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Antarctic Intermediate Water (AAIW) is a key player in the global ocean circulation, contributing to the upper limb of the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation (AMOC), and influencing interhemispheric heat exchange and the distribution of salinity, nutrients and carbon. However, the deglacial history of AAIW flow into the North Atlantic is controversial. Here we present a multicore-top neodymium isotope calibration, which confirms the ability of unclean foraminifera to faithfully record bottom water neodymium isotopic composition ($\epsilon_{\text{Nd}}$) values in their authigenic coatings. We then present the first foraminifera-based reconstruction of $\epsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ from three sediment cores retrieved from within modern AAIW, in the western tropical North Atlantic. Our records reveal similar glacial and interglacial contributions of AAIW, and a pronounced decrease in the AAIW fraction during North Atlantic deglacial cold episodes. Heinrich Stadial 1 (HS1) and Younger Dryas (YD). Our results suggest two separate phases of reduced fraction of AAIW in the tropical Atlantic during HS1, with a greater reduction during early HS1. If a reduction in AAIW fraction also reflects reduced AMOC strength, this finding may explain why, in many regions, there are two phases of hydrologic change within HS1, and why atmospheric CO$_2$ rose more rapidly during early than late HS1. Our result suggesting less flow of AAIW into the Atlantic during North Atlantic cold events contrasts with evidence from the Pacific, where intermediate-depth $\epsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ records may indicate increased flow of AAIW into the Pacific during the these same events. Antiphased $\epsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ behavior between intermediate depths of the North Atlantic and Pacific implies that the flow of AAIW into Atlantic and Pacific seasawed during the last deglaciation.

1. Introduction

The Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation (AMOC), the system of warm-to-cold water transformation that results in North Atlantic Deep Water (NADW) production, influences the large-scale redistributions of heat, nutrients, salt and carbon, and therefore has a major influence on Earth’s climate system (e.g. Dahl et al., 2005; Zhang and Delworth, 2005 and references therein). Antarctic Intermediate Water (AAIW) is a major contributor to the “cold water” return pathway (e.g., Schmitz Jr. and McCartney 1993), supplying North Atlantic waters that are exported at depth (Rintoul, 1991), and variations in its northward extent in the Atlantic may reflect the strength of the AMOC (Came et al., 2008; Xie et al., 2012; Oppo and Curry, 2012). AAIW also provides an important source of nutrients and carbon to the North Atlantic (Palter and Lozier, 2008) and is an effective sink of anthropogenic CO$_2$ (Sabine et al., 2004). Nevertheless, deglacial variability of Atlantic AAIW is uncertain; few if any studies have been conducted on sediment cores from within modern Atlantic AAIW, and proxy interpretation of existing data is controversial, with some studies suggesting a smaller fraction of AAIW in the North Atlantic during North Atlantic cold event (Came et al., 2008; Xie et al., 2012) and others arguing for a greater fraction (e.g. Pahmke et al., 2008; Pena et al., 2013).

Nutrient-proxies ($\delta^{13}$C and Cd/Ca) suggest that the Atlantic deep watermass distribution has varied on glacial–interglacial and shorter time scales (Duplessy et al., 1987; Boyle and Keigwin, 1987). Many studies suggest that during the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM), high-nutrient (high Cd, low- $\delta^{13}$C) southern ocean waters made up a greater fraction of deep waters in the North Atlantic below ~2–3 km (e.g. Curry and Oppo, 2005; Marchitto and Broecker, 2006; Lynch-Stieglitz et al., 2007; Yu et al., 2008). $\delta^{13}$C and Cd reconstructions also suggest a reduced proportion of northern source waters at depth during the two cold North Atlantic deglacial iceberg discharge events.
Heinrich Stadial 1 (HS1: ∼17.5–14.7 kyr Before Present, B.P.) and the Younger Dryas (YD: ∼12.8–11.7 kyr B.P.) (Boyle and Keigwin, 1987; Keigwin and Lehman, 1994). Sedimentary radionuclide (231Pa/230Th) records (McManus et al., 2004; Gherardi et al., 2009) suggest that AMOC strength was similar during the LGM and Holocene, but weaker during the North Atlantic deglaciation cold events. Taken together, these paleo-records imply a link between nutrient distribution and AMOC strength during the deglaciation. However, variations in the distribution of nutrient proxies are also influenced by contributions of remineralized organic matter remineralization (Kroopnick, 1980) or source water end-member values (e.g., Mix and Fairbanks, 1985), and sedimentary 231Pa/230Th may be influenced by biogenic silica fluxes (Chase et al., 2002). As a result of these potential complications, and to confirm the link between deglacial AMOC variability and global climate change (e.g., Vellinga and Wood, 2002; Lewis et al., 2010), other water mass tracers and circulation proxies must be explored. Among these, neodymium isotopes (εNd) measured on unclean planktonic foraminifera hold significant promise, as they appear to record the εNd of bottom water (Roberts et al., 2010; Piotrowski et al., 2012; Roberts et al., 2012; Tachikawa et al., 2013); seawater εNd is a quasi-conservative water mass tracer within the Atlantic basin (Goldstein and Hemming, 2003).

Here, we test the hypothesis that, as AAIW is an important return flow of NADW that is exported at depth (Rintoul, 1991), its flow into the North Atlantic should, to a large extent, follow variations in the strength of the AMOC (Cane et al., 2008; Xie et al., 2012; Oppo and Curry, 2012) as inferred from (231Pa/230Th) records (McManus et al., 2004; Gherardi et al., 2009).

In the Atlantic, southern source waters have high εNd values (AAIW: ∼−8 to −9; Antarctic Bottom Water, AABW: ∼−9; Jeandel, 1993; Stichel et al., 2012) compared to NADW (∼−13 to −14) (Piepgras and Wassenburg, 1987). These values reflect the different ages and compositions of the continental crust surrounding the Pacific and Atlantic Oceans, and the mixing ratio between Atlantic and Pacific water masses in the Southern Ocean. Modeling results indicate that boundary exchange is a critical process that helps reconcile the decoupling of Nd concentration and isotopic composition in the ocean (known as the "Nd paradox"; Siddall et al., 2008; Arrouze et al., 2009). Rempfer et al. (2012) further evaluated the potential effect of changes in overturning circulation on seawater εNd by applying periodic freshwater fluxes to the North Atlantic and Southern Oceans, and concluded that variations in εNd reflect the strength of the formation of NADW and to a greater extent, of AABW. They also concluded that changes in end-member εNd values on these abrupt time scales should be relatively small.

Recent studies suggest that εNd in unclean planktonic foraminifera (i.e. authigenic coatings that precipitate onto planktonic foraminifera after deposition) record bottom water εNd (Roberts et al., 2010; Piotrowski et al., 2012; Roberts et al., 2012; Tachikawa et al., 2013), although to date, there has not been a systematic comparison of εNd in core-top unclean planktonic and seawater collected from the same sites. Recent work also indicates that in continental margin settings with relatively high concentrations of suspended particles, seawater εNd may be modified by boundary exchange, compromising its utility as a watermass indicator (Lacan and Jeandel, 2005).

To evaluate potential issues with the εNd proxy, we collected seawater and sediment samples along a depth transect (∼380–3200 m) on the Demerara Rise, through Central Waters, AAIW, and NADW. We first assess the utility of unclean foraminifera εNd as a reliable proxy for seawater εNd by generating paired measurements of εNd on unclean foraminifera from the tops of multi-cores, bottom water samples collected from the same multi-core sites, and water column samples collected offshore with hydrocasts. We then present three new deglacial εNd records from modern AAIW depths on the Demerara Rise in the western tropical North Atlantic. We compare our new εNd records with other published deepwater records to investigate the relationship between AAIW variability in the Atlantic with Atlantic deepwater variability and Pacific Ocean intermediate water variability. Finally, we discuss the climatic implications of our findings.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Sediment cores and age models

We measured εNd on unclean foraminifera from high-accumulation-rate sediment cores recovered from the Demerara Rise from AAIW depths (KNR197-3-25GGC, 7°42.27′N, 53°47.12′W, 671 mwd; KNR197-3-46CDH, 7°50.16′N, 53°39.80′W, 947 mwd; and KNR197-3-9GGC, 7°55.80′N, 53°34.51′W, 1100 mwd) (Figs. 1 and 2). Low-salinity AAIW is evident between ∼500 and 1100 m (Figs. 1B and 2), sandwiched in between higher salinity Atlantic Central Waters above and NADW below. Thus, our records come from (1) within the core of AAIW but near the transition to Atlantic Central Waters above, (2) within the core of AAIW (KNR197-3-25GGC) and (3) near the transition between AAIW and northern source waters below (KNR197-3-9GGC).

The chronology for KNR197-3-46CDH was constrained by 12 radiocarbon dates on planktonic foraminifera, which were converted to calendar age using the mean ocean reservoir (R) age (e.g. ΔR = 0), and Calib6.0 using the Marine09 calibration curve (Table A2) (Reimer et al., 2009). Three additional dates all measured on mixed layer planktonic foraminifera or mixed layer planktonic foraminifera combined with deeper dwellers were omitted from the age model (see Fig. A1). At one of these depths (166.5 cm), we also measured the radiocarbon age of only deeper dwelling planktonic foraminifera, and this sample gave an age that is more consistent with the ages of sediment above and below this depth. At the other depth (200.5 cm), we measured the radiocarbon age of benthic foraminifera (Uvigerina spp.), which also gave an age that was consistent with our age model. The reason for the anomalously young ages is unclear, but may indicate curving of sediment with younger ages, and having more abundant mixed layer planktonic foraminifera. Note that our εNd analyses were on deep-dwelling planktonic foraminifera (e.g., G. menardii, P. Obliquiloculata and G. tumida) rather than the mixed layer planktonic foraminifera (G. ruber, G. g. sacculifer) that gave anomalous ages. The chronologies for KNR197-3-9GGC and KNR197-3-25GGC are constrained by 5 and 8 radiocarbon dates on planktonic foraminifera, respectively, converted to calendar age (Table A3). No age reversals occur in these two cores. Note that KNR197-3-25GGC just reached LGM sediment, with a bottom age of ∼19.4 kyr B.P. Average accumulation rates are ∼19 cm/kyr for KNR197-3-46CDH and KNR197-3-25GGC, and ∼11 cm/kyr for KNR197-3-9GGC.

2.2. Sample preparation

During expedition KNR197-3 of the R/V Knorr in 2010, seawater samples ranging from 50 to 4670 mwd were taken with Niskin bottles mounted onto a CTD-rossette (hydrocasts 3CTD and 68CTD) (Fig. 2). Bottom water samples were taken with Niskin bottles attached to the multi-coring system. Filtered samples were acidified at sea to pH <2 with ultrapure concentrated HCl and then stored in acid-washed 5-l polypropylene containers. Seawater samples were pre-concentrated using Fe(OH)3-co precipitation, and further purified through Eichrom 1-X8, TRU spec and LN spec resins. Nd purification followed the method established by our earlier work (Huang et al., 2012).

Approximately 2–3 mg of mixed thermocline- and deep-dwelling planktonic foraminifera (no G. ruber or G. g. sacculifer) were picked from the >63-μm size fraction of each sample. For
some samples, ∼5 mg of planktonic foraminifera were picked for duplicate measurements. Picked foraminiferal shells were gently crushed between glass slides under the microscope to ensure that all chambers were opened, and ultrasonicated five times for two minutes, three times with MilliQ-water, and two times with methanol. Samples were allowed to settle between ultrasonating steps, before the rinse fluid was siphoned. Each sample was rinsed further with MilliQ-water until the solution was clear and free of clay. The cleaned samples were dissolved in weak acetic acid (Roberts et al., 2010). Bulk sediment leachates were prepared from <63-μm-size fraction following established procedures (Piotrowski et al., 2004; Gutjahr et al., 2007; Pahnke et al., 2008). Briefly, after leaching with 10 ml of buffered acetic acid for at least one day to remove the carbonate fraction, ∼100 mg of sediment was leached for one hour with 10 ml of 0.02 M hydroxylamine hydrochloride (HH) in acetic acid to extract Fe–Mn fractions. The residue sediment was further leached with 1 M HH solution overnight to remove all remaining Fe–Mn oxides, and was completely digested in 4:1 hydrofluoric acid and perchloric acid. All the dissolved samples were passed through our two-step mini-columns (Eichrom TRU leachates resin) to further purify Nd (Huang et al., 2012).

2.3. Nd isotope analysis

The Nd isotopic composition in seawater, bottom water, unclean foraminifera, sediment leachates, and detrital fractions were determined by Neptune MC-ICP-MS using a high-sensitivity desolvator (ARIDUS II, Cetac) at WHOI. The standard exponential law was used for correction of the instrumental mass discrimination with internal normalization to $^{146}\text{Nd} / ^{144}\text{Nd} = 0.7219$. A 2.5 ng ml$^{-1}$ JNd-1 standard solution was used as a bracketing standard to monitor and correct for the instrumental mass fractionation. Another Nd standard solution, La Jolla, was also analyzed as a secondary reference to optimize instrumental conditions, and to further confirm data quality. Detailed information and quality assurance/control of the analytical technique can be found in our previous work (Huang et al., 2012). The $^{143}\text{Nd} / ^{144}\text{Nd}$ ratios are reported as $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$, that is, as deviations from the CHUR standard (CHUR = 0.512638; Jacobsen and Wasserburg, 1980), where

$$\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} = \left( \frac{^{143}\text{Nd}}{^{144}\text{Nd}} \right)_{\text{Sample}} - 1 \times 10,000.$$  

During the analytical course for seawater samples, the GEOTRACES intercalibration seawater standard, SAFE (3000 m), was also processed and analyzed using our method. The measured $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ value for SAFE is $-3.3 \pm 0.3$ (2SD, n = 6), consistent with the previously published result ($-3.2 \pm 0.5$; van de Flierdt et al., 2012). The external reproducibility ($\pm 0.3\varepsilon$, 2SD) was assessed by our long-term runs of several international Nd isotopic standards, including JNd-1, SAFE seawater, as well as Nod-P-1 Mn nodule (Huang et al., 2012).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. In situ multicore-top calibration

To systematically assess the utility of unclean foraminiferal $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ as a reliable proxy for seawater $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ in our study area, we conducted a series of $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ measurements on multicore-top mixed planktonic foraminifera, ambient bottom water collected with the
multi-coring system, and offshore seawater collected on CTD hydrocasts (Fig. 2C, Tables A.4–A.6). The $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ values of the offshore seawater range from $-10$ to $-12$ at depths of 500–4670 m, and the vertical profile of seawater $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ (including bottom water) mimics that of salinity ($r = 0.89$; $p = 0.001$) and the main water masses at our study site, confirming that seawater Nd isotopes is a useful water mass tracer in this region. At depths shallower than 150 m (68CTD), seawater $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ values are much less radiogenic ($\sim -13$), most likely reflecting either an atmospheric input or $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ signature advected from the east in the North Equatorial Current, as suggested by Piepgras and Wasserburg (1987). Similar values in water column samples and bottom waters, and their correlations with salinity, suggest that the seawater $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ is not influenced locally by boundary exchange. This inference is further supported by a $\sim 1$ difference between $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ values of bottom water ($\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} = -10.3$) and detrital sediment ($\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} = -11.2$) from the shallowest core depths (10.5 cm, 3.7 kyr B.P.) of core KNR197-3-46CDH. Similar $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ values in unclean foraminifera and seawater across our depth transect demonstrate, unequivocally, that unclean foraminifera record the $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ of seawater with the fidelity to distinguish between the different water masses in our study area (Fig. 2 and Fig. 3).

3.2. Down-core Demerara Rise $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ of unclean foraminifera

Our new $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ records of unclean foraminifera (Fig. 4, Tables A.7–A.9) reveal that LGM seawater $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ values were either slightly more radiogenic than (e.g. KNR197-3-46CDH; $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} = \sim -9.8 \pm 0.4$, average $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ value between 19 and 23 kyr B.P. ±1 SD) or comparable to (KNR197-3-25GGC and KNR197-3-9GGC) the modern value of $-10.6$. Two unradiogenic $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ excursions coincident with HS1 and the YD were superimposed on these small LGM-to-Holocene changes at all three water depths. Before discussing insights on AAIW variability provided by these results, we discuss other potential influences on these records.

3.3. Effect of boundary exchange on unclean foraminiferal $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$

Recent studies suggest that boundary exchange, involving the exchange reaction with continental sediments, may contribute to non-conservative behavior of Nd in the modern oceans (Lacan and Jeandel, 2005; Wilson et al., 2012). In order to systematically eval-
ulate the potential effect of boundary exchange on our down-core unclean foraminifera $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ record. Nd isotope measurements were made on the detrital fraction of a subset of samples from core KR197-3-46CDH. The unradiogenic $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ of the detrital sediment (Fig. A.2 and Table A.7), ranging from $-11$ to $-13$, supports a dominant sediment source from mixing of Amazon River sediment ($\varepsilon_{Nd} = -9.2$; Goldstein et al., 1984), Orinoco River sediment ($\varepsilon_{Nd} = -9.9$; White et al., 1985), and presumably sediments supplied by Essequibo River, a small local river. The correlation ($r = 0.43$, $p = 0.26$) between clean foraminifera $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ and detrital sediment $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ (Fig. A.2) is not significant. We presume, therefore, that our unclean foraminifera $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ record is not influenced by exchange with contemporary detrital material.

3.4. Down-core sediment leachate $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ record

Previous studies have used records of authigenic $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ from sediment leachates to reconstruct deglacial AAIW variability in the Atlantic Ocean (Pahneke et al., 2008; Xie et al., 2011). However, recent work demonstrates that reconstructions of $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ variability using the authigenic leachate fraction may be significantly modified by preferential leaching of volcanic material, and often does not record the seawater value (Roberts et al., 2010; Elmore et al., 2011; Wilson et al., 2013; Kraft et al., 2013). We also made authigenic $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ measurements on leachates from bulk sediments ($<63$-µm-size fraction; Fig. A.3 and Table A.10) in a subset of samples from KR197-3-46CDH to evaluate the fidelity of the leachate records in our study site and to compare with existing leachate records from the intermediate-depth Atlantic (Fig. A.4). Several lines of evidence suggest that $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ measured on sediment leachates do not represent seawater values at our study site. First, the $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ value of the late Holocene ($\sim 3.5$ kyr B.P.) sediment leachates ($\varepsilon_{Nd} = -9.9$) deviates significantly from the modern seawater $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ value ($\varepsilon_{Nd} = -10.6$) at around 1000 m. Second, the correlation between unclean foraminifera $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ and sediment leachate $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ is not significant ($r = 0.31$, $p = 0.31$; Fig. A.3). Although two unradiogenic $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ excursions also occur approximately coincident with HS1 and YD in the sediment leachate $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ records from the Demerara Rise and Florida Straits (Xie et al., 2012), the timing and duration of these sediment leachate $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ events differ from the events as recorded in Demerara Rise unclean foraminifera (Fig. A4). Nd isotope records of unclean foraminifera from the Florida Margin would be useful for assessing whether intermediate depth (550–750 m) seawater $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ variations at these sites are in fact coherent with those measured at the Demerara Rise.

3.5. Changes in endmember $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ values of northern and southern component waters

Previous studies suggest that the endmember $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ compositions of northern-sourced waters in the North Atlantic remain constant on glacial-interglacial timescales through the Pleistocene (van de Flierdt et al., 2006; Foster et al., 2007), although more data are needed to claim this with confidence. The Southern Ocean $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ value, which reflects the balance between input of Northern Atlantic and Pacific waters, seems to have become more Pacific-like (more radiogenic) during the LGM, suggesting the export of northern source water to the Southern Ocean decreased (Fig. 5; Piotrowski et al., 2012), and such a decrease may have contributed to the more radiogenic values during the LGM than the Holocene at KR197-3-46CDH, within the core of AAIW ($\sim 950$ mwd). One LGM data point from KR197-3-25GGC, also within the core of AAIW ($\sim 650$ m), similarly suggests more radiogenic glacial values. There was no perceptible LGM–Holocene change at KR197-3-9GGC, which is on the transition between AAIW and northern source water.

Coral $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ data from the intermediate-depth North Atlantic ($\sim 39^\circ$N) hint at more radiogenic values during the peak of the YD compared to the end of the YD (Fig. 5) (van de Flierdt et al., 2006), suggesting that the unradiogenic values we document during the peak of the YD in the intermediate depth tropical North Atlantic are not due to a change in the northern endmember value. The Southern Ocean $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ value, which reflects the balance between input of North Atlantic and Pacific waters, is also likely to have become more radiogenic due to reduced export of northern source water to the Southern Ocean, especially during events of reduced AMOC (e.g., HS1 and YD). Indeed, the only direct evidence to constrain the endmember $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ value of the intermediate-depth Southern Ocean is from fossil deep-sea corals ($\sim 1100$ m) in the Drake Passage (the source region of AAIW), which suggests that seawater was significantly more radiogenic during HS1 ($-6.4 \pm 0.4$; Robinson and van de Flierdt, 2009) than the modern day ($\varepsilon_{Nd} = -9$; Piepgrass and Wasserburg, 1982; Stichel et al., 2012). Recently published $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ data of unclean planktonic foraminifera from the deep South Atlantic (Fig. 5) (Piotrowski et al., 2012) also suggest more radiogenic Southern Ocean values during North Atlantic cold events. Therefore, the less radiogenic values we observe during North Atlantic cold events do not appear...
During early HS1, the AAIW decrease is also found in the two shallowest cores, they may indicate a greater fraction of AAIW in its core (an intensification of AAIW) during the LGM. The most likely explanation for the less radiogenic $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ values during HS1 and the YD is an increased fraction of northern source water relative to AAIW. During early HS1, the YD and HS1 are corrected for sea-level changes based on Clark et al. (2009). For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.

To facilitate visualization of changes in $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ with depth we compare $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ values during the LGM (23–19 kyr B.P., only one point for KNR197-3-25GGC), the peak excursion in early HS1 (17–16.7 kyr B.P.), and the peak YD excursion (~12.2 kyr B.P.) to core-top values (Fig. 6). As discussed above, a more radiogenic southern endmember $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ value may have resulted in more radiogenic LGM $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ values within the core of AAIW at the Demerara Rise (at our two shallower sites). However, because more radiogenic values are only found in the two shallower cores, they may indicate a greater fraction of AAIW in its core (an intensification of AAIW) during the LGM.

The warming of the south Atlantic thermocline (e.g., Dahl et al., 2005). As warming extends to AAIW depths, we infer that the AAIW return flow is also reduced in these experiments, consistent with our interpretation.
imply a deepwater mass geometry similar to the modern one. A convergence between intermediate-depth tropical North Atlantic and deep North Atlantic values during the YD implies that reduced AAIW contribution to the upper tropical North Atlantic was associated with reduced export of NADW to depth.

Changes in deepwater geometry as inferred from $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ bear a strong resemblance to AMOC variations inferred from sedimentary $^{231}\text{Pa}/^{230}\text{Th}$ ratios (e.g., McManus et al., 2004), suggesting that at least in a broad sense, millennial changes in deepwater geometry are coupled to changes in AMOC intensity. However, in contrast with sedimentary $^{231}\text{Pa}/^{230}\text{Th}$ records (McManus et al., 2004; Gherardi et al., 2009) (Fig. 7) and with AAIW previously inferred from leachate $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ (Xie et al., 2012) (Fig. A.4), all three Demerara Rise suggest two stages of reduced AAIW influence during HS1 – an early stage (from ~18 to 16 kyr B.P.) in which $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ values of all three records approach modern North Atlantic endmember values, and a later stage (15.5–14.7 kyr B.P.), when intermediate values suggest a smaller reduction in the fraction of AAIW (Fig. 4). These episodes are separated by a return to the modern $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ value in one core (KNR197–3–46CDH), but the return is more modest in the other two cores. Additional data are needed to confirm these differences.

In our two highest deposition rate (shallowest) cores, both within AAIW, the early HS1 $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ excursion is larger than the later one. Given additional evidence from the deep Cape Basin, which shows a much more significant $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ excursion during early than late HS1 (Fig. 7), we speculate that the AMOC was weaker during early than late HS1. If that is the case, a weaker AMOC during early HS1 may explain why tropical atmospheric circulation and hydrologic change, as reflected in the oxygen isotope composition of tropical speleothems from the deep tropics (Fig. 7F), was more anomalous early in the Heinrich stadial (e.g., Partin et al., 2007). Combined with modeling studies evaluating the global climate response to events of reduced AMOC (e.g., Vellinga and Wood, 2002; Lewis et al., 2010), our data suggest that these pronounced early Heinrich climate anomalies were caused by a dramatically curtailed AMOC, which partially recovered later in the Heinrich stadial. More broadly, our evidence of two distinct phases within HS1 of reduced AAIW return flow, and by inference, of AMOC reduction, may help explain widespread evidence of differing hydrologic conditions during these two intervals (Broecker and Putnam, 2012). Furthermore, if a weak AMOC is a key element in the deglacial atmospheric CO$_2$ rise, because, for example, it results in reduced Antarctic stratification (e.g., Sigman et al., 2007; Anderson et al., 2009; Toggweiler and Lee, 2010), our evidence of weaker AMOC early in HS1 also explains why atmospheric CO$_2$ rose more rapidly during early than late HS1 (e.g., Monnin et al., 2001).

3.8. Deglacial variability of AAIW in the Pacific and Atlantic Ocean

Notably, the HS1 and YD negative $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ excursions we document at AAIW depths in the tropical North Atlantic coincide with excursions to positive $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ values in a sediment core from 681 m water depth off Baja California, northeast Pacific (Fig. 8D). The Pacific data may indicate a greater contribution of modified AAIW relative to North Pacific Intermediate Water (NPIW) during early HS1 and the YD (Basak et al., 2010). In the Pacific, AAIW subducts northward from its surface source region (mainly in the southeast Pacific, off southern Chile) along an isopycnal surface between 500 and 1300 m, and then follows the wind-driven subtropical gyre surface water circulation (McCartney, 1982; Tomczak and Godfrey, 1994; Reid, 1997; Talley, 1999; Sloyan and Rintoul, 2001). The northward transport of AAIW in the southeast Pacific appears to be balanced by a southward flow along the western boundary of the basin (Sloyan and Rintoul, 2001). Several possible mechanisms may explain the apparent teleconnection between intermediate water circulation in these two ocean basins: [1] during millennial events of AMOC reduction, NPIW may have deepened (Okazaki et al., 2010), allowing AAIW to extend farther north in the Pacific Ocean compared to the Atlantic Ocean; [2] stronger westerlies in the South Pacific sector during North Atlantic cooling (Lee et al., 2011) may have promoted AAIW formation in the Pacific Ocean; [3] AAIW that was previously drawn northward into the North Atlantic to replace exported deep water more readily flowed into the interior of the Pacific. This latter mechanism would not require a change in AAIW production rate, only a rerouting from the Atlantic to the Pacific in response to reduced demand to replace NADW that was exported at depth. Although the potential mechanisms need to be explored further, together with evidence linking two phases of reduced AAIW flow into the North Atlantic during HS1 with two phases in the hydrologic cycle, our evidence of a bi-phased AMOC behavior between intermediate depths of the North Atlantic and Pacific (implying an oceanographic response in the high latitude formation region of NPIW and/or AAIW) underscores the global reach of North Atlantic abrupt climate change.

4. Conclusions

Depth transects of $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ measured on seawater and unclean foraminifera from the Demerara Rise in the western tropical North Atlantic confirm the quasi-conservative properties of seawater $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$. its utility as a water mass tracer, and the ability of unclean foraminifera to faithfully record bottom water $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ values in their
authigenic coatings. We present the first foraminifera-based reconstructions of seawater $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ from sediment cores from within AAIW depths in the western tropical North Atlantic. Foraminiferal $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ variations are not significantly correlated to variations in $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ of sediment leachates from the same core, suggesting that in this region, Nd isotope variability of sediment leachates does not accurately reflect deepwater variability. Our new uncleared foraminifera-based $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ records suggest that AAIW still reached the Demerara Rise during the LGM, but reveal a pronounced decrease in the fraction of AAIW during North Atlantic deglacial cold episodes HS1 and YD, consistent with hypothesized AMOC reduction during these events. Our data reveal two phases of reduced AAIW fraction within HS1, with the greatest reduction early in HS1, the period marked by massive iceberg discharge. If the AAIW fraction reflects the AMOC, as we hypothesize, then this finding may explain why, in many regions, there are distinct climate anomalies in early and late HS1, and why atmospheric CO$_2$ rose faster during early than late HS1. In contrast to our $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ record, an intermediate-depth record from the northeast Pacific suggests more radiogenic values during the same millennial events, potentially indicating that the flow of AAIW into the Atlantic and Pacific seesaws on millennial time scales.
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