Testing and Evaluation of SURLYN Foam and SPECTRA Fiber Ropes for Buoy Systems Applications

by

H. Berteaux, A. Bocconcelli, M. Gould, S. Kery

August 1988

Technical Report

Funding was provided by the Office of Naval Research under contract Number N00014-84-C-0134.

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.
Testing and Evaluation of SURLYN Foam and SPECTRA Fiber Ropes for Buoy Systems Applications

by

H. Berteaux, A. Bocconcelli, M. Gould, S. Kery

Ocean Structures & Moorings Laboratory
Ocean Engineering Department
Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution
Woods Hole, Massachusetts 02543

August 1988

Technical Report

Funding was provided by the Office of Naval Research through contract Number N00014-84-C-0134.

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government. This report should be cited as: Woods Hole Oceanog. Inst. Tech. Rept., WHOI-88-32.

Approved for publication; distribution unlimited.

Approved for Distribution:

Albert J. Williams III, Chairman
Department of Ocean Engineering
TESTING AND EVALUATION
of
SURLYN FOAM AND SPECTRA FIBER ROPES
for
BUOY SYSTEMS APPLICATIONS

Authors:

H. Berteaux, A. Bocconcelli; M. Gould, S. Kery

August 9, 1988

Ocean Structures & Moorings Laboratory
Ocean Engineering Department
WOODS HOLE OCEANOGRAPHIC INSTITUTION
Woods Hole, Massachusetts 02543
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors want to acknowledge the Gilman Corporation and the Allied Chemical Corporation for providing the samples of buoyancy material and Spectra Fiber ropes which were tested and evaluated as described in the report.

The technical support of P.O'Malley in the planning and performance of the tests was of great help.

We thank L. Moore for her contribution in preparing the report manuscript.

The work described in this report was performed under ONR contract number N00014-84-C-0134, NR083-400, and with the help of an Allied Chemical grant, Purchase Order number 61-79791.
Contents

1 SURLYN FOAM TEST REPORT 3
   1.1 INTRODUCTION .............................................. 4
   1.2 PURPOSE ................................................... 4
   1.3 DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLES ................................... 4
   1.4 TEST PROCEDURE ............................................. 7
   1.5 CONCLUSIONS ................................................. 13
   1.6 APPENDIX A - Good Little Buoys .......................... 14
   1.7 APPENDIX B - Surlyn Product Guide ....................... 15

2 CREEP TESTS OF SPECTRA ROPES 16
   2.1 TEST OBJECTIVE ............................................. 17
   2.2 TEST SET UP ................................................ 17
   2.3 ROPE CONSTRUCTIONS TESTED ............................... 17
   2.4 ROPE TEST SAMPLES ......................................... 19
       2.4.1 The test samples were numbered as follows: .......... 19
       2.4.2 Test Loads ............................................. 19
       2.4.3 Actual Loads (as measured in sea water) ............. 19
   2.5 TEST SCHEDULE .............................................. 19
   2.6 FIRST LOADING STRESS VS. STRAIN CURVE ................. 20
   2.7 CREEP TEST RESULTS ....................................... 24
       2.7.1 First day loading ...................................... 24
       2.7.2 Subsequent testing .................................... 24
       2.7.3 Permanent elongation ................................. 29
   2.8 CONCLUSIONS ............................................... 29
1.1 INTRODUCTION

Surlyn ionomer resins are thermoplastic polymers produced by DuPont that can be molded, compressed, extruded and foamed into different shapes as needed. Foamed Surlyn is well suited for marine applications since it offers low-weight density, toughness, durability and excellent resistance to environmental agents, e.g. radiation, salt, waves, etc.

The Gilman Corporation (Gilman, CT) has been producing Surlyn foam for several years under the trademark name of Softlite Ionomer Foam. Navigational buoys and fenders made of Softlite foam are used successfully by the US Coast Guard and the US Navy.

1.2 PURPOSE

A typical oceanographic buoy must satisfy some basic requirements as such:

- Provide buoyancy to keep the oceanographic mooring in tension and to keep it from submerging under strong currents.
- Provide protected payload space to house data recording and transmitting equipment, batteries, etc.
- Be a stable platform for meteorological sensors.

To facilitate transportation and deployment, weight and dimension of the buoy must be compatible with the space and lifting equipment available on research vessels.

Deployment time at sea is sometimes longer than one year, during which the buoy will experience the harshness of the marine environment. Design and construction must insure that the buoy can withstand these environmental forces with minimal structural damage and limited loss of buoyancy.

When the decision was made to build a prototype surface buoy with increased payload and reserved buoyancy, Surlyn foam was chosen over other materials (fiberglass, Kevlar, aluminum) for its structural properties, good working record, cost and availability. However, more data in the following areas were needed to completely assess the performance of a large surface buoy built entirely with Surlyn foam:

- Water absorption rate under pressure
- Loss of buoyancy due to volume reduction
- Loss of buoyancy due to water absorption.

These tests were needed to select the proper foam density for a buoy with a displacement of 20,000 lbs. when fully immersed.

1.3 DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLES

Four samples of different shape, volume and density were provided by the Gilman Corporation for testing at the Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution (WHOI), by the Ocean Structures & Moorings Laboratory (OS&M Lab) (see Figure 1). All the samples were weighed and measured before wet testing. Their characteristics are shown in Table 1 below.
Table 1.1: Samples' Original Weights and Measurements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Length (inches)</th>
<th>O.D. (inches)</th>
<th>Volume ( \left( \text{ft}^3 \right) )</th>
<th>Weight (lbs)</th>
<th>Weight &amp; Hardware (lbs)</th>
<th>Density (lbs/ft)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>33.</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>9.8553</td>
<td>43.65</td>
<td>63.75</td>
<td>4.429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>23.75</td>
<td>12.</td>
<td>1.548</td>
<td>8.38</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>5.413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>29.75</td>
<td>9.56</td>
<td>1.233</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>10.138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>13.625</td>
<td>37.94</td>
<td>8.904</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>3.594</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Sample #1 is a large grey cylinder with a 1 inch diameter steel through rod, end plates and eyenuts at each end. Total weight of the hardware is 20.1 lbs.
- Sample #2 is an assembly of 4 small dark grey cylinders mounted on a 3/4 inch steel rod with end plates and eyenuts. Weight of the hardware is 5.92 lbs. Each foam section has an average height of 6 inches.
- Sample #3 is a long blue cylinder with a central through hole (1.5 inch diameter) and no hardware attached.
- Sample #4 is a short, wide, red cylinder with a central through hole (1.5 inch diameter) and no hardware attached.

All four samples were built using the same manufacturing process in which a sheet of Softlite foam is heated and rolled up under tension in a cylindrical shape. Each new layer of foam heat-seals itself on the previous one giving the structure good longitudinal strength. The external surface of the foam body is then heat-treated, giving the external layer the consistency of a tough skin.
NOTE: SAMPLE INTERNAL LINES INDICATE FOAM CONCENTRIC LAYERS. DOTTED LINES REPRESENTS THRU HOLE

SCALE: 1' = 1"
1.4 TEST PROCEDURE

a) MEASUREMENTS RATIONALE

Foams generally lose buoyancy when immersed in water. The following different factors which are inherent to the foam structure cause the buoyancy loss:

- Flooding of some of the weaker cells at the foam sample surface. In this case the surface cells might be weakened by action of environmental agents. The water absorbed in this way tends to leave the foam sample after it is pulled out of the water and dry stored. By measuring the weight before and after immersion the amount of water or weight gained can be roughly determined.

- Flooding of cracks or interstices when layers of foam are not perfectly heat-sealed. Water will easily fill the open spaces at an early stage of immersion. By increasing the depth the pressure can close the cracks and interstices preventing further flooding. Cracks and cuts might also be caused by improper handling or abrasion against rough surfaces. The water absorbed in this fashion will quickly leave the foam sample upon retrieval from the sea.

- Buoyancy loss due to loss of volume. When the foam sample is placed at depth the relative water pressure will act on its surface compressing the whole body of foam and reducing its volume. The ability of the foam to withstand pressure (bulk modulus) is dictated by strength, structure and flexibility of each cell wall and by the compressibility of the gas trapped inside the foam. There are 2 modes of buoyancy loss due to volume reduction. A plastic mode which is permanent and an elastic mode which recovers when the pressure is removed.

Thus to determine and quantify the different possible modes of buoyancy loss one must proceed with the following measurements.

- The initial weight in the air of the sample "Wi"

- The initial buoyancy of the sample "Bi", which is measured at the surface. The initial buoyancy is defined as the difference between the immersed weight of the sinker, and the tension force in the line when the top of the sample is just immersed. "Bi" = SW - T.

- The final buoyancy of the sample "Bf", is the buoyancy at the surface measured as above.

- The final buoyancy of the sample at depth "Bd" which is measured as above with the line payed out until the top of the sample is at depth.

- The final weight of the sample in air "Wf" immediately after the sample is removed from the water.

- The final weight in air after the sample has completely stopped dripping "Wd".

With the help of these measurements, buoyancy losses can be established as follows:

1. Total buoyancy loss "Lt" is then the difference between the initial immersed buoyancy and the immersed buoyancy at the end of the testing period.

\[ Lt = Bi - Bf \]
2. Buoyancy loss due to water absorption "Lw" is the difference between the samples initial air weight "Wi" and its final air weight after it has stopped dripping "Wd"

\[ Lw = Wi - Wd \]

3. Buoyancy loss due to the flooding of cracks and intersticies "Lc" is the difference between the air weight when the sample is first pulled from the water "Wf" and the air weight after it has stopped dripping "Wd"

\[ Lc = Wf - Wd \]

4. Buoyancy loss due to elastic deformation "Le" is the difference between the final buoyancy "Bf" and the buoyancy at depth "Bd"

\[ Le = Bf - Bd \]

5. Buoyancy loss due to plastic deformation Lp is the difference between the total buoyancy loss "Lt" and the sum of the losses due to absorption "Lw" and the flooding of cracks "Lc"

\[ Lp = Lt - (Lw + Lc) \]

b) TEST SET-UP

It was necessary to test the 4 foam samples at sea by hanging them from a floating platform; for the following reasons:

1. The maximum static pressure that affects the foam body of a surface buoy is 5 psi with the buoy fully submerged.

2. Size of samples. Significant samples of Softlite foam are too big to fit in the WHOI pressure vessel.

3. The floating platform canceled any depth variation due to tides.

The samples were carefully measured and weighed in air using two different scales (one mechanical and one load cell with a digital dial). The following parameters were determined:

- Volume of sample
- Density of sample
- Theoretical buoyancy
- Surface area to volume ratio
- Depressor weights necessary to fully submerge the foam samples.

The air and wet weight of each depressor weight and relative hardware was determined with the help of a crane car and precision load cell. The weight of the hardware necessary to connect weights and foam samples was also measured.

The buoyancy of a fully submerged foam sample in seawater is equal to the wet weight of the depressor and hardware less the tension in the line holding the depressor weight and the sample.

Each sample was connected to its depressor weight and lowered to a depth a few inches below the water surface. After five minutes in this position the tension was recorded and
the initial buoyancy was calculated. The hanging line was then paid out until the top of the sample reached a depth of five feet. The tension in the line was again recorded and subsequently the line was fastened to the floating platform. After 24 hours the line tension was measured again with the sample at 5 ft. depth, just below the surface and in the air.

The same procedure was then repeated for all 4 samples at a depth of 10 ft. and a time exposure of 24 hours. Data collected from these two pressure tests are shown in Table 2.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TEST L.D.</th>
<th>SAMPLE L.D.</th>
<th>INITIAL WEIGHT IN AIR (Lb)</th>
<th>INITIAL BUOYANCY AT SURFACE (Lb)</th>
<th>SINKER IMMERSED WEIGHT (Lb)</th>
<th>LINE TENSION (Lb)</th>
<th>FINAL BUOYANCY AT SURFACE (Lb)</th>
<th>FINAL BUOYANCY AT DEPTH (Lb)</th>
<th>FINAL &quot;WET&quot; AIR WEIGHT (Lb)</th>
<th>FINAL &quot;DRY&quot; AIR WEIGHT (Lb)</th>
<th>TOTAL BUOYANCY LOSS (Lb)</th>
<th>BUOYANCY LOSS DUE TO ABSORPTION (%)</th>
<th>BUOYANCY LOSS DUE TO FLOODING (%)</th>
<th>BUOYANCY LOSS DUE TO ELASTIC DEFORMATION (%)</th>
<th>BUOYANCY LOSS DUE TO PLASTIC DEFORMATION (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 Ft 24 Hrs</td>
<td>#1 LG. BLACK</td>
<td>63.75</td>
<td>556.3</td>
<td>609.5</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>547.5</td>
<td>542</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Ft 24 Hrs</td>
<td>#2 SM. BLACK</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>80.5</td>
<td>80.5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Ft 24 Hrs</td>
<td>#3 SM. BLUE</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>58.5</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>7.14</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Ft 24 Hrs</td>
<td>#4 LG. RED</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>649</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>521</td>
<td>521</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Ft 24 Hrs</td>
<td>#1 LG. BLACK</td>
<td>63.75</td>
<td>556.3</td>
<td>609.5</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>534.5</td>
<td>524</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Ft 24 Hrs</td>
<td>#2 SM. BLACK</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>79.7</td>
<td>70.5</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Ft 24 Hrs</td>
<td>#3 SM. BLUE</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>57.5</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>8.73</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Ft 24 Hrs</td>
<td>#4 LG. RED</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>649</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>509</td>
<td>507</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Ft 9 DAYS</td>
<td>#1 LG. BLACK</td>
<td>63.75</td>
<td>556.5</td>
<td>609.5</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>542.5</td>
<td>542.5</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Ft 9 DAYS</td>
<td>#2 SM. BLACK</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>79.0</td>
<td>79.0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Ft 9 DAYS</td>
<td>#3 SM. BLUE</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>57.5</td>
<td>57.5</td>
<td>15.25</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>8.73</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>4.37</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Ft 9 DAYS</td>
<td>#4 LG. RED</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>649</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>505</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>51.75</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>19.75</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Ft 40 DAYS</td>
<td>#1 LG. BLACK</td>
<td>63.75</td>
<td>556.5</td>
<td>609.5</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8.33</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Ft 40 DAYS</td>
<td>#2 SM. BLACK</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8.33</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Ft 40 DAYS</td>
<td>#3 SM. BLUE</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>16.25</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9.52</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Ft 40 DAYS</td>
<td>#4 LG. RED</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>649</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>6.27</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.2: Pressure Test Data
Subsequently, an endurance test was performed by suspending the foam samples at a depth of 5 ft. for longer periods of time (9 days and 40 days). Data from the endurance tests are shown in Tables 4 and 5. Unfortunately foam sample #1 broke loose during the 40 day endurance test and remains on the bottom at a depth of 55 ft. When this sample is recovered it will be evaluated for pressure effects at greater depths.

After one week of dry storage the 3 samples were weighted again and the following data were collected:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample #</th>
<th>Initial Weight (lbs)</th>
<th>Weight after tests and Storage (lbs)</th>
<th>Water Retained (lbs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>17.</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>32.</td>
<td>56.</td>
<td>24.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1.4: Endurance Test (8 days)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SAMPLE I.D.</th>
<th>DRY WEIGHT AS PER 12/2/87 (Lbs)</th>
<th>DRY WEIGHT AS PER 12/10/87 (Lbs)</th>
<th>WATER ABSORBED IN 9 DAYS (Lbs)</th>
<th>ORIGINAL DRY WEIGHT (Lbs)</th>
<th>TOTAL WATER ABSORBED SINCE BEGINNING OF TESTING (Lbs)</th>
<th>PERCENTAGE OF ORIGINAL WEIGHT %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>LARGE BLACK FENDER</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>63.75</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>SMALL BLACK (4) SECTIONS</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>SMALL BLUE</td>
<td>13.25</td>
<td>15.25</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>22.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>LARGE RED</td>
<td>38.75</td>
<td>54.75</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>22.75</td>
<td>71.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SAMPLE I.D.</th>
<th>INITIAL BUOYANCY (Lbs)</th>
<th>BUOYANCY AS PER 12/2/87 (Lbs)</th>
<th>BUOYANCY AS PER 12/10/87 (Lbs)</th>
<th>TOTAL BUOYANCY LOSS (Lbs)</th>
<th>BUOYANCY LOSS AS % OF ORIGINAL BUOYANCY</th>
<th>BUOYANCY LOSS DUE TO VOLUME REDUCTION (Lbs)</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>BUOYANCY LOSS DUE TO WATER ABSORPTION (Lbs)</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>LARGE BLACK FENDER</td>
<td>556.5</td>
<td>555.5</td>
<td>542.5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>12.75</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>SMALL BLACK (4) SECTIONS</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>80.5</td>
<td>79.0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>SMALL BLUE</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>57.5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>LARGE RED</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>22.75</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1.5: Endurance Test (40 days)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>SAMPLE I.D.</th>
<th>ORIGINAL DRY WEIGHT (Lbs)</th>
<th>DRY WEIGHT AFTER 9 DAYS IMMERSION AT 5' (Lbs)</th>
<th>DRY WEIGHT AFTER 40 DAYS IMMERSION AT 5' (Lbs)</th>
<th>TOTAL WATER ABSORBED (Lbs)</th>
<th>PERCENTAGE OF ORIGINAL WEIGHT (%)</th>
<th>REMARKS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>LARGE BLACK FENDER</td>
<td>63.75</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>//</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>10 DAYS ONLY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>SMALL (4) BLACK SECTIONS</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>SMALL BLUE</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>15.25</td>
<td>16.25</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>LARGE RED</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>54.75</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: Percentages are based on initial dry weight and initial buoyancy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>SAMPLE I.D.</th>
<th>DENSITY (Lbs/ft³)</th>
<th>INITIAL BUOYANCY (Lbs)</th>
<th>FINAL BUOYANCY (Lbs)</th>
<th>TOTAL BUOYANCY LOSS (Lbs)</th>
<th>BUOYANCY LOSS DUE TO VOLUME REDUCTION (%)</th>
<th>BUOYANCY LOSS DUE TO WATER ABSORPTION (%)</th>
<th>REMARKS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>LARGE BLACK FENDER</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>556.5</td>
<td>542.5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>SMALL (4) BLACK SECTIONS</td>
<td>5.41</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>SMALL BLUE</td>
<td>10.14</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>3.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>LARGE RED</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: Buoyancy loss due to volume reduction = total loss of buoyancy at depth - total loss due to water absorption
1.5 CONCLUSIONS

Softlite foam offers many advantages as a buoyancy material for surface buoys because of the foam’s following characteristics:

- Low weight/volume ratio
- Tough material which is resistant to the marine environment
- No painting needed since pigment is melted into the plastic during the manufacturing process.

The test results indicated that more factors besides density must be taken into consideration when choosing the right foam for a specific application. In the case of a surface buoy, some very important parameters are:

- Surface area to volume ratio
- Number of concentrical layers of foam wound up to form the main body
- Outer skin conditions.

With reference to the first test (pressure), sample numbers 2 and 4 absorbed more water than numbers 1 and 3. Sample #4 had the lowest density and the highest surface area to volume ratio and, #2 had a low density and a low surface area to volume ratio. Buoyancy losses due to volume reduction seem somewhat contradictory. A possible explanation for the performance of #1 and #4 is that these samples have the highest number of foam layers and therefore were less compact and more resilient than the smaller samples.

The endurance tests show that foam with density values ranging from 4 to 5 p.c.f and a high number of layers should have a reasonable loss of buoyancy when deployed at sea for long periods of time. For future testing it would be ideal to deploy samples of the same size and volume but different densities.
1.6 APPENDIX A - Good Little Buoys
Good Little Buoys

Marking commercial shipping lanes, small buoys of low-density foamed "Surlyn" ionomer resin survive salt water and towboat collisions better than buoys of other plastics or steel.
As the front line of Coast Guard efforts to aid commercial and recreational navigation, buoys lead a hazardous and, generally, brief existence. To help keep 40,000 miles of domestic waterways safe, buoys are deployed almost smack in the line of traffic. Before long, most fall victim to speed boats towing water skiers or towboats moving several 1,500-ton-capacity commercial barges.

“They’re constantly being run over,” says Sam Wilson, a chief warrant officer in the Aids to Navigation Office here. “Buoys account for more than half of the 78,000 short range aids to navigation we maintain, and they represent an investment of more than $70 million. Obviously, the longer they stay in service, the better our return on investment. But every year, we lose about half of the 10,000 buoys on the western rivers. The buoy mortality rate is even greater here on the Gulf Intracoastal Waterway.”

This extensive man-made channel spans the entire Gulf crescent from Brownsville, Texas, to St. Mark’s, Florida, forming a commercial network with inland waterways. A big in-shore ditch dug out of 1,113 miles of semitropical marshlands, the GIWW annually carries more than 100 million tons of agricultural produce, manufactured goods, iron, steel, petroleum and chemical products. Constant dredging maintains the channel’s 125-foot width and 12-foot depth, allowing passage by shallow-draft barges which are pushed by towboats. Thousands of bobbing buoys line the GIWW to keep the towboats on course.

“There’s a lot of traffic on the GIWW, and it’s tough to navigate,” Wilson explains. “There are many turns, narrow spots and, in places, there’s submerged rock on both sides. Sometimes the spoilage areas—places on either side of the channel where dredged material is deposited—shift, narrowing the channel and increasing the chance of a towed barge

Corpus Christi, Texas

"SALT WATER EATS UP STEEL BUOYS IN NO TIME. I THINK THESE NEW BUOYS OF 'SURLYN' ARE GOING TO HAVE A MUCH HIGHER SURVIVAL RATE."

Chief Warrant Officer Sam Wilson, USCG
Impact resistance of "Surlyn" helps the new Coast Guard buoys survive collisions in the heavily traveled Gulf Intracoastal Waterway. Two types of foamed buoys are used: red NUN buoys (shown on page 11) and black CAN buoys (left).

After just a few months in the briny Gulf, steel buoys must be replaced. Buoys of foamed "Surlyn" don't corrode, should provide years of reliable service.

running aground.

"If I were a towboat pilot pushing a $1 million bargeload of petroleum or chemicals, and had to choose between running aground or running over a buoy, I'd hit the buoy every time."

All of the 600 buoys deployed along Wilson's 120-mile portion of the GIWW are sixth class, unlighted buoys. At five feet in height, they are the smallest ones used by the Coast Guard. In a contest with a towboat, a sixth class buoy always loses, Wilson adds.

"Most of our buoys are steel. When they get hit and their paint chips off, the salt water eats them up in no time. The collision rate is so high here that it's gotten to the point where we have to replace all our buoys every year."

"Last September, headquarters sent us 20 plastic foam buoys to try out," he continues. "A towboat nicked one of them shortly after they were deployed, but that buoy is still afloat on station. I think these new buoys are going to save us a sizable sum of money."

Floating Assignment For "Surlyn" :

The new buoys are made of Du Pont "Surlyn" ionomer resin by the Gilman Corp. of Gilman, Connecticut. Gilman heats the "Surlyn", pigments it, adds a blowing agent to foam the material, and then allows it to cool in sheets from 1/32nd to 1/4 inch in thickness. The company is the only U.S. supplier of the result ant low-density (three pounds per cubic foot) ionomer foam which it markets under the trademark, "Softlite".

To form the Coast Guard buoys, Gilman rolls up and simultaneously heats sheets of the foam, wire-cuts the bundle to the appropriate shape, and then exposes it to heat to form a skin. "No adhesive is required because 'Surlyn' adheres to itself, other plastics, metal, glass, wood and paper with heat and pressure," notes Richard Gilman, Gilman Corp. president and developer of the foamed buoys.

"We've always used 'Freon' blowing agent to foam our 'Softlite'," he adds. "No other blowing agent is as good for foaming thermoplastics to low densities."

The Coast Guard is evaluating two types of sixth class buoys of low-density foamed "Surlyn" which are being tested off Portsmouth, Virginia, and in the GIWW near Mobile, Alabama, New Orleans, and Corpus Christi.

"We expect these buoys to give us several years of maintenance-free service," says Coast Guard ocean engineer Paul Glahe. "A prototype foam buoy has been tested near Portsmouth for more than a year with no problems. At 36 pounds, they are easier to handle than a conventional 80-pound, sixth class buoy of steel. Their light weight also makes them sit higher in the water without listing. Because the foamed material doesn't absorb water or corrode, and its characteristics don't vary with temperature, we should be able to use them from New York to Guam. But impact resistance is their biggest advantage.

"This is not the first plastic buoy we've tried," Glahe adds. "But this one outperforms ABS and cross-linked polyethylene in terms of ease of fabrication, low weight and impact resistance. When a buoy of ABS is hit by a towboat, it comes up in pieces."

The high impact resistance of Du Pont "Surlyn" ionomer resin has earned it a number of assignments where hard knocks are common: bowling pin covers, softball cores, golf ball covers, auto bumpers and now waterway buoys. The Coast Guard also is evaluating the material for use in 14-foot second class buoys. For additional information on this versatile ionomer resin, write on letterhead to: "Surlyn", Du Pont Magazine, Wilmington, DE 19898.

Reprinted from May/June 1983 issue of Du Pont Magazine
1.7 APPENDIX B - Surlyn Product Guide
Tough and durable for long-lasting, good-looking, lightweight products.
**Durable—and long lasting**

Resistance to impact, cuts and abrasion assures that parts made of SURLYN perform over the long haul. SURLYN is highly resistant to chemical attack and permeation by liquids. And since it contains no plasticizer which could migrate over time, its long-term performance outlook is excellent.

**Tough—even at low temperatures**

SURLYN offers excellent impact resistance. Room temperature tensile impact properties range from 730 to 1325 kJ/m² (345 to 630 ft·lb/in²), and at -40°C, tensile impact goes as high as 1190 kJ/m² (565 ft·lb/in²). And various grades have notched izod ratings as high as 19.

**Lightweight—and foamable**

Imagine a resin so lightweight that it floats on water. That's SURLYN ionomer resin. The specific gravity of SURLYN resins range from 0.94 to 0.97 g/cm³, much lighter than ABS, nylon, polyurethane, general purpose cellulose and many other thermoplastic resins. And to reduce part weight even more, SURLYN can be foamed to densities as low as 0.56 g/cm³ with a minimal loss in physical properties.

**Decorable—for excellent surface appearance**

You can paint it, pigment it, hot stamp it, or use SURLYN in its natural transparent state. As a coating, SURLYN adheres well to metals, nylon, epoxy and urethane finishes. For protection under continuous outdoor exposure, UV stabilizers can be added. Whatever the look you desire, SURLYN will give you a product with excellent surface appearance.

**Processing—versatility is the key**

SURLYN can be injection molded, compression molded, blow molded, extruded, foamed, vacuum foamed, and thermoformed. Combining excellent flow with high melt strength and good adhesion to a variety of materials makes it ideal for insert molding. Once parts are finished, they can be joined by snap fits, welding, hot flaring lamination and stitching.
### SURLYN® Ionomer Resins Property Comparisons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>ASTM Method</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>SURLYN 8020</th>
<th>SURLYN 8528</th>
<th>SURLYN 8550</th>
<th>SURLYN 8660</th>
<th>SURLYN 8920</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Toughness</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tensile impact at 23°C (73°F)</td>
<td>D-1822S</td>
<td>kJ/m²</td>
<td>1325</td>
<td>1160</td>
<td>1670</td>
<td>730</td>
<td>865</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ft/lb²</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>795</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notched izod</td>
<td>D-256</td>
<td>J/m</td>
<td>870</td>
<td>935</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>565</td>
<td>725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ft/lb²</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Temp. Toughness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tensile impact at -40°C (40°F)</td>
<td>D-1822S</td>
<td>kJ/m²</td>
<td>3120</td>
<td>2290</td>
<td>1160</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>865</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ft/lb²</td>
<td>1325</td>
<td>935</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>565</td>
<td>725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Durability</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abrasion resistance</td>
<td>D-1630</td>
<td>NBS</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clarity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haze at 0.64 cm (0.25 in)</td>
<td>D-1003A</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lightweight</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific gravity</td>
<td>D-792</td>
<td>g/cm³</td>
<td>.95</td>
<td>.94</td>
<td>.94</td>
<td>.94</td>
<td>.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stiffness</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>MPa</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexural modulus at 23°C (73°F)</td>
<td>D-790</td>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Mechanical</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tensile strength (1)</td>
<td>D-638</td>
<td>MPa</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>37.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>kpsi</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yield strength (1)</td>
<td>D-638</td>
<td>MPa</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>kpsi</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elongation (1)</td>
<td>D-638</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>419</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ross flex. pierced at 23°C</strong></td>
<td>D-1052</td>
<td>Cycles to failure</td>
<td>570,000</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ross flex. pierced at -20°C</strong></td>
<td>D-1052</td>
<td>Cycles to failure</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>&lt;100</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>&lt;100</td>
<td>&lt;100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MIT flex.</strong></td>
<td>Du Pont</td>
<td>Cycles to failure</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>2,100</td>
<td>65,000</td>
<td>3,200</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hardness, Shore D</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>56</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>General</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carboxylic type Na or ZN</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>Na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melt flow index (4)</td>
<td>D-1238</td>
<td>gms/10 min</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>lbs/in³</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area yield at 0.25 mm (0.10 in)</td>
<td></td>
<td>m²/kg</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Thermal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat deflection temperature at 455 kPa (66 psi)</td>
<td>D-648</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>°F</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vicat temperature</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>61</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D-1526-70</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>°F</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melting point</td>
<td>DTA (5)</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>°F</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freezing point</td>
<td>DTA (5)</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>°F</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient of thermal expansion (−20°C to 32°C)</td>
<td>D-696</td>
<td>10¹⁵/cm²</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flammability</td>
<td>D-635</td>
<td>mm/min</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>20.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Motor vehicle safety standard 302)</td>
<td>Pass or fail</td>
<td>Pass</td>
<td>Pass</td>
<td>Pass</td>
<td>Pass</td>
<td>Pass</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Thermal conductivity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10⁻¹⁰/cm²/ sec°C/cm</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific heat</td>
<td></td>
<td>cal/gm/°C</td>
<td>10⁻¹⁰/cm²/ sec°C/cm</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The physical properties reported here are intended primarily for you to compare resins in the SURLYN product line. Recognize that ASTM testing methods allow alternative methods for developing a given property. Use caution in comparing data determined by alternative methods. Unless test conditions are adequately defined, it may be misleading to compare values on various supplier product data sheets.

---

1. Type IV bars, compression molded, cross head speed 5.0 cm./min. (2.0 in./min.)
2. Compression molded samples 3.2 mm. (0.125 in.) thick, pierced 2.5 mm. (0.10 in.) wide.
3. Accelerated stress crack test on a strip 25 mil. thick, flexed through 270° at 170 cycles/min. with 1 kg. load in tension—#4 head.
4. Material dried 16 hours in a vacuum oven at 63°C (145°F).
5. DTA—Differential thermal analysis.
6. SURLYN 8527 has the same physical properties as 8528 but offers greater clarity.
7. SURLYN 9720 is offered for wire and cable applications. It has the same physical properties as 9721 but with...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SURLYN 8940</th>
<th>SURLYN 9020</th>
<th>SURLYN 9450</th>
<th>SURLYN 9520</th>
<th>SURLYN 9650</th>
<th>SURLYN 9721(7)</th>
<th>SURLYN 9730</th>
<th>SURLYN 9910</th>
<th>SURLYN 9950</th>
<th>SURLYN 9970</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1020</td>
<td>1285</td>
<td>925</td>
<td>1190</td>
<td>970</td>
<td>1260</td>
<td>1240</td>
<td>1020</td>
<td>1020</td>
<td>760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>485</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>485</td>
<td>485</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1025</td>
<td>No break</td>
<td>No break</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>775</td>
<td>No break</td>
<td>No break</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>No break</td>
<td>365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>No break</td>
<td>No break</td>
<td>360</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>760</td>
<td>1190</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>895</td>
<td>1040</td>
<td>895</td>
<td>1010</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>360</td>
<td>565</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>495</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>370</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.95</td>
<td>.96</td>
<td>.94</td>
<td>.95</td>
<td>.96</td>
<td>.95</td>
<td>.97</td>
<td>.96</td>
<td>.95</td>
<td>.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>22.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>470</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>90,000</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;100</td>
<td>&lt;100</td>
<td>&lt;100</td>
<td>&lt;100</td>
<td>&lt;100</td>
<td>&lt;100</td>
<td>&lt;100</td>
<td>&lt;100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>&lt;100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,200</td>
<td>36,000</td>
<td>3,400</td>
<td>4,800</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>1,700</td>
<td>3,400</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>3,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>Zn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>19.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>145</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>181</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>154</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>27.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

B-4
Impact strength
The excellent impact toughness of SURLYN, combined with elasticity, helps extend the life of this soft-faced hammer tip.

Low temperature toughness
SURLYN stays tough even at frigid temperatures. Resistance to cuts and scratches, salts and de-icing chemicals makes it a choice material for ski boots.

Lightweight
Buoys of foamed SURLYN weigh half as much as conventional steel buoys, yet better survive saltwater and towboat collisions.

Decorable
Designers of automotive trim and decorative parts choose SURLYN for its good colorability and excellent adherence to other materials.

Foamable
A bumper guard of injection-molded, foamed SURLYN weighs up to 2 pounds less than steel and rubber guards and withstands impacts of 5 mph.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SURLYN® Grade Selector Chart</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Table with grades and values]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Tough**
  - Toughness
  - Low Temp.
  - Durable
    - Abrasion Resistant
    - Tear Resistant
  - Decorable
    - Clear
    - Good Adhesion
  - Stiff
- **Processing Versatility**
  - Foamable
  - Injection Molding
  - Blow Molding
  - Sheet & Shape
  - Wire Insulation
  - Metal Lamination

- ○ Best candidate
- ○ Suitable candidate
Depend on DuPont for Quality

When you specify SURLYN® ionomer resins you get resins which are backed by DuPont's quality assurance.

Quality at DuPont means the consistent production of product to standards, ensuring that the SURLYN resin you order performs consistently. SURLYN resins are backed by integrated supplies of feedstocks and intermediates which are quality controlled from the ground up. Controls are applied to raw materials, measurement systems, processes and product release. Final product testing confirms that the product you get meets specifications.

In addition to product quality, you can rely on DuPont for new products to meet your changing needs, on-time delivery, accuracy in package labeling, error-free order processing and competent technical service.

Call us today for more information.

---

The technical data contained herein are guides to the use of DuPont resins. The advice contained herein is based upon tests and information believed to be reliable, but users should not rely upon it absolutely for specific applications. It is given and accepted at user's risk and confirmation of its validity and suitability in particular cases should be obtained independently. The DuPont Company makes no guarantee of results and assumes no obligation or liability in connection with its advice. This publication is not to be taken as a license to operate under, or recommendation to infringe any patents.
Chapter 2

CREEP TESTS OF SPECTRA ROPES

by Henri Berteaux and Matthew Gould
2.1 TEST OBJECTIVE

Assess the Creep characteristics of ropes made of Spectra fibers when loaded in sea water at low temperature.

2.2 TEST SET UP

A typical test set up for any sample did consist of: a test weight and hardware, a length of wire rope, the test sample, and a length of wire rope (See Figure 1).

Measurements of elongation were made under full tension by hauling the sample over a sheave for easy, precise measurements. The length of the lower wire rope was long enough to keep the loading weight immersed during the measurement. The length of the upper wire rope was long enough to maintain the entire sample in sea water at all tide levels.

2.3 ROPE CONSTRUCTIONS TESTED

1. Rope #1. This rope has a steel reinforced, cut resistant white jacket and a wire rope construction core made of Spectra fiber S-900. Diameter is 1/4 inch, strength is 7800 lbs., manufacturer is Whitehill.

2. Rope #2. This rope has a polyester jacket with a color marking. The rope is a 2 in 1 construction of braided Spectra S-900. Diameter is 1/4 inch, strength is 4500 lbs., manufacturer is Samson.

3. Rope #3. This rope is our standard 1/4 inch wire rope construction Kevlar ‘Jetstrand’ with a polyester jacket. Strength is 6000 lbs., manufacturer is Whitehill. Samples of these (3) types of rope were cut and spliced at both ends by personnel of the OS&M Lab, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution.
Figure 2.1 Spectra and Kevlar Ropes Test Set Up
2.4 ROPE TEST SAMPLES

2.4.1 The test samples were numbered as follows:

- Sample #1: Rope #1
- Sample #2: Rope #1
- Sample #3: Rope #2
- Sample #4: Rope #2
- Sample #5: Rope #3

All five samples had the same test length. This length was 15 feet as measured with a load of 50 lbs. applied to each sample. The length was carefully and permanently marked, with two markers at each end. The markers were at least 12 inches away from the sample terminations.

2.4.2 Test Loads

Samples #2, #4, and #5 were loaded to 40% of Rated Breaking Strength (RBS). Samples #1 and #3 were loaded to 20% of RBS.

2.4.3 Actual Loads (as measured in sea water)

Sample #1 - 1560 lbs.  Sample #2 - 3120 lbs.
Sample #3 - 900 lbs.   sample #4 - 1800 lbs.
Sample #5 - 2400 lbs.

2.5 TEST SCHEDULE

The test was conducted according to the following schedule:

1. First day. Measure the elongation of the (5) samples every two hours, over a normal 8 hour work period.

2. 1st Week. Measure the elongation of all (5) samples once per day.

3. 2nd Week and subsequent. Measure the elongation of all (5) samples once per week, at regular intervals of seven days.

4. Sample #2 (Rope #1). Was taken out of the test after measuring the sample on the second day (22 Jan 1988). This was done because the splices had slipped considerably and the jacket had failed in the area of one of the thimbles making the rope dangerous to handle.
2.6 FIRST LOADING STRESS VS. STRAIN CURVE

Samples of Spectra rope #1 and rope #2 were test loaded up to 40% of breaking strength using our Baldwin Universal Testing machine. The stress/strain curves for the two samples tested and shown in Figures 2 and 3.

A sample of Kevlar Jetstrand was also tested in a similar way. The corresponding curve is shown in Figure 4.
Figure 2.2 Spectra Stress/Strain Curve - Rope #1
Figure 2.3 Spectra Stress/Strain Curve - Rope #2
Figure 2.4 Kevlar Stress/Strain Curve - Rope #3
2.7 CREEP TEST RESULTS

2.7.1 First day loading

The series of tests started 21 January 1988. On initial loading (10:00 reading) the percent elongation were as follows:

Sample #1 - .83%  Sample #2 - 1.98%
Sample #3 - 1.77%  Sample #4 - 2.57%
Sample #5 - 1.46%

Subsequent readings were:
(12:30 reading) Increases from previous readings are noted in ( ).

Sample #1 - 1.1% (+.27%)  Sample #2 - 2.12% (+.14%)
Sample #3 - 2.22% (+.45%)  Sample #4 - 3.16% (+.59%)
Sample #5 - 1.56% (+.1%)

(14:30 reading)

Sample #1 - 1.28% (+.18%)  Sample #2 - 2.26% (+.14%)
Sample #3 - 2.29% (+.07%)  Sample #4 - 3.19% (+.03%)
Sample #5 - 1.6% (+.04%)

(16:30 reading)

Sample #1 - 1.35% (+.07%)  Sample #2 - 2.43% (+.17%)
Sample #3 - 2.47% (+.18%)  Sample #4 - 3.26% (+.07%)
Sample #5 - 1.7% (+.24%)

The difference between the initial loading and the 16:30 reading at the end of the first day was as follows:

Sample #1 - +.52%  Sample #2 - +.45%
Sample #3 - +.70%  Sample #4 - +.69%
Sample #5 - +.4%

The water temperature was 33° F (.5°C).

2.7.2 Subsequent testing

Measurements of elongation were made according to the schedule previously discussed, and recorded in a test log book together with the water temperature. These measurements were converted in percent elongation and plotted as shown on Figures 5, 6, 7 and 8.

Water temperature at start of test was 33° F (.5°C) and at end of test (8 April 1988) was 43.5° F (6.4°C).
ROPE #1, SAMPLE #1 (SPECTRA)

Figure 2.5 Spectra Creep Test - Rope #1, Sample #1 (Steel reinforced Whitehill jacketed Spectra)
Figure 2.6 Spectra Creep Test - Rope #2, Sample #3 (Samson constructed Spectra)
Figure 2.7 Spectra Creep Test - Rope #2, Sample #4 (Samson constructed Spectra)
ROPE #3, SAMPLE #5 (KEVLAR)

Figure 2.8 Kevlar Creep Test - Rope #3, Sample #5 (Whitehill constructed Kevlar)
2.7.3 Permanent elongation

After removal from the dock, the test samples were allowed to dry up for a few days. Their length was then again measured with a 50 lb. load applied. The percent increase over the original lengths, measured a 50 lb. load, was then calculated. The results for this "permanent" elongation are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rope No.</th>
<th>Sample No.</th>
<th>Permanent elongation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.8 CONCLUSIONS

The test was designed to not only assess the actual creep of the rope specimen, but to also compare their performance under same loading conditions.

As evidenced by the creep curves, it is interesting to note that all Spectra ropes were still creeping at the end of the 80 test days, whereas the Kevlar sample seems to be stabilized. The following Table summarizes the creep test results. This result appears to contradict yarn data obtained at the same temperature but at lower loads suggesting a mechanism for very slow dimensional change that is present in rope but not in yarn.

### Table 2.1: Summary of Creep Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rope No.</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Sample No.</th>
<th>% UBS Load</th>
<th>% Elongation end of test</th>
<th>% Elongation first loading</th>
<th>% Elongation due to creep</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Spectra</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>2.26*</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.26*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Spectra</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Kevlar</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Test interrupted after 2 days.

These results indicate the following:

- Rope #2 elongates more than rope #1, yet at 20% load they both creep approximately the same.
- As expected, the larger the load the larger the creep.
- If creep rates at 40% were the same for both ropes, then rope #1, sample #2 would have elongated 5.5% or so at the end of 80 days.
- The values obtained at the end of the tests are not as severe perhaps as expected. They still are too big for long term mooring applications. The disturbing observation also
must be made that creep rates do not decrease at the end of the test, thus indicating the strong possibility of more creep as a function of time.

- The Kevlar sample results confirm previously established results of about 2% elongation for 40% of UBS, with only 0.4% due to creep. Creep rate at the end of the test is also vanishing.

Given these results and the attractive properties of Spectra fibers, it seems that longer tests (say up to 12 months) made on one or two improved rope constructions should be performed. Ropes made from Spectra 1000 should be included in these additional tests. Yarn and incomplete rope data suggest creep levels should be reduced to at least one-fourth.
August 9, 1988
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The WHOI Report No. 88-32 presents the results of two Engineering tests performed in the Spring, 1988 by the Ocean Structures and Floorings Laboratory, Ocean Engineering Department, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution.

The first chapter describes tests performed on SURLYN foam, a new buoyancy material.

The second chapter describes tests performed on SPECTRA fiber ropes, a new rope material.