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Abstract
Dynamic soaring uses the gradient of wind velocity (wind shear) to gain energy for energy-neutral flight. Recently, pilots of radio-controlled gliders have exploited the wind shear associated with fast winds blowing over mountain ridges to achieve very fast speeds, reaching a record of 487 mph in January 2012. A relatively simple two-layer model of dynamic soaring was developed to investigate factors that enable such fast speeds. The optimum period and diameter of a glider circling across a thin wind-shear layer predict maximum glider airspeed to be around 10 times the wind speed of the upper layer (assuming a maximum lift/drag of around 30). The optimum circling period can be small ~1.2 seconds in fast dynamic soaring at 500 mph, which is difficult to fly in practice and results in very large load factors ~100 times gravity. Adding ballast increases the optimum circling period toward flyable circling periods of 2-3 seconds. However, adding ballast increases stall speed and the difficulty of landing without damage. The compressibility of air and the decreasing optimum circling period with fast speeds suggest that record glider speeds will probably not increase as fast as they have during the last few years and will probably level out below a speed of 600 mph.

1. Introduction
In April, 2011, I watched pilots of radio-controlled (RC) gliders at Weldon Hill California using dynamic soaring to achieve speeds up to 450 mph in wind gust speeds of 50-70 mph. One almost needs to see and hear these fast gliders to believe their amazing performance. These observations raised questions about how gliders could fly so fast and led me to try and understand the relevant dynamics. The motivation was the possibility that the technology of these gliders and the experience of the pilots could be used to help develop a fast robotic albatross UAV (unmanned aerial vehicle) for surveillance, search and rescue, and rapid scientific sampling of the marine boundary layer and ocean surface.
Recently, I developed a fairly simple model of dynamic soaring to help understand how albatrosses use this technique to soar long distances without flapping their wings (Richardson, 2011). This present paper uses this model but concentrates on much faster glider airspeeds, which are more than ten times the typical wandering albatross airspeed of 35 mph. Specific questions explored are: 1) what are the key parameters of the flight that allow such high speeds to be achieved, 2) how can the flight be optimized for fast speeds, 3) what are the maximum airspeeds that can be achieved with realistic winds.

2. Observations of RC glider soaring

The RC dynamic soaring I observed at Weldon exploited the wind shear caused by fast wind blowing over a sharp-crested mountain ridge (see rcspeeds.com). The RC gliders flew in approximately circular loops lying roughly along a plane that tilted upward toward the wind direction and extended above the ridge crest. From the windy region above the ridge, the gliders descended headed in a downwind direction into the low-wind region below and downwind of the ridge crest. They then turned and climbed in an upwind direction back into the fast wind in the upper layer above the ridge crest. The gliders flew in fast steeply-banked loops with a loop period of around 3 seconds. The

**Figure 1. Idealized example of the increase of airspeed of a dragless glider soaring through a thin wind-shear layer in which the wind increases from zero below the layer to 50 mph above. This example shows how a glider could use dynamic soaring in the region downwind of a ridge crest as observed at Weldon. Starting in the lower layer with an assumed airspeed of 100 mph, a glider climbs upwind a short distance vertically across the wind-shear layer, which increases glider airspeed to 150 mph. The glider then turns and flies downwind with the same airspeed of 150 mph. During the turn, the glider’s ground speed increases to 200 mph in the downwind direction and consists of the 150 mph airspeed plus (tail) wind speed of 50 mph. The glider descends downwind a short distance vertically across the wind-shear layer, which increases the glider’s airspeed to 200 mph. The glider turns upwind flying with airspeed of 200 mph. Thus, one loop through the wind-shear layer increases the glider’s airspeed from 100 mph to 200 mph (two times the 50 mph wind speed in the upper layer). The nearly-circular flight modeled in this paper is shown as an ellipse in this schematic figure.**
wings looked like they were nearly perpendicular to the plane all the way around a loop, implying very large accelerations. An accelerometer on one of the gliders recorded a maximum acceleration of 90 g, the accelerometer’s upper limit (Chris Bosley, personal communication). At times the gliders were perturbed by turbulent wind gusts, and the pilots needed to quickly respond in order to prevent the gliders from crashing into the side of the ridge. High-speed crashes totally destroyed five gliders that day. Glider speeds up to 300-450 mph were measured with radar guns, usually after a glider had reached its lowest point on a loop and was climbing upwind again. This suggested that the recorded speeds are representative of typical speeds in the loop and could be somewhat slower than peak speeds. Wind speed gusts of 50-70 mph were measured on the ridge crest by holding a small anemometer overhead at a height 7 feet above ground level. Anecdotally, maximum glider speeds are around 10 times the wind speed, although this seems to be more realistic at lower speeds (< 350 mph) than at higher speeds (> 350 mph) (S. Lisenby, personal communication). However, there are generally very few wind velocity measurements with which to compare the glider speeds.

The gliders had ailerons and an elevator to control flight and a fixed fin in place of a moveable rudder. Flaps were used to reduce the stall speed when landing.

3. Inferences about the wind field

Wind velocity over a ridge crest generally increases with height from near zero velocity at the ground level. The largest vertical gradient of wind velocity (largest wind shear) is located in a thin boundary layer located within several feet of the ridge crest. Fast wind blowing over a sharp-crested ridge usually forms an area of weaker wind or a lee eddy just downwind of the ridge crest and below the level of the crest. Located above this region of weak wind is a thin wind-shear region, a wind-shear boundary layer that separates from the ridge crest, and

![Figure 2](http://www.rcgroups.com/forums/showthread.php?t=1609281)

*Figure 2. Time series of maximum recorded speeds of RC gliders using dynamic soaring as listed in the website rcspeeds.com. Each value represents an unofficial world record as measured by radar gun. The charted record holder is Spencer Lisenby who flew a Kinetic 100 (100 inch wing span) glider at a speed of 487 mph in January 2012. On 06 March 2012 Spencer flew the Kinetic 100 to a new record speed of 498 mph.*
上述风层中存在更强的风速和降低的风切变。风切变层被推断从山脊顶至着陆的距离水平延伸，并逐渐变厚。滑翔机环路越过了风切变层，它位于山脊顶下风方的薄层（见图1）。

4. Schematic illustration of dynamic soaring

动态翱翔的技巧包括滑翔机飞行是越过风切变层，向前爬升，然后向下转弯，向下降风（图1）。每次穿越风切变层都会增加滑翔机的空速和动能。通过增加环路的频率，可以增加空速和动能的增加率。有几个因素限制滑翔机的空速，包括与快速速度和陡峭转弯相关的增加的阻力。当穿越风切变层获得的能量等于由于阻力产生的能量损失时，滑翔机达到能量中立滑翔的平衡。

时风槽，对结构槽，穿越风切变层可以获得额外的能量。一个快速平均风速槽包含了大于平均的风切变，通过一个槽可以提取大于平均的动能。动态翱翔和时风槽的技巧是非常复杂的，使得理解相关动力学成为困难。

5. Brief history of dynamic soaring

动态翱翔的兴趣始于1800年代后期，当水手们观察到信天翁翱翔在海洋而不拍动翅膀时。观察者试图理解和模拟鸟类的翱翔技巧，以便为人类飞行所用。两种理论被提出，以解释信天翁如何从风中提取能量。第一种理论，已经获得关注，是信天翁利用风切变层，即海面波纹下游的风速增加，来提取能量（动态翱翔）。第二种理论提出，信天翁利用波面之上的上升气流来提取能量（波斜翱翔）。信天翁可能利用两种技巧，取决于当地的风和波。然而，动态翱翔被认为提供了持续翱翔的大部分能量。信天翁似乎利用山脊后方的削壁风切变层，其存在被彭尼考克（2002）描述。

动态翱翔的概念首次由 Lord Rayleigh 在1883年提出，而“动态翱翔”一词则早在1908年由 F. W. Lanchester 使用。多年来，动态翱翔被许多人讨论和建模，尽管直到最近，才正确地发展了气动理论（参见Lissaman, 2005; Sachs, 2005）。一个非气动学者的问题是，描述螺旋、俯冲和快速飞行的气动方程非常复杂，使得理解相关动力学成为困难。这篇文章试图尝试以更简单的框架表达动态翱翔的物理，并将其应用到快速滑翔飞行中。

近10年前，RC滑翔机飞行员开始使用动态翱翔，并且一直在利用它在山脊下风方以比以前更快的速度飞行。在过去12年中，动态翱翔速度显著增加，从2000年的约170英里/小时增加到2012年的487英里/小时，且无放缓的迹象（图2）。

速度的增长得益于高性能翼剖面、更强的机身、更好的控制装置以及飞行员经验的增加。随着这些发展，飞行员们一直在利用快速的、高倾斜角的环路在逐渐更快的风中和更宽的风切变中飞行。过程中，许多结构因高速和高倾斜环路的加速度而失效或损坏。许多坠机事故是由于试图快速飞行而造成的。
gliders close to the ground near ridge crests. Maintaining control of gliders in quick loops and in wind turbulence is challenging and requires fast and accurate reflexes. In addition, large stall speeds of high-performance gliders make them tricky to fly at slow speeds and to safely land on top of a mountain ridge.

6. Model of dynamic soaring

The approach here uses the characteristics of observed glider loops to develop a simple model of dynamic soaring based on Rayleigh’s (1883) concept of soaring across a sharp wind-shear layer and on the flight dynamic equations of motion (Lissaman, 2005). The modeled flight pattern is referred to as the Rayleigh cycle because he was first to describe the concept of dynamic soaring. The model provides a relatively easy way to understand the essential physics of dynamic soaring and provides predictions of soaring airspeeds, which agree well with more complex simulations of albatross flight (Lissaman, 2005; Sachs, 2005, Richardson, 2011). The Rayleigh cycle, which uses two horizontal homogenous wind layers, is the most efficient way for a glider in nearly-circular flight to gain energy from a wind profile and thus indicates the maximum amount of airspeed that can be achieved using dynamic soaring in energy-neutral flight.

When a glider soars in wind, the glider’s airspeed (speed through the air) is different from its ground speed (speed relative to the ground). This should be kept in mind because airspeed, and not ground speed, is the quantity most relevant to flight. Aerodynamic forces on a glider depend on its airspeed not ground speed. Sufficient airspeed must be maintained to avoid a stall, which could be fatal at low altitude. The analysis of airspeed and ground speed leads to different conclusions about where kinetic energy is gained in dynamic soaring. An increase of glider airspeed comes from crossing the wind-shear layer. Most increase of ground speed occurs as a glider turns from a direction headed upwind to a direction downwind; during the turn wind does work on the glider and accelerates it in a downwind direction. Radar measurements of glider speed are relative to the ground and can be significantly different from glider airspeed.

Over time, gravity and drag relentlessly force a glider downward through the air. In balanced flight the glider’s sinking speed through the air represents the glider’s rate of energy loss. In order to continuously soar, a glider must extract sufficient energy from the atmosphere to counter the loss due to drag. For many years gliders exploited updrafts along ridges to gain energy from the wind and continuously soar, but recently gliders have used the vertical gradient of horizontal winds to gain energy; the exceptionally fast speeds achieved using wind gradients suggest that dynamic soaring is an effective way to gain energy.

The Rayleigh cycle of dynamic soaring as shown in Figure 1 was used to model a glider soaring in nearly-circular loops along a plane tilted upward into the wind similar to the glider observations at Weldon. The essential assumptions are that 1) the plane crosses the wind-shear layer at a small angle with respect to the horizon so that vertical motions can be ignored, 2) the average airspeed and average glide ratio can be used to represent flight in the circle, and most importantly, 3) conservation of energy in each layer requires a balance between the sudden increase of airspeed (kinetic energy) caused by crossing the shear layer and the gradual loss of airspeed due to drag over half a loop, resulting in energy-neutral flight. The motion during each half loop is somewhat similar to a landing flare when a glider maintains constant altitude and airspeed is slowly dissipated by drag. This study assumes that the lower layer has zero wind speed and that the increase of wind speed across the wind-shear layer is equal to the wind speed in the upper layer.

The glide polar for a particular glider is given by values of the glide ratio $V/V_z$, where $V$ is the glider airspeed and $V_z$ is
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$V$ (mph)</th>
<th>200</th>
<th>300</th>
<th>400</th>
<th>500</th>
<th>600</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$V_c$ (mph)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_{opt}$ (sec)</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_{opt}$ (feet)</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W_{min}$ (mph)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank angle (deg.)</td>
<td>87.1</td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td>88.7</td>
<td>88.1</td>
<td>89.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Load factor</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Optimum loop period ($t_{opt}$) and diameter ($d_{opt}$) and the minimum wind speed ($W_{min}$) required for different glider airspeeds in energy-neutral dynamic soaring. $V$ is the average airspeed (speed through the air) of a glider circling in a Rayleigh cycle. $V_c$ is the assumed cruise airspeed (45 mph) of the glider corresponding to the airspeed of maximum lift/drag, which was assumed to equal 31.4 in this example. Cruise airspeed increases to 55 mph by adding ballast of around 50% of the original glider weight. The optimum loop period $t_{opt}$ corresponds to the minimum wind speed $W_{min}$ in the upper layer required for dynamic soaring at the listed glider airspeeds (Eq. 6). Optimum loop diameter $d_{opt}$ corresponds to the optimum loop period (Eq. 9). Bank angle is for balanced circular flight. Load factor is equal to $1/\cos \phi$ and is the total acceleration of the glider, including gravity plus centripetal acceleration, normalized by gravity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$V$ (mph)</th>
<th>1.0</th>
<th>1.5</th>
<th>2.0</th>
<th>2.5</th>
<th>3.0</th>
<th>2.0</th>
<th>3.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$t$ (sec)</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d$ (feet)</td>
<td>51 (58)</td>
<td>52 (51)</td>
<td>58 (53)</td>
<td>66 (53)</td>
<td>78 (58)</td>
<td>77 (63)</td>
<td>103 (77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W_{min}$ (mph)</td>
<td>9.9 (8.7)</td>
<td>9.6 (9.9)</td>
<td>8.7 (9.9)</td>
<td>7.6 (9.4)</td>
<td>6.7 (8.6)</td>
<td>7.8 (9.5)</td>
<td>5.8 (7.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V/W_{min}$</td>
<td>89.6</td>
<td>89.4</td>
<td>89.2</td>
<td>89.0</td>
<td>88.0</td>
<td>89.3</td>
<td>89.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank angle (deg.)</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Minimum wind speed ($W_{min}$) required to fly at 500 mph (and 600 mph) using different loop periods ($t$) and the associated loop diameters ($d$) in energy-neutral dynamic soaring. The maximum L/D is assumed to equal 31.4 at a cruise airspeed $V_c$ of 45 mph (no ballast). $V$ is the average airspeed of a glider circling in a Rayleigh cycle, $t$ is an assumed loop period, and $d$ is the corresponding loop diameter. $W_{min}$ is the minimum wind speed in the upper layer required for dynamic soaring at the listed glider airspeed. Values in parentheses are for a cruise airspeed $V_c$ of 55 mph (added ballast). $V/W_{min}$ is the ratio of glider airspeed to wind speed and, when multiplied by the wind speed, indicates the maximum airspeed. Values in parentheses are for a cruise speed of 55 mph (added ballast). Bank angle is for balanced circular flight. Load factor is equal to $1/\cos \phi$ and represents the total acceleration acting on the glider, normalized by gravity.
the glider’s sinking speed through the air. The glide ratio is closely equal to lift/drag (L/D) for L/D values >> 1 typical of glider flight. Values of $V/V_z$ for circular flight were modeled using a quadratic drag law, in which the drag coefficient is proportional to the lift coefficient squared, and the aerodynamic equations of motion for balanced circular flight (Lissaman, 2005; Torenbeek and Wittenberg, 2009). The equation for a glide polar can be specified by using a glider’s maximum $L/D$ value and the associated cruise speed $V_{c'}$. In balanced circular flight the horizontal component of lift balances the centripetal acceleration and the vertical component of lift balances gravity. A more complete discussion of glide polar model and derivation of relevant equations are given in the appendix. Equation numbers below refer to the equations derived in the appendix.

For a given wind speed in the upper layer, the maximum possible glider airspeed coincides with an optimum loop period ($t_{opt}$) and the associated optimum loop diameter ($d_{opt}$). For fast glider speeds, > 150 mph, $t_{opt}$ is given by

$$t_{opt} = \frac{2\pi V_c^2}{gV}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

$V_c$ is the glider cruise speed, $V$ is the glider airspeed, and $g$ is gravity. Equation 6 indicates that $t_{opt}$ is inversely proportional to glider airspeed. The optimum loop period decreases with increasing glider airspeed because drag increases with airspeed, which requires more frequent shear-layer crossings to achieve a balance and energy-neutral flight.

The optimum loop diameter $d_{opt}$ is given by

$$d_{opt} = \frac{2V_c^2}{g}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (9)

Equation 9 reveals that the optimum loop diameter is independent of glider airspeed but is proportional to cruise airspeed squared.

![Figure 3: Optimum Loop Period for Maximum Glider Airspeed](image)

Figure 3. Optimum loop period $t_{opt}$ required to achieve the maximum glider airspeed in a Rayleigh cycle plotted as a function of glider airspeed. Curves are shown for the unballasted ($V_c = 45$ mph) and ballasted ($V_c = 55$ mph) gliders. Ballast is around 50% of the unballasted glider weight.
was used to calculate the maximum glider airspeed $V_{\text{max}}$ for a given wind speed $W$

$$V_{\text{max}} = \frac{(V/V_z)_{\text{max}}}{\pi} (W).$$

Equation 8 indicates that for fast flight (> 150 mph) the maximum average airspeed in a Rayleigh cycle is proportional to the wind speed $W$ in the upper layer. For a high-performance RC glider like the Kinetic 100, $(V/V_z)_{\text{max}}$ is around 30 (S. Lisenby, personal communication), and the maximum possible (average) dynamic soaring airspeed is around 10 times the wind speed of the upper layer. Consider a glider with a maximum $L/D$ of around 30 soaring with an optimum loop period and with an upper-layer wind speed of 50 mph. Equation 8 predicts that the maximum possible average glider airspeed would be around 500 mph (10 times the 50 mph wind speed). A glider flying in a loop would increase its airspeed by 50 mph on crossing the wind-shear layer from 475 mph just before the crossing to 525 mph just afterward. Between shear-layer crossings airspeed would gradually decrease back to 475 mph due to drag. At these fast speeds the variation of airspeed due to vertical motions in a loop is much smaller than that due to crossing the shear layer.

The total acceleration of a glider includes centripetal acceleration and gravity and is given by the load factor, which equals $1/\cos \varphi$, where $\varphi$ is the bank angle (Eq. 3). For fast dynamic soaring, the load factor is approximately equal to $2\pi V/gt$.

7. Results

The main results are the derivation of equations for the optimum loop period (Eq. 6), the optimum diameter (Eq. 9), and the maximum glider airspeed $V_{\text{max}}$ (Eq. 8), which predicts that maximum glider speed equals around 10 times the wind speed for fast flight and $(L/D)_{\text{max}}$ around 30. It is helpful to

---

**Figure 4.** Maximum glider airspeed as a function of wind speed using a Rayleigh cycle and the unballasted glider ($V_c = 45$ mph). Curves are shown for the (variable) optimum loop period (see Figure 3) as well as for constant loop periods of 2 s and 3 s.
explore these results by using values for a typical glider, so the values of the flight characteristics of a glider dynamic soaring at different airspeeds were calculated. The examples assume a high-performance glider \((L/D)_{max}\) value of 31.4 at a cruise speed \(V_c\) of 45 mph, similar to a Kinetic 100, the present world speed record holder (see dskinetic.com). The 31.4 \((L/D)_{max}\) value was chosen so that \(V_{max} = 10.0 \, W\). Adding ballast was assumed to maintain the same \((L/D)_{max}\) and to increase cruise speed \(V_c\) to 55 mph. \(V_c\) is proportional to the square root of glider weight, and (approximately) a 50% increase of glider weight increases \(V_c\) from 45 mph to 55 mph.

Figure 3 shows that, as glider speeds increase from 150 mph to 600 mph, the optimum loop period \(t_{opt}\) for the unballasted \((V_c = 45 \, mph)\) glider decreases from 3.8 s to 1.0 s (\(t_{opt}\) is inversely proportional to \(V\)). Over this speed range the optimum loop diameter is 270 feet (Table 1). Small loop periods of around 2 s, or smaller, are difficult to fly in efficient dynamic soaring and stressful for the glider. More typical flyable minimum loop periods are between 2-3 s with 3 s being easier to fly and more common than 2 s, which is rare (Spencer Lisenby and Chris Bosley, personal communications). Thus, to fly at 500 mph, say, it is necessary to use flyable loop periods ~ 2-3 s, which are larger than the optimum loop period of 1.2 s and correspond to larger loop diameters of 470-700 feet (Table 2). The downside of these flyable loop periods is that the minimum wind speed required for a glider to reach an airspeed of 500 mph increases over the minimum wind speed required at the optimum period and diameter (as predicted by Eq. 7) (Figure 4). For example, the minimum wind speed \(W_{min}\) required for dynamic soaring at 500 mph (Eq. 4) increases from 50 mph for a 1.2 s loop (at \(t_{opt}\)) (Table 1) up to 78 mph for a 3 s loop (Table 2).

Therefore, a major difficulty in trying to fly at glider airspeeds of 500 mph (or faster) is that by using flyable loop periods of 2-3 s the minimum required wind speed increases substantially over that at the optimum loop period and diameter (Figure 4). In

![Load Factor for Different Glider Speeds and Loop Periods](image)

**Figure 5.** Load factor plotted as a function of glider airspeed and different loop periods for the unballasted glider \((V_c = 45 \, mph)\). Load factor is equal to the total acceleration of the glider in terms of the acceleration of gravity (g).
other words, the glider’s maximum airspeed for a wind speed of 50 mph (say) decreases from values predicted by $V_{\text{max}} = 10W$ (Eq. 8), which is based on the optimum period. In order to take advantage of $V_{\text{max}} = 10W$ one needs to fly close to the optimum period, and this becomes increasingly difficult at fast airspeeds of 500 mph (Table 1). This suggests that it will be difficult to continue to achieve such fast speed gains as seen in the last few years.

The effects of flying with and without added ballast are shown in Tables 1 and 2 and Figure 3. At a glider airspeed of 500 mph, adding ballast increases the optimum loop period from 1.2 s to 1.7 s (optimum loop period is proportional to glider weight), which is still difficult to fly but closer to flyable loop periods. A benefit is that at a flyable loop period of 3 s the minimum required wind speed decreases to 58 mph (ballasted glider) from 78 mph (unballasted glider) (Table 2). A main benefit of adding ballast is to increase the optimum loop period and to reduce the minimum wind speed required to fly at 500 mph from that obtained without ballast, assuming a flyable 3 s loop period. Table 1 and Figure 3 show that the optimum loop period of the ballasted glider falls below 3 s near an airspeed of 300 mph, indicating that at airspeeds greater than 300 mph $V_{\text{max}}$ will be below values predicted by Eq. 8. This is in accord with the anecdotal evidence of $V_{\text{max}} = 10W$ being more realistic at glider speeds below 350 mph.

Another way to interpret the effect of ballast is to compare maximum glider airspeeds achievable with a wind speed of 50 mph (say). At the optimum loop period (1.2 s) and optimum diameter (270 feet) an unballasted glider could reach 500 mph (Table 1). With a loop period of 3 s, maximum airspeed of the unballasted glider would be 370 mph (loop diameter 520 feet) and that of the ballasted glider 450 mph (loop diameter 630 feet) (Eq. 4). Thus, adding ballast increases the maximum glider airspeed over that possible without ballast (for $t = 3$ s and wind speeds > 30 mph).

Figure 5 shows the load factor (total acceleration) of an unballasted glider at airspeeds of 150 mph to 600 mph. At a glider airspeed of 500 mph and optimum loop period of 1.2 s, the load factor is 123 g. Increasing the loop period to 2 s at 500 mph reduces the load factor to 72 g, and increasing the loop period to 3 s reduces the load factor to 48 g. Table 1 also shows that the ballasted glider has a smaller load factor ~ 83 g than the unballasted glider ~ 123 g due to the larger optimum loop periods of the ballasted glider. (Load factors are similar for ballasted and unballasted gliders when using the same constant loop period). Therefore, adding ballast and increasing $V_{\text{c}}$ from 45 mph to 55 mph reduces the load factor, and that seems beneficial. However, for a given glider airspeed, the lift force on a glider’s wings is the same for both the unballasted and ballasted glider. This is because lift force equals the glider weight times the load factor, and the glider weight is larger with ballast.

Values of load factor in the tables are for average airspeeds in a loop. When a glider crosses the wind-shear layer, the airspeed suddenly increases ~ 5% over the average airspeed and that can cause a ~ 10% jump in load factor and lift force over average values given in the tables.

8. Speed limits for dynamic soaring

At a critical aircraft speed of (roughly) Mach 0.7 ~ 540 mph (or greater) the flow of air past the aircraft can increase locally and reach, in places, the speed of sound, Mach 1 ~ 770 mph (see Torenbeek and Wittenberg, 2009). The aircraft speed at which this occurs depends on the wing shape, the angle of attack, and the particular configuration of the aircraft. Some modifications that have led to a higher critical speed are a supercritical airfoil, swept wings, and a smooth variation from nose to tail of an aircraft’s cross-sectional area and a small maximum area (area rule). At the critical speed, shock waves begin to form due to the compressibility of air, and the aerodynamics of incompressible flow is no longer valid. The
lift coefficient drops, drag coefficient increases, and lift/drag decreases enormously. The linear relationship $V_{\text{max}} = 10 W$ fails, since maximum lift/drag (Eq. 8) decreases, even when flying at the optimum loop period and diameter for incompressible flow. This suggests that an increasingly large wind speed would be required to obtain a particular glider airspeed, larger than predicted by $V_{\text{max}} = 10 W$.

At an airspeed of 600 mph, the optimum loop period of the Rayleigh cycle is 1.0 s for the unballasted glider and 1.4 s for the ballasted glider, and the wind speeds required to fly with loop periods of 2-3 s increase substantially over 60 mph (Table 1). The minimum required wind speed of an unballasted glider is 103 mph for a loop period of $t = 3$ s (Table 2). Adding ballast decreases the minimum required wind speed to 77 mph for $t = 3$ s (Figure 3). Thus, adding ballast could help gliders reach 600 mph, assuming that loops could be flown with periods of 2-3 s and that wind speeds of 77 mph are available and flyable. Of course, reaching 600 mph using these wind speeds is based on a glider flying a nearly-circular loop in a two-layer Rayleigh cycle, which gives the maximum amount of energy possible from wind shear. In practice, somewhat less energy would be gained than from a Rayleigh cycle, and thus a larger wind speed would be needed to achieve the airspeeds predicted using the Rayleigh cycle. For example, flying a nearly-circular loop through a linear wind shear would result in around 80% of the maximum glider airspeed achievable in the two-layer case, assuming a similar increase of wind velocity over the heights flown. Additional limits to speed are the structural strength of the glider, which is subjected to very large accelerations and lift forces, and the glider’s ability to control flutter at high speeds.

In summary, although record glider speeds have increased rapidly during the last few years up to 487 mph (Figure 2), and the shape of the curve in Figure 2 looks like it could continue upwards to much higher glider speeds, the limits mentioned above—the decreasing optimum loop period at higher speeds, the effects of the compressibility of air, and the larger wind speeds required to reach a particular glider airspeed—suggest that maximum speeds in dynamic soaring will tend to level out near between 500 and 600 mph. Further modifications of gliders for high-speed flight might help increase maximum speeds somewhat, but these modifications would probably make it difficult to fly at slower speeds and land safely. The addition of an autopilot might possibly help to fly a glider at small loop periods.

9. Conclusions about how to soar at 500 mph

The following conclusions about how to soar at 500 mph were derived from the analysis of the Rayleigh cycle model of dynamic soaring:

1) Fly a high-performance and strong glider with a large maximum $L/D$ and large associated cruise airspeed ($V_c$). A larger maximum $L/D$ results in a larger glider airspeed for a given wind speed (Eq. 8). A larger cruise speed results in a larger optimum loop period ($t_{\text{opt}}$), closer to flyable airspeeds of 2-3 s (Eq. 6).

2) Fly in fast wind ~ 50-70 mph (or more) and large wind shear (Table 2).

3) Fly as close to the optimum loop period (Eq. 6) and optimum loop diameter (Eq. 9) as possible because that increases the maximum glider airspeed to be around 10 times the wind speed ($V_{\text{max}} = 10 W$) and results in the fastest airspeed for a given wind speed (Eq. 8). However, fast flight at optimum loop periods results in large accelerations and large lift forces and requires very strong gliders. Flyable loop periods (~ 2-3 s) are significantly larger than the optimum loop period ~ 1.2 s of an unballasted glider at 500 mph and increase the minimum required wind speed to reach 500 mph (Table 1).

4) Add ballast to increase the cruise airspeed $V_c$ because that increases the optimum loop period toward flyable loop periods and tends to reduce the minimum wind speed and
shear required for flight at 500 mph (Tables 1 and 2). However, increasing \( V_z \) leads to higher stall speeds and difficulties in safely landing a glider on a ridge crest. For this reason, S. Lisenby, (personal communication) limits ballast to around 25% of the weight of his unballasted Kinetic 100 glider.

5) Fly at high altitudes and warm temperatures where air density is lower, which has effects similar to adding ballast. Warm temperatures tend to keep the critical airspeed high.

To further investigate the dynamic soaring of gliders, it would be helpful to add instruments to measure at high resolution, positions, orientations, velocities and accelerations over the ground and through the air, as well as information about the structure of the wind interacting with ridges. It would be useful to continuously monitor glider airspeeds and groundspeeds in order to more accurately document maximum airspeeds. With this information one might be able to refine glider performance and achieve faster airspeeds. Numerical modeling could be used to further investigate high-speed dynamic soaring in more realistic conditions (wind interacting with a ridge) and help refine high-performance glider design.
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**Appendix**

**Modeled Rayleigh cycle**

In the modeled Rayleigh cycle the loss of potential energy over a half loop \((t/2)\) is given by \(mg(t/2)V_z\), where \(m\) is mass, \(g\) is gravity, \(t\) is the period of a loop, and \(V_z\) is the glider’s sinking speed through the air due to drag. Conservation of energy for energy-neutral soaring requires that this energy loss must be balanced by the sudden gain in kinetic energy (airspeed) from crossing the wind-shear layer, which is given by \(m(V_z^2 - V_1^2)/2\), where \(V_1\) is the airspeed before crossing the wind-shear layer, and \(V_z\) is the airspeed after crossing the layer. In this latter term, \(V_z^2 - V_1^2 = (V_2 - V_1)(V_2 + V_1)\). \(V_2 + V_1\) is assumed to equal twice the average airspeed \(2V\) in the nearly-circular flight, and \(V_2 - V_1\) is the increase of airspeed \(\Delta V\) of a glider crossing the wind-shear layer, which is assumed to equal the vertical increase of wind speed \(\Delta W\) across the layer and also the wind speed \(W\) of the upper layer, assuming zero wind speed in the lower layer. Conservation of energy and the approximations given above indicate that

\[
\Delta V = \frac{gt}{2(V/V_z)}.
\]

where \(V/V_z\) is the glide ratio averaged over a half loop and over \(\Delta V\). Values of \(V/V_z\) define the glide polar for a particular glider and indicate values of its sinking speed \(V_z\) through the air as a function of airspeed \(V\). The glide ratio is closely equal to lift/drag \((L/D)\) for \(L/D\) values \(\gg 1\) typical of glider flight. Lift \(L = Cl(\rho/2) V^2 S\), drag \(D = Cd(\rho/2) V^2 S\), \(Cl\) is the lift coefficient, \(Cd\) the drag coefficient, \(\rho\) the density of air, and \(S\) the characteristic area of the wings.

The decrease in airspeed at the assumed nearly-constant height during a half loop was obtained by balancing the rate of change of airspeed (kinetic energy) with dissipation due to drag. This balance indicates that \(dV/dt = g/V/V_z\). Since \(V/V_z\) is nearly constant in the relevant glider airspeed range \(\Delta V\) centered on a particular average airspeed, airspeed decreases nearly linearly in time. (The variation of \(V/V_z\) is around 10% of the average \(V/V_z\) in an energy-neutral loop.) Therefore, the total decrease of airspeed \(\Delta V\) in a half loop \((t/2)\) is equal to \(gt/2(V/V_z)\) as derived above (Eq. 1).
Values of $V/V_z$ for circular flight were modeled using a quadratic drag law, in which the drag coefficient is proportional to the lift coefficient squared, and the aerodynamic equations of motion for balanced circular flight (Lissaman, 2005; Torenbeek and Wittenberg, 2009). In balanced circular flight the horizontal component of lift balances the centripetal acceleration and the vertical component of lift balances gravity. Specifically, $V/V_z$ was modeled by

$$
\frac{V}{V_z} = \frac{2(V/V_z)_{\text{max}}}{(V/V)^2 + (V_c/V \cos\phi)^2},
$$

where $(V/V_z)_{\text{max}}$ is the maximum glide ratio at $V_c$, the associated cruise airspeed (airspeed of minimum drag) of a representative glider in straight flight, $\phi$ is the bank angle, and $\cos\phi$ is given by

$$
\cos\phi = \sqrt{\frac{1}{(2\pi V/gt)^2 + 1}}.
$$

Combining Equations (2) and (3) with (1) indicates that

$$
\Delta V = \frac{gt}{4(V/V_z)_{\text{max}}}[(V/V_z)^2 + (V_c/V)^2 + (2\pi V_c/gt)^2].
$$

The $(2\pi V_c/gt)^2$ term is due to the centripetal acceleration and bank angle. Equation 4 indicates that for a particular glider in energy-neutral soaring, the glider airspeed ($\Delta V$) gained by crossing the wind-shear layer (and the gradual loss in a half loop) is a function of both the loop period $t$ and the average airspeed $V$.

A minimum $\Delta V$ (and also minimum $\Delta W$ and minimum $W$) for a given glider airspeed occurs at an “optimum” loop period $t_{\text{opt}}$ coinciding with minimum energy loss in a loop (minimum $V_z t$). The optimum loop period ($t_{\text{opt}}$) was obtained by setting the derivative $d(\Delta V)/dt$ of (Eq. 4) equal to zero and solving for $t$.

$$
t_{\text{opt}} = \frac{2\pi V_c/g}{\sqrt{(V/V)^2 + (V_c/V)^2}}.
$$

At fast glider speeds >150 mph and for $V_c \sim 50$ mph, $(V/V_z)^2 > (V_c/V)^2$ and $(V_c/V)^2$ can be neglected. This simplifies Eq. 5 to

$$
t_{\text{opt}} = \frac{2\pi V_c^2}{gV}.
$$

Equation 6 indicates that $t_{\text{opt}}$ decreases with increasingly large $V$. Substituting Eq. 6 into Eq. 4 provides an expression for minimum $\Delta V$ (and minimum $\Delta W$ and minimum $W$) for a given $V$. The minimum wind speed $W_{\text{min}}$ needed for a given glider airspeed $V$ in energy neutral dynamic soaring is

$$
W_{\text{min}} = \frac{\pi V}{(V/V_z)_{\text{max}}}. \quad (7)
$$

This equation can be rearranged to provide the maximum glider airspeed $V_{\text{max}}$ for a given wind speed $W$

$$
V_{\text{max}} = \frac{(V/V_z)_{\text{max}}}{\pi}(W). \quad (8)
$$

Equation 8 indicates that for fast flight (> 150 mph) the maximum average airspeed in a Rayleigh cycle is proportional to wind speed. It is important to note that this linear relation depends on flying with an optimum loop period. Other loop periods result in a smaller maximum airspeed for a given wind speed.

The diameter of a loop is given by $d = Vt/\pi$. Substituting into this equation the expression for optimum loop period $t_{\text{opt}}$ in fast flight (Eq. 6) gives the optimum loop diameter $d_{\text{opt}}$.
Equation 9 reveals that the optimum loop diameter is proportional to cruise airspeed but is independent of glider airspeed squared.

The total acceleration of a glider includes centripetal acceleration and gravity and is given by the load factor, which equals \(1/\cos \phi\) (see Eq. 3). For fast dynamic soaring \((2\pi V/gt)^2 \gg 1\), and the load factor is approximately equal to \(2\pi V/gt\).
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**Calling all F3J pilots**

We are planning the pre-contest for the World Championships in August.

The pre-contest will be held on Friday 3 August and Saturday 4 August 2012. The contest will be limited to 150 pilots including anyone of the international competitors that would like to enter. Additional information will be sent to interested parties along with the bulletin for the event.

This pre-contest event will include as many qualifying rounds as we are able to fit in and three fly-off rounds as per normal F3J regulations.

This is a great opportunity to compete against international pilots and remember, not all of them are WC pilots.

The preliminary World Champs schedule is as follows:

- **Friday 3 August**    Pre-contest day 1
- **Saturday 4 August**  Pre-contest day 2
- **Sunday 5 August**    Model processing and opening ceremony
- **Monday 6 August through** World Champs rounds
- **Thursday 9 August**  World Champs rounds
- **Friday 10 August**   WC final fly-off rounds
- **Saturday 11 August** Tour and banquet

Kind regards, Michelle Goodrum