
Populations of marine species wax and wane over time and space reflecting environmental forcing, biological dynamics, and in some cases human perturbations such as fishing, habitat destruction and climate change (1). The growing availability of multi-decadal observational records opens new windows on how ocean ecosystems function, but the analysis and interpretation of such long time-series also requires new mathematical tools and conceptual models (2). Population time-series often show strong variations at decadal time-scales, and a central question is whether this arises from non-linear biological processes or simply tracking of external physical variability (3). Borrowing from climate research, Di Lorenzo and Ohman (4) develop a novel approach for deciphering links between physical forcing and biological response, using as a test case time-series of marine zooplankton abundances off the coast of California.

Upwelling favorable winds bring cold, nutrient-rich water to the surface near the coast supporting the highly productive California Current System. The California Cooperative Oceanic Fisheries Investigations (CALCOFI; www.calcofi.org/) and California Current System Long Term Ecological Research (LTER) site (cce.ternet.edu/) have sampled a grid of coastal and open-ocean stations since 1950, one of the longest comprehensive marine ecological data sets. Di Lorenzo and Ohman (4) examine two krill species, *Nyctiphanes simplex* and *Euphausia pacifica*, large planktonic crustaceans that feed on smaller phytoplankton and microzooplankton, export sinking fecal pellets to depth, and are preyed on by higher trophic level organisms, fish, marine mammals and seabirds (Fig. 1). *E. pacifica* is a resident species in the California Current that exhibits substantial interannual variability tied to rates of coastal upwelling. In contrast, *N. simplex* is a subtropical species largely found south of the California Current System; multi-year variations in its abundance off California appear to reflect regional-scale poleward transport (5).

Ecological metrics often are correlated with one or more large-scale climate indexes such as El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO) or the Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO) (6). Standard techniques can be used to test the statistical significance of such cross-correlations (7), but this approach implicitly assumes a linear relationship between the physical forcing and biological response. In fact, populations of organisms have an inherent time-scale, roughly a generation or so, and biological responses to environmental variability should reflect the cumulative effects over this time-scale (8). Unlike many short-lived planktonic species, krill can live for months to several years, setting up the possibility for interesting dynamics with sub- to inter-annual climate forcing.

Similar temporal inertia is common to many geophysical systems, where low-frequency signals can emerge naturally from stochastic or random forcing.
Expressed mathematically, the response of a slowly-evolving variable $y(t)$ depends on the time-integral of a forcing variable $f(t)$:

$$y(t) = \int \frac{\partial y}{\partial t} = \int \left( f(t) - \frac{y}{\tau} \right) dt$$

Eq. 1

where $\tau$ is the time-scale of $y$, and $y$ and $f$ are anomalies from some mean state. The damping term $y/\tau$ modulates the effective integration window for the forcing and keeps the system stable. The integral transforms high-frequency variability in the forcing $f(t)$ into a time-lagged, smoothly varying response in $y(t)$. Consider a simple illustration in the bottom panel of Fig. 1. Monthly atmospheric weather variations are assumed to be purely random, white noise (black line with approximately constant power at all frequencies); the resulting ocean physical response is red-shifted (blue line with reduced power at high frequencies and elevated power at low frequencies) (9). If the model in Eq. 1 holds, then the response variable should be better correlated with the integral of forcing rather than raw forcing time-series.

Using just such a test, Di Lorenzo and Ohman argue that they detect the influence of physical and biological inertia, as well as remote forcing, in the subtropical N. simplex zooplankton time-series. The strength of the Aleutian Low atmospheric pressure index reflects North Pacific wind patterns, which in turn affect basin-scale sea surface temperatures and ocean circulation encapsulated in the PDO index. Thus a double integration is required: first from the Aleutian Low index to a model estimate of the PDO; the second from the PDO to an estimate of zooplankton trends. Similar to the model results in Fig. 1, each integration step shifts more of the variance to lower frequencies when the biological timescale $\tau_{bio}$ is longer than the ocean physical timescale $\tau_{ocean}$. In (4) the resulting modeled zooplankton time-series is well correlated with the actual N. simplex data, better than any correlation with direct or time-filtered physical climate variables.

Which brings us back to the vigorous debate in the literature on whether low-frequency biological variability reflects external forcing or internal ecological dynamics (or likely some combination). In a seminal paper, Hare and Mantua (10) argue that North Pacific climate and ecological metrics undergo relatively abrupt transitions from one state to another on decadal time-scales, referred to as regime shifts. Subsequent analysis suggests a simpler explanation for physical variations like the PDO; patterns arise by chance due to the integration of random weather events (3, 11). To show the presence of more complicated non-linear regime shifts, one must first disprove the null hypothesis of stochastic red-noise, as in the blue ocean physics line in Figure 1.

Di Lorenzo and Ohman (4) go one step further, showing that smoothly-varying decadal patterns in a coastal zooplankton population can be explained by a “double-integration” stochastic process model; white-noise atmospheric weather produces red-noise in ocean physics that in turn produces even redder (lower frequency)
biological trends. Not all biological time-series arise so simply (or randomly), and many do indeed exhibit more interesting non-linear behavior (3). Linear tracking of environmental variability, such as shown for *N. simplex*, may be a special case occurring when organism life-spans approximately match physical forcing time-scales (8). Nevertheless the double-integration model presented in (4) offers a straightforward and linear baseline for unraveling the growing number of long ecological data records (2).

Understanding the role of random versus non-linear deterministic processes has important implications for marine resource management (12). Statistical indicators can be designed for some non-linear systems to forecast imminent abrupt transitions (13), perhaps forewarnings of dramatic climate change or anthropogenic damage. In contrast, purely random processes have limited inherent predictability (14).

The stochastic integration model (Eq. 1) predicts a temporal lag between climate forcing and population trends that would hinder detection of ecological transitions; faster responding metrics-recruitment, physiology, reproduction-should be examined where possible. Climate-driven fluctuations in individual zooplankton populations also shift wholesale planktonic food-web structure and dynamics (15). Finally, climate variations alter spatial patterns as well as temporal trends, for example large-scale reorganizations of zooplankton in the North Atlantic (16) and poleward displacements of marine species along the West Antarctic Peninsula (17). Thus time-series need to be augmented by spatial surveying of large-scale population distributions (18) and exploiting new capabilities with autonomous robotic instruments and remote sensing (17).

Fig. 1. Top panel is a photo of the dominant species of Southern Ocean krill, *Euphausia superba*, similar to the crustacean zooplankton analyzed by (3) (Photo courtesy of A. McDonnell, U. Alaska Fairbanks). Bottom panel displays spectral analysis of results from a linear stochastic model (Eq. 1) where low-frequency responses in ocean physics and biology can be generated by integrating high-frequency atmospheric forcing over time (see text for more details).
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