The North Pacific Acoustic Laboratory deep-water acoustic propagation experiments in the Philippine Sea
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A series of experiments conducted in the Philippine Sea during 2009–2011 investigated deep-water acoustic propagation and ambient noise in this oceanographically and geologically complex region: (i) the 2009 North Pacific Acoustic Laboratory (NPAL) Pilot Study/Engineering Test, (ii) the 2010–2011 NPAL Philippine Sea Experiment, and (iii) the Ocean Bottom Seismometer Augmentation of the 2010–2011 NPAL Philippine Sea Experiment. The experimental goals included (a) understanding the impacts of fronts, eddies, and internal tides on acoustic propagation, (b) determining whether acoustic methods, together with other measurements and ocean modeling, can yield estimates of the time-evolving ocean state useful for making improved acoustic predictions, (c) improving our understanding of the physics of scattering by internal waves and spice, (d) characterizing the depth dependence and temporal variability of ambient noise, and (e) understanding the relationship between the acoustic field in the water column and the seismic field in the seafloor. In these experiments, moored and ship-suspended low-frequency acoustic sources transmitted to a newly developed distributed vertical line array receiver capable of spanning the water column in the deep ocean. The acoustic transmissions and ambient noise were also recorded by a towed hydrophone array, by acoustic Seagliders, and by ocean bottom seismometers.
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PACS number(s): 43.30.Re, 43.30.Nb, 43.30.Ma, 43.30.Pc [TFD]

I. INTRODUCTION

A series of deep-water acoustic propagation experiments combining low-frequency, broadband sources with vertical and horizontal receiving arrays were conducted in the North Pacific Ocean during the period 1989 to 2005 as part of what is loosely referred to as the North Pacific Acoustic Laboratory (NPAL) (Worcester and Spindel, 2005). These past experiments were designed to measure the spatial and temporal statistics of the fluctuations of resolved rays and acoustic normal modes. The results reflect the background sound-speed field, the relatively low level of eddy variability, the small-scale sound-speed fluctuations caused by internal waves and density-compensated temperature and salinity variations (spice), and the noise sources found in the relatively benign northeast and north central Pacific Ocean.

During 2009–2011, the methods developed to study long-range, deep-water broadband acoustic propagation in the
central and eastern North Pacific were applied to investigate deep-water acoustic propagation and ambient noise in the much more oceanographically and geologically complex northern Philippine Sea. Three experiments were conducted: (a) the 2009 NPAL Pilot Study/Engineering Test (PhilSea09), (b) the 2010–2011 NPAL Philippine Sea Experiment (PhilSea10), and (c) the Ocean Bottom Seismometer Augmentation of the 2010–2011 NPAL Philippine Sea Experiment (OBSAPS). This paper serves as an introduction to these experiments and presents selected results from each.

In contrast to the oceanographically benign northeast and north central Pacific Ocean, the sound-speed field in the Philippine Sea is modulated by strong eddies that sweep across the basin from the east (Qiu, 1999; Kobashi and Kawamura, 2002; Qiu and Chen, 2010). The westward-propagating eddies interact with an intense western boundary current, the Kuroshio, that flows northward to the east of Taiwan. Large internal tides generated in Luzon Strait and north central Pacific Ocean, the sound-speed field in the water column and the seismic field in the seafloor for understanding the relationship between the acoustic field in the upper ocean.

Even in deep water, sound that interacts with the seafloor contributes significantly to the received signal at short ranges. The bathymetry in the Philippine Sea is the result of complicated tectonic processes with islands and straits to the west and rugged topography in the interior. Seafloor properties such as sediment thickness, sound speed, and density differ dynamically from those in the north central and northeast Pacific, with a considerable amount of volcaniclastic debris and turbidites (Karig, 1975; Salisbury et al., 2006).

A number of major shipping routes traverse the Philippine Sea. The shipping density in the region is much higher than in the central North Pacific with implications for the low-frequency ambient noise field.

The goals of the Philippine Sea experiments included (1) understanding the impacts of fronts, eddies, and internal tides on acoustic propagation, (2) determining whether acoustic methods, together with other measurements and ocean modeling, can yield estimates of the time-evolving ocean state useful for making improved acoustic predictions and for understanding the local ocean dynamics, (3) improving our understanding of the physics of scattering by internal waves and spice, (4) characterizing the depth dependence and temporal variability of the ambient noise field, and (5) understanding the relationship between the acoustic field in the water column and the seismic field in the seafloor for both ambient noise and signals.

Section II provides brief summaries of the physical oceanography, geology, and acoustic properties of the Philippine Sea. The next three sections provide overviews of the three experiments and present selected results from each. Some concluding remarks are made in Sec. VI. The Appendix provides a brief description of a newly developed distributed vertical line array (DVLA) receiver capable of spanning the water column in the deep ocean that was used in various configurations in all three experiments.

II. PHILIPPINE SEA ENVIRONMENT

The physical oceanography and marine geology of the Philippine Sea, both of which affect acoustic propagation, are briefly summarized in the following text. A discussion of the acoustic environment, including sound-speed profiles and ambient noise, follows.

A. Physical oceanography

The circulation in the interior of the northern Philippine Sea is dominated by the broad, wind-driven, westward-flowing North Equatorial Current (NEC), which is subsurface in this region, and the relatively shallow and weak eastward-flowing North Pacific Subtropical Countercurrent (STCC) at 18°–25°N, between the midlatitude westerly winds and the low-latitude trade winds (Qiu and Chen, 2010). The intensity of the STCC peaks in the spring and is at a minimum in the fall. In the western Philippine Sea, a strong western boundary current, the Kuroshio, flows northward immediately to the east of Taiwan. The NEC supplies the region with two distinct water masses marked by salinity extrema (Rudnick et al., 2011). North Pacific Tropical Water (NPTW) is marked by high salinity near 200-m depth; North Pacific Intermediate Water (NPIW) is associated with a salinity minimum near 500-m depth (Figs. 1 and 2).

A zonal band of strongly enhanced eddy activity centered at about 22°N and extending east to 180° is associated with the STCC (Qiu, 1999; Kobashi and Kawamura, 2002; Qiu and Chen, 2010). In the entire North Pacific, only the mesoscale eddies in the Kuroshio Extension east of Japan are stronger than those to the east of Taiwan. In the Philippine Sea, the currents associated with the mesoscale eddies are far stronger than the mean currents associated with the NEC and the STCC. The temperature anomalies

![FIG. 1. Annual average temperature, salinity and sound-speed profiles at 21.36°N, 126.02°E, approximately at the locations of the PhilSea09 and PhilSea10 VLAs, as derived from the World Ocean Atlas 2005 (Antonov et al., 2006; Locarnini et al., 2006).](image-url)
is considerable variability in estimates of the baroclinic M$_2$ component of the overall variability (Colosi et al. 2013). There are of roughly the same magnitude and are a significant component of the overall variability (Colosi et al., 2013). There is considerable variability in estimates of the baroclinic M$_2$ energy flux into the Philippine Sea. Niwa and Hibiya (2004) estimate from a three-dimensional model simulation that 14 GW of energy in the M$_2$ barotropic tide is converted into the baroclinic tide at Luzon Strait with 3.2 GW propagating into the Philippine Sea. Jan et al. (2008) simulated the internal tide generation in the Luzon Strait for the four principal constituents (O$_1$, K$_1$, M$_2$, S$_2$). They found that barotropic to baroclinic energy conversion for the M$_2$ tide was 9.6 GW with 2.3 GW propagating eastward. Most recently, Kerry et al. (2013) and Powell et al. (2013), using the regional ocean modeling system (ROMS), found that 17 GW of M$_2$ barotropic tidal energy is converted into internal tides in the Luzon Strait with 4.8 GW propagating eastward into the Philippine Sea (Fig. 3). Zhao and D’Asaro (2011) find from altimetric data and air-launched expendable bathythermographs (AXBs) that westward-propagating M$_2$ internal tides generated at the Mariana Arc converge in a focal region centered at 17°N, 139.6°E, well to the east of the region of interest here, and then diverge as they propagate west beyond the focus.

Strongly nonlinear internal solitons that are generated in Luzon Strait at tidal intervals and propagate westward occur regularly in the South China Sea (e.g., Ramp et al., 2004). In contrast, internal solitons seem to be uncommon in the northern Philippine Sea, although at least one has been detected (Chu and Hzieh, 2007). Nonetheless, the internal tide in the Philippine Sea has a rich harmonic structure (Colosi et al., 2013), which is indicative of nonlinear wave propagation.

Finally, the random internal gravity wave field below the mixed layer in the Philippine Sea is, not surprisingly, relatively well described by the model spectrum developed by Garrett and Munk (Munk, 1981) except that the internal wave energy level seems to be somewhat elevated (Colosi et al., 2013).

The temperature, salinity, and velocity structure in the Philippine Sea were measured during the NPAL Philippine Sea experiments using a combination of moored sensors and conductivity-temperature-depth (CTD) profiles (Colosi et al., 2013). Temperature and salinity measurements in the upper 1000 m were also collected by Seagliders transiting between the moorings during PhilSea10 (Howe et al., 2011; Van Uffelen et al., 2013).
B. Marine geology

Unlike broad sections of the North Pacific, the western Philippine Sea was formed by seafloor spreading in a back-arc basin, resulting in complex seafloor topography and structure (Karig, 1975; Louden, 1977; Matsubara and Seno, 1980; Seno and Maruyama, 1984; Goodman et al., 1989; Salisbury et al., 2006). Multibeam bathymetric data obtained during the NPAL Philippine Sea experiments were combined with other available multibeam data and Global Topography V14.1 (Smith and Sandwell, 1997) to generate a high-resolution bathymetric map of the region (Fig. 4).

The Philippine Sea is surrounded by volcanoes, resulting in a sediment layer that includes a considerable amount of volcaniclastic debris and turbidites (Salisbury et al., 2006). The most accurate estimates of sediment thickness are obtained by drilling. Although the Deep Sea Drilling Project (DSDP) and Ocean Drilling Project (ODP) did not drill sites within the NPAL experimental area, many features of seafloor structure are a function of plate age (Houtz and Ewing, 1976). Sites 290, 293, and 1201 with crustal ages of 45–50 Ma are therefore expected to have properties similar to those in the experimental area, which has ages of 37–50 Ma, even though they are in some cases considerable distances from it (Fig. 4). The drilled sediment thicknesses at Sites 293 and 1201 were 563 and 510 m, respectively. (Site 290 had not reached basement at 255 m.) Some idea of the variability in sediment thickness can be obtained from Karig (1975) based on seismic reflection data. The southwestern section of the experimental area has relatively thick sediments, 340–500 m. The northeastern section is a region of “irregular topography and variable sediment thickness” with a qualitative average thickness between 170 and 340 m.

Tables of sonic velocities made on cored material are available for Sites 290, 293, and 1201 (Shipboard Scientific Party, 1975a,b, 2002). Site 1201 also has in situ sonic velocity data. There is considerable scatter, with values from about 1.7 to 3.8 km/s. Two core sample speeds at Site 290 from around 250-m depth exceed 4.0 km/s, and one sample exceeds 5.0 km/s. With so much scatter, it is difficult to give a representative sound speed for the sediment layer. The sonic velocity logging data at Site 1201 give an interval velocity for the whole sediment layer of 2.25 km/s (Fig. F16 in Shipboard Scientific Party, 2002).

In summary, the sediments in the western Philippine Sea are much thicker (~500 m vs less than 50 m) and have higher compressional speeds (2.25 vs 1.6 km/s, typical of pelagic ooze) than open-ocean sites of similar age, for example, off the East Pacific Rise. No direct measurements of sediment properties were made during the NPAL Philippine Sea experiments, however.

C. Acoustics

The sound-speed profile in the northern Philippine Sea is a typical temperate profile with the sound-channel axis at a depth of 1000–1100 m (Fig. 1). Most locations are sufficiently deep that the surface conjugate depth (i.e., critical depth) is located above the seafloor throughout the year (Fig. 5). Long-range acoustic propagation is therefore typically surface limited.

A number of shipping lanes traverse the Philippine Sea (Fig. 6) with implications for the low-frequency ambient noise field. Particularly heavily trafficked shipping lanes pass to the east of Taiwan (west of the NPAL experimental area) and to the north of Taiwan and through the Ryukyu Islands (north of the NPAL experimental area). Evans and Carey (2009) calculated the vertical and horizontal directivity of underwater noise in the Philippine Sea based on a combination of historical shipping densities and observed wind speeds.

Ambient noise measurements were made above and below the surface conjugate depth in the Philippine Sea in the late 1970s and 1980s using analog recording systems. The Applied Research Laboratories of The University of Texas at Austin measured ambient noise levels in 2007 near
one of the locations previously occupied in 1987 (D. Knobles, personal communication). In both the old and 2007 measurements, the omnidirectional noise level at 50 Hz was approximately 75 dB re 1 Pa$^2$/Hz above the surface conjugate depth. The noise level was about 67 dB re 1 Pa$^2$/Hz well below the surface conjugate depth on hydrophones 20–30 m above the seafloor, a reduction of about 8 dB. In contrast, in the northeast Pacific Ocean the omnidirectional noise level decreases as much as 20–25 dB between the surface conjugate depth and the seafloor (Shooter et al., 1990; Gaul et al., 2007).

III. 2009 NPAL PILOT STUDY/ENGINEERING TEST (PhilSea09)

A short-term pilot study/engineering test was conducted in the Philippine Sea during April-May 2009. The objectives were to (1) obtain an initial look at deep-water acoustic propagation and ambient noise in the northern Philippine Sea, (2) study short-term temporal variability using long-duration acoustic transmissions, and (3) test the equipment planned for use in 2010–2011 under actual operating conditions.

A. Experiment

A single acoustic path, approximately corresponding to one of those to be instrumented during the 2010–2011 PhilSea10 experiment, was instrumented with a moored, broadband source (T1) and a prototype DVLA receiver (Fig. 7). Both moorings remained in place for about one month, while coordinated, ship-based measurements were made. These included transmissions to the DVLA from sources suspended from shipboard and recording of the T1 and ship-suspended source transmissions by the towed Five Octave Research Array (FORA) (Becker and Preston, 2003).

Table I gives the nominal mooring and ship station locations. The range (WGS84) from the reference position of the DVLA to the reference position of mooring T1 was 185.127 km.

1. Moored transceiver

The moored source was a Teledyne Webb Research swept-frequency acoustic source (S/N 1) that transmitted linear frequency-modulated (LFM) signals extending from 225 to 325 Hz and lasting 135 s (Webb et al., 2002; Morozov and Webb, 2003, 2007). The source was calibrated at Seneca Lake Sonar Test Facility following the experiment. The maximum source level was 184.9 dB re 1 Pa at 1 m rms, and the average transmitted power level over the full bandwidth was approximately 181.9 dB re 1 Pa at 1 m rms. The transmitted waveform deviated from an ideal linear FM signal, and the actual measured waveform was therefore used to construct the reference signal for pulse compression. The source transmitted every 3 h, except for one 72-h period and two additional 24-h periods when it transmitted at 5-min intervals. The 3-h sampling interval is adequate to resolve the semi-diurnal tides. The 5-min sampling interval was designed to explore short-period acoustic fluctuations.

The median source depth was 1098.6 m. This depth was used as the reference depth when correcting for mooring motion. An array of four hydrophones spaced 9.0 m apart
(approximately 3/2 \lambda \text{ at 250 Hz}) was located above the source to record ambient noise. A long-baseline acoustic navigation system with three acoustic transponders on the seafloor was used to determine the source position once per hour throughout the experiment.

2. Prototype DVLA

The DVLA consisted of two 1000-m subarrays: An axial subarray spanning the surface conjugate depth. A distributed vertical line array—simple tomographic acoustic receiver (D-STAR) controller located at the top of each subarray provided timing and scheduling for distributed, self-recording hydrophone modules that were clamped to the mooring wire (Appendix). Inductively coupled modems provided low-bandwidth (1200 baud) communication between the D-STAR controllers and the hydrophone modules over standard oceanographic mooring wire for command, control, and time synchronization.

Each subarray contained 30 hydrophone modules (Table II). The axial subarray was configured with (1) an array of 25 hydrophone modules spaced 25 m apart to resolve low-order modes, (2) two (2) hydrophone modules spaced 75 m apart above the mode-resolving array, and (3) three (3) hydrophone modules spaced 75 m apart below the mode-resolving array. The more widely spaced hydrophone modules were designed to characterize the near-axial time front. The deep subarray was configured with (1) a dense array of 20 hydrophone modules spaced 5 m apart (approximately \lambda/2 at 150 Hz) to resolve the angular dependence of the ambient noise below the surface conjugate depth and (2) ten (10) hydrophone modules spaced 90 m apart located above the dense array to characterize the time front and ambient noise in the vicinity of the surface conjugate depth. A long-baseline acoustic navigation system with four acoustic transponders on the seafloor was used to determine the array position and shape once per hour throughout the experiment.

The DVLA recorded for 155 s beginning 10 s prior to the nominal arrival time of the T1 transmissions to provide approximately 10-s buffer periods before and after the receptions. In addition, during the times that the T1 source was transmitting every 3 h, the DVLA recorded ambient noise for 155 s shortly after each T1 reception. Finally, the DVLA recorded continuously at times when the ship-suspended sources were scheduled to be transmitting, except for intervals once per hour to allow the long-baseline navigation system to measure the mooring motion. The sample rate for all acoustic receptions was 1953.125 Hz.

3. Ship-suspended sources

The ship-suspended sources included J15-3 and MP-200 Multiport sources suspended from the R/V Melville. The J15-3 and MP-200 source transmissions were recorded by both the DVLA and the FORA horizontal array.

The J15-3 projector is a standard transducer provided by the Underwater Sound Reference Division of the Naval Undersea Warfare Center (Groves, 1974; Young, 1975). It transmitted a combination of continuous wave (CW) and linear FM signals at ship stations SS-35, SS-45, and SS-107 as well as during a number of tows (Fig. 7). The source was deployed at nominal depths of 15 or 60 m during these events. The maximum J15-3 source level is 180 dB re 1 \mu Pa at 1 m rms, although the transmitted levels were all less than this in order to avoid signal distortion.

The MP-200 Multiport source is an experimental, doubleported doubly resonant device designed by ImageAcoustics, Inc., and manufactured by Massa Products, Inc. (Andrew, 2009). At ship station SS-107, the MP-200 transmitted a phase-coded, periodic m-sequence with a carrier frequency of 284,1666 Hz (Table III) (Munk et al., 1995). An equalization correction was applied to the drive signal (“pre-equalizer”) to compensate for the doubly resonant response of the source. The pre-equalizer did not fully compensate for the system transfer function, and a “post-equalizer” filter was therefore also applied to the received data. The source depth was 1000 m. The source level was approximately 183 dB re 1 \mu Pa at 1 m rms. The MP-200 continuous transmissions recorded on the DVLA provide information on the statistics of the acoustic fluctuations caused by internal waves, internal tides, and spice.

4. Towed hydrophone array

The FORA horizontal array was towed by the R/V Kilo Moana. The FORA array includes four modules coupled to form a nested set of acoustic apertures with hydrophones spaced \lambda/2 apart at frequencies of 250, 500, 1000, and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Source depth (m)</th>
<th>Water depth (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DVLA</td>
<td>21° 22.0979’N, 126° 1.2033’E</td>
<td>5530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>22° 57.7118’N, 126° 33.7706’E</td>
<td>6059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS-35</td>
<td>21° 39.8950’N, 126° 07.1120’E</td>
<td>J15-3: 15/60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS-45</td>
<td>21° 45.0500’N, 126° 08.8834’E</td>
<td>J15-3: 15/60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DVLA subarray</th>
<th>Depths (m)</th>
<th>Spacing (m)</th>
<th>Number of modules</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Axial</td>
<td>650–725</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>800–1400</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1475–1625</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep</td>
<td>4285–5095</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5185–5280</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5185–5280</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE II. Nominal hydrophone module depths and spacing in the PhilSea09 DVLA.
TABLE III. Parameters of the periodic phase-coded m-sequence signals transmitted by the MP-200 and HX-554 sources during PhilSea09 and PhilSea10. The HX-554 transmitted different signals at depths of 150 and 998 m. The periodic m-sequence signals summed to construct the composite signal used to drive the MP-200 during PhilSea10 are labeled “Red” and “Violet.”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PhilSea09</th>
<th>HX-554</th>
<th>PhilSea10</th>
<th>MP-200</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MP-200</td>
<td>150 m</td>
<td>998 m</td>
<td>Red</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrier frequency (Hz)</td>
<td>284.1666…</td>
<td>61.38</td>
<td>81.88</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cycles/digit</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digit length (ms)</td>
<td>7.0381</td>
<td>32.5839</td>
<td>24.4260</td>
<td>20.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sequence length (digits)</td>
<td>1023</td>
<td>1023</td>
<td>2047</td>
<td>1023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sequence period (s)</td>
<td>7.2000</td>
<td>33.3333…</td>
<td>50.0000</td>
<td>20.4600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sequence law</td>
<td>2033₃</td>
<td>2033₃</td>
<td>4533₃</td>
<td>2033₃</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase modulation angle</td>
<td>88.209°</td>
<td>88.209°</td>
<td>88.734°</td>
<td>88.209°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2000 Hz (Becker and Preston, 2003). The overall aperture is 189 m with 64 channels spaced \( \lambda/2 \) apart at 250 Hz. (A connector failure approximately half-way through the PhilSea09 experiment reduced the array to 32 channels.) The array was towed at depths that varied between 120 and 250 m, depending on the event, and a speed of 1.8 m/s (3.5 kn). The goals of the FORA measurements were to (1) elucidate convergence zone structure in the complex Philippine Sea environment, including the dependence of convergence zone width on the oceanography, bathymetry, and source depth, (2) study bottom-bounce propagation, including the effects of bathymetric roughness and sediment type, and (3) measure the horizontal structure of the ambient noise field. To study the variability and predictability of the structure of the first convergence zone, the FORA array was towed in “Star-of-David” patterns centered on the J15-3 and MP-200 sources extended from 45 to 70 km. To measure the horizontal structure of the ambient noise, the FORA array was towed in a pentagon (not shown). A number of additional maneuvers were also executed.

5. Environmental measurements

The DVLA and T1 moorings were populated with Seabird MicroCATs (SBE 37-SM/SMP) and temperature recorders (SBE 39) at depths spanning nearly the full water column (88–4997 m for the DVLA and 146–4950 m for the T1 mooring) (Colosi et al., 2013). The instruments were concentrated above 2000 m, however, at depths derived from WKB baroclinic scaling. They sampled at 60-s intervals. In addition, all of the hydrophone modules on the DVLA made precision temperature measurements (Appendix). The sample interval was normally 15 min but decreased to 5 min during times that the DVLA was recording continuously.

Near-surface currents were measured using an upward-looking RDI WHS 150-kHz acoustic Doppler current profiler (ADCP) at about 335-m depth on the DVLA and upward- and downward-looking RDI WHS 300-kHz ADCPs at about 200-m depth on mooring T1. The ADCPs sampled at 20-min intervals. Deep currents at the DVLA were measured using a Nortek 6000-m Aquadopp current meter located 94 m above the seafloor. It generated a 2-min average at 5-min intervals.

Finally, CTD and XBT casts were made in the general vicinities of the DVLA and T1 moorings and along the line between them.

B. Selected results

Sea-bird SBE37-SMP instruments with pumped conductivity sensors located on the DVLA in the depth range 100–500 m provided high quality information on potential density and salinity (Fig. 8) (Colosi et al., 2013). The potential density field shows the typical variations associated with random internal waves, but more noticeable are the strong fluctuations at tidal frequencies, particularly the diurnals with periods close to 1 day. The salinity field shows variations similar to those in the density field. However, a significant feature with enhanced salinity in the upper ocean passed by the DVLA between year days 105 and 120. The associated gradients of salinity along isopycnals indicate spice variability. These data, when combined with data from CTD profiles, suggest that stronger spice regions are confined to depths above 200 m and between 500 and 800 m, consistent with historical information.

The axial and deep subarrays on the DVLA allowed the angular distribution of the ambient noise to be determined at both the sound-channel axis and below the surface conjugate depth, although with differing vertical resolutions because of the difference in element spacing (Fig. 9). To roughly equalize the angular resolutions of the arrays, Fig. 9 shows the vertical noise structure on the upper array (3° angular resolution) and at 150 Hz for the lower array (6° angular resolution). The spacing on the upper array (25 m) exceeds \( \lambda/2 \) at 50 Hz, but this does not cause difficulties when steering near broadside (i.e., horizontal). The vertical distribution of the low-frequency ambient noise at the upper array is symmetric about the horizontal and falls off quickly above ±15°, similar to the behavior seen in comparable measurements at other locations and consistent with shipping noise from relatively long ranges. The vertical noise distribution at
the lower array is asymmetric about the horizontal with higher levels looking toward the surface. The different structures cannot be explained by differences in array angular resolution alone. Ambient noise below the surface conjugate depth is relatively more sensitive to sources within a convergence zone of the receiver location.

IV. 2010–2011 NPAL PHILIPPINE SEA EXPERIMENT (PhilSea10)

The 2010–2011 NPAL Philippine Sea deep-water acoustic propagation experiment combined measurements of acoustic propagation and ambient noise with the use of an ocean acoustic tomography array to help characterize this oceanographically complex and highly dynamic region.

A. Experiment

A full water-column-spanning DVLA consisting of five 1000-m subarrays was deployed within an array of six broadband acoustic transceivers (T1–T6) from April 2010 until March-April 2011 (Fig. 10). The DVLA recorded the transmissions from the six sources to study acoustic propagation and scattering. Each acoustic transceiver also recorded the transmissions from the other transceivers, forming a six-element ocean acoustic tomography array with a radius of approximately 330 km. Following deployment of the moorings, acoustic sources suspended from shipboard transmitted to the DVLA during May 2010 and again during July 2010.

Table IV gives the nominal mooring and ship station locations. The DVLA was deployed at nearly the same location as the PhilSea09 DVLA. The ranges (WGS84) from the reference positions of the moored sources (T1–T6) to the...
reference position of the DVLA extended from 129.355 km (T6-DVLA) to 450.131 km (T3-DVLA) (Table V).

1. Moored transceivers

All six of the moored sources were Teledyne Webb Research swept-frequency acoustic sources the performances of which were similar to the source deployed during PhilSea09. Five of the sources transmitted 135-s linear frequency modulated (LFM) signals with bandwidths of 100 Hz and center frequencies of approximately 250 Hz (Table VI). One source (T2) transmitted a 135-s, 140–205 Hz LFM signal. The average transmitted power levels varied from 181.9 to 185.8 dB re 1 μPa at 1 m rms. The sources transmitted every 3 h every other day. Sources T1 through T5 transmitted throughout the year. Source T6 failed prematurely, with the last transmission occurring on year day 305 of 2010 (1 November 2010). Transmissions from the six sources were sequenced at 9-min intervals during each transmission hour to avoid interference (Table VI).

The median source depths were 1060–1070 m (Table IV). An array of four hydrophones spaced 9.0 m apart was located above each source to record the transmissions from the other sources. Although the source at T6 failed prematurely, the transceiver continued to record the transmissions from the other sources for the remainder of the experiment. Long-baseline acoustic navigation systems with three acoustic transponders on the seafloor were used to determine the array position and shape once per hour throughout the experiment (Fig. 12).

The DVLA recorded all of the transmissions from the moored sources. As for PhilSea09, the DVLA recorded for 155 s beginning 10 s prior to the nominal arrival time of the transmissions from the moored sources to provide approximately 10-s buffer periods before and after the receptions. In addition, the DVLA recorded continuously at times when the ship-suspended sources were scheduled to be transmitting, except for intervals once per hour to allow the long-baseline navigation system to measure the mooring motion, as described in the following text.

3. Ship-suspended sources: May 2010

MP-200 Multiport and HX-554 sources were suspended from the R/V Roger Revelle at different times during May 2010 to transmit to the DVLA (Andrew et al., 2010).

The MP-200 Multiport source was the same as that used during PhilSea09, but it transmitted a more complex signal to better exploit the doubly resonant response of the MP-200. A composite drive signal was constructed by summing two 1023-digit, phase-coded, periodic m-sequences with controllers at the top of each subarray. The number of hydrophone modules varied from subarray to subarray (Table VII). The hydrophone modules spanning the sound-channel axis, which is at 1000–1100 m depth throughout the year at the DVLA location, were spaced 20 m apart to resolve the low-order modes for transmissions from the moored sources and the near-axial ship-suspended sources (Fig. 11). The hydrophone modules were more widely spaced over the rest of the water column to characterize the acoustic time fronts and ambient noise field. As in PhilSea09, a long-baseline acoustic navigation system with four acoustic transponders on the seafloor was used to determine the array position and shape once per hour throughout the experiment (Fig. 12).

The DVLA recorded all of the transmissions from the moored sources. As for PhilSea09, the DVLA recorded for 155 s beginning 10 s prior to the nominal arrival time of the transmissions from the moored sources to provide approximately 10-s buffer periods before and after the receptions. In addition, the DVLA recorded continuously at times when the ship-suspended sources were scheduled to be transmitting, except for intervals once per hour to allow the long-baseline navigation system to measure the mooring motion, as described in the following text.
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The MP-200 Multiport source was the same as that used during PhilSea09, but it transmitted a more complex signal to better exploit the doubly resonant response of the MP-200. A composite drive signal was constructed by summing two 1023-digit, phase-coded, periodic m-sequences with controllers at the top of each subarray. The number of hydrophone modules varied from subarray to subarray (Table VII). The hydrophone modules spanning the sound-channel axis, which is at 1000–1100 m depth throughout the year at the DVLA location, were spaced 20 m apart to resolve the low-order modes for transmissions from the moored sources and the near-axial ship-suspended sources (Fig. 11). The hydrophone modules were more widely spaced over the rest of the water column to characterize the acoustic time fronts and ambient noise field. As in PhilSea09, a long-baseline acoustic navigation system with four acoustic transponders on the seafloor was used to determine the array position and shape once per hour throughout the experiment (Fig. 12).

The DVLA recorded all of the transmissions from the moored sources. As for PhilSea09, the DVLA recorded for 155 s beginning 10 s prior to the nominal arrival time of the transmissions from the moored sources to provide approximately 10-s buffer periods before and after the receptions. In addition, the DVLA recorded continuously at times when the ship-suspended sources were scheduled to be transmitting, except for intervals once per hour to allow the long-baseline navigation system to measure the mooring motion, as described in the following text.

3. Ship-suspended sources: May 2010

MP-200 Multiport and HX-554 sources were suspended from the R/V Roger Revelle at different times during May 2010 to transmit to the DVLA (Andrew et al., 2010).

The MP-200 Multiport source was the same as that used during PhilSea09, but it transmitted a more complex signal to better exploit the doubly resonant response of the MP-200. A composite drive signal was constructed by summing two 1023-digit, phase-coded, periodic m-sequences with controllers at the top of each subarray. The number of hydrophone modules varied from subarray to subarray (Table VII). The hydrophone modules spanning the sound-channel axis, which is at 1000–1100 m depth throughout the year at the DVLA location, were spaced 20 m apart to resolve the low-order modes for transmissions from the moored sources and the near-axial ship-suspended sources (Fig. 11). The hydrophone modules were more widely spaced over the rest of the water column to characterize the acoustic time fronts and ambient noise field. As in PhilSea09, a long-baseline acoustic navigation system with four acoustic transponders on the seafloor was used to determine the array position and shape once per hour throughout the experiment (Fig. 12).

The DVLA recorded all of the transmissions from the moored sources. As for PhilSea09, the DVLA recorded for 155 s beginning 10 s prior to the nominal arrival time of the transmissions from the moored sources to provide approximately 10-s buffer periods before and after the receptions. In addition, the DVLA recorded continuously at times when the ship-suspended sources were scheduled to be transmitting, except for intervals once per hour to allow the long-baseline navigation system to measure the mooring motion, as described in the following text.
different carrier frequencies (200 and 300 Hz) but identical periods (20.4600 s) (Table III). The periods were kept the same by using four cycles per digit for the 200-Hz signal and six cycles per digit for the 300-Hz signal. The rms source level was approximately 191 dB re 1 lPa at 1 m. The first transmission event during the cruise consisted of 55 h of transmissions from the MP-200 Multiport source at a depth of 998 m at ship station SS-500, located 509.784 km to the east-southeast of the DVLA (Fig. 10).

The HX-554 source was originally developed for the Acoustic Thermometry of Ocean Climate project by Alliant Techsystems, Inc. (ATO1 Instrumentation Group, 1995). It was subsequently modified so that it could be suspended from shipboard. The second transmission event during the cruise consisted of 10 h of transmissions from the HX-554 source at a depth of 150 m as it drifted away from the DVLA on an approximately radial course at 1 kn. The ranges during the drift increased from about 25 to 43 km. During this event the HX-554 source transmitted a 1023-digit, phase-coded, periodic m-sequence with a carrier frequency of 61.38 Hz and two cycles per digit (Table III). The rms source level was approximately 185 dB re 1 lPa at 1 m. The final transmission event during the cruise consisted of 54 h of transmissions from the HX-554 source at a depth of 998 m at ship station SS-500. During this final event, the HX-554 source transmitted a 2047-digit, phase-coded, periodic m-sequence with a carrier frequency of 81.88 Hz and two cycles per digit (Table III). The rms source level was approximately 186 dB re 1 lPa at 1 m. The objective of the near-continuous transmissions from ship station SS-500 was to study the fluctuation statistics of the signals as received on the DVLA. The goal of the drift experiment was to study the reliable acoustic path as it crosses the bottom-limited region.

At SS-500 the positions of the MP-200 and the HX-554 sources were tracked with a long-baseline acoustic navigation system using acoustic transponders on the seafloor.

4. Ship-suspended source: July 2010

A J15-3 source was suspended from the R/V Roger Revelle during the Mobile Operations cruise in July 2010 to transmit to the DVLA. The objectives were to study (1) bottom bounce propagation paths, including the dependence on bathymetry at ranges of one-half and one convergence zone, seamount scattering, and the impact of seafloor roughness; (2) the effects of ocean mesoscale variability on deep-water propagation; (3) long-range matched field processing; and (4) coherent bandwidth as a function of range and frequency. In addition, low frequency analysis and recording sonobuoys (AN/SSQ-57B) were used to record the transmissions from moored sources T1–T6.

The J15-3 source transmitted a combination of CW and LFM signals at fixed locations approximately one-half and one convergence zone from the DVLA and during a number of tows. One of the tows included a “Star-of-David” pattern.
5. Acoustic seagliders

Four acoustic Seagliders were deployed during November 2010 in the vicinity of the PhilSea10 moored array (Howe et al., 2011; Van Uffelen et al., 2013). The gliders measured temperature and salinity in the upper 1000 m of the ocean between the moorings and recorded the transmissions from the moored acoustic sources. Two of the Seagliders entered recovery mode prematurely due to technical problems but were nonetheless successfully recovered.

A major objective was to determine whether, given the joint nature of the combined navigation/tomography problem, it is possible to use Seagliders equipped with an acoustic recorder system (ARS) as mobile nodes in a tomographic array, thereby enhancing the resolution of the tomographic system. The ARS collected data at a sampling rate of 4000 Hz for approximately 3200 s beginning at the T1 transmit time (Table VI) to receive the transmissions from all six moored sources. As noted in the preceding text, the source at T6 stopped transmitting before the Seagliders were deployed, however.

6. Environmental measurements

The upper part of the DVLA was populated with Sea-Bird MicroCATs (SBE 37-SMP) to measure temperature and salinity, with instruments placed at depths derived from WKB baroclinic scaling between approximately 130 and 550 m. The sample interval was 240 or 360 s, depending on the instrument. In addition, all of the hydrophone modules on the DVLA measured temperature, providing precision temperature data over nearly the full water column. The sample interval was normally 20 min, but decreased to 5 min during times that the DVLA was recording continuously.

Moorings T1–T5 were populated with Sea-Bird MicroCATs (SBE 37-SM/SMP) and temperature recorders (SBE 39) with instrument depths selected to best resolve the first five internal tide modes, giving depths approximately between 180 and 1920 m. (Moorings T6 did not have any environmental instrumentation.) The MicroCATs were at depths of about 180 m (on the subsurface floats) and 650 m. The sample interval for the MicroCATs was 240 or 480 s, depending on the instrument. The temperature recorders sampled at 240-s intervals.

Near-surface currents were measured using a downward-looking RDI WHS 150-kHz ADCP at about 155-m depth on the DVLA and upward-looking RDI WHS 300-kHz ADCPs at about 360-m depth on moorings T1–T5. The ADCP on the DVLA sampled at 20-min intervals, while the ADCPs on moorings T1–T5 sampled at 15-min intervals.

CTD casts were made near the moorings. A high-resolution CTD section was made along the acoustic path between SS-500 and the DVLA. The survey included 51 CTD casts spaced every 10 km. Most of the casts were to 1500 m, but every fifth cast was to full ocean depth. Finally, Seagliders made CTD measurements down to 1000-m depth throughout the mooring array, as noted in the preceding text.

Finally, an 800-m “towed conductivity-temperature-depth chain” using inductively coupled sensors was towed along the geodesic between SS-500 and the DVLA. Unfortunately, the instrument performed poorly, producing only a small subset of useable data.

B. Selected results

The acoustic time fronts recorded on the near-water-column-spanning DVLA provide a rich data set on the acoustic variability. The time fronts for transmissions from T3 at about 450-km range, for example, show substantially less scattering of the near-axial finale than is evident at 500-km range in the central North Pacific (Fig. 13) (Van Uffelen et al., 2009, 2010). The internal-wave energy levels found in the Philippine Sea are somewhat higher than those in the central North Pacific (Colosi et al., 2013), suggesting that the difference in behavior of the near-axial finale is associated with the shape of the mean sound-speed profile.

Although the DVLA did not record any noise-only receptions during PhilSea10, it nonetheless provided information on ambient noise levels throughout the water column and over the 1-year deployment for frequencies outside the band occupied by the signals transmitted by the moored sources. The minimum omnidirectional ambient noise levels observed at the DVLA decrease below the surface conjugate depth at frequencies from 20 to 400 Hz (Fig. 14). The minimum noise level at the deepest hydrophone, approximately 134 m above the sea floor, was about 61 dB re 1 μPa²/Hz at 50 Hz, which is somewhat less than the level of about 67 dB re 1 μPa²/Hz previously observed 20–30 m above the sea floor in shorter duration measurements. The decrease of about 15 dB from the level found near the sound-channel axis is still not as great as the decrease of 20–25 dB found in the Northeast Pacific, however (Shooter et al., 1990; Gaul et al., 2007). The minimum noise levels presumably correspond to times when there are no nearby ships, wind speeds

![Acoustic time front on the DVLA for a transmission from mooring T3 at about 450-km range during PhilSea10. The recording was made on 01 May 2010 at 00:18:00 UTC.](image_url)
are low, and surface conditions are calm, so that there is little
locally generated noise.

V. OCEAN BOTTOM SEISMOMETER AUGMENTATION
OF THE 2010–2011 NPAL PHILIPPINE SEA
EXPERIMENT (OBSAPS)

A near-seafloor Ocean Bottom Seismometer Augmentation
of the 2010–2011 NPAL Philippine Sea Experiment-
distributed vertical line array (O-DVLA) and array of ocean
bottom seismometers (OBS) were deployed in the Philippine
Sea during April–May 2011, immediately following recovery
of the PhilSea10 moorings, to study the relationship between
the acoustic field in the water column and the seismic field in
the seafloor for both ambient noise and signals transmitted by
a J15-3 source (Stephen et al., 2011). The experiment was
motivated in part by unexpected arrivals observed on
OBSs at 5000-m depth in the Northeast Pacific from broad-
band signals transmitted by a ship-suspended 75-Hz source
during the 2004 Long-range Ocean Acoustic Propagation
Experiment (LOAPEX) (Mercer et al., 2009; Stephen et al.,
2009, 2012). These arrivals were named Deep SeaFloor
(DSF) arrivals. Many, although not all, of the DSF arrivals
observed during LOAPEX are now known to correspond to
energy that scattered into high angles at a small, out-plane
seamount about 18 km from the OBS, subsequently reflected
from the sea surface, and then arrived at the OBS (Stephen
et al., 2013).

A. Experiment

The 1000-m long O-DVLA extending up from the sea-
floor was deployed within an array of six OBS (Fig. 15).
(One OBS flooded and yielded no data.) The O-DVLA and
OBS remained in place for approximately 1 month while a
J15-3 source suspended from shipboard transmitted to them.
Table VIII gives the O-DVLA and OBS positions.

1. Near-seafloor DVLA

The O-DVLA consisted of a single 1000-m subarray
with 15 hydrophone modules and a D-STAR controller at
the top. The deepest hydrophone module was located 12 m
above the seafloor. The bottom eight hydrophone modules

TABLE VIII. O-DVLA and OBS locations during OBSAPS (OBS-2 flooded and yielded no data). Hydrophone modules identical to those in the O-DVLA
were attached to the three short-period OBS. The O-DVLA depth is from a multibeam measurement made at the surveyed mooring location. The OBS depths
are estimated at the surveyed OBS locations from multibeam data gridded at 125-m spacing. Water depths are corrected depths.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instrument type</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Water Depth (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-DVLA</td>
<td>21° 19.5594′N, 125° 56.3247′E</td>
<td>5446</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OBS-1</td>
<td>Short period</td>
<td>21° 20.8316′N, 125° 56.1601′E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OBS-3</td>
<td>Short period</td>
<td>21° 18.2867′N, 125° 56.4779′E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OBS-4</td>
<td>Short period</td>
<td>21° 19.5387′N, 125° 55.1455′E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OBS-5</td>
<td>Long period (broadband)</td>
<td>21° 18.5955′N, 125° 57.4424′E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OBS-6</td>
<td>Long period (broadband)</td>
<td>21° 18.7481′N, 125° 55.4552′E</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE IX. Nominal hydrophone module heights above the seafloor and spacing in the O-DVLA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Height (m)</th>
<th>Spacing (m)</th>
<th>Number of modules</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12–82</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>252–852</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

were spaced 10 m apart (approximately $\lambda/2$ at 75 Hz) to provide information immediately above the seafloor on the angular dependence of both ambient noise and the signals transmitted by the J15-3 source (Table IX). The upper hydrophone modules were spaced 120 m apart, with the shallowest 852 m above the seafloor, extending up to approximately the surface conjugate depth.

The O-DVLA recorded continuously during the time that J15-3 transmissions were scheduled, other than short gaps once per hour to allow for positioning of the mooring using a long-baseline acoustic navigation system. The sample rate was 1953.125 Hz.

2. Ocean bottom seismometers

Three of the OBS were short-period instruments that sampled at 1000 Hz (OBS-1, OBS-3, and OBS-4) (Table VIII). Each unit had a Mark Products L28 gimbaled three-component geophone and a High Tech, Inc., HTI-90-U hydrophone. Two of the OBS were long-period, broadband instruments that sampled at 200 Hz (OBS-5, OBS-6). Each long-period instrument had a Nanometrics Trillium 240 (T240) seismometer and a differential pressure gauge (DPG). Hydrophone modules identical to those used in the DVLA, but set to operate autonomously, were attached to the three short-period instruments. The sample rate of the hydrophone modules on the OBS was the same as the sample rate of the hydrophone modules in the O-DVLA, i.e., 1953.125 Hz.

3. Ship-based instrumentation

A J15-3 source was suspended from the R/V Roger Revelle to transmit to the O-DVLA and OBS. Except for a few engineering tests, all of the transmissions were phase-coded, periodic $m$-sequences with carrier frequencies of 77.5, 102.3, 155.0, 204.6, or 310.0 Hz (Table X). The source transmitted both at fixed locations and during tows along radial lines and in a “Star-of-David” pattern about one-half convergence zone (~35 km) from the O-DVLA. The nominal source depth for all events was 60 m, although the depth varied somewhat depending on tow speed.

4. Environmental measurements

The hydrophone modules on the O-DVLA and OBSs measured temperature at 5-min intervals when recording continuously, increasing to 15-min intervals on the O-DVLA when it was in standby before and after the continuous recording period. Near-seafloor currents at the O-DVLA were measured using a Nortek 6000-m Aquadopp current meter located 10.5 m above the seafloor. It generated a 5-s average at 10-s intervals. Finally, CTD, XBT, and expendable sound velocity casts were made in the experimental area.

B. Selected results

The OBS provided measurements of ambient noise levels on the seafloor. The 5th percentile of the power spectral densities computed from data recorded on the hydrophone module attached to OBS-1 (North) during the OBSAPS experiment (Fig. 16) had the same omnidirectional noise level at 50 Hz (61 dB re 1 Pa$^2$/Hz) as the minimum level observed 134 m above the seafloor on the deepest hydrophone in the DVLA during PhilSea10 (Fig. 14). The 5th percentile of the power spectral densities at 10 Hz was about

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carrier frequency (Hz)</th>
<th>Cycles/digit</th>
<th>Digit length (ms)</th>
<th>Sequence length (digits)</th>
<th>Sequence period (s)</th>
<th>Sequence law</th>
<th>Phase modulation angle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>77.5</td>
<td>102.3</td>
<td>25.8065</td>
<td>1023</td>
<td>26.4000</td>
<td>88.209°</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>155.0</td>
<td>204.6</td>
<td>19.5503</td>
<td>1023</td>
<td>20.0000</td>
<td>88.209°</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>310.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.9032/25.8065</td>
<td>1023</td>
<td>20.0000/26.4000</td>
<td>88.209°</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9.7752</td>
<td></td>
<td>13.2000</td>
<td>88.209°</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.4516/12.9032</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.0000</td>
<td>88.209°</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIG. 16. Ambient noise spectra at OBS-1 (North) during OBSAPS. The spectra show the 5th, 25th, 50th, 75th, and 90th percentiles of the power spectral densities computed from data recorded on the hydrophone module attached to OBS-1. The equivalent self-noise levels for the HTI-90-U hydrophone at 10 and 100 Hz are shown as crosses (Table XI).
57 dB re 1 μPa²/Hz. This level is only 3 dB above the equivalent self-noise level of the HTI-90-U hydrophone (Table XI), suggesting that the ambient noise measurements at low frequencies may have been system-noise limited a few percent of the time.

VI. CONCLUDING REMARKS

This paper provides only a brief introduction to the three experiments conducted by the NPAL Group in the Philippine Sea during 2009–2011 to study deep-water acoustic propagation and ambient noise. All three experiments were enabled by a newly developed DVLA receiver that provided the flexibility to easily provide differing VLA geometries in the three experiments. The DVLA spanned nearly the full water column in water 5521 m deep during PhilSea10, enabling the separation of acoustic modes using spatial filtering and full characterization of the acoustic time fronts.

The detailed results from these experiments, as well as related analyses, will appear in a series of papers, including at present Colosi et al. (2013), Freeman et al. (2013), Heaney et al. (2013), Powell et al. (2013), Van Uffelen et al. (2013), and White et al. (2013). The selected results presented here have emphasized the ambient noise measurements only because those analyses are among the more straightforward. A series of additional papers exploring these data sets is expected.
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APPENDIX: DVLA

A DVLA receiver that is capable of spanning the full water column in water up to 6000 m deep was developed for the NPAL Philippine Sea experiments (Worcester et al., 2009). Water-column-spanning arrays enable separation of acoustic modes using spatial filtering and full characterization of the acoustic time fronts formed in broadband, deep-water propagation. The DVLA is made up of distributed, self-recording hydrophone modules (Fig. 17) with timing and scheduling provided by a small number of central controllers, called D-STARS. The enabling technologies for this approach are (1) the availability of flash memory modules that can store gigabytes of data and be located in a small pressure case at each hydrophone, making it unnecessary to transfer data from the hydrophones to the central controllers for storage, and (2) inductively coupled modems that allow low-bandwidth communication for command, control, and time synchronization between the central controllers and the hydrophone modules over standard oceanographic mooring wire. The DVLA is fully autonomous, recording during time periods specified a priori. The maximum duty cycle for a 1-yr deployment is approximately 8%, depending on the sample rate.

The DVLA is modular, consisting of subarrays with a nominal length of 1000 m, each of which has one D-STAR controller and up to 99 hydrophone modules. The D-STAR is placed in-line at the top of each subarray. Hydrophone

![FIG. 17. Hydrophone module used in the DVLA receivers with the dimensions in centimeters. The HTI-90-U hydrophone is encased in a polypropylene sleeve for protection that has been cut away in this drawing to show the hydrophone. The hydrophone module is attached to the mooring wire using the two white clamps with the hydrophone pointing up to ensure that there is no trapped air. The clamp opposite the hydrophone incorporates the split ferrite used by the inductive modem to couple signals to and from the mooring wire. The pressure case is titanium.](image)
modules are clamped to the mooring wire at the time of deployment, making the DVLA readily configurable for different experiments. The D-STARS and hydrophone modules are rated for operation to a depth of 6000 m. The DVLA uses a long-baseline acoustic navigation system, with acoustic transponders positioned on the seafloor around the mooring, to measure the array position and shape with an absolute accuracy of ~1 m rms as the mooring moves in response to ocean currents. The hydrophone modules make precision temperature measurements (±0.005 °C) throughout the time that the DVLA is deployed to provide the sound-speed profiles needed for beamforming.

1. Inductive modem

The communication link connecting the hydrophone modules to the D-STAR controller employs inductive modem technology developed by Sea-Bird Electronics, Inc., that uses jacketed oceanographic wire rope, avoiding the need for a custom electromechanical array cable (Sea-Bird Electronics, Inc., 2012). Inductively coupled modems use toroidal transformers to couple data to and from instruments clamped on the mooring cable. At each hydrophone module, the mooring cable passes through a ferrite toroid, forming a single-turn primary winding for data transferred from the D-STAR controller to the hydrophone module. The hydrophone module has a 20-turn secondary winding to receive the data. The toroids are split in halves, so that they can be clamped around the cable without the need to thread the mooring cable through the toroid. The inductive modems use differential phase shift key modulation on a 4800-Hz carrier, providing 1200-baud, half-duplex communications. Sea-Bird modified their Inductive Modem Module (IMM) firmware to provide precision time transfer between the D-STAR and the hydrophone modules using the IMM Flag line. Laboratory tests indicate that the modified firmware provides time transfer with a standard deviation of 31 μs between hydrophone modules.

2. D-STAR controller

The D-STAR provides a precision time base capable of keeping time autonomously with an accuracy of ~1 ms per year. A low-power (80 mW) microcomputer compensated crystal oscillator (Q-Tech MCXO QT-2002) serves as the primary system oscillator. A much higher power (10 W at 25 °C operating) rubidium oscillator (Symmetricom X72) is turned on periodically (typically once per day) to serve as a reference to measure the frequency of the QT-2002. The difference frequency is logged and used to correct the D-STAR clock after recovery.

The D-STAR controllers transmitted long-baseline interrogation signals to acoustic transponders on the seafloor once per hour continuously throughout the NPAL Philippine Sea experiments and recorded the replies using a 15-s recording window. There are no electrical connections between the D-STAR controllers in the DVLA. Their clocks are therefore synchronized acoustically using the long-baseline interrogation signals. Each D-STAR records the interrogation signals of the other D-STARS once per hour; this is equivalent to having the D-STARS transpond off one another (although with some delay between the interrogate and reply signals) and allows the D-STAR clocks to be compared.

3. Hydrophone modules

The hydrophone modules are designed (1) to record low-frequency acoustic signals, sampling at 976.5625, 1953.1250, or 39 062.500 Hz, (2) to record the high-frequency, long-baseline acoustic navigation signals, sampling at 39 062.5 Hz, and (3) to make precision temperature measurements. Separate channels of a quad, 24-bit, delta-sigma analog-to-digital converter are used for each of the three measurements. Each hydrophone module saves the data to a 16 GB secure digital (SD) card.

A High Tech, Inc., HTI-90-U hydrophone, which has an integral preamplifier and is rated for operation from 2 Hz to 20 kHz, is used for both the low-frequency acoustic and navigation channels. Its equivalent self-noise is below Sea State 0 (Table XI). The self-noise levels of the signal conditioning circuitry and analog-to-digital converter in the low-frequency acoustic channel are below the equivalent self-noise of the hydrophone. High-pass filters are included in both the hydrophone preamplifier ($f_{\text{high-pass}} = 10$ Hz) and hydrophone module signal conditioning circuitry ($f_{\text{high-pass}} = 7.7$ Hz) to mitigate the effects of low-frequency mooring strum due to vortex-induced vibrations. Nonetheless, the low-frequency acoustic data in the upper 1000–2000 m of the water column are at times significantly contaminated by strumming.

TABLE XI. Equivalent self-noise vs frequency for the HTI-90-U hydrophone (High Tech, Inc.).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency (Hz)</th>
<th>Equivalent self-noise (dB re 1 μPa$^2$/Hz)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Worcester et al.: Deep-water propagation in the Philippine Sea


