Magnetization of 0-29 Ma ocean crust on the Mid-Atlantic Ridge, 25°30' to 27°10'N
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Abstract. A sea-surface magnetic survey over the west flank of the Mid-Atlantic Ridge from 0 to 29 Ma crust encompasses several spreading segments and documents the evolution of crustal magnetization in slowly accreted crust. We find that magnetization decays rapidly within the first few million years, although the filtering effect of water depth on the sea-surface data and the slow spreading rate (<13 km/m.y.) preclude us from resolving this decay rate. A distinctly asymmetric, along-axis pattern of crustal magnetization is rapidly attenuated off-axis, suggesting that magnetization dominated by extrusive lavas on-axis is reduced off-axis to a background value. Off-axis, we find a statistically significant correlation between crustal magnetization and apparent crustal thickness with thin crust tending to be more positively magnetized than thicker crust, indicative of induced magnetization in thin inside corner (IC) crust. In general, we find that off-axis segment ends show an induced magnetization component regardless of polarity and that IC segment ends tend to have slightly more induced component compared with outside corner (OC) segment ends, possibly due to serpentinized uppermost mantle at IC ends. We find that remanent magnetization is also reduced at segment ends, but there is no correlation with inside or outside corner crust, even though they have very different crustal thicknesses. This indicates that remanent magnetization off-axis is independent of crustal thickness, bulk composition, and the presence or absence of extrusives. Remanence reduction at segment ends is thought to be primarily due to alteration of lower crust in OC crust and a combination of crustal thinning and alteration in IC crust. From all these observations, we infer that the remanent magnetization of extrusive crust is strongly attenuated off-axis, and that magnetization of the lower crust may be the dominant source for off-axis magnetic anomalies.

1. Introduction

A key tenet of plate tectonics is that the marine magnetic anomaly pattern observed over the ocean basins arises because seafloor spreading records the episodic polarity reversals of the geomagnetic field [Vine and Matthews, 1963]. For fast-spreading ridges, the nearly steady state crustal accretion process and the "constant" spatial and temporal recording of the ambient magnetic field creates strongly lineated and highly correlatable magnetic anomalies that are well resolved [e.g., Macdonald, 1982]. In contrast, slow-spreading ridges are typified by spatially and temporally variable crustal accretion and tectonism that give rise to poorly developed and discontinuous magnetic anomalies [e.g., Vogt, 1986]. Recent investigations of the slow-spreading Mid-Atlantic Ridge (MAR) have significantly improved our understanding of the complex, three-dimensional crustal architecture of slowly accreted crust [e.g., Macdonald, 1986; Lin et al., 1990; Sinton and Detrick, 1992; Tucholke and Lin, 1994; Cannat et al., 1995]. Along the axis of the Mid-Atlantic Ridge, segment midpoints tend to be systematically shallower and exhibit mantle Bouguer gravity lows (bull's-eyes) at their midpoints [e.g., Kuo and Forsyth, 1988; Lin et al., 1990]. These observations suggest that ocean crust is thicker at segment centers than at segment ends, which is consistent with available seismic results [Sinha and Louden, 1983; Tolstoy et al., 1993].

Crustal structure is further complicated by strong cross-rift asymmetry near segment ends. Inside corner (IC) crust, formed in the zone bounded by the spreading axis and active offset, typically has shallow and irregular topography, exposures of plutonic and ultramafic rocks, and elevated residual mantle Bouguer gravity anomaly (RMBA) that suggests thin crust [Tucholke and Lin, 1994; Tucholke et al., 1997]. Conjugate outside corner (OC) crust, formed on the opposite side of the ridge axis, is deeper, exhibits regular ridge-parallel abyssal hills of basaltic composition, and has reduced RMBA. IC crust is thought to be tectonically thinned, forming the footwalls of low-angle detachment faults that dip toward the ridge axis; conjugate OC crust forms the hanging walls and contains "normal" crust with the basalt and dike carapace intact [Dick et al., 1981; Karson, 1990; Tucholke and Lin, 1994]. These complex patterns of crustal accretion and tectonic dismemberment near the spreading axis create spatially heterogeneous crustal sections off-axis, and they have important implications for the initial recording of the geomagnetic signal, the long-term preservation of crustal magnetization, and the nature of the marine magnetic anomaly source.

2. Crustal Magnetization at Slow-Spreading Ridges

Recent magnetic studies of slow-spreading ridges have focused on along-axis variability in crustal magnetization and have defined a moderately systematic pattern. Crustal magnetization is found to be weakest at segment midpoints where crust is thought to be thickest, while apparently thinner crust at segment ends has relatively higher magnetization [Carbotte et al., 1991; Grindlay
Several hypotheses have been proposed to explain this variation. For the South Atlantic, Grindlay et al. [1992] proposed that shallow Curie isotherms at segment centers thin the source layer along the ridge axis; this is a thermal effect that should disappear with age. However, in the North Atlantic south of Kane Fracture Zone, Pockalny et al. [1995] found segment-scale, along-axis variations in magnetization that continue off-axis, thus invalidating the Curie isotherm hypothesis. Another hypothesis emphasizes the relationship between FeO content and magnetization [Vogt and Johnson, 1973]. Although magnetization intensity is a function of grain size, alteration state, and other variables, it has been convincingly demonstrated that increased FeO content correlates with stronger magnetization on fast-spreading ridges such as the East Pacific Rise (EPR) [e.g., Sempéré et al., 1984; Sempéré, 1991]. This relationship is difficult to observe on the slow-spreading MAR because of large scatter in data. Weiland et al. [1996], however, correlated rock magnetism with magnetic anomaly amplitude in the South Atlantic and concluded that FeTi enrichment of basalts toward the ends of segments could provide sufficient magnetization contrast to produce the observed variations.

Complexities in crustal magnetization related to on-axis accretion are further compounded by effects of tectonism associated with off-axis transport in slow-spreading environments and by magnetization decay with age. Few detailed studies have looked off-axis to investigate these effects. Pockalny et al. [1995] found that off-axis crust near discontinuities on the MAR south of Kane Fracture Zone is marked by generally positive magnetization, regardless of polarity, and that they attributed this to serpentinization. They did not investigate the relationship between crustal magnetization and IC versus OC tectonic setting, partly because of the complex segmentation history and limited age extent of their study area (< 10 m.y.). In a study of the MAR between 28° and 30°N, Pariso et al. [1996] also found relatively positive crustal magnetization along discontinuities, and they suggested that this was caused by induced magnetization in serpentinized mantle; again, no attempt was made to look at IC/OC differences.

Decay of magnetization with age is also an important aspect of crustal magnetization. Studies based on Deep Sea Drilling Project and Ocean Drilling Program samples have found that magnetization in the extrusive crust decreases over a 20 m.y. period, primarily because of low-temperature oxidation of magnetite [Irving, 1970; Vogt, 1986; Bleil and Peterson, 1983; Johnson and Pariso, 1993]. Magnetic anomalies offer a more continuous sampling of crustal magnetization than borehole or seafloor samples, and they represent the contribution of the entire magnetic source layer rather than just the extrusive crust. Magnetic anomaly studies separate magnetization decay into initial rapid decay, superimposed on long-term decay. Wittpenn et al. [1990] analyzed South Atlantic magnetic anomaly inversions and found an initial rapid decay in amplitude over the first 5 m.y., followed by a slower, long-term decay over 18 m.y. Recent North Atlantic studies also suggest rapid decay over the first 5 m.y. [Pockalny et al., 1995; Pariso et al., 1996]; however, magnetic anomaly C5n (9.592-10.834 Ma [Cande and Kent, 1995]) does not fit the decay curve, which led Pariso et al. to invoke a two-layer crustal model to enhance magnetization at chron C5n. Unfortunately, these studies reached only to 10 Ma crust, so they do not adequately sample the long-term signal of magnetization decay.

Magnetization may decay even more quickly than in the 5 m.y. time frame noted above. Early deep-tow magnetic studies in the French-American Mid-Atlantic Undersea Study (FAMOUS) area at 37°N on the MAR found a magnetization decay time (i.e., decay to 1/e of initial value) of only 500,000 years [Macdonald, 1977], consistent with direct measurements of rock samples from the same region [Johnson and Atwater, 1977]. Recent deep-tow magnetic profiles just north of Kane Fracture Zone suggest a faster decay time of 150,000 years [Hussenoeder et al., 1996]. Even shorter decay times have been suggested for the fast-spreading EPR (e.g., 20,000 years [Gee and Kent, 1994]), and results from zero-age lavas of the Juan de Fuca Ridge suggest that initial decay time might be less [Johnson and Tivey, 1995; Tivey and Johnson, 1995]. The intrinsic decay rate of magnetic minerals caused by low-temperature alteration is unlikely to vary as widely as these rates suggest; rather, the wide range of apparent decay times probably reflects a real decay caused by alteration, convolved with spatial averaging of the zone of crustal emplacement. For example, slow-spreading ridges generally have a wider zone of crustal accretion than fast-spreading ridges [Macdonald et al., 1988], which may account for their greater apparent decay times.

In this study, our long (29 m.y.) and detailed magnetic record allows us to fully evaluate the effects of crustal aging on magnetization, i.e., over a time span which encompasses the 20 m.y. range of magnetization decay studied by Johnson and Pariso [1993] as well as the 5 m.y. decay time emphasized by other studies. We also investigate the relations between the sea-surface magnetic anomaly signal and intrasegment tectonic setting. From this analysis, we speculate about the source of off-axis magnetic anomalies and propose mechanisms to explain the observed along-isochron variations in magnetization.

3. Magnetic Data Collection and Analysis

Magnetic data used in this study were collected as part of the Office of Naval Research (ONR) Acoustic Reverberation Special Research Program (ARSRP) conducted in 1992 on the west flank of the MAR (Figure 1). The primary goal of the ARSRP was to understand acoustic reverberation from the seafloor through detailed seafloor mapping over a wide range of scales using several different geophysical techniques. Sea-surface surveys acquired Hydrosweep multibeam bathymetry, Hawaii MR1 long-range sidescan sonar, single-channel seismic reflection, and magnetic and gravity data in a 200 km-wide corridor that extended 400 km westward from the MAR axis to ~29 Ma crust (Figure 1).

Total magnetic field data were obtained using a towed proton precession magnetometer during R/V Ewing cruise EW9208 (Figure 2). These data were corrected for the regional geomagnetic field for 1992 by interpolation between the 1990 Definitive Geomagnetic Reference Field (DGRF) and the 1995 International Geomagnetic Reference Field (IGRF) model [International Association of Geomagnetism and Aeronomy (IAGA), 1996]. The EW9208 data were then combined with magnetic field data from the MARNOK survey [Parudy et al., 1990; Sempéré et al., 1993] collected over the MAR ridge axis in 1989 and 1990; the MARNOK data were reduced to anomaly by interpolation between the 1985 and 1990 DGRF field models. The combined data were then embedded within a larger grid of magnetic data (~5 km grid resolution) from a Canadian North Atlantic magnetic database compilation [McNab et al., 1995] between Atlantis and Kane Fracture Zones. An offset of 98 nT was added to the MARNOK and EW9208 data to fit the Canadian database.
Figure 2. Trackline map of EWD290 (ridge flank) survey and MARMOK survey (ridge axis) (Maruyama et al., 1990), with observed magnetostratigraphic anomalies plotted along the ridge flank. MARMOK axis is shown by north-south bold lines. Segment boundaries and labels are as in Figure 1.
Bathymetric valleys that follow irregular off-axis traces subparallel to the direction of relative plate motion on the MAR flank. Bathymetric and sidescan-sonar data show marked structural perturbations of normal ridge-parallel abyssal hill fabric at the discontinuities. The discontinuities are right stepping at the MAR axis and over most of the ridge flank, although short periods of left stepping or zero offset occurred [Tucholke et al., 1997, Figures 1 and 4]. At near-zero offsets, the bathymetric expression of NTDs is reduced, and at zero offsets it disappears. Offset distances ranged from 0 to 58 km (0-3 m.y.), but the only discontinuity which exceeded 30 km offset was that between segments A and E during the period ~17-11 Ma.

Sidescan-sonar, bathymetric, and gravity data show distinct IC and OC tectonic settings which extend off-axis along the old and young sides, respectively, of the NTDs [Tucholke et al., 1997]. Crust at OCs and segment centers exhibits closely-spaced, linear abyssal hills and moderate- to small-throw faults. At segment centers, the linear faults and abyssal hills trend orthogonal to plate flowlines, but within 10-15 km of offsets, OC faults and abyssal hills often curve gently toward the ridge axis. RMBA gravity is moderate over OC crust and low over segment centers, suggesting normal to thickened crust, respectively, in these locations. IC tectonic settings are markedly different, exhibiting large faults with irregular orientations and large (10-20 km), quasi-circular edifices adjacent to NTDs. IC crust is also consistently elevated with respect to segment centers and OC crust, and it exhibits elevated RMBA that suggests significantly thinner crust. These IC/OC differences are consistent with studies of more global data sets of MAR ridge-axis bathymetry and RMBA gravity [Tucholke and Lin, 1994; Escartin and Lin, 1995] and with the idea that tectonic extension has asymmetrically thinned the ocean crust or exposed the mantle in IC tectonic settings [Dick et al., 1981; Karson, 1990; Tucholke and Lin, 1994]. Most NTD offsets in the study area are right stepping, so the IC tectonic setting usually occurs along the southern edges of segments.

On the basis of magnetic anomaly identifications, the study area shows an overall trend of decreasing spreading rate for the past 29 m.y. (Figure 6). At 29 Ma, the MAR was spreading at a half-rate of ~17 km/m.y., which decreased slightly to ~15 km/m.y. at 27 Ma and remained constant until about 16 Ma. Rates were slightly higher from 16 to 10 Ma and subsequently have steadily decreased to a present rate of ~10 km/m.y. The slowing trend over the last 10 m.y. is consistent both with results of Sloan and Patriat [1992], who analyzed magnetic anomaly data just north of our study area, and with lower-resolution Kane Fracture Zone data of Tucholke and Schouten [1988].

A major, ~9° counterclockwise change in relative plate motion direction occurred ~24-22 Ma (Figure 4, chron C6Cn-C6An), and it was followed by a reorganization of the plate boundary that progressed southward through the survey area. Segment F was divided into two new segments (G and H) at ~22 Ma, while to the south, segments C and D consolidated at ~20 Ma to form a single long segment (E). Farther south, segment B died out at ~19 Ma, apparently as a consequence of a steady northward migration (~2 mm/yr) of the discontinuity between segments A and B. Sloan and Patriat [1992] documented frequent plate motion changes of up to 11° over the last 10 m.y., and local orientation changes of similar magnitude are observed in seafloor structure and magnetic anomalies within our study area (Figure 4). Neither these changes nor those caused by local ridge propagation [Kleinrock et al., 1997] have caused any significant change in segmentation pattern over the last 10 m.y.

4. Geologic Setting

The ARSRP study area extends to 29 Ma crust in the northwest part of the survey and to 26 Ma crust in the southwest (Figures 1-4). It includes all or part of nine spreading segments bounded by nontransform discontinuities (NTDs), which were defined from bathymetric data, structural perturbations in sidescan-sonar records, offsets in magnetization anomalies, and gravity anomaly patterns [Tucholke et al., 1997]. The NTDs are bathymetric valleys that follow irregular off-axis traces subparallel to the direction of relative plate motion on the MAR flank. Bathymetric and sidescan-sonar data show marked structural perturbations of normal ridge-parallel abyssal hill fabric at the discontinuities. The discontinuities are right stepping at the MAR axis and over most of the ridge flank, although short periods of left stepping or zero offset occurred [Tucholke et al., 1997, Figures 1 and 4]. At near-zero offsets, the bathymetric expression of NTDs is reduced, and at zero offsets it disappears. Offset distances ranged from 0 to 58 km (0-3 m.y.), but the only discontinuity which exceeded 30 km offset was that between segments A and E during the period ~17-11 Ma.

Sidescan-sonar, bathymetric, and gravity data show distinct IC and OC tectonic settings which extend off-axis along the old and young sides, respectively, of the NTDs [Tucholke et al., 1997]. Crust at OCs and segment centers exhibits closely-spaced, linear abyssal hills and moderate- to small-throw faults. At segment centers, the linear faults and abyssal hills trend orthogonal to plate flowlines, but within 10-15 km of offsets, OC faults and abyssal hills often curve gently toward the ridge axis. RMBA gravity is moderate over OC crust and low over segment centers, suggesting normal to thickened crust, respectively, in these locations. IC tectonic settings are markedly different, exhibiting large faults with irregular orientations and large (10-20 km), quasi-circular edifices adjacent to NTDs. IC crust is also consistently elevated with respect to segment centers and OC crust, and it exhibits elevated RMBA that suggests significantly thinner crust. These IC/OC differences are consistent with studies of more global data sets of MAR ridge-axis bathymetry and RMBA gravity [Tucholke and Lin, 1994; Escartin and Lin, 1995] and with the idea that tectonic extension has asymmetrically thinned the ocean crust or exposed the mantle in IC tectonic settings [Dick et al., 1981; Karson, 1990; Tucholke and Lin, 1994]. Most NTD offsets in the study area are right stepping, so the IC tectonic setting usually occurs along the southern edges of segments.

On the basis of magnetic anomaly identifications, the study area shows an overall trend of decreasing spreading rate for the past 29 m.y. (Figure 6). At 29 Ma, the MAR was spreading at a half-rate of ~17 km/m.y., which decreased slightly to ~15 km/m.y. at 27 Ma and remained constant until about 16 Ma. Rates were slightly higher from 16 to 10 Ma and subsequently have steadily decreased to a present rate of ~10 km/m.y. The slowing trend over the last 10 m.y. is consistent both with results of Sloan and Patriat [1992], who analyzed magnetic anomaly data just north of our study area, and with lower-resolution Kane Fracture Zone data of Tucholke and Schouten [1988].

A major, ~9° counterclockwise change in relative plate motion direction occurred ~24-22 Ma (Figure 4, chron C6Cn-C6An), and it was followed by a reorganization of the plate boundary that progressed southward through the survey area. Segment F was divided into two new segments (G and H) at ~22 Ma, while to the south, segments C and D consolidated at ~20 Ma to form a single long segment (E). Farther south, segment B died out at ~19 Ma, apparently as a consequence of a steady northward migration (~2 mm/yr) of the discontinuity between segments A and B. Sloan and Patriat [1992] documented frequent plate motion changes of up to 11° over the last 10 m.y., and local orientation changes of similar magnitude are observed in seafloor structure and magnetic anomalies within our study area (Figure 4). Neither these changes nor those caused by local ridge propagation [Kleinrock et al., 1997] have caused any significant change in segmentation pattern over the last 10 m.y.
Figure 3. Crustal magnetization computed for a constant-thickness source layer of 1 km whose upper surface is defined by the bathymetry. Contour interval is 1 A/m. Main segment boundaries identified by bold lines as in Figure 1.
Figure 4. Magnetic isochron interpretation superimposed on the crustal magnetization map of Figure 3. Principal anomaly picks are shown by black dots (normal polarity) and white dots (reverse polarity). Main segment boundaries are shown by bold black lines. Polarity chron identifications are based on Cande and Kent [1995] geomagnetic timescale. Computed flow lines based on Collett and Robert [1992] are shown by large open circles and white lines.
5. Magnetic Results

5.1. Magnetization Decay With Age

Investigation of magnetization amplitude as a function of crustal age in our study area is complicated by the fact that the amplitude of crustal magnetization anomalies changes substantially along isochrons. For the purpose of discussion, we chose to examine the mean amplitude of the magnetization averaged along major isochrons within each ridge segment. Other approaches, such as using magnetization values along segment centers, are equally valid but show the same basic results. Isochron-averaged magnetization plotted against age (for normal polarities only) is shown for segment E/D in Figure 7. The other ridge segments in the study area show similar patterns of amplitude change with age. We use magnetization rather than magnetic field because this removes any phase shift due to latitude, and it takes into account the effect of deepening seafloor with age. Our data show patterns consistent with previous observations in the Atlantic. For example, over 0-5 Ma crust, there is a marked decrease in magnetic anomaly amplitude like that observed in the South Atlantic [Wüthnann et al., 1989], in the Mid-Atlantic Ridge at Kane (MARK) area south of Kane Fracture Zone [Pockalny et al., 1995], and in a survey around Atlantis Fracture Zone [Pariso et al., 1996]. Anomaly C5n also has a strong magnetization like that observed in other MAR surveys [e.g., Pockalny et al., 1995; Pariso et al., 1996].

Initial inspection suggests that a magnetization-decay time of 1.5 m.y., as suggested by Pockalny et al. [1995], might be a good fit to the data (Figure 7a, bold-dash-dot line). However, anomalies C5n, C6n, and C9n show relatively high magnetization that is clearly inconsistent with this decay curve. We suggest that anomalies C5n, C6n, and C9n are actually the most accurate representation of off-axis crustal magnetization, and that low magnetization estimates for other crust (notably anomalies C2An-C4n) are artifacts caused by the lack of resolution in sea-surface data due to the filtering effect of water depth and reversal spacing. To illustrate this point, we constructed a forward model to show the resolution limitations of the data (Figures 7c and 7d). The forward model assumes a constant spreading rate of 13 km/m.y. and an input geomagnetic timescale [Cande and Kent, 1995] in the form of a square-wave magnetization function that is passed through a Gaussian filter (4-sigma = 16 km) to simulate...
effects of the crustal accretion process [Schouten and Denham, 1979]. The resultant magnetization function was used to compute the magnetic anomaly at the sea surface for a flat source layer (3.5 km depth and 1 km thick) and then inverted for magnetization using the same filter parameters as for the measured data. The model magnetization (Figure 7d) successfully reproduces the resolution of individual anomalies found in the observed data (Figure 7b) for crust younger than ~15 Ma (see also Figure 5). A loss in anomaly resolution with age is apparent in observed data over older crust (Figure 7b); this may be an effect of long-term magnetization decay. Significantly, the model does not incorporate any decay in magnetization with age; yet if we pick peak amplitudes of the major anomalies (Figure 7c), we readily observe rapid apparent decay in anomaly amplitude from...

Figure 6. Plot of interval half-spreading rates for the three main ridge segments of the study area.

Figure 7. (a) Observed crustal magnetization amplitude versus age for segment E/D. Magnetization has been averaged along normal polarity isochrons within the segment, and error bars indicate the total range in along-isochron value. Bold-dashed line shows magnetization-decay model of Pockalny et al. [1995], which assumes a 1.5 m.y. decay time. Light-dashed line shows "long-term decay," which is based on anomalies C1n, C5n, C6n, and C9n in model Figure 7d and which is actually an artifact (see text). (b) Representative profile from segment E/D with identification of the principal normal polarity isochrons. Light-dashed line as in Figure 7a. (c) Normal polarity isochron amplitude picked from a constant-magnetization forward model shown in Figure 7d. Note the severe loss of amplitude over the chron C2n-C4An interval; this is a function of poor sea-surface resolution of short, closely spaced reversals. Light-dashed line as in Figure 7a. (d) Forward model of crustal magnetization. A sea-surface magnetic field anomaly was computed on the basis of a constant-amplitude square-wave input magnetization of 5 A/m for a half-spreading rate of 13 km/m.y., filtered using a Gaussian filter (sigma 4 km) and inverted for crustal magnetization using the same filters as used on the measured data.
Figure 8. (a) Contour map of magnetization in the axial region of the MAR (2 A/m contours), with the neovolcanic axis (open circles) picked from morphology [Smith and Cann, 1992] and the trend of the maximum magnetization anomaly shown by filled circles. Spreading segments identified by letters as in Figure 1. (b) Magnetization picked along the axis of the maximum magnetization anomaly (dashed line) and along the neovolcanic axis (solid lines). Note the distinct segment-scale asymmetry from north to south, with greater magnetization at the southern ends of spreading segments.
the axis to anomaly C4An and apparent decay at older periods around anomalies C5An-C5ADn and C6Bn-C6Cn. This pattern is very similar to the observed data (Figure 7a). The high amplitudes of anomalies C5n, C6n, and C9n are thus the most accurate estimates of crustal magnetization intensity, and a decay rate extracted from the apparent magnetization decrease from anomaly C1n into the anomaly C2n-C4An reversal sequence is meaningless. That is not to say that there is not a real and very large near-axis decay in magnetization. The reality of such short-term decay is well documented [e.g., Macdonald, 1977; Johnson and A wat, 1977; Gee and Kent, 1994; Johnson and Tivey, 1995; Hussenoeder et al., 1996], even though there is significant uncertainty about the magnitude and rate of the effect.

We also note that even relatively long intervals of constant polarity (chrons C5n, C6n, and C9n) suffer from the filtering effect (Figures 7a and 7c, light-dashed line). The apparent long-term trend of decreasing magnetization present in the forward model suggests a decrease of 1 A/m over a 35 m.y. period for an initial 5 A/m magnetization (i.e., a 20% loss in original amplitude). We cannot resolve real long-term decay that occurs at a rate less than indicated by this artifact. Observed data (Figure 7a) suggest a long-term decay of up to 3 A/m over a 35 m.y. period; however, this decay is within the uncertainty of the amplitude estimates and is not distinguishable from the long-term decay artifact.

5.2. Axial Crustal Magnetization

Along-axis variability in crustal magnetization at the present spreading axis was examined in two axial profiles (Figure 8), one following the neovolcanic axis as defined by seafloor morphology [Smith and Cann, 1992] and the other following the magnetic axis, defined as the maximum magnetic anomaly amplitude of the central anomaly magnetic high (CAMH). The magnetic axis is poorly resolved in sea-surface data, but it appears to follow the morphologic axis quite closely. The coincidence of these features is consistent with studies of near-bottom magnetic profiles on the MAR [e.g., Macdonald, 1977; Hussenoeder et al., 1996] which find that the CAMH is closely associated with the neovolcanic axis, which most likely represents the time-averaged axis of crustal accretion. A distinct along-axis asymmetry in magnetization is observed in both profiles, with the southern ends of ridge segments being more magnetic than the northern ends (Figure 8b). The same asymmetry is observed at the MAR axis between 28°N and Atlantis Fracture Zone, but it does not appear north of the fracture zone [Pariso et al., 1996] nor is it present near the Kane Fracture Zone [Pockalny et al., 1995; Hussenoeder et al., 1996; M. A. Tivey and H. Schouten, unpublished data, 1990].

5.3. Off-Axis Crustal Magnetization

To investigate how along-isochron variation in magnetization changes with age, we studied off-axis, along-isochron variations in crustal magnetization amplitude for both normal and reverse polarity isochrons. Figures 9 and 10 show these variations plotted relative to the mean along-isochron magnetization. Normal polarity isochrons (Figure 9) exhibit considerable variability in magnetization both along isochrons and between segments. Furthermore, the marked south-to-north asymmetry found in the axial magnetization (Figure 8) does not continue consistently off-axis, except perhaps in segments H and I. Reverse polarity isochrons (Figure 10) show a more systematic pattern, consisting of strong reversed magnetization at segment centers and weaker reversed magnetization toward segment ends. Thus reverse polarity crust is apparently less magnetic at segment ends (i.e., near discontinuities) than at segment centers. This pattern is opposite to what is typically observed at ridge axes (normal polarity), which have stronger magnetization toward segment ends [e.g., Grindlay et al., 1992; Pariso et al., 1996; Weiland et al., 1996]. As expected from magnetization decay with age, both normal and reverse polarity amplitude variations are reduced compared to amplitude variations along-axis (Figures 8-10).

To better understand the spatially averaged patterns of crustal magnetization, we normalized along-isochron magnetization amplitude by dividing by the maximum range of the along-isochron amplitude for each isochron shown in Figures 9 and 10. We offset the resultant values so that the minimum along-isochron value is zero and the maximum magnetization (in a positive polarity sense) is one. The normalized curves were parameterized into unit segment length and stacked according to their polarity, i.e., normal or reverse polarity (Figures 11b and 11c). For comparison, we similarly stacked the along-axis variations to highlight the asymmetrical pattern of higher magnetization toward the southern ends of segments (Figure 11a). Off-axis, normal polarity crust (Figure 11b) has a weak trend of slightly higher magnetization toward the south, but this is only marginally significant at the one standard deviation level. In marked contrast, reverse polarity crust (Figure 11c) shows strong and significant (beyond one standard deviation) along-isochron variation, with highly magnetized crust (in a reverse polarity sense) at segment centers compared to segment ends. This off-axis, reverse polarity magnetization pattern is remarkably different from that of normal polarity magnetization either on-axis or off-axis.

The contrasting behavior between normal and reverse polarity crust at first appears to be a paradox. If, however, we view the along-isochron magnetic trends in terms of their "positiveness," then the paradox is resolved. Near segment ends, normal polarity crust is more positive and thus more magnetic in the present field, but reverse polarity crust is more positive and thus less magnetic (Figure 11). The extra positive magnetization represents only a small percentage increase in total magnetization for normal polarity crust, but for reverse polarity crust, the extra positive magnetization is opposite to the original magnetization and represents a significant decrease in total magnetization. This positiveness is characteristic of an induced component of magnetization, and it would appear that much of the original remanent magnetization is destroyed toward segment ends and replaced with induced magnetization. Similar observations of relatively positive magnetization near segment ends have also been made over the MAR south of Kane Fracture Zone [Pockalny et al., 1995] and between 28°N and the Atlantis Fracture Zone [Pariso et al., 1996].

To assure that these along-isochron trends in magnetization are robust, we must address the limitations of the data, which include edge effects as well as filtering effects of both the analysis methods and the gridding process. Magnetic anomalies arise from contrasts in magnetized material, so that the maximum anomalies will decrease away from the edges of magnetized blocks. This behavior is well demonstrated by the propensity of sea-surface magnetic anomalies to have a "hat-shaped" character in conventional cross-isochron profiles [Vine, 1966]. Similarly, along isochrons, the juxtaposition of blocks of different polarities across an NTD can create strong edge effects that result in anomaly asymmetry within polarity blocks of a spreading segment [e.g., Collette et al., 1974; Keni et al., 1978]. Both reverse and normal polarity blocks show strong magnetic anomalies at
Figure 9. Plan view of normal polarity, along-isochron variation in crustal magnetization for isochrons (labeled), relative to the mean isochron value. Dark gray is more magnetized than the isochron mean, and light gray is less magnetized than the isochron mean. Bold lines show discontinuities between segments, which are lettered as in Figure 1. Note that there is no overall along-isochron trend within segments.

their boundaries, and the anomalies decrease in amplitude or “sag” into the interior of the block. The wavelength of this sag is proportional to the length of the block or spreading segment. Thus, to successfully invert for magnetization, it is imperative that the filtering does not adversely affect the wavelength of any segment-length variations in magnetic field or magnetization. Our long-wavelength cutoff was tapered between 800 and 400 km, allowing us to resolve along-isochron trends in magnetization without introducing artifacts due to filtering. The longest ridge segment within the survey area is ~100 km long. Our short-wavelength cutoff, tapered from 7 to 3.5 km, also limits the effect of filter sidelobes and edge effects. Thus, within the usual constraints of the inherently nonunique inversion approach, the observed segment-scale, along-isochron variations in crustal magnetization appear to be valid.

5.4. Magnetization in Relation to Tectonic Setting

Previous studies have discussed hypotheses for the above kinds of segment-scale variations in crustal magnetization, but asymmetries related to IC/OC tectonic setting have not been specifically investigated. In our study area, ridge-flank segmentation is relatively well defined by bathymetric, gravity, and magnetic data, allowing us to address this question. The detachment-fault hypothesis suggests that as oceanic crust forms at a slow-spreading ridge axis, upper crust is preferentially transported to the outside corners, and lower crust and upper mantle are ex-
posed at inside corners [Dick et al., 1981; Karson, 1990; Tucholke and Lin, 1994]. The extrusive layer of the upper crust is known to be highly magnetic, and it undoubtedly contributes a significant part of the magnetic signal in young crust [Talwani et al., 1971; Harrison, 1987; Tivey, 1996]. If the extrusives tend to be removed from inside corners, thus exposing what are thought to be less magnetic gabbros and peridotites, then one should expect to see an IC/OC asymmetry in crustal magnetization, with OC crust being significantly more magnetic than IC crust.

We tested this hypothesis by analyzing magnetization with respect to IC/OC tectonic setting within segments. We divided each along-isochron profile (Figures 9 and 10) into one-thirds (northern, central, and southern) and classified each isochronal portion according to its tectonic setting (i.e., IC, segment center, or OC). These magnetization values were then plotted versus age (Figure 12). While there is a large variation with age as discussed earlier (see Figure 7), for normal polarity chronos, there is no consistent difference in magnetization of IC and OC crust nor in magnetization of these areas compared to segment centers. For reverse polarity crust, there is a trend toward more positive magnetization of both IC and OC crust compared to segment centers, as expected from our previous discussion (Figure 11).

We further examined potential correlations between IC/OC tectonic setting and crustal magnetization in a less direct way, by

Figure 10. Plan view of reverse polarity, along-isochron variation in crustal magnetization for isochrons (labeled) relative to the isochron mean. Dark gray is more magnetized (i.e., more reversely magnetized) than the isochron mean, and light gray is less magnetized (i.e., more positive) than the isochron mean. Bold lines show discontinuities between segments, which are lettered as in Figure 1. Note the systematic tendency toward more positive magnetization near discontinuities.
6. Discussion

6.1. Isochronal Trends in Crustal Magnetization

Our results show that in off-axis crust, along-isochron magnetization exhibits a distinct and systematic shift to more positive magnetization near segment ends for reverse polarity crust and very weak variations in normal polarity crust (Figure 11). The shift to more positively magnetized crust near segment ends regardless of polarity, as opposed to simply more magnetized crust, is consistent with previous studies [Pockalny et al., 1995; Pariso et al., 1996]. It rules out FeTi or other geochemical variations as possible reasons for this variation, and it strongly suggests the presence of an induced component of magnetization. In Figure 14, we show the effect of adding a component of induced magnetization preferentially to segment ends, assuming that remnant magnetization has no along-isochron variation and has equal but opposite magnitude for normal and reverse polarity crust, respectively. The result is that normal polarity crust would show increased positive magnetization at segment ends, while reverse polarity crust would show decreased reversed magnetization (Figure 14c). This model can be used to separate induced and remnant magnetization components by considering the sum and the difference of the normal and reverse polarity variations as illustrated in Figure 14d. By adding the normal (N) and reversed (R) variations and dividing by two (Figure 14d, left), we obtain the induced component. The difference between the normal and reversed variations divided by two (Figure 14d, right) provides the remnant component.

We applied this procedure to the normal and reverse polarity trends that were calculated in Figures 11b and 11c, and the results are shown in Figure 15. We find that induced magnetization increases toward segment ends as previously suggested.
There is also a slight asymmetry in the curve, with greater induced magnetization toward the southern ends of segments, which are predominantly IC crust in our study area. As noted earlier, IC crust tends to be thin, and in our analysis of RMBA versus magnetization in Figure 13, it is suggested that thin IC crust should be more positively magnetized than thick crust.

Both Pockalny et al. [1995] and Pariso et al. [1996] invoked induced magnetization as the source of the positive magnetization near segment ends, but they disagreed on where this magnetization might reside. Pockalny et al. [1995] considered that induced magnetization in serpentinized lower crust (i.e., gabbro) gives rise to enhanced magnetization in the normal polarity direction. On the basis of the results of Dunlop and Prevot [1982] and Pariso and Johnson [1993a], however, Pariso et al. [1996] suggested that altered gabbros have a stable magnetization that is remanent rather than induced, and they proposed that serpentinized mantle must provide the additional induced magnetization. Further studies are needed to fully characterize the magnetization of oceanic gabbros and to determine the degree to which they may be a source of remanent and or induced magnetization.

We have discussed the enhanced positive magnetization as being induced magnetization, but it is equally possible that it is viscous remanent magnetization, a time-dependent magnetization.
that grows logarithmically with time in the direction of the Earth's field. Viscous remanence is common in magnetite-bearing rocks [Dunlop, 1973], and it therefore could be important in serpentinites, especially those with fine-grained magnetite [Tivey and Johnson, 1984]. Models of lithosphere formation also show that viscous magnetization could contribute significantly to the source of magnetic anomalies and in part could be responsible for their skewness [Arkani-Hamed, 1988, 1989]. Without samples, it is not possible to determine whether the positive magnetization at segment ends is induced or viscous, but the effect on the magnetic field is the same in either case.

The computed remanent component of magnetization is shown in Figure 15b. There is a weak but systematic along-isochron variation in remanence, with less remanent magnetization toward segment ends. Unlike induced magnetization, there is no clear asymmetry in remanent magnetization that can be attributed to ICOC crustal variations. Furthermore, the pattern of along-isochron remanent magnetization (Figure 15b) is distinctly different from the pattern of presumably remanent magnetization on-axis (Figure 11a), suggesting that the source responsible for the axial magnetization pattern is severely attenuated off-axis.
6.2. Implications for the Source of Crustal Magnetization

On-axis to off-axis changes in remanent magnetization patterns allow us to investigate the sources of crustal magnetization. If we assume that extrusive lavas provide the primary source of off-axis magnetization, then magnetic remanence patterns (Figure 15b) show that the off-axis extrusive layer at segment ends is either thinned and/or its magnetization is preferentially destroyed. RMBA gravity and dredged serpentinite in our study area suggests strong crustal thinning and removal of extrusives along inside corners [Tucholke et al., 1997]. At outside corners, the gravity data suggest significantly thicker crust than at inside corners, but we do not know the thickness of the extrusive section. Extrusive thickness may vary roughly in proportion to total crustal thickness, it may have relatively constant thickness despite thickness variations in the underlying layer 3 crust [e.g., Tolstoy et al., 1993], or it may thin because of limited melt input near the NTD [Cannat, 1993]. Only the last case would cause reduced OC crustal remanence; even then, the layer would need to have near-zero thickness to explain the remanence symmetry with IC ends of segments. While there may well be OC thinning of the extrusive layer, it is unlikely to approach zero thickness.

Preferential destruction of remanent magnetization in the extrusive layer at segment ends is also possible, but there is no obvious reason why this should occur. Wherever present within a
spreading segment, the extrusive layer is probably relatively thin (< 1 km), highly porous, strongly faulted, and continuously permeated by seawater over its full variation in thickness. Thus alteration of the extrusives is most likely similar across an entire segment, and we expect no significant differences between IC, OC, and segment-center extrusive crust.

The observations in this section suggest that magnetization in the extrusive layer may not contribute a significant proportion of the off-axis magnetic anomaly signal. This is consistent with, and buttressed by, our observations that (1) there is an initial rapid decay in magnetization with age, (2) a strong on-axis signal of north-to-south asymmetry in crustal magnetization does not appear to be transported off-axis, and (3) there is a significant difference in the correlation of RMBA gravity versus magnetization on-axis and off-axis. Thus we suggest that much of the along-isochron variation in remanence demonstrated by Figure 15b arises from a deeper source.

If we assume that lower crust (e.g., the gabbros) is the primary carrier of off-axis remanent magnetization, then we can better explain our observations. Faults reaching to some given depth are more likely to penetrate through a thin layer 2 at the ends of segments and deep into the lower crust, allowing fluid flow and alteration of gabbros. The alteration would reduce the remanent magnetization and might also enhance induced magnetization if the gabbros are serpentinized. Such alteration may be the dominant cause of reduced remanent magnetization in OC ends of segments, whereas at IC ends, thin crust will also contribute to the reduced magnetization. On average, the resulting reduction in remanence is similar for both OC and IC ends (Figure 15b). In thick crust at segment centers, faults reaching to the same subbottom depth as those at segment ends would not affect the lower crust as significantly. Thus segment-center gabbros should be less altered and would retain more of their remanent magnetization.

At both OC and IC segment ends, the effects of faulting and alteration need not be limited to lower crust. Mantle peridotites may also be altered if the crust is thin enough, and serpentinites could contribute significantly to the induced magnetization at segment ends. This effect probably is strongest in the very thin crust at IC ends of segments. We suggest that it explains our observations of enhanced induced magnetization at inside corners (Figure 15a) and the correlation of more positive magnetization with increasing RMBA gravity (thinning crust) (Figure 13).

On the basis of the above observations and interpretations, we speculate that at slow-spreading ridges a major proportion of the off-axis magnetic signal (remanent plus induced magnetization) is carried in the deep crust (i.e., the gabbro section) and to some extent in the uppermost mantle. Evidence that gabbros can sustain a primary remanent magnetization presently is quite limited [Kent et al., 1978; Dunlop and Prevot, 1982; Harrison, 1987; Pariso and Johnson, 1993 a, b; Xu et al., 1997], but the common exposure of these rocks in IC tectonic settings of slow-spreading ridges offers excellent opportunities to further sample and measure the magnetization of the lower crust.

7. Conclusions

From analysis of the magnetization of ocean crust created at the slow-spreading Mid-Atlantic Ridge between 25° and 27°N, we conclude the following:

1. Magnetization shows an initial rapid decay followed by a slower long-term decay (Figure 7). The slow-spreading rate and poor sea-surface resolution of the magnetic anomaly signal does not allow unambiguous resolution of rates of either short-term or long-term decay in magnetization with age. Initial rapid decay certainly occurs within the first few million years, and it may occur in significantly less time. This result is consistent with numerous studies which have shown that young extrusive lavas are initially highly magnetized and that they most likely contribute a major proportion of crustal magnetization at the ridge axis [e.g., Talwani et al., 1971; Johnson and Atwater, 1977; Harrison, 1987; Tivey, 1996], although rapid decay of magnetization with age quickly reduces magnetic anomaly amplitude from the spreading axis to the bounding rift-valley walls [Johnson and Atwater, 1977; Macdonald, 1977; Hussenoeder et al., 1996]. Once this rapid, large-amplitude magnetization decay has occurred, the remaining magnetic signal appears to decay much more slowly with age.

2. Crustal magnetization at the spreading axis shows a marked along-axis asymmetry, with the southern ends of ridge segments more magnetic than the northern ends (Figure 8). The cause of
this asymmetry is unknown, and there are no known correlative trends in geochemistry [e.g., Melson and O'Hearn, 1986] that might explain the change. This distinctive pattern clearly is not translated off-axis in reverse polarity crust, and it is only weakly maintained, if at all, in off-axis normal polarity crust (Figures 9-11). We suggest that the asymmetric pattern of axial magnetization is contained largely in the extrusive crust and that this signal is rapidly attenuated off-axis. This observation is consistent with our first observation of initial rapid decay in crustal magnetization and suggests that the contribution of the extrusives to the magnetic anomaly signal is reduced substantially off-axis.

3. A comparison of RMBA gravity and magnetization suggests no significant correlation between apparent crustal thickness and crustal magnetization along the rift axis. However, a statistically significant correlation is found off-axis. Increased RMBA gravity correlates with more positive magnetization off-axis, regardless of polarity, suggesting an increased component of induced magnetization in thinner crust.

4. Off-axis, segment ends show shifts toward more positive magnetization regardless of initial polarity, which indicates the presence of induced magnetization (Figure 15a). Induced magnetization is prevalent at both OC and IC segment ends but is skewed to higher values at IC ends (Figure 15a). The induced magnetization indicates that crustal alteration is common at segment ends where we expect thinner crust, consistent with the correlation between RMBA gravity and magnetization. We attribute the induced magnetization in thin-crust, IC settings, to enhanced serpentinization in near-seafloor mantle peridotites.

5. Remanent magnetization is reduced at segment ends off-axis (Figure 15b). The original remanence is not completely destroyed because a recognizable polarity signal is maintained very close to segment boundaries. There is no consistent difference in the reduction of remanent magnetization between IC and OC ends of segments (Figure 15b), even though RMBA gravity suggests that they have very different crustal thickness. Thus remanent magnetization appears to be independent of crustal thickness and bulk crustal composition off-axis, suggesting that the off-axis thickness or presence of extrusives is not important. We suggest that decreased remanent magnetization at OC ends is primarily due to alteration of the lower crust, but a similar decrease in remanent magnetization at IC ends may be a combination of crustal thinning and alteration.

From these observations, we propose that as extrusive crust is transported off-axis, it rapidly loses the strong magnetization that dominates the axial crustal magnetic signal. Within a few million years, the extrusive crust is no longer the primary contributor to the magnetic-anomaly signal, and another source of magnetic remanence is required to maintain the remanent polarity-reversal pattern and the observed along-isochron variations in magnetization. We suggest the dominant source of this off-axis remanent magnetization is the lower crust, in all likelihood the gabbro section. Partial loss of this remanent magnetization occurs at segment ends through crustal thinning and alteration. Coincident development of induced magnetization in serpentinized gabbros and peridotites at segment ends significantly enhances positive magnetization in both normal and reverse polarity crust.
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