Convergent Evolution of Sodium Ion Selectivity in Metazoan Neuronal Signaling
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SUMMARY

Ion selectivity of metazoan voltage-gated Na+ channels is critical for neuronal signaling and has long been attributed to a ring of four conserved amino acids that constitute the ion selectivity filter (SF) at the channel pore. Yet, in addition to channels with a preference for Ca2+ ions, the expression and characterization of Na+ channel homologs from the sea anemone *Nematostella vectensis*, a member of the early-branching metazoan phylum Cnidaria, revealed a sodium-selective channel bearing a noncanonical SF. Mutagenesis and physiological assays suggest that pore elements additional to the SF determine the preference for Na+ in this channel. Phylogenetic analysis assigns the *Nematostella* Na+-selective channel to a channel group unique to Cnidaria, which diverged >540 million years ago from Ca2+-conducting Na+ channel homologs. The identification of Cnidarian Na+-selective ion channels distinct from the channels of bilaterian animals indicates that selectivity for Na+ in neuronal signaling emerged independently in these two animal lineages.

INTRODUCTION

The emergence of nervous systems that enable the integration of external stimuli and coordinated responses was a key event in the evolution of animal body plans. Signaling in these systems is based on fast and accurate propagation and conductance of action potentials involving voltage-gated sodium channels (Navs) (Hille, 2001; Meech and Mackie, 2007). Na+,s are membrane-spanning protein complexes that are composed of pore-forming α-subunits and auxiliary subunits, and conduct Na+ ions in response to changes in the membrane potential (Catterall, 2000; Hille, 2001). The α-subunit, which belongs to a protein superfamily of voltage-gated Na+, K+, and Ca2+ channels (Navs, Kv, and CaVs, respectively), consists of four domains (DI–DIV) arranged around a central ion-conducting pore. Each domain is comprised of six transmembrane segments (S1–S6), of which the positively charged S4 (voltage sensor) moves outward in response to membrane depolarization, leading to opening of the channel pore and ion conductance. Whereas the α-subunit of KVs is a single domain tetramer, the α-subunits of CaVs and NaVs are large monomers of four homologous, nonidentical domains (Hille, 2001).

Ion selectivity is crucial for fast and accurate signaling, and the emergence of Na+-selectivity likely addressed a need to distinguish neuronal stimuli from intracellular signaling driven by Ca2+ (Hille, 2001; Meech and Mackie, 2007). The selectivity of NaVs for Na+ ions is attributed to the ion selectivity filter (SF), a ring of four amino acids (Asp, Glu, Lys, and Ala [DEKA]) that are contributed by the pore-lining loops (p-loop) of the four domains (Catterall, 2000). The Lys at the third position of the DEKA SF is critical for ion selectivity, as indicated by the increase in Ca2+ and K+ conductance when it is substituted in mammalian NaVs (Heinemann et al., 1992; Schriefl et al., 1996). Although the DEKA SF is conserved in all vertebrate and many invertebrate NaVs (Widmark et al., 2011), novel NaV-like channels with a DEEA SF have been observed in many invertebrates, including arthropods, mollusks and tunicates (Zhou et al., 2004; Cui et al., 2012; Sato and Matsumoto, 1992; Nagahora et al., 2000). Still, only two of these NaV-like channels, BSC1 of the cockroach *Baltella germanica* and DSC1 of *Drosophila melanogaster*, have been functionally expressed and shown to preferably conduct Ca2+ (Zhang et al., 2011; Zhou et al., 2004).

Understanding the evolutionary relationship between NaVs and NaV-like channels may shed light on the development of ion selectivity, and requires a broad data set for phylogenetic analysis. Previous analyses either focused on vertebrate channels or mostly used fragmented and nonverified gene models from invertebrates (Goldin, 2002; Widmark et al., 2011; Liebeschkind et al., 2011). These analyses showed that NaV-like channels exist in the common ancestor of animals and their unicellular relatives, choanoflagellates, and are present in the apusozoan *Thecamonas trahens* (Liebeschkind et al., 2011; Cai, 2012). Because apusozoans diverged before the fungal-metazoan split occurred (Derele and Lang, 2012), NaV-like channels emerged before nervous systems or multicellularity evolved. Because NaV-like channels from early branching phyla of animals or their protist relatives have not been studied directly, their impact on the evolutionary history of neuronal signaling has remained unclear.

To address this critical gap in knowledge, we focused on obtaining a phylogenetic and functional characterization of NaV-like channels from the phylum Cnidaria (sea anemones, corals,
hydroids, and jellyfish). This basal animal clade is a sister group to all higher animals (Bilateria) and was among the first lineages to develop a nervous system (Watanabe et al., 2009), and is therefore highly suitable for the study of Na\textsubscript{v} evolution in animals.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Characterization of Na\textsubscript{v}-like Homologs from \textit{N. vectensis}

To study cnidarian Na\textsubscript{v}-like channels, we used the starlet sea anemone \textit{Nematostella vectensis} (Cnidaria, Anthozoa), whose genome has been fully sequenced (Putnam et al., 2007). A homology search of the genome retrieved five putative Na\textsubscript{v} genes. cDNA cloning and sequencing revealed SFs resembling those found in Na\textsubscript{v}-like channels. Channels with DEKA SF are termed Na\textsubscript{v}1, therefore, we named the Na\textsubscript{v}-like channels Na\textsubscript{v}2, and accordingly refer to the five \textit{Nematostella} channels as NvNa\textsubscript{v}2.1–2.5. To functionally characterize these channels, we expressed them in \textit{Xenopus} oocytes and examined their ion selectivity.

NvNa\textsubscript{v}2.1, bearing a DEEA SF, exhibited slowly developing activating and inactivating currents in response to depolarizing voltage pulses, and substantial tail currents when returned to a holding potential (Figure 1A). Such currents with a reversal potential (E\textsubscript{rev}) of \(-13 \pm 1.2\) mV (n = 8; Figure S1A) are reminiscent of Cl\textsuperscript{−} ion conductance through Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-activated Cl\textsuperscript{−} channels endogenous to \textit{Xenopus} oocytes (Barish, 1983). Because these currents were reduced by the Na\textsubscript{v}1 blocker lidocaine, the Cl\textsuperscript{−} currents were apparently triggered by Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx through NvNa\textsubscript{v}2.1 (Figure S1B). We removed the Cl\textsuperscript{−} currents by injecting the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} chelator BAPTA into the oocytes, which uncovered voltage-dependent inward currents characterized by fast activation and slow inactivation kinetics, and E\textsubscript{rev} = 21.6 \pm 2.2 mV (n = 7; Figure S1C). Further, we eliminated the Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-induced Cl\textsuperscript{−} currents by injecting either BAPTA or EGTA, and substituted CaCl\textsubscript{2} in the ND96 bath solution with BaCl\textsubscript{2}, which is less likely to activate Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-activated Cl\textsuperscript{−} channels (Barish, 1983). Under these conditions, the inward currents measured were similar to those obtained with CaCl\textsubscript{2} (E\textsubscript{rev} = 16.2 \pm 0.8 mV, n = 14; Figures 1B and 1D). Whereas 100 \textmu M tetrodotoxin (TTX), a Na\textsubscript{v}1 blocker, had no effect (Figure S1D), lidocaine inhibited these currents in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 1E), suggesting a structural similarity between Na\textsubscript{v}2 and Na\textsubscript{v}1 channels at the lidocaine-binding site (Cest`ele and Catterall, 2000). Moreover, in the presence of >10 mM lidocaine in the medium, \textit{N. vectensis} adult polyps were paralyzed within 20 min. The lidocaine effects observed in vitro and in vivo suggest that the Na\textsubscript{v}2 channels in \textit{N. vectensis} have a crucial physiological role.

We next examined the NvNa\textsubscript{v}2.1 ion conductance by substituting Na\textsuperscript{+} in the bath solution with impermeable choline ions. Because the reversal potentials with and without Na\textsuperscript{+} in the bath solution were similar (E\textsubscript{rev} Na\textsuperscript{+} = 16.2 \pm 0.8 mV, n = 14; E\textsubscript{rev} choline = 13.3 \pm 0.9 mV, n = 12; Figures 1C and 1D), Ba\textsuperscript{2+} ions were evidently responsible for most of the current measured, implying that under physiological conditions, Ca\textsuperscript{2+} ions are the main charge carrier conducted by these channels. Using single ion solutions of identical concentrations, we found that the channel was also permeable to Na\textsuperscript{+} and K\textsuperscript{+} ions (permeability ratio P\textsubscript{Na}/P\textsubscript{K} = 1; Figure 2A).

In contrast to NvNa\textsubscript{v}2.1, NvNa\textsubscript{v}2.2, bearing a DEET SF, did not exhibit inward currents under various voltage protocols, but lidocaine-sensitive outward and tail currents in ND96 bath solution (with CaCl\textsubscript{2}) were observed (Figures 1F and 1G). Because no inward currents were detected with Ba\textsuperscript{2+} substitution for Ca\textsuperscript{2+} (Figure 1H), the tail currents observed were of Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-activated Cl\textsuperscript{−} channels, indicating that NvNa\textsubscript{v}2.2 conducts Ca\textsuperscript{2+} ions.
We further examined the ion selectivity by introducing the DEKA SF, which is conserved in all Na\textsubscript{v1} channels, in the background of Na\textsubscript{vNa v2.1} (substitution E1239K, mutant Na\textsubscript{vNa v2.1}DEKA). No inward current was detectable when Na\textsuperscript{+} in the bath solution was substituted with choline, indicating that the channel mutant was Ca\textsuperscript{2+} impermeable (E\textsubscript{rev} = 16.7 ± 1.1 mV, n = 14; Figures 1I–1K). However, whereas Na\textsubscript{v1} channels are Na\textsuperscript{+} selective (P\textsubscript{K}/P\textsubscript{Na} = 0.1; Schlief et al., 1996), Na\textsubscript{vNa v2.1}DEKA conducted both Na\textsuperscript{+} and K\textsuperscript{+} ions (P\textsubscript{K}/P\textsubscript{Na} = 0.36; Figure 2B). This finding substantiates the structural difference between Na\textsubscript{v1} and Na\textsubscript{v2} at the channel pore.

NvNa\textsubscript{v2.5} Is a Na\textsuperscript{+}-Selective Channel

The unique DKEA SF (Figure 3A) raised questions regarding the ion preference of NvNa\textsubscript{v2.5}. Because NvNa\textsubscript{v2.5} did not express in oocytes, we used NvNa\textsubscript{v2.1} as a platform for analysis of NvNa\textsubscript{v2.5} ion selectivity. Substitution E800K at DII resulted in mutant Na\textsubscript{vNa v2.1}DKEA, which exhibited biphasic inward currents with a first peak that appeared within milliseconds and a second, slowly developing peak with tail currents (Figure 3B). Substituting Na\textsuperscript{+} with choline or chelating the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} in the bath solution with EGTA (Figures 3C and 3D) indicated that the first peak corresponded to Na\textsuperscript{+} currents and the second peak corresponded to Cl\textsuperscript{−} currents induced by Ca\textsuperscript{2+} conducted by Na\textsubscript{vNa v2.1}DKEA. Furthermore, inward currents were observed in K\textsuperscript{+} single ion solution, indicating that NvNa\textsubscript{v2.1}DKEA is nonselective (P\textsubscript{K}/P\textsubscript{Na} = 0.24; Figure 2C). However, in comparison with NvNa\textsubscript{v2.1}, which conducts mainly Ca\textsuperscript{2+}, considerable Na\textsuperscript{+} currents were measured through Na\textsubscript{vNa v2.1}DKEA.

The p-loops of NvNa\textsubscript{v2.5} differ in sequence from those of the other four NvNa\textsubscript{v2} channels (Figure 3A). Moreover, transcripts encoding Na\textsubscript{v2.5} homologs with DKEA SF were previously identified in motor neurons of the hydrozoan Polyorchis penicillatus and the scyphozoan Cyanea capillata, and whole-cell recording in P. penicillatus showed Na\textsuperscript{+}-selective voltage-gated ion currents (Anderson et al., 1993; Spafford et al., 1996). Therefore, we constructed the NvNa\textsubscript{v2.5} p-loops of all four domains in the background of NvNa\textsubscript{v2.1} and examined the ion selectivity. The resulting channel chimera, Na\textsubscript{vNa v2.1}XvNa\textsubscript{v2.5}(p-loops), was Ca\textsuperscript{2+} impermeable (Figures 3E and 3F), with an E\textsubscript{rev} value of 46.5 ± 1.2 mV (n = 19; Figure 3G) and P\textsubscript{K}/P\textsubscript{Na} ratio of 0.07 (Figure 2D) resembling those of Na\textsubscript{v1} channels (insect channel DmNav1E\textsubscript{rev} = 47.8 ± 1.1 mV, n = 17; mammalian brain channel rNa\textsubscript{v1.2} E\textsubscript{rev} = 48.9 ± 2.3 mV, n = 14; data not shown). These characteristics suggest that Na\textsubscript{vNa v2.1}XvNa\textsubscript{v2.5}(p-loops) is Na\textsuperscript{+} selective. Of note, the Na\textsuperscript{+} selectivity was lost when the DKEA SF was substituted with DEEA or DEKA (Figure S2).

Based on mutagenesis of the Na\textsubscript{v1} DEKA SF, DKEA in the Nav2.5 channel was considered an intermediate between DEKA and DEEA SFs (Schlief et al., 1996; Liebeskind et al., 2011). However, here we show not only that NvNa\textsubscript{v2.5} is as Na\textsuperscript{+} selective as Na\textsubscript{v1}, but also that this selectivity cannot be conferred by the DKEA SF alone. Because Na\textsuperscript{+} selectivity in NvNa\textsubscript{v2.1} was achieved only when the entire p-loop region was exchanged by that of NvNa\textsubscript{v2.5}, other residues in addition to those of the SF are involved in determining the Na\textsuperscript{+} selectivity of NvNa\textsubscript{v2.5}.

The multiple Na\textsubscript{2} genes in N. vectensis suggested variable spatiotemporal expression, and indeed this was demonstrated by quantitative PCR (qPCR) analysis and distinct in situ hybridization expression patterns (Figure S3). Using two Clytia hemisphaerica sodium channel cDNA clones homologous to NvNa\textsubscript{v2.1} and NvNa\textsubscript{v2.5} (ChNa\textsubscript{v2.1} and ChNa\textsubscript{v2.5}), and in situ hybridization, we observed differential channel expression in two distinct developmental stages of this hydrozoan (Figures S3G–S3J). Thus, similarly to bilaterian animals, cnidarians possess several channel subtypes distributed in a spatiotemporal manner, possibly reflecting specialized physiological
Na⁺-Channel Phylogeny Reveals Convergent Evolution of Sodium Selectivity

The characterization of sea anemone Na₂ channels, especially the Na⁺-selective NaNav2.5, prompted us to reanalyze the evolutionary history of sodium channels. We extended the data set of Na sequences with full-length cDNAs encoding Na₂ homologs, which we cloned from basal metazoans and their relatives (Figure 4 and Table S1). We also identified and cloned Na₂.1 and Na₂.5 homologs from the hydroid cnidarians Hydra magnipapillata (Chapman et al., 2010) and Clytia hemisphaerica (J.E.M.K., unpublished data). Our phylogenetic analysis with the extended data set using the putative channel of the diatom Thalassiosira pseudonana as an outgroup (Derelle and Lang, 2012) positioned the Na₂ channels within a single cluster containing all metazoan Naₛ and a putative homolog from T. trahens (Figure 4). This phylogeny confirms that Na₂ channels appeared prior to the metazoan-fungal split (Cai, 2012), and that they were retained in most extant nonbilaterian animals but not in fungi or the unicellular ophistokont Capaspora owczarzaki. Na₂ channels are also found in many bilaterians, but were lost in vertebrates. Na₁ channels, however, are found only in bilaterians (Figure 4; Liebeskind et al., 2011). Although Na₂ channels mediate Ca⁺ but not Na⁺ conductance, they cluster with Na₁ channels rather than Ca vs. Because Na₂ channels coexisted with Ca vs in the ancestor of T. trahens and ophistokonts (Cai, 2012), the separation of Naₛ from Ca vs occurred more than a billion years ago.

The N. vectensis channels (NvNav2.1–NvNav2.5) are clustered with channels of other cnidarians, indicating a monophyletic origin (Figure 4). NvNav2.5, however, forms a small subcluster together with hydrozoan and scyphozoan Na vs bearing a unique DEKA SF, suggesting that the Na₂.1 and Na₂.5 subtypes resulted from a gene duplication event in the common ancestor of all extant cnidarians >540 million years ago (Park et al., 2012). The selective Na⁺ conductance of the Na₂.5 subfamily explains the sodium-based action potentials measured in isolated motor neurons of the medusozoans Cyannea and Polyorchis (Anderson, 1987; Spafford et al., 1996).

Our results indicate that selectivity for Na⁺ evolved separately in the cnidarian and bilaterian lineages. Moreover, a single substitution at the third position in the SF of NvNav2.1, to resemble the DEKA SF of Na₁ channels (NvNav2.1DEKA), abolished Ca²⁺ but not Na⁺ conductance. Because K⁺ ion conductance through Kₛ generates the falling phase of the action potential, whereas Na⁺ ion conductance through Naₛ is responsible for its rising phase, a clear functional advantage would be gained by separating these two fluxes and increasing the selectivity of Na₂ channels to Na⁺ ions. We therefore propose that the pore regions in both the urbilaterian Na₁ and the primordial Na₂.5 cnidarian channel evolved under selective pressure to cease K⁺ and Ca²⁺ ion conductance, and that this required additional substitutions at the p-loops other than those at the SF. The selectivity for Na⁺ was achieved in the two channel types in different ways, as is evident from the lack of selectivity for Na⁺ ions in channel mutants NvNav2.1DEKA pearing the SF of Na₁, and Na₁.5DEKA (a mammalian brain channel mutant) bearing the SF of NvNav2.5 (Figure S2; Schlief et al., 1996). This conclusion argues for a structural difference between the pore regions of Na₂.5 and Na₁.5, which is conceivable given the complex expression of other neuronal genes in N. vectensis (Marlow et al., 2009) and the correlation between the increase in the number of Na showed genes and neuronal complexity in bilaterians (Widmark et al., 2011), cnidarian excitability is probably more complex than was initially hypothesized.

Figure 3. Sequence Alignment of NvNa₂ Channels and Current Recordings from NvNa₂.1DEKA and NvNa₂.1(NvNav2.5p-loops) Channels Expressed in Xenopus Oocytes

(A) Alignment of the pore-loop regions of the five N. vectensis (Nv) channels (see also Figure S3 for spatiotemporal expression of Na₂ cnidarian channels), as well as a channel from the medusae P. penicillatus (Pp) and C. capillata (Cc) and the mammalian brain channel Na₁.2. Substitutions of NaVpNav2.1, to substituting for Na + (F).

(B) NaVpNav2.1 in ND96 bath solution. (C) NaVpNav2.1 with choline substituting for Na⁺ in the bath solution. (D) NaVpNav2.1 with Ca²⁺ in the bath solution chelated by EGTA. (E and F) NaVpNav2.1(NvNav2.5p-loops) in ND96 bath solution (E) and with choline substituting for Na⁺ (F).

(G) Voltage-current (I/V) relationship of NvNav2.1(NvNav2.5p-loops) (I/V = 48.5 ± 1.2 mV; n = 19), each point represents mean ± SEM of n cells (see Figure S2 for analysis of the SF in NvNav2.1(NvNav2.5p-loops).)

Table 5. Comparison of Na₄ and Na₅ p-loop sequences with full-length cDNAs encoding Na₄ and Na₅ homologs, which were cloned from basal metazoans and their relatives (Figure 4 and Table S1). We also identified and cloned Na₄.1 and Na₅.5 homologs from the hydroid cnidarians Hydra magnipapillata (Chapman et al., 2010) and Clytia hemisphaerica (J.E.M.K., unpublished data). Our phylogenetic analysis with the extended data set using the putative channel of the diatom Thalassiosira pseudonana as an outgroup (Derelle and Lang, 2012) positioned the Na₄ channels within a single cluster containing all metazoan Naₛ and a putative homolog from T. trahens (Figure 4). This phylogeny confirms that Na₄ channels appeared prior to the metazoan-fungal split (Cai, 2012), and that they were retained in most extant nonbilaterian animals but not in fungi or the unicellular ophistokont Capaspora owczarzaki. Na₄ channels are also found in many bilaterians, but were lost in vertebrates. Na₁ channels, however, are found only in bilaterians (Figure 4; Liebeskind et al., 2011). Although Na₄ channels mediate Ca⁺ but not Na⁺ conductance, they cluster with Na₁ channels rather than Ca vs. Because Na₄ channels coexisted with Ca vs in the ancestor of T. trahens and ophistokonts (Cai, 2012), the separation of Naₛ from Ca vs occurred more than a billion years ago.

The N. vectensis channels (NvNav2.1–NvNav2.5) are clustered with channels of other cnidarians, indicating a monophyletic origin (Figure 4). NvNav2.5, however, forms a small subcluster together with hydrozoan and scyphozoan Na vs bearing a unique DEKA SF, suggesting that the Na₂.1 and Na₂.5 subtypes resulted from a gene duplication event in the common ancestor of all extant cnidarians >540 million years ago (Park et al., 2012). The selective Na⁺ conductance of the Na₂.5 subfamily explains the sodium-based action potentials measured in isolated motor neurons of the medusozoans Cyannea and Polyorchis (Anderson, 1987; Spafford et al., 1996).
that Na⁺ selectivity in cnidarian Naᵥ2.5 channels and bilaterian Naᵥ1 channels has evolved in a convergent manner.

Bacterial homotetrameric Na vs bear an EEEE SF similar to that of metazoan Ca vs, but they are still selective for Na⁺ ions (Payan-deh et al., 2011). Thus, Na⁺ selectivity in voltage-gated ion channels evolved independently in three lineages on the tree of life. This highlights the plasticity of molecular evolution and the importance of Na⁺ selectivity in biological systems ranging from prokaryotes to advanced eukaryotes.

Intriguingly, despite the advantages of Na⁺ selectivity, several animal lineages with simple nervous systems (e.g., nematodes and echinoderms) appear to have independently lost the Naᵥ1 channels (Figure 4; Widmark et al., 2011; Jegla et al., 2009). Moreover, Naᵥ2 channels with a Ca²⁺ preference were retained in parallel to Na⁺ selective channels in many animal groups, such as ascidians, insects, and cnidarians (Figure 4; Nagahora et al., 2000; Cui et al., 2012). Thus, it seems that Ca²⁺-based action potentials are not merely an evolutionary relic but may be advantageous in simple neuronal circuits.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Identification of Naᵥ Homologs

Putative Naᵥ homologs were detected in GenBank (nr), Broad Institute, and Joint Genome Institute databases via BLAST. The voltage sensors, SF, and inactivation loop were assigned manually. Because some of these regions were missing or contained noncanonical substitutions, we cloned and sequenced overlapping cDNA fragments encoding the putative Naᵥs from several basal metazoans and a choanoflagellate (see Table S1).

Functional Expression of Naᵥs in Oocytes and Two-Electrode Voltage Clamp Measurements

Naᵥ-like transcripts were cloned into a modified pAlter expression vector (Promega). Constructs encoding the Naᵥa-subunits were linearized, transcribed in vitro, and injected into Xenopus oocytes as described previously (Shichor et al., 2002). Currents were measured 1–3 days later using a two-electrode voltage clamp and a Gene Clamps 500 amplifier (Axon Instruments). Data were sampled at 10 kHz and filtered at 5 kHz. Unless otherwise stated, the ND96 bath solution contained (in mM) 96 NaCl, 2 KCl, 1 MgCl₂, 1.8 CaCl₂, 5 HEPES, pH 7.5. For G-V analysis, the mean conductance (G) was calculated from the peak current-voltage relations using the equation

\[ G = \frac{I}{V - E_{rev}}, \]

where \( I \) is the peak current, \( V \) is the membrane potential, and \( E_{rev} \) is the reversal potential. The normalized conductance-voltage relations were fit with either a one- or two-component Boltzmann distribution according to the equation:

\[ G = G_{max} \left( \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left( \frac{V - V_{1/2}}{k_1} \right)} \right) + A \left( \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left( \frac{V - V_{2/2}}{k_2} \right)} \right) \]

where \( V_{1/2} \) and \( V_{2/2} \) are the respective membrane potentials for two populations of channels for which the mean conductance is half maximal, \( k_1 \) and \( k_2 \) are their respective slopes, and \( A \) defines the proportion of the second population (amplitude) over the total. When only one population of channels was apparent, \( A \) was set to zero. To avoid the Ca²⁺-activated chloride currents in oocytes expressing NaᵥNaᵥ2.1, CaCl₂ in the ND96 bath solution was substituted with BaCl₂, and the oocytes were injected 30 min or 2 hr prior to

Figure 4. Phylogeny of Voltage-Gated Sodium Channels

A maximum-likelihood tree was constructed using the LG (+F +G +I) model. The bootstrap support out of 100 is indicated at the branches. A Bayesian analysis using the WAG model resulted in identical topology. Posterior probabilities of 1.0 are indicated by a red asterisk, and those of 0.95 < X < 1.0 are indicated by a blue asterisk. All sequences are from cloned cDNA unless otherwise mentioned. Accession numbers and species names are available in Table S1. Animal clades are indicated by colors.
the measurements with 25 nL of either 50 mM BAPTA or EGTA, respectively. The relative ion permeability $P_A/P_B$ was determined by measuring the difference in reversal potential between the test solution (K$^+$ single ion solution) and the reference solution (Na$^+$ single ion solution; see Extended Experimental Procedures). In the case of equal concentrations, the following equation was used (Hille, 2001):

$$\Delta E_{rev} = E_{rev}(X) - E_{rev}(\text{Na}) = \frac{RT}{F} \ln \left( \frac{P_A}{P_B} \right)$$

where $R$, $T$, and $F$ are the gas constant, absolute temperature, and Faraday’s constant, respectively.

**Characterization of Spatiotemporal Expression Patterns**

The main parameters of the qPCR analysis followed a pre-established protocol (Reitzel and Tarrant, 2009; see Extended Experimental Procedures). In situ hybridization in *N. vectensis* was carried out according to an established method (Genikovich and Technau, 2009). C. hemisphaerica young medusae and gastrozoids were fixed in 3.7% formaldehyde and 0.2% glutaraldehyde in seawater for 1 hr at 4°C. In situ hybridization in Clytia was performed according to the *N. vectensis* protocol, but specimens were digested in a fixed concentration of Proteinase K (0.02 mg/ml) to improve permeability.

**Phylogenetic Analysis**

Channel protein sequences were aligned with the use of MUSCLE (Edgar, 2004), and low-quality alignment regions were removed by the TrimAl program (Capella-Gutiérrez et al., 2009). ProtTest was used to determine the most suitable model for phylogenetic reconstruction of Na$_x$s (Abascal et al., 2005). A maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree was constructed using PhyML with the LG Model (+I +G +F; Guindon et al., 2010). Support values were calculated using 100 bootstrap replicates. Bayesian phylogenetic reconstruction was carried out using MrBayes 3.1. and the WAG model. A total of 5,000,000 generations were calculated and every 100th generation was sampled.

**ACCESSION NUMBERS**

All novel sequences have been deposited in GenBank under accession numbers HQ877452–HQ877461 and JQ066819–JQ066822.
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EXTENDED EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Composition of Single Ion Solutions Used in the Analysis of Relative Ion Permeability

The K⁺ single ion bath solutions used in this study were made of the following salts (in mM): 96 KCl, 5 HEPES, 1 MgCl₂, and 0.5 EGTA, or alternatively of 96 KCl, 5 HEPES, and 1.8 EGTA. Both solutions were adjusted to pH 7.5 with KOH. The reversal potential values obtained in both solutions were similar. MgCl₂ was included in order to inhibit Ca²⁺-inactivated chloride currents (Weber et al., 1995) and EGTA was included to chelate residual Ca²⁺.

The Na⁺ single ion bath solutions contained (in mM) 96 NaCl, 5 HEPES, 1 MgCl₂, and 0.5 EGTA, or alternatively 96 NaCl, 5 HEPES, and 1.8 EGTA. Both solutions were adjusted to pH 7.5 with NaOH and gave similar reversal potential values.

qPCR

The main parameters of the qPCR analysis followed an established protocol (Reitzel and Tarrant, 2009). In brief, 19-to 21-nt-long primers with a 45%–55% guanine-cytosine content were designed to span one or more introns and produce amplicons of 72–120 bp with minimal predicted secondary structure (m-fold). A standard curve was constructed from serially diluted plasmids containing a larger portion of each transcript, and 18S ribosomal RNA was used as control. Gene expression was assayed in five developmental stages (embryo: 12 hr to 1 day; early larva: 3–5 days; late larva: 5–8 days; juvenile: 9–13 days; adult: months). RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis were conducted as previously described (Reitzel and Tarrant, 2009). qPCR was performed using iQ SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad) in a MyCycler real-time PCR detection system (Bio-Rad). For each gene, standards and experimental samples were run in duplicates (technical replicates of a single plate). PCR products were subjected to melt curve analysis to ensure amplification of only a single product. The number of molecules per microliter for each gene was calculated by comparing the threshold cycle (Ct) from the sample with the standard curve.

SUPPLEMENTAL REFERENCE

Figure S1. Current Recordings from NvNa2.1 Expressed in Xenopus Oocytes, Related to Figure 1

Oocytes were clamped at −100 or −80 mV holding potential in ND96 bath solution, and currents were elicited by depolarizing pulses from −75 mV to 50 mV for 200 ms.

(A) Current-voltage relations of calcium-activated chloride channels from a representative oocyte, which were activated by Ca²⁺ influx through NvNa2.1 (E_{rev} = −15.9 mV).

(B) Ca²⁺-activated Cl⁻ currents recorded from an oocyte expressing NvNa2.1. Addition of 5 mM lidocaine reduced the Ca²⁺ inward current through NvNa2.1, which decreased the chloride currents.

(C) Current-voltage relations of NvNa2.1 expressed in oocytes injected with BAPTA prior to the measurement (E_{rev} = 21.6 ± 2.2 mV; n = 7). Each point represents mean ± SEM of n cells. Injection of BAPTA to oocytes eliminated the Ca²⁺-activated Cl⁻ currents (see inset).

(D) NvNa2.1 inward current elicited by 200 ms depolarizing pulse to −30 mV with BaCl₂ substituting for CaCl₂ in the ND96 bath solution. The current was not affected by 100 μM TTX (red).
Figure S2. Currents Mediated by Channel Mutants NvNav2.1NvNav2.5p(-loops DEEA) and NvNav2.1NvNav2.5p(-loops DEKA) Expressed in Oocytes, Related to Figure 3

(A) Currents elicited by 500 ms depolarizing pulses from –75 to 50 mV mediated by NvNav2.1NvNav2.5p(-loops DEEA) in ND96 bath solution. Note the large tail currents upon returning to the –80 mV holding potential.

(B) Inward currents elicited by 200 ms depolarizing pulses from –75 to 50 mV mediated by NvNav2.1NvNav2.5p(-loops DEKA) in ND96 bath solution. Note the absence of tail currents (see inset). The $E_{rev}$ value obtained for the current-voltage relations is $19.1 \pm 1.6$ (n = 6). Each point represents mean ± SEM of n cells.

(C) Currents elicited by 200 ms depolarizing pulses from –90 to 50 mV mediated by NvNav2.1NvNav2.5p(-loops DEKA) in K+ single ion bath solution.
Figure S3. Spatiotemporal Expression of Cnidarian Naᵥs, Related to Figure 3

(A) Developmental time series of expression for the five Naᵥ2 channel genes from _N. vectensis_. The data were generated from qPCR for five developmental stages. Expression is presented in molecules per μl cDNA. Bars represent mean + SE of n = 3 replicates.

(B–F) In situ hybridization with probes for NvNaᵥ2.1 (B), NvNaᵥ2.2 (C), NvNaᵥ2.3 (D), NvNaᵥ2.4 (E), and NvNaᵥ2.5 (F) revealed the spatial expression pattern of the different channel subtypes in 5-day-old _N. vectensis_ larvae. A similar assay on _C. hemisphaerica_ revealed the spatial expression patterns of two channel homologs.

(G–J) Probes for ChNaᵥ2.1 (G) and ChNaᵥ2.5 (H) in the gastrozoid developmental stage, and for ChNaᵥ2.1 (I) and ChNaᵥ2.5 (J) in the medusa stage. The oral end is indicated by an asterisk.