forms at various scales, ranging from the mesoscale down to the submesoscale (Abraham 1998, Lévy et al. 2001, Siegel et al. 2008). In the next section we survey the various mechanisms, focusing on the mesoscale.

3. SURVEY OF MECHANISMS BY WHICH EDDIES AFFECT UPPER OCEAN CHLOROPHYLL DISTRIBUTIONS

3.1 Eddy Stirring

Turbulent advection by mesoscale and submesoscale flows has long been recognized as a source of phytoplankton patchiness in the ocean (see review by Martin (2003)). Idealized models of two-dimensional geophysical flows reveal the cascade of variance from large to small scales via stirring of the populations (Abraham 1998), although in some instances biological dynamics can dominate (Srokosz et al. 2003). Direct observational evidence of mesoscale stirring has been derived from remotely sensed synoptic snapshots of surface geostrophic velocity (from altimetry) and chlorophyll (Lehahn et al. 2007). Advanced algorithms for diagnosing various phytoplankton functional types has facilitated investigation of stirring effects on fluid dynamical niches (d'Ovidio et al. 2010).

From an eddy-centric perspective, rotational flow will tend to perturb the local CHL distribution via azimuthal advection. Consider, for example, a clockwise-rotating eddy (northern hemisphere anticyclone) in a northward CHL gradient, shown schematically in Figure 2a (top). The western (leading) edge of the eddy contains a negative CHL anomaly in the northwest quadrant and the eastern (trailing) edge a positive CHL anomaly in the southeast quadrant. In the same background field, a counterclockwise-rotating eddy (northern hemisphere cyclone) will result in a positive anomaly in the southwest quadrant and a negative anomaly in the northeast quadrant (Figure 2a, bottom). Orientation of the dipole in CHL anomaly is a function of the rotational sense of the eddy as well as the propagation direction in relation to the ambient CHL field.

This process has been investigated in detail in the eastern subtropical South Pacific (Chelton et al. 2011a). Eddies in this region (Figure 1, “SEP”) have a smaller mean amplitude than the global average for the same latitude band (mean SSH anomaly of 3.2 cm versus 6.2 cm), although their radial scale is approximately the same (110 km). As such, these eddies tend to be less nonlinear than the global average, with $u/C > 1$ for 87% of them. A complex relationship
exists between SSH and CHL (Figure 2c), with eddy-driven perturbations most pronounced in
the areas of strongest CHL gradients. A strong mean gradient in CHL is also present in this
region, oriented meridionally in the western part and zonally in the eastern part in proximity to
the coast. Removal of the large-scale gradients and mean seasonal cycle allows the eddy signals
to emerge more clearly. Westward co-propagation of SSH and CHL is readily apparent, and
individual eddy trajectories delineate the streaks in the anomaly fields (Figure 2d,e).
Compositing the data into eddy-centric coordinates, oriented relative to the large-scale mean
gradient, yields dipole patterns characteristic of eddy stirring (Figure 2b). Although the dipole
structures are qualitatively similar to the theoretical prediction (Figure 2a) there is a subtle
difference: the magnitudes of the leading poles are higher in amplitude than the trailing poles.
This asymmetry is apparently a result of the trailing edge of the eddy interacting with an ambient
CHL field that has recently been under the influence of the leading edge of the eddy (Chelton et
al. 2011a). Similar dipole patterns emerged from an eddy-centric analysis of eddy features in the
Sargasso Sea (Siegel et al. 2011).

3.2 Eddy Trapping
Nonlinear eddies tend to trap the fluid contained in their interiors (Flierl 1981, Provenzale
1999). The composition of the trapped fluid depends on the process of eddy formation as well as
the local gradients in physical, chemical, and biological properties. These properties can be
maintained over long time periods, depending on ring evolution and exchange with the
surrounding water masses. Gulf Stream Rings provide a classic example (Wiebe & Joyce 1992)
(Figure 3a). Cyclonic meanders pinch off cold-core rings that trap nutrient rich, high CHL slope
water from the landward side of the Gulf Stream, whereas anticyclonic meanders pinch off
warm-core rings that trap oligotrophic low CHL water from the Sargasso Sea. A contrasting
example comes from the Leeuwin current (Figure 3b), which can spawn anticyclonic eddies
with enhanced CHL derived from the coastal region (Moore et al. 2007). Trapped fluid is not
unique to rings and boundary currents, and it is a common characteristic of nonlinear eddies in
the open ocean (e.g., Menkes et al. 2002).

The trapping mechanism is evident in eddy-centric composites from the Gulf Stream
region (Gaufbe et al. 2014). Cyclones from the region labeled “GS” in Figure 1 contain positive
CHL anomalies in their interiors, and anticyclones contain negative CHL anomalies (Figure
4a,b). In both cases the anomalies appear as a monopole structure, in contrast to the dipole
structure produced by eddy stirring (Figure 2b). More importantly, these CHL anomalies are
present at the initial time of eddy detection (Figure 4c,d), suggesting they originated from the
process of eddy formation. Note that the region over which this analysis was performed is large
enough to include not only Gulf Stream rings, but also mid-ocean eddies; there is no distinction
between them in the eddy-centric composites. In some regimes, such as the Agulhas
retroflexion, fluid trapped in rings can propagate well into the ocean interior, providing
significant lateral fluxes of physical, chemical, and biological properties Lehahn et al. (2011).

3.3 Eddy Pumping
This mechanism can be conceptualized by considering a density surface with mean depth
coincident with the base of the euphotic zone. This surface is perturbed vertically by the
formation, evolution, and destruction of mesoscale features. Three types of features are
schematized in Figure 5. Cyclones and anticyclones dome and depress the seasonal and main
pycnoclines, respectively. Mode-water eddies are comprised of a lens-shaped disturbance that
raises the seasonal pycnocline and lowers the main pycnocline. During eddy formation and
intensification (**Figure 5, top**), shoaling density surfaces in cyclones and mode-water eddies lift
nutrients into the euphotic zone, where they are rapidly utilized by the biota. Deepening of the
isopycnals in anticyclones pushes nutrient-depleted water out of the well-illuminated surface
layers. The asymmetric light field thus rectifies vertical displacements of both directions into a
net upward transport of nutrients. Two aspects of this process favor complete utilization of the
upwelled nutrients. First, the time scale for biological uptake is fast (order of days) with respect
to the physical supply mechanism (eddy lifetimes on the order of months). Second, because the
nutrient enhancement takes place in the eddy’s interior, the circulation tends to isolate it from the
surrounding waters, which allows biomass to accumulate until the nutrients are exhausted.

Evidence for the eddy pumping mechanism is also present in the eddy-centric anomalies
from the Gulf Stream region (**Figure 1, “GS”**). To begin with, the positive CHL anomaly
monopoles in cyclones and negative CHL anomaly monopoles in anticyclones (**Figure 4, left**)
are consistent with expectations based on the conceptual model for eddy pumping—although
monopole structures of these polarities are ambiguous with respect to eddy trapping and
pumping (Gaube et al. 2014). As stated above, the presence of these anomalies at the time of
first detection is consistent with trapping. However, the signature of eddy pumping is manifested
by a subtle trend that barely exceeds the associated uncertainties: as cyclones intensify in the first
twelve weeks of their lifetimes (**Figure 4c**), CHL anomalies also increase (**Figure 4d**). In
contrast, the negative CHL anomaly in anticyclones is more stable over time during the same
interval.

It is important to note that the eddy-centric analysis based on sea level cannot distinguish
between mode-water eddies and regular anticyclones. Because steric height in mode-water
eddies is dominated by downward displacement of the main pycnocline, they appear as positive
anomalies in sea level, indistinguishable from regular anticyclones both in terms of SSH and
their rotational velocities (McGillicuddy et al. 2007, Sweeney et al. 2003). As such, CHL
anomalies generated by eddy stirring are expected to be the same in mode-water eddies as they
are in regular anticyclones. In contrast, the expected response in terms of eddy pumping is
confounding: positive for intensifying mode-water eddies and negative for intensifying regular
anticyclones. As for trapping, the CHL signature would depend on the ambient gradients and
whether the mechanism of formation was subduction from a remote source (Ebbesmeyer &
Lindstrom 1986) or local generation through eddy-wind interaction (McGillicuddy 2015).

The eddy-induced vertical flux depends not only on the structure of the isopycnal
displacements, but also on the sense in which they are being perturbed by eddy dynamics. The
latter characteristic is determined by the eddy’s developmental stage, as well as eddy-edy
interactions during its lifetime. During the process of eddy decay (**Figure 5, bottom**), the sense
of the vertical motions is opposite to that during formation/ intensification: relaxation of the
density perturbations associated with eddy decay results in upper ocean downwelling in cyclonic
features and mode-water eddies, while causing upwelling within anticyclones. An excellent
example of the latter is provided by frictional spindown of warm core rings. A model by Franks
et al. (1986) demonstrated how phytoplankton biomass enhancement could result from the
nutrient input caused by the approximately 1 m day\(^{-1}\) vertical velocities at ring center. Uptake
rates of nitrate and silicic acid observed in the same ring which were sufficient to utilize the
upward flux of nutrients (Nelson et al. 1989).
3.4 Eddy-wind interaction

It was recognized long ago that the superposition of a wind-driven Ekman flow on a mesoscale velocity field gives rise to ageostrophic circulations involving significant vertical transports (Niiler 1969, Stern 1965). Submesoscale patches of vertical velocity result from the generalized Ekman divergence, which includes vortex stretching terms associated with advection of the interior vorticity by the boundary layer velocity. For a uniform wind stress applied to a radially symmetry eddy, this effect creates a dipole of upwelling and downwelling, the structure of which depends on the direction of the wind and the vorticity of the eddy (see Figure 4.33 of Flierl and McGillicuddy, 2002).

The presence of mesoscale variability in the ocean affects the wind stress itself, via two different processes. First, there is a feedback from sea surface temperature. Cooler ocean temperatures tend to stabilize the marine atmospheric boundary layer (MABL), decoupling it from winds aloft; conversely, warmer ocean temperatures tend to destabilize the MABL thereby decreasing vertical shear in the wind. The net effect is to increase surface wind speeds over warmer water and decrease them over colder water, leading to measurable differences in wind stress, its curl, and therefore Ekman pumping (Chelton et al. 2004). Second, there is a direct effect on the stress due to eddy-driven surface currents. That is, higher stress occurs on the flank of the eddy where the wind opposes the surface current, with lower stress on the flank of the eddy where the wind and the current are in the same direction (Figure 6a). The net result is Ekman suction (upwelling) in the interiors of anticyclones (Figure 6b) (Dewar & Flierl 1987, Martin & Richards 2001), and Ekman pumping (downwelling) in the interiors of cyclones (Gaube et al. 2013). In contrast to the prior two mechanisms of eddy-wind interaction, the vertical velocity field resulting from eddy-induced Ekman pumping is a monopole located at eddy center that does not depend on the direction of the wind.

Gaube et al. (2015) assessed the relative magnitudes of these three processes, finding that the sea surface temperature effect is generally smaller than the other two. Magnitudes of the vertical velocities induced by vorticity advection tend to be larger than those arising from eddy-induced Ekman pumping. However, the integrated impact depends critically on the structure of the associated vertical velocity fields. Specifically, the relative persistence of the monopole generated by the surface current stress effect overshadows the constantly-fluctuating dipole created by vorticity advection (see Gaube et al. (2015), Figures 8 and 9).

In nutrient limited conditions, eddy induced Ekman pumping is thus expected to produce positive CHL anomalies in anticyclones (upwelling), and negative CHL anomalies in cyclones (downwelling). This is precisely the pattern observed in eddy-centric composites of CHL anomaly and eddy induced Ekman pumping from the South Indian Ocean (Figure 4e,f). Time-series of CHL anomaly (Figure 4g,h) reveal CHL anomalies are present at the time of eddy detection, suggesting the eddy trapping mechanism is also at work. Indeed, both satellite data and in situ process studies (Moore et al. 2007, Waite et al. 2007) have shown that high-chlorophyll waters of coastal origin can be entrained into anticyclones of the Leeuwin Current (Figure 3b). However, the time series presented in Figure 4g,h also show that the positive CHL anomalies in anticyclones are significantly higher in weeks 6-11 as compared with weeks 1-4, which is consistent with eddy-induced Ekman pumping. Martin and Richards (2001) cited this process as a potential contributor to nutrient flux in an anticyclone in the northeast Atlantic. Eddy-induced Ekman pumping has also been invoked as an explanation for an extraordinary bloom of diatoms deep in the euphotic zone of a mode-water eddy in the Sargasso Sea.
(McGillicuddy et al. 2007) as well as near-surface CHL variations in the South China Sea (Li et al. 2014).

3.5 Impacts on mixed layer depth

The presence of geostrophic motions and their associated vorticity produces local
variations in the effective Coriolis frequency, which can affect propagation characteristics of
near-inertial waves (e.g., Kunze 1985). Regions of negative vorticity can focus and amplify of
such waves, thereby augmenting shear, potentially leading to increased vertical mixing.
Simulations by Klein and Hua (1988) illustrated the mesoscale heterogeneity in mixed layer
depth that can arise from this process in a quasigeostrophic flow field forced by a uniform wind.
This “inertial Ekman pumping” creates a broad spectrum of variations in mixed layer depth,
although an eddy-scale signal is prominent.

Mixed layer depth is also modulated by the local changes in stratification driven by eddy-
induced vertical isopycnal displacements. For example, in a cyclonic eddy, upward doming of
the pycnocline increases stratification in the upper ocean, thereby shallowing the mixed layer
depth for a given amount of turbulent kinetic energy from the surface. Conversely, downward
deflection of the pycnocline by an anticyclonic eddy tends to reduce upper ocean stratification,
thereby allowing the same amount of turbulent kinetic energy to create a deeper mixed layer.
These direct impacts of the local stratification tend to be augmented by air-sea heat flux
anomalies resulting from the associated perturbations in sea surface temperature (Williams
1988). For example, consider a situation in which the mean SST is such that there is no net
sensible heat transfer to or from the atmosphere. Cold sea surface temperature anomalies in
cyclones tend to draw heat into the ocean from the atmosphere, further increasing stratification in
those features relative to the ambient waters. Similarly, warm sea surface temperature anomalies in
anticyclones tend to release heat from the ocean into the atmosphere, cooling the surface
ocean and thereby enhancing convection. The tendency for anticyclonic eddies to have deeper
mixed layers than cyclones has been noted in the Gulf Stream (Dewar 1986), the northeast
Atlantic (Williams 1988), the North Pacific (Kouketsu et al. 2012), and the South Indian Ocean
(Dufois et al. 2014, Gaube et al. 2013). In fact, Dufois et al. (2014) have offered deeper mixed
layers (Figure 7) as an alternative explanation for long-lived CHL anomalies in anticyclones of
the South Indian Ocean (Figure 4e-h). Differentiating between enhanced mixing and eddy-
induced Ekman pumping is difficult in this case, as both mechanisms tend to produce CHL
anomalies of the same sign in this nutrient-limited regime. In a light-limited regime, eddy-driven
variations in mixed layer depth would presumably produce the CHL anomalies of the opposite
sign: shallower (deeper) mixed layers in cyclones (anticyclones) would lend themselves to higher
(lower) CHL (Table 1).

3.6 Mechanisms of CHL enhancement in the peripheries of anticyclonic eddies

In contrast to the dipole and monopole anomalies of CHL described above, annular ring-
shaped patterns have been observed around the peripheries of eddies, particularly anticyclones.
Although such patterns have yet to emerge in eddy-centric composites of many eddies, synoptic
snapshots have revealed these features in a variety of regimes, including the Southern Ocean
(Kahru et al. 2007) (Figure 8, top), the Gulf of Alaska (Mizobata et al. 2002), and the
Mozambique channel (José et al. 2014). Qualitatively similar patterns have been produced in a
variety of models (José et al. 2014, Lapeyre & Klein 2006, Lévy & Klein 2004, Lima et al. 2002,
Mahadevan et al. 2008) (Figure 8, bottom). Two basic mechanisms have been invoked to
explain these annular patterns: (1) lateral entrainment of streamers of high-CHL water from
nearby coastal or frontal regions, and (2) local enhancement via either stratification in light-
limited systems or nutrient supply via upwelling along the eddy periphery. The latter can arise in
submesoscale patches of upwelling and downwelling associated with meandering of the circular
front that delineates the outer edge of an eddy (McGillicuddy et al. 1995). Upwelling rates in
such features can be as high as 10-100 m d⁻¹ as a result of eddy-wind, eddy-eddy, and/or eddy-
These upwelling rates that occur at the submesoscale are much larger than those
characteristic of the eddy-scale itself. However, these intense vertical motions are also
associated with swift horizontal currents characteristic of frontal regions. As such, a water parcel
transported into the euphotic zone by a submesoscale upwelling cell can be rapidly advected into
a submesoscale downwelling cell where that same parcel can be transported back out of the
euphotic zone. The degree to which the upwelled nutrients will be utilized by the biota depends
on the relative time scales of the supply and uptake processes. This is of course a function of
the dynamical regime and the local chemical and biological environment (see review by
Williams and Follows (2003)). Another key factor is the degree of reversibility along the
upwelling and downwelling trajectory. Both vertical mixing and lateral dispersion can lend a
degree of irreversibility to the process, making the nutrient content of the downwelled water less
than that which was upwelled, resulting in a net transport of nutrients (Martin & Richards 2001,
Martin et al. 2001).

3.7 Global perspective
The preceding sections (3.1-3.6) illustrate the variety of mechanisms by which eddies can
shape CHL distributions in the upper ocean. A global perspective is facilitated by examination
of the correlation between satellite measurements of SSH and CHL (Figure 9). Areas of positive
correlation are indicative of positive CHL anomalies associated with anticyclonic eddies
(positive SSH anomaly) and negative CHL anomalies with cyclonic eddies (negative SSH
anomaly). Conversely, regions of negative correlation are indicative of positive CHL anomalies
associated with cyclonic eddies, and negative CHL anomalies associated with anticyclones. The
coherent regional structure in this correlation strongly suggests systematic variations in the
mechanisms of mesoscale physical-biological interactions in the global ocean (Gaube et al.
2014).
A prime example of negative correlation occurs in the Gulf Stream region. Eddy-centric
analysis (Figure 4a-d) suggests both trapping (Figure 3a) and eddy pumping (Figure 5) are at
work. Negative correlation is observed in other western boundary current systems and their
midlatitude extensions, including the Kuroshio Current, the Agulhas Current and Brazil-
Malvinas Confluence. Similarly, most eastern boundary current systems, such as the California
Current, the Peru-Chile Current and the Benguela Current are characterized by negative
correlation. Regions of negative correlation are also observed in the open ocean, such as
northeast of Madagascar and to the east of the Hawaiian Islands in the North Pacific.
A prominent feature of positive correlation resides in the South Indian Ocean. Eddies in
this region exhibit the signature of trapping, likely associated with high-CHL anticyclones and
low-CHL cyclones spawned from the Leeuwin Current (Figure 3b; Figure 4h). High CHL in
anticyclones and low CHL in cyclones may be maintained by (a) eddy induced Ekman pumping
(Figure 4e,f) and/or (b) eddy impacts on mixed layer depth (Figure 7). These same mechanisms
may be operating in other regions of positive correlation, such as the central South Pacific,
subtropical North and South Atlantic and around the Hawaiian Islands in the central North
Pacific.

The SSH-CHL cross-correlation along the line in the southeast Pacific (Figure 9)
examined by Chelton et al. (2011b) is consistent with Figure 2f, with negative values in the east
and positive values in the west. However, the key to diagnosis of the eddy stirring characteristic
of the region (Figure 2a,b) lies in the time-lagged cross correlation. Maximum positive
correlation occurs with SSH anomaly lagging CHL anomaly by approximately one month,
whereas maximum negative correlation occurs with SSH anomaly leading CHL anomaly by one
month (Figure 2f). This is a result of the westward propagating dipoles, in which positive and
negative lobes of CHL anomalies are offset from eddy center where the extrema in SSH occur.
Note that the negative correlation at -1 month lag tends to be weaker than the positive correlation
at +1 month lag, owing to the fact that the ambient CHL on the trailing edge of the eddy has been
previously disturbed by advection from the leading edge (Cf. Figure 2b).

In aggregate, these results highlight the utility of eddy-centric compositing to illuminate
mechanisms of physical-biological interaction. However, limitations are also clear. For
example, based on this information alone, a CHL response to upwelling / downwelling occurring
during eddy intensification cannot be differentiated from the trapping of CHL during eddy
formation in regions where the ambient CHL gradient favors enhanced (suppressed) CHL in the
interiors of cyclonic (anticyclonic) eddies (e.g. the Gulf Stream). Likewise, a CHL response to
eddy-induced Ekman pumping and/or eddy-driven perturbations to mixed layer depth cannot be
differentiated from the trapping of CHL in regions where the ambient CHL gradient favors
enhanced (suppressed) CHL in the interiors of anticyclonic (cyclonic) eddies (e.g. the South
Indian Ocean). The temporal evolution of the SSH and CHL signatures of eddies can help to
address these ambiguities, but unequivocal diagnosis of the underlying mechanisms is not
possible on the basis of satellite data alone. Moreover, the near-surface manifestation of
mesoscale eddies in ocean color data may not always reveal the physical-biological dynamic in
its entirety, insofar as large amplitude biological responses can take place deep in the euphotic
zone where they are only partially detected by satellite (McGillicuddy et al. 2007). Thus, in
order to develop a more complete understanding of the role of mesoscale eddies in upper ocean
ecosystem dynamics and biogeochemical cycling, detailed analysis of satellite observations
together with subsurface in situ measurements and numerical simulations is needed.

4. EDDY IMPACTS ON MEAN PROPERTIES AND FLUXES

Whereas the study of eddy-driven variability is guided by observations, quantification of
their integrated impact on mean properties of the system and associated biogeochemical fluxes
ultimately relies on models. A wide variety of approaches have been used to address this
question, ranging from idealized process-oriented formulations to more realistic simulation-
oriented configurations. These approaches are complementary in a number of ways, not the least
of which is that the former provide conceptual frameworks for diagnosis of more complex
simulations. For example, Lee and Williams (2000) evaluated eddy-driven fluxes in a periodic
channel forced with wind stresses and heat fluxes that mimic subtropical to subpolar
environments. Adopting the Gent et al. (1995) formalism, they derive eddy-induced advection
and diffusion from the time-averaged and zonally-averaged tracer equations. Their results show
that eddy-induced advection and diffusion of nutrients oppose each other in the upper ocean,
whereas they reinforce each other in the deep ocean (Figure 10a). Wind-driven flows also play
an important role in the near-surface layer (Figure 10b): lateral Ekman fluxes into the
subtropical gyre oppose the outward eddy-induced advection, and downwelling of nutrients driven by Ekman convergence counters upward eddy-induced advection (eddy pumping). Idealized models have also elegantly demonstrated that resolving mesoscale eddies may not be adequate for assessing the mean fluxes. Lévy et al. (2001) simulated frontal instability at resolutions of 10, 6, and 2 km, finding that new production systematically increased with resolution. Productivity of the 2 km model was almost a factor of three higher than the 10 km model, clearly demonstrating the importance of submesoscale processes.

It is only relatively recently that truly eddy-resolving models have been run on basin to global scales (Hecht & Hasumi 2008), and computational limitations generally preclude simulations much longer than 5-10 years for coupled physical-biological-biogeochemical applications. Such integrations are typically long enough to provide several years of quasi-equilibrium solution for analysis subsequent to the transients associated with adjustment to initial conditions. However, these solutions can be far from true equilibrium, as illustrated in a recent study by Lévy et al. (2012b) comparing the results of 1/54º and 1/9º resolution models integrated for 50 years in an idealized double-gyre simulation reminiscent of the North Atlantic (Figure 11). After 50 years, the two models show systematic regional differences in total production of ±60%. A particularly salient feature of the higher resolution model is a ~30% decrease in productivity of the subtropical gyre, attributed to a long-term deepening of the nitracline in that region. In other words, mesoscale and submesoscale dynamics produce not only local fluctuations, but also changes in the mean state of the system. This caveat must be kept in mind when interpreting the results from shorter-term integrations described below.

4.1 Subtropical Gyre

The role of eddies in supplying nutrients in the subtropical gyre has been debated for some time. Comparison of two hydrographic profiles sampled one month apart off Bermuda documented an apparently eddy-driven nutrient injection event that could account for 20-30% of the annual new production (Jenkins 1988b). High-resolution transects in the Pacific (Venrick 1990) and Atlantic (Strass 1992) revealed mesoscale variations in chlorophyll consistent with eddy-induced upwelling. Surveys of a cyclone in the lee of Hawaii documented increased primary production in its interior, and extrapolation of that result suggested a 20% enhancement of global primary production by mid-ocean eddies (Falkowski et al. 1991). A variety of models have been brought to bear on this question, but the magnitude of the eddy-induced flux and its utilization is model-dependent (Eden & Dietze 2009, Martin & Pondaven 2003, McGillicuddy et al. 2003, McGillicuddy & Robinson 1997, Oschlies 2002, Oschlies & Garcon 1998, Pasquero et al. 2005).

An example is provided in Figure 12, in which the horizontal and vertical nutrient fluxes in a one-tracer light-limited nutrient transport model have been decomposed into their time-mean and eddy-driven components. Although this decomposition is different from that used by Lee and Williams (2000) to distill Figure 10, some commonalities are evident in the results. In the subtropical gyre, mean vertical advection constitutes a sink of nitrate, owing to the downwelling caused by Ekman convergence. Eddy-induced vertical advection is a source of nitrate, which is sufficient to overcome the mean downward transport such that the total vertical advection is a net source of nitrate. Note that Lee and Williams (2000) predicted the opposite, with Ekman downwelling overshadowing eddy pumping (Figure 10b). In other aspects, the realistic simulation is more similar to the idealized model. Horizontal advection (Figure 12) is near zero over much of the subtropical gyre due to the low concentration of nitrate in the surface waters of
this region. However, horizontal advection is a net source of nutrients along the northern edge of the gyre. This lateral flux of nitrate into the gyre arises mostly from the mean fields, roughly consistent with the Ekman flux of nitrate described by Williams and Follows (1998).

4.2 Subpolar Gyre

New production in the subpolar gyre is considerably higher than that in the subtropical gyre (Figure 12), due to a combination of vigorous vertical mixing and mean upwelling from divergence of the wind-forced Ekman surface current. Interestingly, the time-varying component of vertical advection is negative over a large portion of this region, especially in areas where the wintertime mixed layer depth is deepest. In the southern part of the gyre, the magnitude of this sink is sufficient to overcome the mean upward vertical advection, causing the total vertical advection to be negative in that area. Horizontal advection is also important in this region. The large area of negative net lateral flux arises primarily from mean horizontal advection. Eddy-driven horizontal advection in this area varies on smaller scales but generally tends to reinforce the mean. This negative lateral flux is due to northeastward flow of the North Atlantic importing lower concentrations of nitrate into the subpolar gyre.

In contrast to the subtropical gyre where eddy-driven fluxes constitute a net source of nutrients, it appears that the oceanic mesoscale has a significant impact on nutrient removal from the euphotic zone in the subpolar gyre. A similar feature is evident in the simulations of Oschlies (2002), suggesting this result is not model dependent. Diagnosis of the solutions shown in Figure 12 suggests that the downward nutrient flux results from mesoscale processes associated with restratification following deep convection (Figure 13). Indeed, mesoscale and submesoscale dynamics have been shown to play a key role in the process of restratification (Mahadevan et al. 2012, Marshall 1997, Nurser & Zhang 2000). Lévy et al. (1998, 1999) described how mesoscale restratification increases productivity following convection by releasing phytoplankton from light limitation. The eddy-induced nutrient sink shown in Figure 13 is the counterpart to that process deeper in the water column: the same mesoscale dynamics that restratify the near-surface region pump nutrients out of the euphotic zone. This removal takes place at a time when the ambient nutrients are in excess of limiting concentrations, so there is no immediate reduction of productivity. However, this process would tend to decrease productivity on seasonal to annual time scales, insofar as a portion of the nutrients brought into the euphotic zone by wintertime mixing are pumped back downward prior to utilization. On the other hand, Mahadevan et al. (2012) have suggested that the mixed layer eddies involved in restratification could increase overall productivity.

4.3 Coastal upwelling systems

Upwelling regions along coastal margins support some of the most productive marine ecosystems on earth. These systems also tend to have high eddy kinetic energy, owing to the squirts, jets, filaments, and eddies that are formed when along-shore wind stress generates an Ekman divergence at the coast that leads to upwelling. In contrast to the oligotrophic waters of the open ocean where eddy-induced nutrient fluxes can increase productivity, it appears that eddy-driven processes decrease productivity in eastern boundary upwelling systems (Gruber et al. 2011). Two mechanisms appear to be at work: lateral stirring and subduction.

First, stirring by mesoscale structures transports upwelling-derived biomass offshore, thereby reducing biomass in the upwelling zone itself. This process was noted by Rossi et al. (2008) in their analysis of Finite Size Lyapunov Exponents (FSLEs) and chlorophyll
distributions in the Benguela and Canary upwelling systems. FSLEs provide a measure of lateral
stirring, and can be computed directly from satellite altimeter data. Rossi et al. found that
chlorophyll concentration was inversely correlated with FSLEs in these systems, such that the
more vigorous stirring in the Benguela system was associated with lower chlorophyll
concentrations than the Canary system. The role of mesoscale processes in reducing
phytoplankton biomass was quantified in a model of the Benguela system, indicating eddy-
driven transports are responsible for 30-50% of the offshore fluxes of biological tracers
(Hernández-Carrasco et al. 2014).

The second mechanism involves offshore subduction of upwelled nutrients. Gruber et al.
(2011) diagnosed the eddy-induced nitrogen fluxes from a high-resolution coupled-physical
biological model of the California Current system (Figure 14). As expected, vertical eddy-
induced fluxes are positive close to the coast, where mesoscale dynamics are intimately involved
in the upwelling process. Lateral eddy fluxes transport nitrogen away from the coast in the
surface layer, in concert with downward eddy-induced transport offshore—the net result of
which is subduction into the ocean interior. These subducted nutrients occupy an intermediate
layer that is distinct from that which feeds the Ekman-driven upwelling cell, thus constituting a
“leak” of nutrients that decreases the overall productivity of the system.

In addition to eddy-induced transports, there are special biogeochemical transformations
that take place within eddies in upwelling systems. For example, hotspots for fixed-nitrogen loss
have been observed in association with anticyclonic eddies in the Peru oxygen minimum zone
(Altabet et al. 2012, Bourbonnais et al. submitted, Stramma et al. 2013). However, observations
of this process are currently so sparse that quantification of their integrated impact on nutrient
budgets is not yet possible.

4.4 Other regional Studies

Growing awareness of the diversity of eddy impacts on biological systems has led to
increasing numbers of regional studies. For example, analysis of satellite-based observations of
sea level and ocean color in the Weddell-Scotia confluence in the Southern Ocean indicates that
cyclonic eddies enhance biological production (Kahru et al. 2007). Both observations and
models suggest anticyclonic eddies enhance production in the northern Gulf of Alaska, by virtue
of the iron they transport from the coastal margin into the interior (Crawford et al. 2007, Xiu et
al. 2011). A high-resolution model of the South China Sea suggests cyclonic eddies are an
important source of nutrients to the surface ocean in that region, triggering shifts in
phytoplankton species composition toward diatoms, thereby increasing export flux and
associated cycling of carbon (Xiu & Chai 2011). On the other hand, observations in the South
China Sea have revealed enhanced export fluxes in anticyclones, attributed to submesoscale
upwelling along eddy peripheries (Zhou et al. 2013; Cf. section 3.6). Using data from shipboard
surveys, Prasanna Kumar (2007) estimated that eddy pumping increases productivity in the Bay
of Bengal by a 50-100%. In a model of the Arabian Sea, Resplandy et al. (2011) found that
multiple mesoscale processes contributed to regional nutrient budgets, namely (1) lateral
advection from coastal upwelling zones into the interior via jets and filaments, (2) eddy
pumping, and (3) eddy-driven restratification following monsoonally-driven convective mixing.
Such integrative analyses seeking to assess the net impact of eddies on various systems draw
heavily on the growing data base provided by in situ process studies (Supplementary Table).
3. EDDY-DRIVEN BIOLOGICAL REYNOLDS STRESSES

Three-dimensional coupled physical-biological models are typically formulated in terms of the mean field approximation, in which properties \( \varphi_i \) in a given grid cell are assumed to be adequately represented by their mean value \( \bar{\varphi}_i \). Of course, fluctuations \( \varphi'_i \) exist; the Reynolds decomposition expresses the full field as a sum of mean and fluctuating components, the latter of which average to zero: \( \varphi_i = \bar{\varphi}_i + \varphi'_i \). Biological and biogeochemical transformations typically involve nonlinear functions, so even though \( \bar{\varphi}'_i = 0 \), the average value of such a function operating on the fluctuations \( f(\varphi'_i) \) does not necessarily vanish. Moreover, the average product of two constituents \( \bar{\varphi}_i \bar{\varphi}_j \) includes contributions not only from the means \( \bar{\varphi}_i \bar{\varphi}_j \) but also from the “biological Reynolds stresses” \( \bar{\varphi}'_i \bar{\varphi}'_j \). These effects have been examined in a variety of idealized frameworks, including theoretical (Goodman 2011, Goodman & Robinson 2008), one-dimensional (Brentnall et al. 2003), and two-dimensional (Wallhead et al. 2008), providing quantification of the limitations of the mean field approximation in such systems.

It is only recently that the net impact of fluctuations in biological properties has been examined in three-dimensional simulations of mesoscale and submesoscale turbulence (e.g., Wallhead et al. 2013). Lévy and Martin (2013) diagnosed these terms from their 1/54º resolution physical-biological model of the North Atlantic, making the distinction between “eddy reactions” and “eddy transports” of the type described in earlier sections. Their findings illustrate the eddy reaction terms play qualitatively different roles for different state variables (see Lévy and Martin’s Figure 9). For nitrate, the eddy reactions are generally small relative to the mean biogeochemical reactions, with the latter being balanced by a complex latitudinally-dependent combination of vertical mixing, mean advection, and eddy transport. In contrast, eddy reactions are amongst the largest terms in the phytoplankton equation, negative in sign and varying inversely with the mean reaction term. In other words, nonlinear interactions at the mesoscale and submesoscale reduce primary productivity. For zooplankton, the eddy reactions vary with latitude: they are small south of 28ºN, increase secondary productivity between 28ºN and 40ºN, and decrease secondary productivity north of 40ºN. Although the details of these diagnoses may be model dependent, these findings clearly illustrate that eddy-driven fluctuations can be rectified by nonlinear biogeochemical transformations—and that the magnitudes of the eddy reactions are on the order of 5-15% of the means (see Lévy and Martin’s Figure 7). Whereas complete knowledge of a modeled system lends itself to relatively straightforward computation of biological Reynolds stresses, observational assessment is made more challenging by the lack of multiscale resolution of all relevant quantities. Initial attempts to quantify eddy-driven biological Reynolds stresses suggest more modest magnitudes than those derived from models (Martin et al. submitted).

6. INFLUENCES ON PHYTOPLANKTON COMMUNITY COMPOSITION AND DIVERSITY

Eddy-induced disturbances in the physical and chemical environment can bring about changes in the communities of primary producers. In fact, such biological responses may regulate the net impact on biogeochemical fluxes described above. For example, Goldman (1988) suggested the “spinning wheel” concept in which the background state of oligotrophic systems is dominated by small phytoplankton growing primarily on nutrients that are recycled through the microbial loop. This state is episodically perturbed by the input of nutrients to the base of the euphotic zone, causing a shift in phytoplankton species composition from picoplankton toward much larger cells such as diatoms. In such a scenario, these large cells
would sink rapidly once the nutrient enhancement was depleted, thereby contributing a
disproportionately large fraction of new versus total primary production. Laboratory culture
experiments have confirmed that diatoms can grow rapidly enough to produce significant blooms
even at the low light levels characteristic of the base of the euphotic zone (Goldman &
McGillicuddy 2003). Indeed, evidence for mesoscale variations in diatom abundance has been
observed in a variety of oceanographic environments, including the North Pacific (Brzezinski et
al. 1998), Hawaiian lee eddies (Olaizola et al. 1993, Seki et al. 2001, Vaillancourt et al. 2003),
the Hawaiian Ocean Time-series (Letelier et al. 2000), the Sargasso Sea (Krause et al. 2010,
McGillicuddy et al. 2007), and the Bermuda Atlantic Time-series (Krause et al. 2009, McNeil et
al. 1999, Sweeney et al. 2003). Bibby and Moore (2011) found that the response of diatom
populations to eddy-induced upwelling in the subtropical Atlantic and Pacific depends on the
nitrate to silicate ratio of the upwelled water. In several cases, mesoscale diatom blooms have
been linked directly to enhanced export (Allen et al. 2005, Benitez-Nelson et al. 2007, Bidigare
et al. 2003).

Even more general relationships between the mesoscale environment and plankton
community structure have emerged from both observations and models. For example, Rodriguez
et al. (2001) identified a linear relationship between the size-abundance spectrum (SAS) of
phytoplankton and vertical velocity in the northwestern Alboran Sea. Specifically, upwelling
downwelling) motions tended to increase (decrease) the relative abundance of large
phytoplankton, thereby flattening (steepening) the SAS—suggesting that mesoscale motions
exert a primary control on size structure of phytoplankton communities.

Models have been used to address a growing interest in the influence of mesoscale
dynamics on the diversity of open ocean phytoplankton populations, challenging the assumption
of environmental homogeneity in Hutchinson’s (1961) classic “paradox of the plankton.” Using
a two-species model with a uniform distribution of limiting resource embedded in
quasigeostrophic turbulence, Bracco et al. (2000) show how coherent vortices can preserve
diversity by isolating populations from the surrounding fluid: a less-fit species can persist in
conditions in which they would otherwise be outcompeted if the more-fit species were not
excluded by the lack of mixing. Perruche et al. (2011) considered a case in which the mesoscale
motions influenced the distribution of resources (nutrients), finding that surface quasigeostrophic
turbulence facilitated coexistence of two competing phytoplankton species. Upwelling in
filaments stimulated both species, whereas eddy cores serve as refugia as found by Bracco et al.
(2000).

Additional studies of phytoplankton diversity have been facilitated by the development of
the so-called “Darwin” model in which many tens of species (“ecotypes”) are stochastically
assigned physiological characteristics with basic allometric tradeoffs (Follows et al. 2007). By
allowing the suite of ecotypes to compete in a three-dimensional model ocean, phytoplankton
species composition is an emergent property of the system. Clayton et al. (2013) examined an
eddy-permitting case, finding that regions of high eddy kinetic energy in western boundary
currents coincide with high diversity in the simulated phytoplankton community (Figure 15a).
These “hotspots” in diversity are supported by the confluence of multiple upstream populations,
local stimulation via nutrient supply, and environmental variability provided by eddies. Lévy et
al. (2014) quantified the impact of dispersal on diversity by applying the Darwin biological
module into eddy-resolving simulations in an idealized North Atlantic domain (depicted in
Figure 11a). Their counterintuitive results (Figure 15b) suggest that increasing dispersion leads
to increasing local diversity (order 10-100 km scales) and decreasing regional diversity (order
1000 km scales). In other words, hydrodynamic transport leads to the dominance of fewer species overall, but those fewer species occur over larger ranges with a higher degree of coexistence. Studies of this type are still quite novel, and the data needed to test such models is only beginning to emerge (Clayton et al. 2014, Follows et al. 2007).

7. EFFECTS ON HIGHER TROPHIC LEVELS

Eddy dynamics can perturb oceanic ecosystems, influencing trophic levels ranging from primary producers (as described in section 6) to top predators. For example, Wiebe and Flierl (1983) described changes in zooplankton (euphausiid) species distributions during the decay of a cold core ring: native cold water species such as *Nematoscelis megalops* emigrated, whereas warm water species such as *Stylocheiron carinatum* immigrated. These changes in distributional patterns were facilitated by vertical positioning behavior in the presence of a hydrodynamic environment that varies with depth. Specifically, descent of the *N. megalops* population during ring decay resulted in its exit from the region of trapped fluid, thereby bringing about expatriation. In contrast, the near-surface keeping behavior of *S. carinatum* subjects it to enhanced horizontal mixing within the mixed layer, thereby facilitating its invasion into the ring interior. Active vertical positioning also supports a mechanism for concentrating organisms within a mesoscale flow field: depth-keeping behavior in the presence of convergence (Genin et al. 2005, Olson & Backus 1985).

Mesoscale phenomena are also relevant to the transport and survival of planktonic larvae. Lobel and Robinson (1986) noted a cyclonic eddy near Hawaii retained larval reef fishes for a time period sufficient to complete their pelagic developmental phase and resettle their native reefs. On the other hand, eddies also provide means for enhanced larval dispersion and population connectivity, both in the coastal margin (Mitarai et al. 2009) and in the deep sea (Adams et al. 2011). Bakun (2006) presented a conceptual framework in which eddy-driven variations in productivity offer competing tradeoffs in terms of larval survival: enhanced productivity improves early life nutrition at the expense of increased predator abundance, whereas suppressed productivity decreases the abundance of predators at the expense of poorer larval nutrition. These concepts have been invoked to explain mesoscale variations in the distribution of larval bluefin tuna (Alemany et al. 2010, Lindo-Atichati et al. 2012), sailfish, marlin, swordfish, and other species (Richardson et al. 2010).

Distributions of adult fishes have also been associated with the mesoscale environment. Based on catch data, cyclonic eddies appear to be home to higher abundances of bluefin tuna in the Gulf of Mexico (Teo & Block 2010) and blue marlin in the vicinity of Hawaii (Seki et al. 2002). Based on acoustic and trawl surveys, mid-water fishes are associated with anticyclonic eddies in the Iceland Basin (Godø et al. 2012). Visual sightings of cetaceans (whales and dolphins) in the northern Gulf of Mexico indicated congregations in or near cyclones and in the confluence of cyclone-anticyclone pairs where zooplankton and micronekton prey abundances were higher (Davis et al. 2002). Seabirds of various kinds have been associated with the peripheries of eddies and convergence zones between them, including great frigate birds in the Mozambique channel (Tew Kai & Marsac 2010, Weimerskirch et al. 2004), and albatross, terns, and shearwaters in the Southern Indian Ocean (Hyrenbach et al. 2006, Nel et al. 2001). The advent of electronic tagging and telemetry has facilitated investigation of mesoscale niche utilization and behavior by a diverse range of marine animals, including turtles (Gaspar et al. 2006, Kobayashi et al. 2011, Polovina et al. 2004), elephant seals (Bailleul et al. 2010, Campagna et al. 2006), shearwaters (Yoda et al. 2014), and penguins (Cotté et al. 2007). In
many cases, association of these animals with mesoscale features is directly linked with foraging behavior.

8. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Advances in theory, observation, and modeling have facilitated substantial progress in understanding of physical-biological-biogeochemical interactions in the ocean. It has become abundantly clear that the impacts of eddies varies regionally (section 3.7) by virtue of the wealth of processes that contribute (sections 3.1-3.7) and variations in the relative amplitudes at which those mechanisms are expressed. The longstanding debate about the magnitude of the eddy-induced nutrient source in the subtropics continues (section 4.1), whereas potential eddy-driven nutrient sinks have become apparent in subpolar gyres (section 4.2) and in coastal upwelling regions (section 4.3). Appreciation is growing for the role of mesoscale processes in biological dynamics, including eddy-induced Reynolds stresses (section 5), planktonic biodiversity (section 6), and niche utilization by higher trophic levels (section 7). Future prospects are bright for further progress in these areas as observational capabilities improve in towed instrumentation (Davis et al. 2005), autonomous platforms (Johnson et al. 2009), genomic methods to characterize planktonic communities (DeLong et al. 2006), and electronic tagging technologies (Block et al. 2001). Increased spatial resolution in upcoming altimeter missions (Fu & Ubelmann 2013) and finer spectral resolution in ocean color missions (Del Castillo 2012) will enhance the abilities to characterize physical and biological properties in the upper ocean. Likewise, computational infrastructure and modeling capabilities continue to progress (Hecht & Hasumi 2008). This confluence of advances in in situ observation, remote sensing, and modeling have set the stage to further elucidate the linkages between mesoscale and submesoscale dynamics (Lévy et al. 2012a), which is perhaps one of the most challenging and exciting prospects for future research in this area.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Eddy type</th>
<th>MLD anomaly</th>
<th>CHL anomaly</th>
<th>Nutrient limited</th>
<th>Light limited</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cyclone</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anticyclone</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1.** Upper ocean chlorophyll (CHL) anomalies expected from anomalies in mixed layer depth (MLD) associated with cyclones and anticyclones in different regimes limited by nutrients and light. Note this idealized summary does not represent mode-water eddies, which constitute a special case of anticyclones.

**Program** | **Area** | **Reference** |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>POLYMODE</td>
<td>Sargasso Sea</td>
<td>Nelepo (1983)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold Core Rings</td>
<td>Gulf Stream</td>
<td>Ring Group (1981)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Warm Core Rings | Gulf Stream, Kuroshio, East Australia Current | Wiebe & McDougall (1986)  
|                |                      | Wiebe and Joyce (1992) |
| PRIME       | Northeast Atlantic | Savidge & Williams (2001) |
| POMME       | Northeast Atlantic | Mémery et al. (2005) |
| Haida Eddy Project | Gulf of Alaska | Miller et al. (2005) |
| Eddies 2003 | Leeuwin Current | Waite et al. (2007) |
| MESOBIO     | Mozambique Channel  | Ternon (2014) |

**Supplementary Table.** Interdisciplinary *in situ* process studies of mesoscale eddies. Please forward information on additional studies that should be included to dmcgillicuddy@whoi.edu so that the living document located at http://science.whoi.edu/users/mcgillie/eddy_process_studies/table.pdf can be made more complete and kept current.
Figure 1. Tracks of long-lived (lifetimes ≥ 16 weeks) mesoscale eddies identified by an automated eddy tracking procedure (Chelton et al. 2011b). Red tracks represent anticyclones and blue tracks cyclones. Three regional subdomains are indicated: Southeast Pacific (SEP), the Gulf Stream region (GS), and South Indian Ocean (SIO). Figure adapted from Chelton et al. (2011b), courtesy of Peter Gaube.
Figure 2. (a) Schematic diagram of eddy-driven stirring of CHL for clockwise (top) and counter-clockwise (bottom) rotating eddies propagating westward in regions where the CHL gradient is northward. An otherwise smooth contour of CHL (dashed lines) is distorted by the rotational velocity field within the eddy, as shown by the solid lines. Advection of CHL within the large-scale background CHL gradient results in the positive and negative CHL anomalies shown by the red and purple regions, respectively. (b) Composite averages for clockwise (top) and counter-clockwise (bottom) eddies in the region labeled “SEP” in Figure 1. The outer perimeter of each circle corresponds to twice the eddy radius scale. The vectors in each panel are the gradient of the composite average SSH, which is proportional to the geostrophic velocity. The number N of eddy realizations in the composite average and the magnitude r of the ratio of the primary pole in the leading (left) half of each composite to the secondary pole in the trailing (right) half are labeled on each panel. (c) An example map from the SEP region for 7 March 2001 showing \( \log_{10}(\text{CHL}) \) in color with contours of positive and negative anomaly SSH (solid and dashed lines, respectively) at intervals of 2 cm, excluding the zero contour. The horizontal line indicates the section along which the time-longitude plots in panels d and e are presented. (d) SSH with eddy tracks within ±2° of 20°S overlaid (dashed and solid lines for CW and CC rotating eddies, respectively); (e) \( \log_{10}(\text{CHL}) \) with the same eddy tracks overlaid. (f) Lagged cross-correlation between \( \log_{10}(\text{CHL}) \) at time \( t \) and SSH at time \( t + \text{lag} \), calculated over the full 10-year data record; the white areas correspond to correlations smaller than the estimated 95% significance level. Positive lags correspond to \( \log_{10}(\text{CHL}) \) leading SSH, and the contour interval is 0.2 with the zero contour omitted for clarity. From Chelton et al. (2011a).
Figure 3. Processes of (a) Gulf Stream Ring formation, and (b) anticyclone generation in the Leeuwin current. Panel (a): (top) adapted from The Warm Core Rings Executive Committee (1982), bottom adapted from Knauss (1978); panel (b) adapted from Moore et al. (2007) and Pearce and Griffiths (1991).
Figure 4. Composite averages of eddy-centric CHL anomaly in (a,b) the Gulf Stream region (year round), and (e,f) the South Indian Ocean (May-October). Locations of the two domains are shown in Figure 1. Contours in panels (a) and (b) are SSH anomaly, and eddy-induced Ekman pumping in panels (e) and (f). Regions of the composites that do not exceed the 95% confidence interval of mean are masked with white. The x and y coordinates of the composite averages are normalized by the eddy radius. The title of each composite average indicates both the number of eddy realizations N used to construct the composite and the effective degrees of freedom N* used to compute the 95% confidence interval. Right hand panels depict time-series of eddy amplitude and CHL anomaly for cyclones (blue) and anticyclones (red). The beginning of the time series are shaded to indicate that both eddy amplitude and CHL anomaly are only observed after the eddy is first detected by the automated eddy tracking procedure, defined here as week 1. From Gaube et al. (2014).
**Figure 5.** Isopycnal displacements associated with three types of eddies in the process of formation/intensification (top row) and decay (bottom row). Two density surfaces are depicted in each case: one in the seasonal thermocline ($\rho_1$) and one in the main thermocline ($\rho_2$). From Flierl and McGillicuddy (2002).
Figure 6. (a) Illustration of how a uniform wind applied to an anticyclonic eddy can lead to a divergence and upwelling in the eddy interior. The surface current reduces the stress where the wind is in the same direction as the current (point A), and increases it where the wind and current oppose each other (point B). The difference in the magnitude of the associated Ekman transport creates a divergence at eddy center, regardless of the direction of the wind. (b) Vertical velocity field at the base of the Ekman layer for an idealized circular eddy subject to a 15 m s\(^{-1}\) wind. Contour intervals are 0.2 m d\(^{-1}\) with positive values denoting upwelling. The dotted line corresponds to the radius at which the maximum azimuthal velocity occurs. From Martin and Richards (2001).
Figure 7. Climatological average mixed layer depths in cyclonic (blue) and anticyclonic (red) eddy interiors from ARGO floats in the South Indian Ocean (region similar to “SIO” in Figure 1). The number N of ARGO floats used for each month is reported. The thin gray lines correspond to the NO$_3$ mean seasonal contours (from World Ocean Atlas 2009) ranging from 0.3 to 1 mmol m$^{-3}$. For each time point, the rectangular box is delimited by the lower quartile (Q1) and the upper quartile (Q3), while the median is represented inside the box by a straight line. Whiskers are drawn to the extreme values that are inside the fences lying at Q1-1.5 X (Q3–Q1) and Q3+1.5 X (Q3–Q1). Lines join median values. From Dufois et al. (2014).
Figure 8. A large anticyclonic eddy north of the mean position of the Southern Antarctic Circumpolar Current front (black curve) on Jan 28, 2004: (a) CHL and (b) sea surface temperature. Ocean areas covered by clouds are shown in white. The white curves are edges determined on the CHL image but overlaid on both images. Lower panels show output from a numerical model highlighting an anticyclonic eddy. Negative relative vorticity in panel (c) is accompanied by high phytoplankton concentration around the periphery of the vortex (d). Note that the sense of rotation is clockwise in the lower panels (northern hemisphere) and counterclockwise in the upper panels (southern hemisphere). Panels (a) and (b) from Kahru et al. (2007); panels (c) and (d) from Lévy & Klein (2004).
Figure 9. Map of the correlation between anomalies of sea level and chlorophyll. White areas correspond to correlations smaller than the estimated 95% significance level. Regions of significantly positive and negative cross correlations are enclosed by solid and dashed contours, respectively. Adapted from Gaube et al. (2014).
Figure 10. Schematic of the eddy-induced transfer of nutrients. Section AC may be viewed as passing through a subtropical gyre or section AB as through the Southern Ocean. In (a), the eddy-induced advection (black straight arrows) and diffusion (curly arrows) oppose each other at the surface, but reinforce each other at depth. In (b), the Ekman advection (white arrow) is included. From Lee and Williams (2000).
**Figure 11.** (a) Sea surface temperature simulated in an idealized double-gyre system of the western North Atlantic. Panels (b) and (c) show five-year average depth-integrated (0-120m) primary production for 1/54° and 1/9° models after 50 years of integration; panel (d) shows their difference. Note the different map projection in panel (a) from Lévy and Martin (2013) versus panels (b-d) from Lévy et al. (2012b).
Figure 12. Five-year time-averaged new production (a) and nutrient supply terms (b-h), integrated over the euphotic zone. Advective fluxes in the vertical (middle row) and horizontal (lower row) have been separated into their mean and eddying components. All fields have been smoothed with a 24-point e-folding scale Gaussian filter. Asterisks indicate the main features of the solutions described in the text. Contours in Figure panel (b) indicate maximum wintertime mixed layer depths of 100, 300, and 500 m. Note that wintertime mixed layers inside the 500-m contour exceed that value by severalfold; additional contours are not shown for clarity of presentation. From McGillicuddy et al. (2003).
Figure 13. Schematic of the eddy-driven processes that tend to re-stratify an area of deep convection in the open ocean. Inward flux near the surface and outward flux at depth imply a downward eddy-driven transport that removes nutrients from the euphotic zone. Note that the convective area does not represent an individual chimney but the larger region over which mesoscale and submesoscale convective events take place. From McGillicuddy et al. (2003).
Figure 14. Cross-shore sections illustrating the role of eddies in inducing a lateral loss of total nitrogen from a model of the California Current system. Panels (a) and (b) depict vertical and horizontal fluxes of total nitrogen, respectively; units are nmol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\). White lines are potential density; black dashed lines indicate negative fluxes. Panel (c) depicts a conceptual diagram of the impact of mesoscale eddies on coastal circulation, nitrogen transport, and organic matter production and export. The thick lines indicate total nitrogen transports and the thin lines depict circulation pattern. Shown in blue are the Ekman-driven transports and circulations. The red arrows show the eddy-driven transports. Contour lines denote potential density and green arrows the vertical export of organic matter. From Gruber et al. (2011).
Figure 15. (a) Annual average diversity in the surface layer of the high-resolution (HR) configuration described in Clayton et al. (2013). Diversity ($\alpha$) is defined as the total number of phytoplankton types with biomass greater than 0.001% of the total phytoplankton biomass. Black contour lines indicate phytoplankton diversity hotspots ($\alpha > 10.2$). (b) Trends in local diversity ($\alpha$; 10-100 km scales) and regional diversity ($\gamma$; 1000 km scales) as a function of dispersion from the simulations described in Lévy et al. (2014). Experiments 0D, 1D, 3D-m, and 3D-e are ranked along the x-axis by increasing level of dispersion in the flow field. In the case with no dispersion (0D) each grid cell in the horizontal and vertical dimensions is treated independently. Vertical mixing is added in the 1D case, and advection by the mean velocity is added in the 3D-m case. The full eddy-resolving flow is accounted for in 3D-e. Results from the latter two experiments are marked with dots and stars, respectively.