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Photo of a diffuse-flow vent site on the East Pacific Rise called Crab Spa. It shows the submersible Alvin’s manipulator arm holding a sensor developed by Nadine Le Bris that measures temperature, pH, and sulfide in situ. This site is being used by the authors and colleagues as a model system to gain insights into chemosynthetic processes at deep-sea vents. 
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**ABSTRACT.** Chemolithoautotrophic microorganisms are at the nexus of hydrothermal systems by effectively transferring the energy from the geothermal source to the higher trophic levels. While the validity of this conceptual framework is well established at this point, there are still significant gaps in our understanding of the microbiology and biogeochemistry of deep-sea hydrothermal systems. Important questions in this regard are: (1) How much, at what rates, and where in the system is organic carbon being produced? (2) What are the dominant autotrophs, where do they reside, and what is the relative importance of free-swimming, biofilm-forming, and symbiotic microbes? (3) Which metabolic pathways are they using to conserve energy and to fix carbon? (4) How does community-wide gene expression in fluid and biofilm communities compare? and (5) How efficiently is the energy being utilized, transformed into biomass, and transferred to higher trophic levels? In particular, there is currently a notable lack of process-oriented studies that would allow an assessment of the larger role of these ecosystems in global biogeochemical cycles. By combining the presently available powerful "omic" and single-cell tools with thermodynamic modeling, experimental approaches, and new in situ instrumentation to measure rates and concentrations, it is now possible to bring our understanding of these truly fascinating ecosystems to a new level and to place them in a quantitative framework and thus a larger global context.

**INTRODUCTION**

The concept of chemolithoautotrophy (or chemosynthesis)—the production of organic matter from CO₂ coupled to a redox reaction between inorganic chemicals to obtain energy—dates to the work of Russian microbiologist Sergei Winogradsky, who discovered this process toward the end of the nineteenth century while studying the metabolism of sulfur-oxidizing and nitrifying bacteria. However, it took the discovery of deep-sea hydrothermal vents in 1977 on the Galápagos Rift (Lonsdale, 1977; Corliss et al., 1979) to identify an ecosystem where chemosynthesis—as opposed to photosynthesis—is the predominant form of organic carbon production (Jannasch and Wirsen, 1979; Jannasch and Mottl, 1985).

The discovery of deep-sea vents profoundly changed our view of life on Earth and made it abundantly clear that life could exist in the complete absence of light as the main source of energy. It is quite remarkable that we were able to send people to the moon and explore other stars within the universe before ecosystems solely based on chemosynthesis were discovered on our planet. However, the last 35 years or so have seen groundbreaking discoveries in deep-sea hydrothermal vent research, and the amount of knowledge obtained during this short amount of time is truly astonishing, especially considering the remoteness of the sites and the relatively modest amount of funds available for research.

With the current Ridge 2000 Program coming to an end, it is timely to summarize our current knowledge in the area of deep-sea hydrothermal vent microbiology and biogeochemistry and to point a way forward to bring our understanding of these systems to a new level.

In a seminal review, Karl (1995) stated that "[c]omprehensive studies of the in situ metabolic processes of the various hydrothermal vent microbial assemblages have not been possible" and that "the quantitative importance of various electron-donor and acceptor pathways remains open to speculation." He goes so far as to say that in his view "the controversial chemolithoautotrophic hypothesis production has not been (rigorously) tested." We argue that although we now have a much better understanding of the composition and diversity of the microbial communities at deep-sea hydrothermal vents, and groundbreaking discoveries have been made (see Holden et al., 2012, in this issue), we have not fundamentally advanced in terms of determining the relevant metabolic pathways and functions, defining microbial activity, or measuring the relevant metabolic processes in situ. With new cultivation-independent methodologies, ranging from metagenomic and metaproteomic techniques to analyses at the single-cell level, it is now possible to address many of the unresolved questions, in particular, when they are applied simultaneously and in conjunction with geochemical measurements and experimental approaches. For this review, we will mainly focus on diffuse-flow vent microbial communities and the associated subseafloor environment.

We now know that deep-sea hydrothermal vents are located in a variety of
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submarine environments that include mid-ocean ridge and back-arc spreading centers, hotspot volcanoes, seamounts, and off-axis locations. Each of these environments is characterized by distinct differences in the discharged hydrothermal fluids and resulting biological communities (Reysenbach and Shock, 2002; Kelley et al., 2002; Schrenk et al., 2010). The hydrothermal fluids that form through high-temperature seawater-rock interactions are highly enriched in reduced chemical species such as H₂, H₂S, Fe²⁺, or methane. The composition and concentration of these chemical species is strongly dependent on the geological setting, which again has a strong influence on the types of microbes inhabiting these systems (Kelley et al., 2002; Takai et al., 2006a; Amend et al., 2011). Mixing of these “geofuels” (Bach et al., 2006) with cold, oxygenated deep-sea water either above or below the seafloor creates chemical disequilibria that can be harnessed by metabolically versatile chemolithoautotrophic microorganisms, producing organic matter that forms the base of the food chains in these highly productive ecosystems. Thus, microbes effectively transfer energy from the geothermal source to higher trophic levels (Jannasch, 1995; Jannasch and Mottl, 1985). Although the validity of this conceptual framework is well established, there are still significant gaps in our understanding of the microbiology and biogeochemistry of deep-sea hydrothermal systems (Figure 1). These gaps include information describing the diversity of chemolithoautotrophic microorganisms that mediate critical reactions in different geothermal systems, the metabolic pathways used by microbes, rates of catalyzed reactions, amounts of organic carbon being produced, and the larger role of these ecosystems in global biogeochemical cycles.

Research over the last 30+ years has shown that aerobic and anaerobic chemolithoautotrophic microorganisms are abundant in a variety of mid-ocean ridge hydrothermal systems, in particular, methanogenic archaea and sulfur-oxidizing bacteria (e.g., Sievert et al., 2007; Takai and Nakamura, 2011; Table 1 shows some of the characteristics of chemoautotrophic organisms isolated as pure cultures from deep-sea hydrothermal vents). While initially sulfur-oxidizing *Gammaproteobacteria* were seen as important chemolithioautotrophs in diffuse-flow environments—mainly based on cultivation-based studies—recent research has brought bacteria belonging to the *Epsilonproteobacteria* and *Aquificales* to the forefront as important primary producers. They have been identified as significant members of the microbial communities at deep-sea hydrothermal vents, including free-living bacterial populations in vent fluids, on black smoker chimney walls, on surfaces exposed to hydrothermal fluids, and in the shallow subsurface (Reysenbach and Shock, 2002; Campbell et al., 2006; Nakagawa and Takai, 2008; Hügler and Sievert, 2011). For example, the microbial communities inhabiting the subseafloor at deep-sea vents are phylogenetically highly diverse (Huber et al., 2007), yet the predominant members of these communities are only distantly related to presently cultivated organisms, indicating that we have only scratched the surface of the metabolic potential and the extent of physiological diversity of the microorganisms inhabiting these environments. Following a volcanic eruption at Axial Volcano along the Juan de Fuca Ridge, it was further shown that the diversity among the *Epsilonproteobacteria* increased.

![Figure 1](image-url)
### Table 1. Characteristics of chemoautotrophic organisms isolated as pure cultures from deep-sea hydrothermal vents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolation site</th>
<th>Optimum T (°C)</th>
<th>Electron donor(s)</th>
<th>Electron acceptor(s)</th>
<th>End product of nitrate respiration</th>
<th>Carbon source</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Epsilonproteobacteria</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfurovum lithotrophicum</td>
<td>MOT, Iheya, sediments</td>
<td>28–30</td>
<td>$S_2O_3^-$, $S^0$</td>
<td>NO$_3^-$, O$_2$</td>
<td>N$_2$</td>
<td>CO$_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfurimonas paralvinellae</td>
<td>MOT, Iheya, Paralvinella</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>H$_2$, $S_2O_3^-$, $S^0$</td>
<td>NO$_3^-$, O$_2$</td>
<td>N$_2$</td>
<td>CO$_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfurimonas autotrophica</td>
<td>MOT, Hatoma Knoll, sediments</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>$S_2O_3^-$, $S^0$, H$_2$</td>
<td>O$_3$</td>
<td>CO$_2$</td>
<td>Inagaki et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thioreductor micantisoli</td>
<td>MOT, Iheya, sediments</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>H$_2$</td>
<td>NO$_3^-$, $S^0$</td>
<td>NH$_4^+$</td>
<td>CO$_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nautilia lithotrophica</td>
<td>EPR, 13°N, Alvinella</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>H$_2$, Formate</td>
<td>$S^0$</td>
<td>CO$_2$, Formate</td>
<td>Miroshnichenko et al. (2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nautilia nitratireducens</td>
<td>EPR, 9°N, chimney</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>H$_2$, Formate, acetate, complex organic substrates</td>
<td>NO$_3^-$, $S_2O_3^-$, SeO$_4^-$</td>
<td>NH$_4^+$</td>
<td>CO$_2$, Formate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nautilia profundicola</td>
<td>EPR, 9°N, Alvinella</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>H$_2$, Formate</td>
<td>$S^0$</td>
<td>CO$_2$, Formate</td>
<td>Smith et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nautilia abyssi</td>
<td>EPR, 13°N, chimney</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>H$_2$</td>
<td>$S^0$</td>
<td>CO$_2$, Yeast Extract, Peptone</td>
<td>Alain et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogenimonas thermophila</td>
<td>CIR, Kairei Field, colonizer</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>H$_2$</td>
<td>NO$_3^-$, $S_2O_3^-$, O$_3$</td>
<td>NH$_4^+$</td>
<td>CO$_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitratiruptor tergaricus</td>
<td>MOT, Iheya, chimney</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>H$_2$</td>
<td>NO$_3^-$, $S_2O_3^-$, O$_3$</td>
<td>N$_2$</td>
<td>CO$_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitratifractor saluginis</td>
<td>MOT, Iheya, chimney</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>H$_2$</td>
<td>NO$_3^-$, $S_2O_3^-$</td>
<td>N$_2$</td>
<td>CO$_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caminibacter profundus</td>
<td>MAR, Rainbow, vent cap</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>H$_2$</td>
<td>NO$_3^-$, $S_2O_3^-$, O$_3$</td>
<td>NH$_4^+$</td>
<td>CO$_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caminibacter medioatlanticus</td>
<td>MAR, Rainbow, chimney</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>H$_2$</td>
<td>NO$_3^-$, $S_2O_3^-$</td>
<td>NH$_4^+$</td>
<td>CO$_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caminibacter hydrogenophilus</td>
<td>EPR, 13°N, Alvinella</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>H$_2$</td>
<td>NO$_3^-$, $S_2O_3^-$</td>
<td>NH$_4^+$</td>
<td>CO$_2$, complex organic substrates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lebetimonas acidiphila</td>
<td>Mariana Arc, colonizer</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>H$_2$</td>
<td>$S^0$</td>
<td>CO$_2$</td>
<td>Takai et al. (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Aquificales</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persephonella marina</td>
<td>EPR</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>H$_2$, $S_2O_3^-$, $S^0$</td>
<td>NO$_3^-$, $S_2O_3^-$, O$_3$</td>
<td>N$_2$</td>
<td>CO$_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persephonella guaymasensis</td>
<td>Guaymas</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>H$_2$, $S_2O_3^-$, $S^0$</td>
<td>NO$_3^-$, O$_2$</td>
<td>N$_2$</td>
<td>CO$_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persephonella hydrogeniphila</td>
<td>Izu-Bonin Arc, Japan</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>H$_2$</td>
<td>NO$_3^-$, $S_2O_3^-$, O$_3$</td>
<td>N$_2$</td>
<td>CO$_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desulfurobacterium therma lithotrophicum</td>
<td>MAR, Snake Pit, chimney</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>H$_2$</td>
<td>$S_2O_3^-$, SO$_4^-$</td>
<td>CO$_2$</td>
<td>L’Haridon et al. (1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desulfurobacterium crinifex</td>
<td>JdFR</td>
<td>60–65</td>
<td>H$_2$</td>
<td>NO$_3^-$, $S_2O_3^-$</td>
<td>NH$_4^+$</td>
<td>CO$_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desulfurobacterium pacificum</td>
<td>EPR, 13°N, chimney</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>H$_2$</td>
<td>NO$_3^-$, $S_2O_3^-$</td>
<td>NH$_4^+$</td>
<td>CO$_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desulfurobacterium atlanticum</td>
<td>MAR, 23°N, chimney</td>
<td>70–75</td>
<td>H$_2$</td>
<td>$S_2O_3^-$</td>
<td>NH$_4^+$</td>
<td>CO$_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermovibrio ammonificans</td>
<td>EPR 9°N, chimney</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>H$_2$</td>
<td>NO$_3^-$, $S_2O_3^-$</td>
<td>NH$_4^+$</td>
<td>CO$_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermovibrio guaymasensis</td>
<td>Guaymas, chimney</td>
<td>75–80</td>
<td>H$_2$</td>
<td>NO$_3^-$, $S_2O_3^-$</td>
<td>NH$_4^+$</td>
<td>CO$_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balnearius lithotrophicum</td>
<td>Izu-Bonin Arc, Japan</td>
<td>70–75</td>
<td>H$_2$</td>
<td>$S^0$</td>
<td>NH$_4^+$</td>
<td>CO$_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phorcysia thermohydrogeniphila</td>
<td>EPR, 13°N, colonizer, Alvinella tube</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>H$_2$</td>
<td>NO$_3^-$, $S_2O_3^-$</td>
<td>NH$_4^+$</td>
<td>CO$_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogenivirga okinawensis</td>
<td>SOT, Yonaguni Knoll IV</td>
<td>70–75</td>
<td>$S_2O_3^-$, $S^0$</td>
<td>NO$_3^-$, O$_2$</td>
<td>N$_2$</td>
<td>CO$_2$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MOT: Mid-Okinawa Trough; EPR: East Pacific Rise; CIR: Central Indian Ridge; MAR: Mid-Atlantic Ridge; JdFR: Juan de Fuca Ridge; SOT: Southern Okinawa Trough; TAG: Trans-Atlantic Geotraverse
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Table 1. Continued...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolation site</th>
<th>Optimum T (°C)</th>
<th>Electron donor(s)</th>
<th>Electron acceptor(s)</th>
<th>End product of nitrate respiration</th>
<th>Carbon source</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gammaproteobacteria</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiomicrospira crunogena</td>
<td>EPR, 21°N, Vestimentiferan tube</td>
<td>28–32</td>
<td>$S_2O_3^-$, $S^2$, $H_2S$</td>
<td>$O_2$</td>
<td>$CO_2$</td>
<td>Jannasch et al. (1985)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiomicrospira thermophila</td>
<td>Mariana Arc, diffuse flow</td>
<td>35–40</td>
<td>$S_2O_3^-$, $S^2$, $H_2S$</td>
<td>$O_2$</td>
<td>$CO_2$, complex organic substrates</td>
<td>Takai et al. (2004a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salinisphaera hydrothermalis</td>
<td>EPR, 9°N, diffuse flow</td>
<td>30–35</td>
<td>$S_2O_3^-$, complex organic substrates</td>
<td>$O_2$</td>
<td>$CO_2$, $n$-alkanes, acetate, complex organic substrates</td>
<td>Crespo-Medina et al. (2009b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halothiobacillus hydrothermalis</td>
<td>Fiji Basin</td>
<td>35–40</td>
<td>$S_2O_3^-$, $S^2$, $H_2S$</td>
<td>$O_2$</td>
<td>$CO_2$, complex organic substrates</td>
<td>Durand et al. (1993)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thioprofundum hispidum</td>
<td>Izu-Bonin Arc, Japan</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>$S_2O_3^-$, $S^2$, $S_4O_6^-$</td>
<td>$NO_3^-$, $O_2$, $CO_2$</td>
<td>$NO_3^-$, $O_2$, $CO_2$</td>
<td>Mori et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thioprofundum lithothrophicum</td>
<td>MAR, TAG</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>$S_2O_3^-$, $S^2$, $S_4O_6^-$, $SO_4^-$</td>
<td>$NO_3^-$, $O_2$, $CO_2$</td>
<td>$NO_3^-$, $O_2$, $CO_2$</td>
<td>Takai et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Deferribacteres</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferribacter autotrophicus</td>
<td>MAR, Ashadze, chimney</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>$H_2$, complex organic substrates</td>
<td>$Fe(III)$, $Mn(IV)$, $NO_3^-$, $S^2$</td>
<td>$NH_4^+$</td>
<td>$CO_2$, complex organic substrates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferribacter abyssi</td>
<td>MAR, Rainbow, chimney</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>$H_2$, complex organic substrates</td>
<td>$Fe(III)$, $NO_3^-$, $S^2$</td>
<td>$NO_2^-$</td>
<td>$CO_2$, complex organic substrates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Thermodesulfobacteriaceae and related taxa</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermodesulfobacterium hydrogenophilum</td>
<td>Guaymas</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>$H_2$</td>
<td>$SO_2$</td>
<td>$CO_2$</td>
<td>Jeanthon et al. (2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermodesulfatator indicus</td>
<td>CIR, Kairei Field</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>$H_2$</td>
<td>$SO_2$</td>
<td>$CO_2$</td>
<td>Moussard et al. (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermodesulfatator atlanticus</td>
<td>MAR, Rainbow</td>
<td>65–70</td>
<td>$H_2$</td>
<td>$SO_2$</td>
<td>$CO_2$, complex organic substrates</td>
<td>Alain et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermosulfidibacter takaii</td>
<td>SOT, Yonaguni Knoll IV</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>$H_2$</td>
<td>$S^2$</td>
<td>$CO_2$</td>
<td>Nunoura et al. (2008b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Archaea</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ignicoccus pacificus</td>
<td>EPR, 9°, Chimney</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>$H_2$</td>
<td>$S^2$</td>
<td>$CO_2$</td>
<td>Huber et al. (2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geoglobus ahangari</td>
<td>Guaymas, chimney</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>$H_2$, complex organic substrates</td>
<td>$Fe(III)$</td>
<td>$CO_2$, complex organic substrates</td>
<td>Kashefi et al. (2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanothermococcus okinawanensis</td>
<td>MOT, Ilheya</td>
<td>60–65</td>
<td>$H_2$, Formate</td>
<td>$CO_2$</td>
<td>$CO_2$, $CO_2$</td>
<td>Takai et al. (2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanocaldococcus indicus</td>
<td>CIR</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>$H_2$</td>
<td>$CO_2$</td>
<td>$CO_2$</td>
<td>L’Haridon et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanotornis formicus</td>
<td>CIR</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>$H_2$, Formate</td>
<td>$CO_2$</td>
<td>$CO_2$</td>
<td>Takai et al. (2004b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanopyrus kandleri</td>
<td>Guaymas</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>$H_2$</td>
<td>$CO_2$</td>
<td>$CO_2$</td>
<td>Kurr et al. (1991)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanopyrus kandleri strain 116</td>
<td>CIR, Kairei Field, colonizer on chimney</td>
<td>100; 105 under 20 MPa</td>
<td>$H_2$</td>
<td>$CO_2$</td>
<td>$CO_2$</td>
<td>Takai et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrolobus fumarii</td>
<td>MAR</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>$H_2$</td>
<td>$NO_3^-$, $S^2$, $O_2$, $NH_4^+$</td>
<td>$CO_2$</td>
<td>Blöchl et al. (1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Geogemma barossii&quot;</td>
<td>JdFR</td>
<td>105–107; Max 121</td>
<td>$H_2$</td>
<td>$Fe(III)$</td>
<td>$CO_2$</td>
<td>Kashefi and Lovley (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archaeoglobus veneficus</td>
<td>MAR, chimney</td>
<td>75–80</td>
<td>$H_2$, organic acids, glucose, ethanol</td>
<td>$S^2$, $SO_4^-$</td>
<td>$CO_2$</td>
<td>Huber et al. (1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archaeoglobus sulfaticallidus</td>
<td>Flank of JdFR, steel surface of a seafloor borehole observatory</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>$H_2$, pyruvate, lactate</td>
<td>$SO_4^-$, $S_2O_3^-$, $SO_4^-$</td>
<td>$CO_2$</td>
<td>Steinsbu et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MOT: Mid-Okinawa Trough; EPR: East Pacific Rise; CIR: Central Indian Ridge; MAR: Mid-Atlantic Ridge; JdFR: Juan de Fuca Ridge; SOT: Southern Okinawa Trough; TAG: Trans-Atlantic Geotraverse
as the temperature of the vent fluids decreased, suggesting a tight coupling between physicochemical conditions and microbial community composition (Huber et al., 2003). On the other hand, it has been shown that microbial communities from different diffuse-flow sites, sometimes in close proximity, can be significantly different despite similar geochemical conditions (Opatkiewicz et al., 2009). At present, it is unknown whether the phylogenetic differences also have a functional counterpart.

A major breakthrough has been the cultivation of *Epsilonproteobacteria* and *Aquificales* from deep-sea hydrothermal vents subsequent to their detection by 16S rRNA-based surveys (Campbell et al., 2001; Götz et al., 2002; Takai et al., 2003a). Bringing them into culture has greatly aided elucidation of their ecological role and biogeochemical significance (Table 1). Based on these studies, *Epsilonproteobacteria* and *Aquificales* exhibit similar metabolisms—the oxidation of reduced sulfur compounds and/or hydrogen with both oxygen and nitrate, or the oxidation of hydrogen with elemental sulfur reduction coupled to the fixation of inorganic carbon—and thus rely on similar resources, but in different temperature regimes (*Epsilonproteobacteria*: 20–60°C; *Aquificales*: 60–80°C; Reysenbach and Shock, 2002; Campbell et al., 2006; Nakagawa and Takai, 2008; Hügler and Sievert, 2011). The success of these microorganisms at deep-sea vents has frequently been attributed to their metabolic versatility and the ability to use alternative electron donors (e.g., sulfide, hydrogen) and/or electron acceptors (e.g., oxygen, nitrate, sulfur), reflecting the dynamic environment in which they live (Campbell et al., 2006). However, little is known about the in situ activities of these organisms, the preferential or simultaneous use of alternative electron donors/acceptors, and the conditions under which the corresponding enzymes are expressed. In particular, the high phylogenetic microdiversity revealed by 16S rRNA sequence analyses (e.g., Huber et al., 2007) poses the question: to what degree do the corresponding organisms share the same metabolism or perform different functions? Possibly, there is functional redundancy within the community, with different taxa carrying out similar functions using homologous pathways, but being optimally adapted to slightly different environmental conditions, like temperature. Overall, such strategy might impart robustness to the performance of the community and its response to the dynamic vent environment that is characterized by steep thermal and redox gradients, as well as frequent disturbances.

Two eruptive cycles on the East Pacific Rise (EPR) at 9°N further emphasized the critical role of microbial biofilms in the initial colonization of post-eruptive diffuse-flow vents. Following the 1991 eruption at this site, Shank et al. (1998) reported that “white filamentous microbial mats, 10 cm thick, blanketed up to 50 m² areas of fresh lava flows surrounding nascent venting areas.” These observations were reinforced by more recent studies, which showed that chemosynthetic *Epsilonproteobacteria* dominated biofilm communities at various vent sites (Taylor et al., 1999; López-Garcia et al., 2003; Alain et al., 2004; recent work of authors Sievert and Vetriani). At present, the specific mechanisms of microbial biofilm formation at diffuse-flow deep-sea vents are unknown.

**FUNCTIONAL ANALYSES USING “OMIC” AND SINGLE-CELL APPROACHES**

To address the questions posed above and to obtain a better understanding of the underlying processes and activities requires the integration of a variety of complementary techniques that target the whole community (bulk rates, meta-“omics”)—including active community members—as well as single cells (e.g., Halogen In Situ Hybridization-Secondary Ion Mass Spectroscopy, or HISH-SIMS; Musat et al., 2008). Metagenomic approaches make it possible to assess the gene content of the whole community without the need to grow and isolate the individual organisms in the laboratory (Gilbert and Dupont, 2011). The data obtained from these kinds of analyses provide an unprecedented view into the diversity, and, more importantly, the functional gene representation of the microbial communities being studied. With these data at hand, it is possible not only to determine which metabolic pathways are potentially present but also to assess their relative importance by analyzing frequencies of key genes indicative of these pathways. However, a major shortcoming of this approach is that the genes identified in the metagenome can only be linked to specific organisms by using bioinformatic approaches and not directly, as is possible with the genome

---

1 “omics” refer to fields of biological study ending in -omics, such as genomics or proteomics.
of an individual organism. However, it is now possible to obtain genomic information about single cells directly from the environment without the need to first cultivate them in the laboratory (Stepanauskas and Sieracki, 2007; Woyke et al., 2009). This method ideally complements the metagenomic approach described above, in which the genomic potential of the whole community, rather than individual cells, is investigated. In addition to the metagenome, one can look at the genes being transcribed in a microbial community (metatranscriptome) or directly at the expressed proteins (metaproteome): both approaches provide information on what kinds of organisms are actually active, and on the pathways being utilized at a particular point in time and under a particular set of conditions.

Applying these methods has already resulted in a number of breakthroughs that contributed critical information to better understand the role of marine microbes in biogeochemical cycling as well as their specific adaptations, in particular the photic zone (DeLong, 2009, and references therein; McCarron et al., 2010; Morris et al., 2010; Sowell et al., 2010), and, more recently, the deep sea (e.g., DeLong et al., 2006; Eloe et al., 2011; Swan et al., 2011). Functional approaches have already been applied with great success to studies of symbiotic bacteria of hydrothermal vent invertebrates, a less-diverse and more tractable system as compared to the more diverse free-living communities (e.g., Markert et al., 2007; Gryzmski et al., 2008; Hügler et al., 2011). As an outstanding recent example, the use of hydrogen oxidation as an energy source by a hydrothermal vent symbiosis was demonstrated for the first time by using an integrated approach involving metagenomic sequencing, single-gene fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH), immunohistochemistry, shipboard incubations, and in situ mass spectroscopy (Petersen et al., 2011).

Recent work either comparing tubeworms of the same species at different sites or different species at the same sites (Gardebrecht et al., 2011; Robidart et al., 2011) builds upon earlier metaproteomic analyses carried out to gain insights into the metabolism and physiology of the uncultivated endosymbiont of the giant tube worm Riftia pachyptila (Markert et al., 2007, 2011). New insights into the microorganisms involved in these communities and the pathways they use illustrates the power of using metagenomic approaches to study microbial communities associated with hydrothermal vent chimneys (Brazelton and Baross, 2009, 2010; Xie et al., 2011). Interestingly, the metagenome of a microbial community from a black smoker chimney from the Mothra hydrothermal vent field on the Juan de Fuca Ridge in the Northeast Pacific Ocean clustered most closely with one obtained from a carbonate chimney at the Lost City hydrothermal vent field on the Mid-Atlantic Ridge, two environments with contrasting chemistry (Xie et al., 2011). Specific functions appear to be overrepresented in both communities, suggesting that growth as a biofilm in chimneys selects for certain traits irrespective of the geochemical conditions.

We are currently pursuing metatranscriptomic and metaproteomic studies of diffuse-flow vent microbial communities and associated biofilms, and first results clearly show the dominance of Epsilonproteobacteria as the active community members. These data corroborate earlier studies of the genetic potential of hydrothermal vent communities and provide direct evidence that chemosynthesis is the dominant metabolism of these communities. Our work indicates that the reductive TCA (tricarboxylic acid) cycle for carbon fixation and the SOX (sulfur oxidation) pathway and sulfide-quinone reductase pathway for the oxidation of reduced sulfur compounds are the dominant chemosynthetic pathways, providing an opportunity for comparisons with biochemical analyses on relevant pure cultures (Yamamoto et al., 2010). These findings add to the notion that chemosynthetic production at deep-sea hydrothermal vents occurs to a large extent via carbon fixation pathways other than the well-known Calvin cycle (Hügler and Sievert, 2011). Further, from the variety of bioavailable electron acceptors in low-temperature mixing hydrothermal habitats, the role of seawater NO₃ in supporting chemolithoautotrophic production has not been fully explored, despite the highly energetic nature of the microbial mediated nitrate reduction reactions (Jannasch and Mottl, 1985; Amend and Shock, 2001). However, recent data strongly suggest that nitrate reduction either to N₂ (denitrification) or to NH₄⁺ (dissimilatory reduction of nitrate to ammonium, DNRA) might be responsible for a significant fraction of chemoautotrophic production. This conclusion is based on (1) the frequent isolation of chemolithoautotrophs that have the potential to couple the oxidation of reduced sulfur compounds and hydrogen to the reduction of nitrate (Table 1; Campbell et al., 2006; Nakagawa and Takai, 2008), (2) the nonconservative
depletion of nitrate and accumulation of ammonia in diffuse-flow hydrothermal fluids (Butterfield et al., 2004), and (3) the presence of transcripts of the nitrate reductase genes and the strong expression of all enzymes involved in nitrate reduction to N2 in the metaproteome of diffuse-flow hydrothermal vent communities obtained at 9°N EPR (recent work of the authors).

**THERMODYNAMIC MODELS COUPLED WITH FUNCTIONAL ANALYSES**

A very productive path toward increasing understanding of deep-sea vent microbial communities is the cross-iteration of thermodynamic models and functional-based analyses of microbial communities (see also Holden et al., 2012, in this issue). Which of the possible metabolisms predicted by models are actually present or even expressed? Are there pathways that are expressed but not predicted by the models? These kinds of approaches have already been successfully applied and have resulted in new insights into the drivers of microbial community composition and activity (Schmidt et al., 2008; Houghton and Seyfried, 2010; Amend et al., 2011; Flores et al., 2011; Roussel et al., 2011; Takai and Nakamura, 2011). In the future, the addition of "omic" approaches should increase the power of such analyses by also assessing the kinds of pathways present and expressed in the respective communities.

Thermodynamic model data can also serve as an important screening tool for identifying which kind of organisms to target for cultivation and what processes to target for further inquiries. For example, thermodynamic models simulating the step-by-step dilution of end-member hydrothermal fluid with seawater often display a sharp boundary between oxic and anoxic conditions that is dependent on the assumption that chemical equilibrium is achieved between H2(aq) and O2(aq) (McCollom and Shock, 1997; Shock and Holland, 2004). However, experimental data demonstrating inhibition of the abiotic oxidation of hydrogen (Knallgas reaction) at temperatures < 100°C suggest that H2(aq) and O2(aq) may be available to aerobic hydrogen-oxidizing bacteria in low temperature vents (Foustoukos et al., 2011). Furthermore, anaerobic hydrogen-oxidizing bacteria may also be active at temperatures lower than 40°C due to the persistence of H2(aq) in seawater/hydrothermal fluid mixtures (Foustoukos et al., 2011). Thus, under H2-O2 disequilibrium, the anoxic/oxic boundaries along seawater/hydrothermal fluid mixing interfaces may not be as sharp as predicted by thermodynamic models, and microbially mediated H2(aq) oxidation could provide one of the largest energy sources available at low-temperature diffuse-flow vent sites (Shock and Holland, 2004).

At present, however, the importance of hydrogen oxidation for chemosynthetic production is poorly constrained. The fact that it is used by symbioses suggests that it is probably also widespread among free-living microbes, which is supported by recent studies using a combination of approaches, including thermodynamic modeling in conjunction with microbial diversity assessments, geochemical flux measurements, and/or incubation studies (Perner et al., 2010; Takai and Nakamura, 2011; Wankel et al., 2011).

On the other hand, carefully designed experiments can also help to further constrain and refine thermodynamic models, for example, by determining growth parameters and growth efficiencies of pure cultures, defined co-cultures, or natural communities (e.g., Houghton et al., 2007). For example, ATP synthesis in denitrification is generally far lower than expected from free energy changes (Strohm et al., 2007), emphasizing the need to perform actual growth experiments to verify predictions based on thermodynamic calculations. The possibility of novel energy-yielding metabolisms not predicted by thermodynamic models exists as well (e.g., Nakamura et al., 2010).

**RATE MEASUREMENTS**

Since the early days of the discovery of deep-sea hydrothermal vents, efforts have been made to determine rates of chemosynthetic production, a key parameter for estimating the productivity of these systems. In particular, Holger Jannasch and colleagues carried out a number of experiments (both at the seafloor and aboard ship) to measure chemosynthetic production (e.g., Tuttle et al., 1983; Wirsen et al., 1986). These pioneering experiments showed very low rates in the range of 0.05 nmol C L⁻¹ hr⁻¹ for incubations conducted at an ambient deep-sea temperature of 3°C either at the seafloor or at atmospheric pressure. When incubations were conducted at atmospheric pressure and vent temperature of 23°C, higher rates of approximately 21 nmol C L⁻¹ hr⁻¹ were measured, suggesting that temperature, rather than pressure, may be the main factor that stimulated the activity of mesophilic...
chemoautotrophs. In one experiment conducted at in situ pressure in an isobaric sampler that was brought to the ship and incubated at vent temperature (23°C), higher rates of around 30 nmol C L⁻¹ hr⁻¹ were measured. These rates were as high as those measured for incubations at atmospheric pressure and 23°C to which 1 mM thiosulfate had been added.

These results point to the presence of pressure-adapted microbes, but equally important, if not more important, is the fact that, because they are likely to have a very strong effect on microbial activity, no outgassing of relevant chemical species (e.g., H₂, H₂S, CO₂) and no other changes in fluid geochemistry (e.g., pH) occurred during sample retrieval. However, significant time elapsed between taking the sample and the addition of the tracer onboard the ship, likely resulting in underestimation of the rates as available substrates in the chamber were being consumed, beginning at the time of sample collection. Also, these incubations were all carried out over relatively long time periods, often exceeding 24 hours. These long incubation times introduce additional biases, as cell numbers increase and community changes are likely to occur during these periods, as recently demonstrated by Perner et al. (2010). All of these analyses indicate that, ideally, incubations need to be carried out at both in situ pressure and in situ vent temperature over reasonably short time periods to obtain more realistic values that can be used to assess the productivity of these systems.

However, measuring rates of carbon fixation in situ at deep-sea hydrothermal vents represents a formidable task for a variety of reasons, ranging from the difficulty of designing instruments to function at high pressure and in the presence of reducing chemicals, to the question of under which condition(s) carbon production should be measured. In fact, in contrast to measuring primary production in the photic zone, deep-sea vents encompass a large range of environmental conditions (e.g., cold-hot, aerobic-anaerobic) that occur over relatively small spatial scales and that must be considered. In any case, developments are underway to enable these kinds of measurements and to couple them with techniques that can address which organisms are actually performing the reactions and which genes and pathways are expressed. Particularly noteworthy in this regard are employing HISH-SIMS to identify active microbes and using functional gene arrays, like the GeoChip, to assess the presence (Wang et al., 2009) and monitor expression of key genes. However, with “omic” techniques becoming ever more sensitive and requiring less biomass, applying these techniques to small volume samples approaches the realm of possibility. A fascinating approach would be to detect actively metabolizing cells and to subsequently sort them and sequence their genomes.

**CHEM OAUTO TROPHIC MICROBIAL BIOFILMS**

Early studies of the ecology of deep-sea hydrothermal vents included observations of white microbial growth on the basalt in proximity to diffuse-flow vents, as well as descriptions of microbial attachment to animate and inanimate surfaces (Lonsdale, 1977; Jannasch and Wirsen, 1979, 1981; Jannasch and Taylor, 1984; Jannasch, 1985). More recently, several studies addressed the importance of microbial attachment and biofilm formation at both focused- and diffuse-flow vents (reviewed in Schrenk et al., 2008). Yet, remarkably little information is currently available on the mechanisms underlying the establishment and development of microbial biofilms at deep-sea vents. Chemoautotrophic microorganisms are the first to attach to solid substrates (at and below the seafloor) at new vents where they form extensive biofilms that may play a critical role in “conditioning” the environment for metazoan settlement (Taylor et al., 1999; López-García et al., 2003; Alain et al., 2004). Based on current knowledge, the microorganisms that colonize deep-sea vents are expected to be able to adhere to solid substrates (to better access nutrients in these highly turbulent environments), to be metabolically versatile (to take advantage of the dynamic redox gradients typical of these environments) and, possibly, to use detoxification mechanisms that allow them to survive in an environment where concentrations of many heavy metals (e.g., Cu, Zn, Fe, Cd, Pb, Hg) and other chemicals frequently exceed levels normally considered toxic to organisms (Figure 2).

However, the relevance of biofilm-forming vs. free-swimming microbes has not been investigated. It is reasonable to hypothesize that attachment and formation of biofilms on native basalt in close proximity to vent emissions provides microorganisms with continuous access to reduced compounds used in energy-yielding processes (e.g., hydrogen sulfide in hydrothermal fluids; McCollom and Shock, 1997). In contrast, free-swimming bacteria contained in the fluids are advected into the surrounding...
water column, and thus away from their energy sources. In mildly oxidizing hydrothermal fluids, sulfur compounds are known to bind heavy metals, in turn reducing their bioavailability and toxicity (Von Damm, 1990, 1995). However, heavy metals may be mobilized and/or detoxified by microbial activities mediated by genetically encoded functions (Vetriani et al., 2005) and, possibly, by biosorption to the biofilm matrix (with implications for biomineralization processes). Overall, such detoxification mechanisms are consistent with the elevated concentrations of heavy metals (e.g., Hg up to 88.7 ng L⁻¹ at the Tica site on the EPR) and high relative abundances of mercury-resistant bacteria that we measured in diffuse flow fluids at 9°N on the EPR (Crespo-Medina et al., 2009a).

The recent availability of genome sequences from chemoautotrophic vent organisms is revealing interesting functions related to the establishment of biofilms. For instance, we know that several genes involved in the biosynthesis of exopolysaccharides and in quorum sensing mechanisms are encoded in the genomes of four sulfur metabolizing Epsilonproteobacteria known to colonize vent environments: Caminibacter mediatlanticus (Govannelli et al., 2011), Nautilia profundicola (Campbell et al., 2009), Sulfurovum sp., and Nitratiruptor sp. (Nakagawa et al., 2007). Author Vetriani, Ileana Pérez-Rodriguez, and Jessica Ricci have obtained preliminary data demonstrating that pure cultures of C. mediatlanticus and Sulfurovum sp. express quorum-sensing-related genes during growth, and that these genes are also expressed in situ by the biofilm communities.

These findings are in line with a study that showed a link among biofilm formation, exopolysaccharide synthesis, and quorum sensing in a hyperthermophilic bacterium (Johnson et al., 2005). We are currently applying transcriptomic and proteomic techniques in conjunction with geochemical measurements, thermodynamic modeling, and carefully designed experiments in order to shed light on the hypothesized processes described above.

**FUTURE DIRECTION AND CHALLENGES—A PATH FORWARD**

To achieve a better understanding of the fluxes of energy and matter at deep-sea vents and to characterize the microbially catalyzed processes underlying these transformations requires an integrated approach that couples an assessment of taxonomic diversity using cultivation-dependent and -independent approaches with methodologies addressing genetic diversity, including (1) metagenomics (genetic potential and diversity of a community), (2) single-cell genomics (genetic potential and diversity of uncultured single cells), (3) metatranscriptomics, and (4) metaproteomics (identification and function of active community members; realized potential of the community). To assess the functional component, these approaches can be combined with (1) measurement of in situ rates of chemooauto-trophic productivity, (2) geochemical characterization of microbial habitats, and (3) shipboard incubations under...
simulated in situ conditions (hypothesis testing under controlled conditions). The integration of laboratory and field experiments in combination with a suite of cutting-edge analytical techniques, including analyses at the single-cell level, in a hypothesis-driven study of microbial processes will create unprecedented opportunities that will lead to a better understanding of the functioning of deep-sea vent microbial communities and the constraints regulating interactions between microbes and their abiotic and biotic environments (Figure 3).

A major challenge will be integrating and synthesizing the massive amount of generated data to reconstruct and simulate the metabolic network of the microbial communities contained in the vent fluids and to gather a comprehensive understanding of the system. However, methods have been developed for the integrated study of individual genomes that can be adapted to the whole community (e.g., Covert et al., 2001, 2004; Stolyar et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2009; Feist et al., 2009). In such an approach, the community-specific “-omics” data can be linked to geochemical and physicochemical data to identify the pathways responsible for the adaptation of microbial communities to specific environmental conditions. In addition, metabolic simulation can be combined with environmental data to mimic the carbon and energy production of single cells, with the simulation possibly extending to the community level toward understanding and predicting carbon and energy transfers among different organisms. Flux balance analysis (Raman and Chandra, 2009) can be further adapted to simulate biomass generation and reaction fluxes at the scale of community-level metabolic networks, and the simulation results can be compared with experimental data to help identify knowledge gaps and improve our understanding of the key pathways in a number of processes, including biofilm formation.

It is an exciting time in this field because we are now in a position to connect the early days of deep-sea vent research, when there was greater focus on rate measurements, with the present focus on assessing microbial diversity. Now is the time to combine the presently available powerful “omic” and single-cell tools with thermodynamic modeling, experimental approaches, and new in situ instrumentation to measure rates and concentrations. The overarching goal is to bring our understanding of these truly fascinating ecosystems to a new level and to place them in a quantitative framework and thus a larger global context.
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