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ABSTRACT

Rare earth element (REE) concentrations are reported for a large suite of seafloor vent fluids from four hydrothermal systems in the Manus back–arc basin (Vienna Woods, PACMANUS, DESMOS and SuSu Knolls vent areas). Sampled vent fluids show a wide range of absolute REE concentrations and chondrite-normalized (REE_N) distribution patterns (La_N/Sm_N ~ 0.6 – 11; La_N/Yb_N ~ 0.6 – 71; Eu_N/Eu^*_N ~ 1 – 55). REE_N distribution patterns in different vent fluids range from light–REE enriched, to mid– and heavy–REE enriched, to flat, and have a range of positive Eu–anomalies. This heterogeneity contrasts markedly with relatively uniform REE_N distribution patterns of mid–ocean ridge hydrothermal fluids. In Manus Basin fluids, aqueous REE compositions do not inherit directly or show a clear relationship with the REE compositions of primary crustal rocks with which hydrothermal fluids interact. These results suggest that the REEs are less sensitive indicators of primary crustal rock composition despite crustal rocks being the dominant source of REEs in submarine hydrothermal fluids. In contrast, differences in aqueous REE compositions are consistently correlated with differences in fluid pH and ligand (chloride, fluoride and sulfate) concentrations. Our results suggest that the REEs can be used as an indicator of the type of magmatic acid volatile (i.e., presence of HF, SO2) degassing in submarine hydrothermal systems. Additional fluid data suggest that near seafloor mixing between high–temperature hydrothermal fluid and locally entrained seawater at many vent areas in the Manus Basin causes anhydrite precipitation. Anhydrite effectively incorporates REE and likely affects measured fluid REE concentrations, but does not affect their relative distributions.
1. INTRODUCTION

Rare earth element (REE) distributions in submarine hydrothermal fluids have been used extensively as a tracer of sub–seafloor processes associated with hydrothermal activity including crustal (i.e., aluminosilicate) alteration by high-temperature hydrothermal fluids. Studies of REEs in seafloor vent fluids have focused mostly on basalt–hosted hydrothermal systems along mid–ocean ridge (MOR) spreading centers where fluids have remarkably uniform chondrite–normalized REE (REE$_N$) distribution patterns characterized by a light REE enrichment and large, positive Eu anomaly (Michard et al., 1983; Michard and Albarede, 1986; Michard, 1989; Klinkhammer et al., 1994b; Mitra et al., 1994; Bau and Dulsiki, 1999; Douville et al., 1999). REE enrichments in seafloor hydrothermal fluids relative to ambient seawater reflect removal from crustal rock during fluid–rock reaction. It has been suggested that plagioclase dissolution controls the distribution of REEs in submarine hydrothermal fluids because the chondrite–normalized REE (REE$_N$) compositions of MOR hydrothermal fluids and plagioclase are similar (Campbell et al., 1988b; Klinkhammer et al., 1994b). Laboratory studies, however, suggest that REE compositions of seafloor vent fluids are unrelated to primary rock composition because REE$_N$ distribution patterns in experimental hydrothermal solutions are different from primary REE$_N$ compositions of the volcanic rock or individual minerals with which these fluids have reacted (Bach and Irber, 1998; Möller, 2002; Allen and Seyfried, 2005). These studies suggest that vent fluid REE compositions reflect solubility control during fluid–rock interaction influenced by aqueous REE speciation (c.f., Bau, 1991), which in turn is strongly influenced by numerous aspects of fluid chemistry such as pH, temperature and the availability of ligands. Collectively, these factors lead to fractionation of REEs in sub–seafloor environments.
This study reports REE data for 36 seafloor vent fluids from four active hydrothermal systems (Vienna Woods, PACMANUS, DESMOS and SuSu Knolls) in the Manus back-arc basin, Papua New Guinea. Prior to this study, limited data existed for REE compositions of vent fluids and deposits (e.g., anhydrite) recovered from the Manus Basin or other back-arc basins (e.g., Douville et al., 1999; Bach et al., 2003). Bach et al. (2003) proposed that the heterogeneous REE patterns recorded by anhydrite recovered from PACMANUS reflected differences in aqueous REE concentrations that are associated with changes in fluid composition (Cl\(^{-}\), F\(^{-}\) and SO\(_4^{2-}\) ligand concentrations) owing to varying inputs of magmatic volatiles (H\(_2\)O–CO\(_2\)–SO\(_2\)–HCl–HF). The Manus Basin is an ideal area to investigate factors that govern the aqueous abundance of REEs because the composition of underlying crustal rocks differs significantly among vent fields, ranging from basalt to rhyolite (Sinton et al., 2003; Bach et al., 2007; Sun et al., 2007). In addition, vent fluid composition (e.g., pH and ligand concentrations) and temperature differ substantially between fields owing to varying degrees of magmatic volatile degassing either on-going or in the recent past (Seewald et al., 2006; Reeves, 2010). Our data are used to examine the relationship between measured aqueous REE concentrations and host rock compositions to assess whether aqueous REE abundances are controlled primarily by crustal rock composition or aspects of fluid chemistry that affect REE solubility during sub-seafloor fluid–rock interaction. Following on from the study of Bach et al. (2003), our data are also used to examine the sensitivity of aqueous REE abundances to different fluid compositions owing to varying styles of magmatic acid volatile input. The present study provides a better understanding of how measured REE abundances in seafloor vent fluids can be used as tracers of sub-seafloor processes affecting the formation of submarine hydrothermal fluids.
2. GEOLOGIC SETTING

The Manus Basin in the Bismarck Sea, Papua New Guinea (Fig. 1) is a rapidly–opening (~ 100 mm/yr) back–arc basin associated with subduction of the Solomon Microplate beneath the New Britain arc (Taylor, 1979; Davies et al., 1987; Martinez and Taylor, 1996). Crustal extension and spreading are complex and variable and occur along several distinct lineations. Toward the west is the Manus Spreading Center (MSC) bounded between the Willaumez and Djaul transform faults (Martinez and Taylor, 1996). Lavas erupted at the MSC are dominantly basaltic in composition (Both et al., 1986; Sinton et al., 2003; Bach et al., 2007). Several areas of hydrothermal activity have been identified in the MSC; Vienna Woods is the largest and most active of the known fields (Tufar, 1990; Tivey et al., 2007). It is located slightly south of the major spreading center within an axial rift valley at a water depth of ~ 2500 m.

To the east, the Eastern Manus Basin (EMB) is bounded by the Djaul and Weitin transform faults where rapid spreading is accommodated primarily by rifting and extension of existing crust (Martinez and Taylor, 1996). Lavas are erupted as a series of discrete en echelon neovolcanic ridges and volcanic cones of felsic (andesite to rhyolite) composition (Sinton et al., 2003; Bach et al., 2007). The arc–affinity of volcanic lavas (Sinton et al., 2003) is consistent with the proximal location (< 200 km) of the EMB to the actively subducting margin. The EMB hosts several known active hydrothermal systems. The Papua New Guinea–Australia–Canada–Manus (PACMANUS) hydrothermal system is located on the crest of the 35 km long, 500 m high Pual Ridge, between water depths of 1650 and 1740 m (Binns and Scott, 1993).
constructed of several sub–horizontal lava flows with compositions between andesite and dacite (Binns and Scott, 1993; Sinton et al., 2003). There are several discrete vent fields within the PACMANUS system (Fig. 1b) that exhibit varying styles of hydrothermal activity ranging from high–temperature (> 300 °C) black smoker fluid venting from sulfide–rich chimneys to low–temperature diffuse flows through sediment and cracks in lavas. Further to the east, the DESMOS and SuSu Knolls hydrothermal systems are located on individual volcanic structures in environments that are markedly different from the ridge–hosted Vienna Woods and PACMANUS hydrothermal fields. DESMOS (Onsen Field, Sakai et al., 1991; Gamo et al., 1997) is located at the crescent–shaped North wall of a caldera located in a water depth of ~ 1900 to 2000 m. Basaltic to andesitic pillow flows and hyaloclastite deposits arranged across several terraces form the slopes of the caldera. Sedimentation and alteration of primary lavas is common and includes Fe–oxide staining and acid–sulfate (advanced argillic) alteration, locally abundant native sulfur flows and extensive presumed microbial mats (Sakai et al., 1991; Gamo et al., 1997; Gena et al., 2001). Further east, SuSu Knolls consists of three discrete volcanic cones (Suzette, North Su and South Su; Fig. 1c) at water depths between ~ 1140 and 1510 m (Binns et al., 1997; Tivey et al., 2007). The North Su and South Su volcanic structures are composed of abundant porphyritic dacite flows showing variable acid–sulfate type alteration (i.e., quartz–pyrophyllite–illite–alunite and native sulfur) and sedimentation by mixed volcaniclastic and hydrothermal material (Binns et al., 1997; Yeats et al., 2000; Hrischeva et al., 2007; Tivey et al., 2007). The Suzette volcanic structure is extensively coated in metalliferous sediment and relict sulfide talus that overlies primary and/or secondary volcanic features (Hrischeva et al., 2007; Tivey et al., 2007).
2.1. Hydrothermal Activity

2.1.1. Vienna Woods

Current hydrothermal activity is manifest as both focused and diffuse fluid venting within an area of ~150 m by 100 m (Tufar, 1990; Tivey et al., 2007). Inactive sulfide chimneys extend across a total area ~300 m by 100 m. Mildly acidic (pH (25 °C) 4.2 to 4.7), clear and gray smoker fluids with temperatures between 273 and 283 °C were sampled exiting the tops of large sulfide–rich chimneys up to 7 m in height (Seewald et al., 2006).

2.1.2. PACMANUS

Current hydrothermal activity occurs at several discrete vent fields (Roman Ruins, Roger’s Ruins, Satanic Mills, Snowcap, Tsukushi and Fenway) that are each between 50 and 200 m in diameter (Binns et al., 2007; Tivey et al., 2007). Vent fluids with a range of temperature and composition were sampled from these fields, including high temperature fluids (~300 – 358 °C) with focused discharge from black smoker chimneys, lower temperature white/gray smoker fluids (150 – 290 °C) discharging from “diffuser” chimneys that lack a central open conduit, and low temperature diffuse fluids (< 100 °C) exiting through cracks in the volcanic basement or metalliferous deposits and sediments. The measured pH (25 °C) of all high temperature vent fluids (> 240 °C) are relatively acidic between 2.3 and 2.8 (Seewald et al., 2006).

2.1.3. DESMOS
Hydrothermal activity occurs at the Onsen field (Gamo et al., 1997), which is a small (~ 30 m diameter) area of moderate temperature fluid discharge located along the northern interior slope of the DESMOS caldera. Seafloor venting is markedly different from high–temperature black smoker fluids and is manifest as thick, milky–white fluids discharging directly through extensively altered volcanic breccia and hydrothermal sediments composed of abundant native sulfur and anhydrite (Gamo et al., 1997; Seewald et al., 2006; Bach et al., 2007). Despite the low temperatures of sampled fluids (< 120 °C), measured pH (25 °C) values are low (< 1.5) and aqueous sulfate abundances exceed that of seawater concentrations (Seewald et al., 2006). On the basis of aqueous compositions, these fluids have been termed ‘acid–sulfate’ fluids (Gamo et al., 1997).

2.1.4. SuSu Knolls

At SuSu Knolls, hydrothermal activity and vent fluid compositions are remarkably diverse (Seewald et al., 2006). At North Su, the summit of the volcano is dominated by a large sulfide–rich black smoker complex with individual chimneys up to 11 m in height. Vent fluids sampled from this complex are similar to high–temperature black smoker fluids sampled from PACMANUS, with temperatures between 300 and 325 °C and moderate–to–low pH (25 °C) between 2.8 and 3.2 (Seewald et al., 2006). In contrast, the flanks of the volcano are dominated by extensive discharge of acid–sulfate fluids similar to that sampled from DESMOS. Acid–sulfate fluids from North Su have a milky–white color, lower temperatures (48 to 241 °C), are very acidic (pH (25 °C) < 1.8) (Seewald et al., 2006) and exit through cracks in hyaloclastite
flows, extensively altered volcanic breccia and hydrothermal sediments composed of native
sulfur and anhydrite (Yeats et al., 2000; Bach et al., 2007; Tivey et al., 2007).

There is currently more limited hydrothermal activity at South Su. This vent field is
characterized by outcrops of both fresh and variably altered volcanics overlain by mostly
inactive sulfide chimneys and scattered oxide–stained hydrothermal sediments (Yeats et al.,
2000; Hrischeva et al., 2007; Tivey et al., 2007). In an area of diffuse venting toward the NW,
extensively altered and bleached volcanic rocks were observed (Tivey et al., 2007). High–
temperature fluid venting from scattered diffuser–type chimneys was observed and sampled in
two areas toward the S and SE. The maximum measured temperature for these fluids was 290
°C. The pH (25 °C) of these fluids is low, ranging from 2.6 to 2.7 (Seewald et al., 2006).

The smaller structure of Suzette, located NW of North Su and South Su, is extensively covered
by volcanic breccia, hydrothermal and hemipelagic sediment, mass–wasted sulfide talus, Fe–
oxide crusts and limited exposures of possible hydrothermal stockwork (Hrischeva et al., 2007;
Tivey et al., 2007). The summit is characterized by large expanses of both relict and scattered
active sulfide chimneys that are commonly buried within thick sediment. Hydrothermal activity
is intermittent over broad sections of the Suzette mound. Five high–temperature vents, with
fluids emanating from sulfide–rich chimney edifices, were sampled. Temperatures ranged from
226 to 303 °C and measured pH (25 °C) from 3.5 to 3.8 (Seewald et al., 2006). A sixth fluid was
sampled from a cracked pavement structure; this fluid had a temperature of ~ 249 °C and a
considerably lower pH (25 °C) of ~ 2.3 (Seewald et al., 2006).
3. METHODS

3.1. Sample Collection and Processing

A total of 101 hydrothermal fluid samples were collected from 36 vents during R/V Melville cruise MGLN06MV (July to September, 2006) using discrete samplers deployed by ROV Jason II. Samples were primarily collected in 160 ml isobaric gas–tight samplers (Seewald et al., 2002) and supplemented with fluids collected in 755 ml Ti–syringe or “major” samplers (Von Damm et al., 1985). In most cases, fluids were sampled in triplicate. Temperatures were measured with a thermocouple mounted directly on the snorkel of the isobaric samplers and, in some cases, using the ROV temperature probe. Estimated uncertainty in the measured temperatures is ± 2 °C.

Sample aliquots for chemical analysis were extracted immediately after recovery of the samplers at the end of each dive operation. Fluid samples were drawn into acid cleaned, high–density polyethylene (HDPE) Nalgene™ bottles. Aliquots of fluid drawn for REE and other trace element analysis were immediately acidified to pH < 2 by addition of Fisher Optima™ grade HCl to prevent precipitation of metal sulfides and sulfates from solution during storage prior to on–shore analysis. In nearly all major and gas–tight samplers, a precipitate (“dregs” fraction) formed on the interior walls and bottom of the sampler as the hydrothermal fluid cooled. The dregs were collected on a 0.22 µm pore–size, 45 mm diameter Nylon filter by rinsing with high–purity acetone and Milli–Q water. The Nylon filters were dried and stored in glass vials for on–shore processing. In addition, minor precipitates formed within several acidified aliquots during storage (referred to here as “bottle–filter” fraction). These precipitates were separated from the
fluid by filtering through a 0.22 µm Nuclepore® filter as part of shore–based sample processing. The concentrations of REEs in sampled fluids were determined by separate measurement of element concentrations in all dissolved, dregs and bottle-filter fractions followed by mathematical reconstitution of the original fluid. A mass balance for REEs indicates that for fluids analyzed in this study, approximately 90 % of REEs were in the dissolved phase.

Dissolved fractions were prepared for analysis by gravimetric dilution of a ~ 0.20 g split of each solution using 5% Fisher Optima™ grade HNO₃. Sample dilution factors were adjusted as a function of the chlorinity of the fluid to obtain a uniform 6 mM Cl concentration in all samples. This equated to between 80 and 110 times dilution of the original sample. Dilution to uniform Cl minimized the effect of variable matrix (primarily from Na⁺, the major cation in solution) on analyte behavior within the plasma during analysis by inductively coupled plasma–mass spectrometry (ICP–MS). Dregs and bottle–filter fractions were treated separately. Particles were removed from filters into 30 ml Savillex™ vials by rinsing with 5 ml of concentrated Fisher Optima™ HNO₃. The vials were sealed and placed on a hot plate overnight (~ 70 °C) to digest particles. The resulting solutions were then evaporated to dryness. The acid digestion/evaporation step was repeated a further two times to achieve complete dissolution of the sulfide–sulfate mix. The digested particles were redissolved and quantitatively diluted in 5% HNO₃ acid for analysis.

3.2. Analytical Methods

3.2.1. Determination of REE concentrations
Analyses of REE concentrations were performed on a ThermoElectron *Element2* ICP–MS at the Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution. Solutions were introduced into the plasma using a Cetac Aridus® desolvating nebulizer to reduce isobaric interferences (e.g., $^{135}\text{Ba}^{16}\text{O}^+$ on $^{151}\text{Eu}^+$).

Barium– and REE–oxide formation was monitored throughout the analytical session by periodic aspiration of Ba and Ce spikes. Barium–oxide formation was significantly less than 1 %.

Significant interference of BaO$^+$ on Eu$^+$ would bias (decrease) the natural $^{151}\text{Eu}/^{153}\text{Eu}$ ratio (~0.916). In almost all samples no bias was observed and no correction for BaO interference was required. REE–oxide formation was typically less than 1 to 4 % of total REE concentration.

Samples were spiked with 1 ppb $^{45}\text{Sc}$ and $^{115}\text{In}$ internal standards to correct for fluctuations of the plasma during the analytical session. Unknown sample concentrations were calibrated against matrix–matched, multi–REE standards prepared from Specpure plasma solution standards.

Background intensities were measured periodically by aspirating 5% HNO$_3$ blanks. External precision, determined by triplicate analysis of randomly selected samples across multiple analytical sessions, was ~10 % (1σ).

### 3.2.2 Calculation of vent fluid and endmember fluid compositions

Typically, seafloor vent fluid compositions are reported as endmembers assuming that the hydrothermal fluid contains no Mg owing to quantitative Mg uptake during high-temperature fluid-rock interaction (Von Damm, 1983; Von Damm et al., 1985). Nearly all sampled fluids in this study contained measurable Mg. The presence of non-zero Mg reflects either the true non-zero Mg composition of the fluid sampled at the seafloor (e.g., owing to near-seafloor mixing
between seawater and hydrothermal fluid), or artifacts during sampling owing to entrainment of seawater at the sampler snorkel. The measured Mg concentration of fluids sampled in duplicate or triplicate at each discrete vent orifice was typically near identical, which is interpreted as reflecting the true Mg concentration of the fluid exiting the seafloor. Fluids sampled in this study should not be interpreted as being compromised by seawater entrainment during sampling because it is unlikely that identical amounts of seawater (as indicated by the same Mg concentration) would be entrained in two or more independently sampled fluids. REE concentrations for all vent fluids sampled at the seafloor are therefore reported at the lowest measured Mg concentration (Table 1).

REE concentrations in smoker–type fluids are also reported as endmembers (Table 2) by extrapolation of replicate vent fluid compositions to zero Mg using least–squares linear regression forced to pass through the composition of ambient seawater (Von Damm, 1983). The concept of a zero–Mg endmember hydrothermal fluid is useful for understanding the processes occurring in the sub–seafloor (e.g., high–temperature fluid–rock interaction) prior to mixing between hydrothermal fluid and seawater at or close to the seafloor. Calculation of endmember concentrations also allows direct comparison of species compositions among vent fluids examined in this and other studies.

REE concentrations in acid–sulfate fluids sampled from DESMOS and SuSu Knolls (North Su) were not extrapolated to zero–Mg. Our indications are that the concept of a zero–Mg endmember acid–sulfate hydrothermal fluid does not necessarily apply. Acid–sulfate fluids sampled in triplicate contain similar and consistently high Mg concentrations (≥ 24 mmol/kg), but have
elevated temperatures and very low pH. In contrast to typical high-temperature black- and gray-smoker fluids, acid-sulfate fluids appear to absent of convecting seawater-derived hydrothermal fluids that react extensively with fresh crustal rocks and instead appear to have formed primarily by sub-seafloor mixing of a magmatic volatile phase and Mg-rich seawater (Seewald et al., 2006) followed by reaction with extensively altered crustal rocks.

4. RESULTS

4.1. Rare earth element concentrations in Manus Basin hydrothermal fluids

4.1.1. Manus Spreading Center (Vienna Woods)

Total REE concentrations ($\sum$REE) at Vienna Woods range from ~ 2.6 to 5.8 nmol/kg (Table 1). Chondrite-normalized REE$_N$ distribution patterns are uniformly light-REE (La–Nd) enriched and show a large positive Eu-anomaly (Fig. 2). The data are consistent with REE compositions previously reported for Vienna Woods hydrothermal fluids (Douville et al., 1999). The range of REE concentrations and relative distributions are similar to those measured in high-temperature ($\geq 280 ^\circ$C) vent fluids from basalt-hosted, mid-ocean ridge (MOR) hydrothermal sites (Fig. 2).

4.1.2. Eastern Manus Basin (PACMANUS, DESMOS and SuSu Knolls)

Most hydrothermal fluids sampled from the Eastern Manus Basin have high REE concentrations relative to fluids from Vienna Woods and overall exhibit a broad range of REE$_N$ distribution
patterns. Total concentrations of REEs at PACMANUS range from ~ 1.5 to 88 nmol/kg (Table 1). The REEN distribution patterns of most sampled fluids are variably light–REE enriched with a positive Eu anomaly (Fig. 3). Two vent fluids, Satanic Mills (vent SM2) and Fenway (vent F1), have REEN distribution patterns that show heavy–REE (Dy–Yb) enrichments and positive Eu anomalies (Fig. 3b, c).

Total REE concentrations in acid–sulfate fluids at DESMOS vary from ~ 147 to 236 nmol/kg (Table 1) and are significantly greater than those of sampled high–temperature black smoker fluids at Vienna Woods and PACMANUS (see also Douville et al., 1999), but are similar to those measured in acid–sulfate fluids from continental geothermal environments (e.g., Valles Caldera, New Mexico (Michard, 1989); Rotokawa and Waiotapu, New Zealand (Wood, 2001)). Chondrite–normalized REEN distribution patterns are generally flat with a only slight convex–upward curvature and do not have a clear positive or negative Eu anomaly (Fig. 4a). The flat REEN distributions patterns differ markedly from those of black and gray smoker fluids venting from chimney edifices at other vent fields.

Total REE concentrations and REEN distribution patterns show a high degree of variability in vent fluids at SuSu Knolls (Fig. 4). Total REE concentrations in acid–sulfate fluids sampled from North Su range from ~ 93 to 350 nmol/kg (Table 2) and exhibit REEN distribution patterns that are flat with no clear Eu anomaly (Fig. 4a), similar to REEN distribution patterns in acid–sulfate fluids at DESMOS. Total concentrations of REEs in smoker fluids from North Su, South Su and Suzette range from ~ 6.5 to 43 nmol/kg. The REEN distribution patterns of most black/gray smoker fluids are light–REE enriched with pronounced positive Eu anomalies (Fig. 4b–d). Some
smoker fluids sampled from Suzette (vent SZ5) and South Su (vent SS1) have REE₉ distribution patterns that exhibit greater enrichment of heavy REEs and smaller Eu anomalies relative to other smoker fluids sampled from the same vent field (Fig. 4b, d).

5. DISCUSSION

5.1. Controls on Aqueous REE Compositions of Seafloor Hydrothermal Fluids

Although there is consensus that the rare earth elements (REEs) in submarine hydrothermal fluids are derived principally from the oceanic crust during high temperature fluid–rock interaction, the primary processes that control the observed chondrite–normalized REE distribution patterns of seafloor vent fluids are poorly understood. It has been hypothesized that REE₉ distribution patterns of vent fluids directly reflect the REE composition of crustal host rocks or constituent minerals, such as plagioclase, subject to alteration (Campbell et al., 1988b; Klinkhammer et al., 1994b). Alternatively, it has been proposed that REE₉ distribution patterns of vent fluids reflect equilibrium partitioning between fluid and minerals, controlled primarily by fluid chemistry (e.g., pH, redox, availability of ligands), temperature, pressure and alteration mineralogy (Bau, 1991; Bach and Irber, 1998; Bach et al., 2003; Allen and Seyfried, 2005). Previous studies reporting REE compositions of submarine hydrothermal fluids have focused largely on high-temperature, black smoker fluids sampled at mid-ocean ridge hydrothermal systems, where the range of rock-types encountered by circulating fluids is limited (i.e., all are basalt-dominated) and the compositions of sampled fluids (e.g., pH, Mg, F, SO₄²⁻ concentrations, chondrite-normalized REE patterns) are generally similar. These similar characteristics make it
difficult to assess how REE solubility in submarine hydrothermal fluids is affected by changes in fluid composition and whether aqueous REE abundances reflect that of crustal rock REE compositions. In contrast, hydrothermal fluids sampled from the Manus back-arc basin have interacted with crustal rocks of a wide range of composition (from basalt to dacite and rhyolite) and are remarkably different in terms of their pH, fluid composition and ligand and REE abundances. Accordingly, these vent fluids serve as an ideal case study by which to identify the key factors that affect REE solubility at submarine hydrothermal fluids and how aqueous REE abundances can be used as tracers of hydrothermal processes.

5.1.1. Influence of Host–Rock REE Composition

The range of REE\textsubscript{N} distribution patterns observed in Manus Basin seafloor vent fluids do not reflect variations in primary whole–rock REE abundances in basalts from the Manus Spreading Center (MSC) and dacites from the Eastern Manus Basin (EMB; Figure 5). For example, light–REE enriched patterns of Vienna Woods vent fluids are very different to whole–rock REE distribution patterns of primary basalts erupted in the MSC (Fig. 5a). Similarly, the range of REE\textsubscript{N} distribution patterns measured in vent fluids from PACMANUS, DESMOS and SuSu Knolls is different relative to the uniform whole–rock REE distribution patterns of dacites erupted in the EMB (Fig. 5a). Moreover, the range of REE\textsubscript{N} distribution patterns measured in Manus Basin vent fluids do not appear to record the REE composition of specific constituent minerals (see also Schnetzler and Philpotts, 1970; Hanson, 1980). In particular, the range of REE fluid compositions cannot be explained as reflecting discriminate leaching of REEs from plagioclase as previously suggested (Campbell et al., 1988a; Klinkhammer et al., 1994a;
Additional evidence suggesting that vent fluid REE abundances are not controlled by primary rock composition is provided by REE concentrations and REE distribution patterns that vary substantially among fluids samples within individual vent areas on spatial scales (< 100 to 500 m) over which the primary REE composition of volcanic rocks are uniform (Sinton et al., 2003; Miller et al., 2006). The fluid compositional data suggest that REEs are fractionated from primary crustal rock REE compositions during fluid–rock interaction owing to differences in aqueous REE solubility. This idea is supported by the mineral and chemical compositions of altered crustal rocks in the Manus Basin that vary significantly from chlorite–smectite (argillaceous) to illite–pyrophyllite–cristobalite–anhydrite (advanced argillic) assemblages (Lackschewitz et al., 2004; Paulick et al., 2005; Paulick and Bach, 2006; Bach et al., 2007) and indicate fluid–rock interaction involving a range of temperatures (> 220 to 360 °C), pH (< 2 to > 3.5), water/rock ratios and fluid compositions. The REE compositions of variably altered rocks show a range of chondrite–normalized compositions (Fig. 5b,c) that are consistent with variable mobilization and extensive fractionation of the REEs during crustal rock alteration (e.g., Gena et al., 2001; Beaudoin et al., 2007).

5.1.2. Influence of fluid composition – pH and Ligand Concentration

High temperature black smoker and acid–sulfate fluids in the Manus Basin exhibit a wide range of pH and fluid composition (e.g., alkali metal, Mg, Cl, F and SO4 abundances) that reflect different styles of sub–seafloor fluid circulation, a range of conditions of fluid–rock interaction and variable crustal rock compositions (Seewald et al., 2006; Craddock, 2009; Reeves, 2010). The compositions of high–temperature black and gray smoker fluids at Vienna Woods,
PACMANUS and SuSu Knolls (e.g., Mg depletion and alkali metal enrichment relative to ambient seawater) reflect extensive reaction (buffering) between convecting seawater-derived hydrothermal fluids and fresh basalts in the MSC and dacites in the EMB (Craddock, 2009). The low pH and elevated F concentrations of black smoker fluids in the EMB (PACMANUS and SuSu Knolls) relative to those from the MSC (Vienna Woods) may reflect assimilation of magmatic acid volatiles (H$_2$O–CO$_2$–SO$_2$–HCl–HF) with convecting hydrothermal fluids owing to the proximity of EMB to the active subducting arc. Acid–sulfate fluids at DESMOS and SuSu Knolls in the EMB are products of fundamentally different styles of hydrothermal activity and are probable submarine equivalents of fumaroles associated with subaerial volcanism (Giggenbach, 1992; Hedenquist et al., 1994). These fluids appear to have formed via shallow mixing of magmatic acid volatiles with entrained seawater in the absence of large–scale seawater convective circulation and reaction with fresh crustal rocks (Seewald et al., 2006). The low pH (25 °C) < 1 to 1.5 and high sulfate concentrations (28 to 149 mmol/kg) in DESMOS and SuSu Knolls acid–sulfate fluids relative to ambient seawater (Gamo et al., 1997; Seewald et al., 2006) likely reflect addition of SO$_2$–rich magmatic vapors to seawater and disproportionation of SO$_2$ at decreasing temperatures to yield sulfuric acid (e.g., Holland, 1965). The high concentrations of Mg (≥ 24 mmol/kg) and low concentrations of fluid–mobile elements (e.g., alkali metals) in acid–sulfate fluids are a critical difference relative to that of typical black smoker fluids (Craddock, 2009). These data suggest that acid-sulfate fluid compositions are not governed primarily by reaction with fresh crustal rocks, but reflect interaction with previously and variably altered crustal rocks. This idea is supported by the occurrence of highly altered rocks containing cristobalite, alunite, pyrophyllite and native sulfur in proximity to acid–sulfate fluids at the seafloor at DESMOS and SuSu Knolls (Gamo et al., 1997; Bach et al., 2007) that are analogous
to the low pH, advanced-argillic alteration observed in subaerial epithermal environments (Hemley and Jones, 1964; Hemley et al., 1969).

We propose that the range of REE abundances observed in Manus Basin hydrothermal fluids are controlled primarily by fluid composition (e.g., pH, availability of ligands) and alteration mineralogy, which regulate aqueous REE solubility during sub-seafloor fluid–rock interaction. Overall, REE concentrations in Manus Basin vent fluids increase with acidity (Fig. 6). REE concentrations in acid–sulfate fluids from DESMOS and SuSu Knolls are high relative to black and gray smoker fluids sampled in the Manus Basin, despite the lower temperatures of acid–sulfate fluids. Similarly, REE concentrations are high in lower pH smoker fluids from PACMANUS and SuSu Knolls (pH (25 °C) 2.3 to 3.5) relative to higher pH smoker fluids sampled from Vienna Woods (pH (25 °C) ≥ 4.2). Similar correlations in hydrothermal fluids sampled from a range of geologic environments, including basalt-dominated mid-ocean ridge (MOR) and continental geothermal systems (Michard and Albarede, 1986; Michard, 1989; Lewis et al., 1997; Douville et al., 1999; Wood, 2001) support this relationship. It is unlikely that REE abundances in seafloor hydrothermal fluids are limited by their abundance in the host rock because the concentrations of REEs in both fresh and altered oceanic crustal rocks (e.g., Michard et al., 1983; Beaudoin et al., 2007) are several orders of magnitude higher than those measured in submarine hydrothermal fluids (see Fig. 5). Indeed, acid–sulfate fluids have the highest REE concentrations, despite these fluids having bulk aqueous compositions consistent with reaction with altered crustal rocks, which typically contain REE abundances lower than those in primary igneous lavas (e.g., Beaudoin et al., 2007). The results suggest total REE abundances in submarine hydrothermal fluids are solubility controlled during high–temperature fluid–rock
interaction, are strongly influenced by pH, and are largely independent of crustal–rock REE abundances.

Sampled vent fluids are also characterized by a range of chondrite-normalized (REE$_N$) patterns that vary systematically with pH and the availability of aqueous ligands. These trends are readily apparent upon examination of La$_N$/Yb$_N$ and Eu$_N$/Eu*$_N$ ratios as a function of relative ligand abundances (Fig. 7). The La$_N$/Yb$_N$ ratio is a measure of the relative light–REE (La) versus heavy–REE (Yb) abundance and reflects chemical fractionation among the light– and heavy–REEs. The Eu$_N$/Eu*$_N$ ratio (Eu anomaly) is a measure of the fractionation of Eu relative to neighboring REEs (Sm and Gd), which can be related to the varying oxidation state exhibited by Eu (II and III) compared to trivalent REEs in high–temperature hydrothermal fluids (Sverjensky, 1984). High–temperature smoker fluids from all Manus Basin vent areas exhibit a wide range of ΣF/ΣCl ratios and a limited range of low ΣSO$_4$/ΣCl ratios, the latter being the result of sulfate removal by anhydrite precipitation and reduction to H$_2$S during fluid–rock interaction. Manus Basin smoker fluids with low F and SO$_4^{2-}$ concentrations, and low ΣF/ΣCl (< 0.03 µM/mM) and ΣSO$_4$/ΣCl ratios (~ 1 µM/mM) have a characteristic light-REE enrichment and large positive Eu-anomaly (highest La$_N$/Yb$_N$ and Eu$_N$/Eu*$_N$ ratios; Fig. 7). In contrast, smoker fluids that have a range of high F concentrations and high ΣF/ΣCl ratios have only minor light-REE enrichments and lower La$_N$/Yb$_N$ and Eu$_N$/Eu*$_N$ ratios. The most fluoride-rich sampled fluids with the highest ΣF/ΣCl ratios approaching ~ 0.60 – 0.80 µM/mM (Satanic Mills SM2, Fenway F1, Suzette SZ5; Table 1, Fig. 7) exhibit a distinct light-REE depletion and heavy–REE enrichment (La$_N$/Yb$_N$ << 1) and a small or absent Eu anomaly (Eu$_N$/Eu*$_N$ ~ 1 to 2).
We interpret the range of light- versus heavy-REE enrichments observed in these fluids as reflecting differences in relative solubilities of the light- versus heavy-REEs as a direct result of significant differences in pH, ligand concentration and, therefore, the relative abundances of REE-chloride and REE-fluoride complexes. The pronounced light-REE enrichment and positive Eu-anomaly in smoker fluids with chloride–rich, but fluoride– and sulfate–poor compositions implies that REE-chloride complexation acts to enhance the solubility of the light-REEs and Eu relative to that of the mid- and heavy-REEs during fluid–rock interaction and secondary mineral formation. In contrast, the marked heavy-REE enrichment in fluoride-rich smoker fluids suggests that formation of REE-fluoride complexes enhances the solubility of the heavy-REEs relative to light-REEs during fluid-rock interaction in the presence of elevated concentrations of fluoride.

These interpretations are supported by the results of species distribution thermodynamic calculations that were carried out to assess the aqueous speciation/complexation of REEs in representative sampled Manus hydrothermal fluids at in situ temperature and pressure across a broad range of fluid compositions (compositions of the 7 fluids used for illustration in speciation calculations are given Table 3; results of calculations are presented in Fig. 8). Details of the thermodynamic calculations used in this study are documented in full in the Supporting Online Material (SOM). Briefly, calculations were carried out using the SpecE8 program, included as a module of the Geochemist’s Workbench (v6.0) software package (Bethke, 1996). The SpecE8 program computes species distributions, mineral saturation states and gas fugacity in aqueous solutions at specified temperature and pressure. The thermodynamic database used in SpecE8 calculations was generated using SUPCRT92 (Johnson et al., 1992) for a pressure of 50 MPa (500 bars) and temperatures between 0 and 400 °C, using updates for aqueous formation
constants as listed in the SOM. The pH value of each fluid measured at 25 °C was used together with aqueous compositional data to calculate pH at in situ temperature. The redox potential for each fluid was calculated based on measured H₂(aq) concentrations and the assumption of H₂(aq)-H₂O equilibrium. Equilibrium between H₂S and SO₄²⁻ was suppressed because both species were measured in our hydrothermal fluids and are in a state of non-equilibrium. REE speciation in chloride-rich, but fluoride- (< 20 to 170 µmol/kg) and sulfate-poor (< 2 mmol/kg) smoker-type vent fluids is governed primarily by REE-chloride and REE-oxyhydroxide complexes of trivalent REEs and chloride complexes of divalent Eu (e.g., Vienna Woods VW1, Roman Ruins RMR4, Satanic Mills SM1; Fig. 8). REE–fluoride complexes are present in lesser amounts and REE–sulfate complexes are present at relatively low abundance. The predominance of divalent Eu versus trivalent Eu reflects the stability of Eu²⁺ under reducing conditions and elevated temperatures characteristic of sampled vent fluids (e.g., Sverjensky, 1984). The differences in the relative abundance of REE–chloride and REE–oxyhydroxide complexes result primarily from differences in fluid temperature and pH with REE–chloride complexes increasing in abundance at higher temperature and lower pH. The calculated REE speciation does not change significantly across different geologic settings and crustal compositions (e.g., basalt–dominated Vienna Woods versus dacite–dominated PACMANUS) because the fluid compositions are similar.

REE species distribution in high-temperature vent fluids with a range of elevated fluoride concentrations (up to ~ 500 µmol/kg) is increasingly governed by the presence of REE-fluoride complexes, which occur primarily at the expense of REE-chloride complexes (e.g., Satanic Mills SM2, Suzette SZ5, Fig. 8). The light–REEs occur predominantly as chloride complexes, but the
heavy–REEs are present predominantly as fluoride complexes. The relative abundance of REE–
hydroxide and REE–sulfate complexes are low; similar to that predicted for other high-
temperature smoker fluids. Europium is again predicted to occur exclusively as a divalent
chloride complex. Theoretical and experimental estimates of the relative stabilities of REE-
chloride and REE-fluoride complexes across the lanthanide group (e.g., Wood, 1990; Haas et al.,
1995; Gammons et al., 2002; Migdisov and Williams-Jones, 2007; Migdisov et al., 2009) are not
without uncertainties and discrepancies (see documentation of thermodynamic data in SOM).
However, these studies suggest that chloride complexes of the light-REEs and Eu$^{2+}$ are more
stable than those of the heavy-REEs at elevated temperature and pressure, whereas fluoride
complexes possibly show the opposite behavior, consistent with our interpretation of natural
samples. Fractionation of the REEs during fluid-rock interaction and secondary mineral
formation owing to differences in complexation and solubility of the REEs is also consistent with
the results of experimental studies that have demonstrated enhanced mobility of the light–REEs
and divalent Eu$^{2+}$ during fluid–rock interaction with chloride–rich hydrothermal fluids at
elevated temperature and pressure (e.g., Allen and Seyfried, 2005). No experimental studies have
yet investigated the relative solubility and mobility of the REEs during fluid-rock interaction in
the presence of fluoride-rich hydrothermal fluids at elevated temperature and pressure.

Marked differences in fluid composition likely also govern the REE distributions observed in
acid-sulfate fluids, which are distinct to those of smoker fluids. All acid–sulfate fluids exhibit
almost flat REE$_N$ distribution patterns with no Eu-anomalies (La$_N$/Yb$_N$ ~ 1 to 2.5, Eu$_N$/Eu$^*$_N ~ 1),
similar to REE$_N$ patterns identified in other acid–sulfate fluids sampled in the Manus Basin (e.g.,
Douville et al., 1999). We interpret the high REE concentrations and flat REE$_N$ patterns of acid–
sulfate fluids as reflecting primarily the influence of low pH, which enhances the aqueous
solubility of all REEs. The very low pH is likely the critical parameter controlling the observed
abundances of REEs in acid-sulfate fluids because observations of natural systems suggest that
the solubility of REEs during fluid-rock reaction increases significantly with acidity (Fig. 6)
(e.g., Michard, 1989; Lewis et al., 1998; Fulignati et al., 1999). In effect, acid-sulfate-type
alteration occurring at very low pH, as is observed at DESMOS and SuSu Knolls, effectively
leaches and mobilizes REEs from host rocks without fractionation among the lanthanides.
Indeed, REE\textsubscript{N} distribution patterns of acid-sulfate fluids are similar to that of both primary and
altered felsic crustal rocks in the Eastern Manus Basin (e.g., Gena et al., 2001) with which acid-
sulfate fluids have likely reacted (Fig. 5c).

Results of thermodynamic species distribution calculations suggest that formation of aqueous
REE complexes in acid-sulfate fluids appears to exert a relatively minor control over the relative
solubility of the REEs. REE species distribution calculations suggest that at lower temperatures
relevant to most acid-sulfate fluids (< 120 °C) the REEs are present in solution predominantly as
free trivalent ions (e.g., DESMOS D1, Fig. 8). Similar results were obtained in REE species
distribution calculations reported for acid-sulfate fluid by Douville et al. (1999) and Bach et al.
(2003). Europium can occur as trivalent Eu reflecting the lower temperature and more oxidizing
composition of these fluids relative to typical high-temperature smoker fluids. A low pH is
critical for maintaining high concentrations of REEs in solution as uncomplexed ions. The
formation of REE-sulfate complexes as predicted by species distribution calculations (owing to
high sulfate concentrations in acid-sulfate fluids up to 150 mmol/kg) may further enhance REE
solubility. At higher temperatures (> 200 °C), thermodynamic calculations predict that REE-
chloride complexes increasingly contribute to REE speciation in acid-sulfate fluids (e.g., North Su NS2; Fig. 8). Differences in the relative abundance of REE-sulfate versus REE-chloride complexes do not obviously affect overall REE abundances, suggesting that pH is the more important control. Measured REE abundances and REE$_N$ patterns are not obviously affected by the wide range of fluoride concentrations in acid-sulfate fluids (Fig. 7), suggesting that REE-fluoride complexes do not contribute to aqueous REE speciation in these fluids. The lack of REE-fluoride complexation in acid-sulfate fluids (Figs. 8 and 9) likely reflects quantitative sequestration of fluoride as HF$^\circ$ at very low pH owing to the weak acid behavior of hydrofluoric acid at elevated temperature (pKa $\sim$ 3.1 at 25 °C and 4.6 at 200 °C). It has also been suggested that competitive formation of Al–fluoride complexes may inhibit REE-fluoride complex formation in acid-sulfate fluids (Gimeno Serrano et al., 2000). Indeed, acid–sulfate fluids sampled in the Manus Basin have very high concentrations of dissolved Al (Table 3) and Al-fluoride complexes and HF$^\circ$ constitute the entirety of aqueous fluoride species in acid-sulfate fluids (Fig. 9). The presence or absence of Al-fluoride complexes, however, does not affect the predicted abundance of REE-fluoride complexes in acid-sulfate fluids because formation of Al–fluoride complexes in acid–sulfate fluids occurs predominantly at the expense of HF$^\circ$, not REE–fluoride complexes (Fig. 9).

In summary, the data presented suggest that REE solubility during fluid–rock interaction at elevated temperature and pressure is critically dependent upon fluid composition – in particular pH and the concentration of aqueous REE ligands. Different REE abundances and REE$_N$ distribution patterns among smoker– and acid–sulfate–type fluids in the Manus Basin likely reflect differences in REE solubility owing to variations in the relative abundance and stability of
REE–chloride, fluoride and sulfate complexes as a function of different temperature, pH and ligand concentration (e.g., $\Sigma F/\Sigma Cl$ and $\Sigma SO_4/\Sigma Cl$ ratios). The observed correlations suggest that light–REE and Eu enrichments (large positive $La_N/Yb_N$ and $Eu_N/Eu^*_N$ ratios) are favored in hydrothermal fluids with low fluoride and sulfate concentrations (low $\Sigma F/\Sigma Cl$ and $\Sigma SO_4/\Sigma Cl$ ratios) in which REE–chloride complexes are the likely dominant REE species. In contrast, heavy–REE (and to a lesser degree mid–REE) enrichments are favored in hydrothermal fluids with high fluoride concentrations (high $\Sigma F/\Sigma Cl$ ratios) in which REE–fluoride complexes are likely important REE species. In acid–sulfate fluids, enrichment of all REEs appears to be critically dependent upon the very low pH and possibly, to a lesser extent, the presence of REE–sulfate and/or chloride complexes. Importantly, the apparent sensitivity of aqueous REE distributions to pH and Cl, F and $SO_4^{2-}$ concentrations implies that the REEs can be used as tracers for input of fluoride– and sulfur–rich magmatic acid volatiles ($H_2O–CO_2–SO_2–HCl–HF$) in seafloor hydrothermal fluids. Further, because minerals in hydrothermal vent deposits (e.g., anhydrite) often record REE distributions which preserve that of the original hydrothermal fluid, the REEs may also provide critical information about hydrothermal processes associated with the formation of seafloor vent deposits, such as fluid pH, composition and the extent and type of magmatic acid volatile input, all of which impact metal behavior and metal-sulfide mineralization.

5.1.3. Consequences of Seawater Entrainment and Fluid Mixing

Studies of MOR hydrothermal systems have demonstrated that entrainment and sub–seafloor mixing of seawater with rising high–temperature hydrothermal fluids can affect seafloor vent
fluid compositions owing to mineral precipitation and/or dissolution (Edmond et al., 1995; Tivey et al., 1995). Anhydrite (CaSO$_4$) precipitation is a common product of sub–seafloor mixing between high–temperature fluids and locally entrained seawater (Tivey et al., 1995; Mills et al., 1998; Tivey et al., 1998; Mills and Tivey, 1999; Humphris and Bach, 2005) and can incorporate significant amounts of REEs (Mills and Elderfield, 1995; Humphris, 1998). Substantial amounts of anhydrite have been recovered from beneath several PACMANUS vent deposits (Binns et al., 2007; Tivey et al., 2007). The down–hole distribution of anhydrite veins in Ocean Drilling Program Leg 193 drill core from PACMANUS demonstrates widespread precipitation of anhydrite extending to depths > 300 mbsf (Bach et al., 2003; Roberts et al., 2003). Sulfate–sulfur isotopic compositions of anhydrite at PACMANUS cluster around that of seawater sulfate consistent with deposition of anhydrite in response to sub–seafloor mixing between entrained seawater and high–temperature hydrothermal fluid (Roberts et al., 2003; Craddock et al., 2010). The range of chemical compositions of seafloor vent fluids sampled at PACMANUS is consistent with ongoing anhydrite precipitation at some vent areas (Seewald et al., 2006; Reeves, 2010). The highest temperature (≥ 340 °C) fluids sampled at PACMANUS have near zero Mg and sulfate concentrations, whereas lower temperature (< 280 °C) smoker fluids exhibit a range of higher Mg and sulfate concentrations consistent with mixing between end–member high–temperature hydrothermal fluids and locally entrained Mg– and sulfate–rich seawater (Reeves, 2010)(Table 1; Fig. 10). Measured sulfate concentrations in most lower temperature fluids are, however, significantly less than that predicted by conservative mixing between end–member hydrothermal fluid (zero Mg and SO$_4$) and ambient seawater causing measured sulfate concentrations to extrapolate to negative values at zero Mg. Although the value has no true
physical meaning, the magnitude of the negative end–member concentration represents a proxy
for the extent of non–conservative sulfate removal during fluid mixing. Lower temperature fluids
also exhibit Ca concentrations less than that predicted by conservative mixing between end–
member hydrothermal fluid and ambient seawater (Fig. 10b). The extent of non–conservative Ca
removal is correlated with that of sulfate (Fig. 10c) and is explained by anhydrite precipitation
during near–seafloor mixing of high temperature hydrothermal fluids and locally entrained
seawater. The REEs exhibit non–conservative behavior similar to Ca during fluid mixing
suggesting that anhydrite precipitation has caused measurable removal of aqueous REEs (Fig.
10d). On the basis of the observed correlations and the magnitude of the negative sulfate end–
member concentrations, ongoing deposition of anhydrite appears most prevalent at the Snowcap
and Roman Ruins (PACMANUS) vent areas.

Measured Mg concentrations in low temperature (~ 78 °C) fluids exiting diffusely from the
seafloor at Fenway (fluid F5) are near seawater concentration and are consistent with large–scale
entrainment of seawater by ascending high–temperature hydrothermal fluids within the Fenway
mound (Table 1). Sulfate and Ca concentrations in these diffuse fluids are higher than that
predicted by conservative mixing between parent high–temperature hydrothermal fluids and
seawater (Fig 10a, b), suggesting that local dissolution of previously deposited anhydrite is on–
going in some fluids at Fenway in response to low fluid temperatures that enhance anhydrite
solubility (Bischoff and Seyfried, 1978). Dissolution of anhydrite yields end–member sulfate and
Ca concentrations in diffuse fluids that are higher than in the highest–temperature black smoker
fluids at zero Mg (Fig. 10c). End–member REE concentrations in diffuse fluids at Fenway are
also higher than in parent high–temperature vent fluids (Fig. 10d) and are interpreted as
reflecting addition of REEs to solution as a result of anhydrite dissolution. It should be recognized that high end-member concentrations of sulfate, Ca and REEs in diffuse fluids are an artifact of extrapolation to zero Mg and should not be interpreted as indicating the actual existence of a high-temperature fluid with the specified zero Mg composition. Anhydrite dissolution represents a potential significant source of REEs because total REE concentrations in massive anhydrites recovered at the seafloor at Fenway reach values as high as 50 ppm and are enriched by several orders of magnitude relative to total REE concentrations in high-temperature hydrothermal fluids (Craddock et al., 2010). The REE$_N$ patterns of high- and low-temperature hydrothermal fluids and of massive anhydrites at Fenway are similar (Craddock et al., 2010) indicating that dissolution of anhydrite does not, however, affect relative REE$_N$ distributions among the sampled Fenway vent fluids.

6. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Rare earth element data are reported for a wide range of seafloor vent fluids sampled from four hydrothermal systems in the Manus back-arc basin (Vienna Woods, PACMANUS, DESMOS and SuSu Knolls). Chondrite-normalized REE$_N$ distribution patterns of Manus vent fluids show a wide range of compositions, which contrast with the uniform REE$_N$ distribution patterns of mid-ocean ridge (MOR) hydrothermal fluids. These differences are correlated with differences in fluid composition, in particular pH and ligand (chloride, fluoride and sulfate) abundances. Vent fluid REE$_N$ distribution patterns are not obviously correlated to differences in crustal rock REE compositions. The results imply that REE compositions of hydrothermal fluids are controlled predominantly by conditions of fluid–rock interaction (i.e., pH, temperature,
availability of complexing ligands), which affect the relative solubility and mobilization of the REEs. Our data are supported by experimental studies that have documented fractionation of the REEs during high-temperature fluid-rock reaction (e.g., Bach and Irber, 1998; Allen and Seyfried, 2005).

In the Manus Basin, the range of REE$_N$ patterns observed in seafloor vent fluids is interpreted as reflecting heterogeneous solubility/mobility of the REEs during fluid–rock interaction owing to the formation of REE–chloride, REE–fluoride and REE–sulfate complexes with a range of aqueous stabilities. The range of fluoride and sulfate concentrations measured in Manus hydrothermal fluids is attributed to differing amounts and styles of magmatic acid volatile (i.e., H$_2$O–CO$_2$–HCl–HF–SO$_2$) degassing (Gamo et al., 1997; Douville et al., 1999; Seewald et al., 2006; Reeves, 2010). A significant implication of our results are that REE distributions in seafloor hydrothermal fluids can be used as indicators of styles of magmatic degassing at depth. It has been proposed that the uniform REE$_N$ pattern of MOR hydrothermal fluids (i.e., light–REE enrichment and positive Eu anomaly) primarily reflects exchange of REE during plagioclase recrystallization (Campbell et al., 1988b; Klinkhammer et al., 1994b; Douville et al., 1999). The results of this study, however, suggest that a light–REE enrichment and positive Eu anomaly reflect enhanced mobility of the light–REEs and Eu owing to the predominance of REE–chloride complexes in chloride–rich and fluoride– and sulfate–poor MOR hydrothermal fluids. Local processes, including sub–surface fluid mixing and mineral deposition and remobilization, may also affect REE concentrations of seafloor hydrothermal fluids. However, REE$_N$ distributions of seafloor vent fluids are primarily affected by key aspects of fluid composition (e.g., pH, ligand concentration) that affect REE speciation and mobility during fluid–rock interaction at depth.
This detailed study of REE compositions of seafloor vent fluids indicates that REEs can provide critical information about fundamental sub-seafloor geochemical processes associated with hydrothermal activity, including conditions of fluid–rock interaction and the extent and styles of magmatic acid volatile degassing. A better understanding of aqueous REE behavior offers important constraints for interpreting REE signatures preserved in the mineral record. Future studies of REE–bearing mineral deposits can be used to gain insight about geochemical processes pertaining to hydrothermal vent deposit formation and are essential for inactive and relict systems where access to hydrothermal fluids is precluded.
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Fig. 1. (a) Regional tectonic setting of the Manus back-arc basin showing major plates and active plate motions (solid gray arrows). Areas of known hydrothermal activity in the Manus Spreading Center (Vienna Woods) and Eastern Manus Basin (PACMANUS, DESMOS and SuSu Knolls) are indicated by white stars; (b) Spatial distribution of known vent deposits at PACMANUS; (c) Spatial distribution of known hydrothermal deposits at SuSu Knolls. Seafloor bathymetry based on EM300 SeaBeam sonar (modified from Tivey et al., 2007).

Fig. 2. Chondrite-normalized REE distribution patterns of (a) mid-ocean ridge hydrothermal fluids and modern seawater from the Pacific and Atlantic Oceans. Data are from Michard et al. (1983), Michard (1989), Klinkhammer et al. (1994), Mitra et al. (1994) and Douville et al. (1999); (b) black/gray smoker fluids sampled from Vienna Woods, Manus Spreading Center (this study).

Fig. 3. Chondrite-normalized REE patterns of black/gray smoker fluids sampled from PACMANUS, Eastern Manus Basin. (a) Roman Ruins-Roger’s Ruins; (b) Satanic Mills; (c) Fenway and (d) Snowcap and Tsukushi.

Fig. 4. Chondrite-normalized REE patterns of hydrothermal fluids sampled from DESMOS and SuSu Knolls, Eastern Manus Basin. (a) acid-sulfate fluids from DESMOS (open symbol) and North Su (filled symbol); (b) black/gray smoker fluids from North Su; (c) black/gray smoker fluids from Suzette and (d) black/gray smoker fluids from South Su.
Fig. 5. REE distribution patterns of: (a) bulk primary basalts (stippled field) and bulk primary dacites (gray field) sampled along the Manus Spreading Center (MSC) and Eastern Manus Basin (EMB). Data are from Sinton et al. (2003). Also shown are characteristic REE patterns of constituent mineral phases in mafic (basalt) and felsic (dacite, rhyolite) igneous rocks (cpx is clinopyroxene, bt is biotite, plg is plagioclase and olv is olivine). Data are from Schnetzler and Philpotts (1970). Data are normalized to average chondritic composition. (b) variably altered dacites sampled from the beneath PACMANUS, in the EMB. Solid and dashed lines indicate dacites showing incipient (chlorite-smectite) and extensive (“acid-sulfate-type”, illite-pyrophyllite-alunite) alteration, respectively. Data are from Beaudoin et al. (2007) and are normalized to average chondritic composition. (c) bulk primary dacites (gray field) and highly-altered dacites (“acid-sulfate-type” alteration, shown in solid black lines) sampled at the DESMOS hydrothermal field, EMB. Data are normalized to average chondritic composition. Also shown are REE pattern of the highly-altered dacites normalized to that of the primary dacites (dashed gray lines). REE data are from Gena et al. (2001). The REE patterns of primary and altered rocks record evidence for significant and variable REE mobilization during fluid-rock interaction, similar to that inferred from the fluid REE compositions reported in this study. During low pH, acid-sulfate-type alteration, the REEs appear to be mobilized without significant fractionation among the lanthanides (c). See text for discussion.

Fig. 6. Total REE abundance versus pH for black smoker and acid-sulfate fluids sampled in the Manus Basin (this study). REE concentrations in black smoker fluids from unsedimented, mid-ocean ridge hydrothermal systems (symbol +) and in acid-sulfate fluids from continental
geothermal systems (symbol x) are shown for comparison (data from Michard, 1989; Klinkhammer et al., 1994; Lewis et al., 1997; Douville et al., 1999). Smoker-type fluids with lower temperatures (< 280 °C) and elevated Mg concentrations (> 10 mmol/kg) are not plotted as these fluids have experienced local sub-seafloor mixing with seawater and the measured pH (25 °C) of these fluids does not reflect that of the high-temperature hydrothermal fluid at depth.

Fig. 7. Correlations between aqueous $[\Sigma F]/[\Sigma Cl]$ ratios and chondrite-normalized LaN/YbN (a) and EuN/Eu* N ratios (b) in black smoker and acid-sulfate fluids from the Manus Basin. Data for all vent areas are grouped by measured pH (25 °C). Smoker fluids with lower temperatures (< 280 °C) and elevated Mg concentrations (> 10 mmol/kg) are not plotted. Smoker fluids (pH > 2 to 4) show decreasing LaN/YbN and EuN/Eu* N ratios (increasing heavy-REE abundances relative to light-REEs and Eu) with increasing $[\Sigma F]/[\Sigma Cl]$ ratios. Acid-sulfate fluids (pH < 2) show no clear differences in LaN/YbN and EuN/Eu* N ratios for a wide range of $[\Sigma F]/[\Sigma Cl]$ ratios. Differences in ligand abundances affect aqueous REE N distributions likely owing to the formation of various REE-ligand complexes with different stabilities. See text for discussion.

Fig. 8. Predicted REE species distributions in high-temperature smoker fluids from the Manus Basin. (a) fluid VW1 (Vienna Woods); (b) fluid RMR4 (Roman Ruins, PACMANUS); (c) fluid SM1 (Satanic Mills, PACMANUS); (d) fluid SM2 (Satanic Mills, PACMANUS); (e) fluid SZ5 (Suzette, SuSu Knolls); (f) fluid NS2 (North Su, SuSu Knolls) and (g) fluid D1 (DESMOS). REE-chloride, REE-fluoride and REE-sulfate complexes are shown by black
squares, white triangles and gray diamonds, respectively. REE-oxyhydroxide complexes are shown by gray circles and free REE ions by black crosses. Fluid compositions used in calculations are reported in Tables 1 and 3.

Fig. 9. Fractional abundance of (a) fluoride present in solution as HF and Al-fluoride complexes and (b) REE present in solution as REE-fluoride complexes, versus measured fluid pH (25 °C) for hydrothermal fluids listed in Table 3. The dashed line in (a) is the modeled fractional abundance of HF relative to total fluoride in the absence of Al-fluoride complexation (i.e., Al$^{3+}$ removed from fluid compositions for species distribution calculations). The values in parentheses in (b) are measured fluoride concentrations. At low pH in acid-sulfate fluids, fluoride is effectively bound as HF and is unavailable to complex REEs. The presence or absence of Al-fluoride complexes does not affect predicted REE-fluoride complex abundances (dashed line follows modeled fluids). At higher pH in smoker fluids, proportionally more fluoride is dissociated and available to complex with REEs. The fraction of REE complexed with fluoride is significant in fluoride-rich ($\geq 400 \mu$M) hydrothermal fluids at pH $> 2$.

Fig. 10. Compositions of black/gray smoker fluids from PACMANUS. (a) sulfate versus Mg; (b) chloride-normalized Ca versus Mg; (c) chloride-normalized end-member Ca versus end-member sulfate; and (d) chloride-normalized end-member REE versus end-member sulfate. Symbols as follows: Roman Ruins – gray diamonds, Satanic Mills – black triangles, Fenway – light-gray squares, Snowcap – open circles. The composition of ambient seawater is shown by the star. Normalization of calcium to chloride removes differences in Ca concentrations.
among hydrothermal fluids from individual vent areas resulting from sub-seafloor phase separation. Conservative mixing lines between end-member (zero Mg, zero sulfate) hydrothermal fluid (>> 300 °C) and seawater are shown by the black dashed lines in each plot. Many lower temperature (< 280 °C) vent fluids have measurable Mg and sulfate owing to local entrainment and sub-seafloor mixing of seawater with end-member hydrothermal fluid (a). Measured sulfate, Ca and REE concentrations in many lower temperature fluids are, however, less than that predicted by conservative mixing owing to removal during anhydrite (CaSO₄) precipitation (fluids SC1, SC2, RMR2, SM2). The extent of anhydrite precipitation is indicated by the magnitude of the negative sulfate end-member anomaly. In contrast, low temperature fluids from Fenway (fluid F5) show excess sulfate, Ca and REE concentrations relative to those predicted by conservative mixing, suggesting current dissolution of previously deposited anhydrite in the Fenway mound.
Table 1. Rare earth element concentrations (pmol/kg) in sampled smoker- and acid-sulfate-type fluids from the Manus Basin. Data are reported at the lowest measured Mg.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Vent Site</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>pH (25 °C)</th>
<th>Mg (mM)</th>
<th>ΣF/ΣCl</th>
<th>ΣSO4/ΣCl</th>
<th>ΣCa/ΣCl</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Smoker Fluids</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VW1 Vienna Woods</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1700</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>738</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VW2 Vienna Woods</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1021</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>629</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VW3 Vienna Woods</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>740</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMR1 Roman Ruins</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>8493</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>7698</td>
<td>959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMR2 Roman Ruins</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>9795</td>
<td>1134</td>
<td>2225</td>
<td>784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMR3 Roman Ruins</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>9585</td>
<td>1532</td>
<td>2556</td>
<td>320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMR4 Roman Ruins</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>12500</td>
<td>2830</td>
<td>4970</td>
<td>670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RGR1 Roger's Ruins</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>6500</td>
<td>1320</td>
<td>2225</td>
<td>480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RGR2 Roger's Ruins</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>1450</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM1 Satanic Mills</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>1361</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM2 Satanic Mills</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>694</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC1 Snowcap</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC2 Snowcap</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>827</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK1 Tsukushi</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>661</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1 Fenway</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>859</td>
<td>1971</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>1252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2 Fenway</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>19716</td>
<td>33980</td>
<td>3982</td>
<td>480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3 Fenway</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>15000</td>
<td>27172</td>
<td>3400</td>
<td>14000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F4 Fenway</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>9130</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>5260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F5 Fenway</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>44.7</td>
<td>1960</td>
<td>4700</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>1075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SZ1 Suzette</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>3478</td>
<td>5736</td>
<td>726</td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SZ2 Suzette</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>3095</td>
<td>624</td>
<td>2450</td>
<td>546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SZ3 Suzette</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>3035</td>
<td>950</td>
<td>4625</td>
<td>1300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SZ4 Suzette</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>868</td>
<td>1767</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>1150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SZ5 Suzette</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>668</td>
<td>3979</td>
<td>2561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS1 South Su</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>536</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS2 South Su</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>681</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acid-Sulfate Fluids</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1 DESMOS</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>1786</td>
<td>54166</td>
<td>7331</td>
<td>31845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2 DESMOS</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>50.4</td>
<td>20805</td>
<td>7826</td>
<td>1134</td>
<td>55590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS1 North Su</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>49.0</td>
<td>10923</td>
<td>35507</td>
<td>4755</td>
<td>19616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS2 North Su</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>25597</td>
<td>90858</td>
<td>16244</td>
<td>83537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS4 North Su</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>12657</td>
<td>47812</td>
<td>6540</td>
<td>29310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>Vent Site</td>
<td>Temp (°C)</td>
<td>pH (25°C)</td>
<td>La</td>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>Pr</td>
<td>Nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VW1</td>
<td>Vienna Woods</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>1700</td>
<td>1750</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VW2</td>
<td>Vienna Woods</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>1021</td>
<td>1244</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>467</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VW3</td>
<td>Vienna Woods</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>740</td>
<td>958</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMR1</td>
<td>Roman Ruins</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>9918</td>
<td>12164</td>
<td>977</td>
<td>2599</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMR2</td>
<td>Roman Ruins</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1392</td>
<td>3140</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>1612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMR3</td>
<td>Roman Ruins</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>10829</td>
<td>17413</td>
<td>2186</td>
<td>8326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMR4</td>
<td>Roman Ruins</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>13262</td>
<td>23871</td>
<td>3002</td>
<td>10835</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RGR1</td>
<td>Roger's Ruins</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>7071</td>
<td>12328</td>
<td>1540</td>
<td>6447</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RGR2</td>
<td>Roger's Ruins</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1390</td>
<td>2653</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>1035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM1</td>
<td>Satanic Mills</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1617</td>
<td>3950</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>2588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM2</td>
<td>Satanic Mills</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>1163</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>1009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM3</td>
<td>Satanic Mills</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1317</td>
<td>3031</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>2612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC1</td>
<td>Snowcap</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>499</td>
<td>928</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC2</td>
<td>Snowcap</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>619</td>
<td>1454</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK1</td>
<td>Tsukushi</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>1780</td>
<td>3148</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>1397</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FI</td>
<td>Fenway</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>959</td>
<td>2200</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>1397</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>Fenway</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>21528</td>
<td>37103</td>
<td>4348</td>
<td>15794</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3</td>
<td>Fenway</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>16318</td>
<td>29560</td>
<td>3699</td>
<td>15230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F4</td>
<td>Fenway</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>3609</td>
<td>10984</td>
<td>1444</td>
<td>6328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F5</td>
<td>Fenway</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>9925</td>
<td>23799</td>
<td>2836</td>
<td>10482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SZ1</td>
<td>Suzette</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3777</td>
<td>6228</td>
<td>788</td>
<td>2831</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SZ2</td>
<td>Suzette</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>3660</td>
<td>6209</td>
<td>738</td>
<td>2897</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SZ3</td>
<td>Suzette</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3588</td>
<td>6921</td>
<td>1054</td>
<td>5162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SZ4</td>
<td>Suzette</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>1020</td>
<td>2077</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>1352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SZ5</td>
<td>Suzette</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>2711</td>
<td>4625</td>
<td>755</td>
<td>4495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SZ6</td>
<td>Suzette</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>2711</td>
<td>4811</td>
<td>693</td>
<td>2976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS3</td>
<td>North Su</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>10689</td>
<td>18408</td>
<td>2075</td>
<td>6913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS5</td>
<td>North Su</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>964</td>
<td>1960</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>2342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS6</td>
<td>North Su</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS1</td>
<td>South Su</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>592</td>
<td>1381</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS2</td>
<td>South Su</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>547</td>
<td>775</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>Vent Site</td>
<td>Temp (°C)</td>
<td>pH (25°C)</td>
<td>pH (in situ)</td>
<td>Mg (mmol/kg)</td>
<td>Cl (mmol/kg)</td>
<td>Na (mmol/kg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoker Fluids</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VW1</td>
<td>Vienna Woods</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMR4</td>
<td>Roman Ruins</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM1</td>
<td>Satan’s Mills</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM2</td>
<td>Satan’s Mills</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SZ5</td>
<td>Suzette</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acid-Sulfate Fluids</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1 DESMOS</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>492</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS2 North Su</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 2.5. Total concentration of REEs vs. pH for hydrothermal vent fluids from the Manus Basin. Acid-sulfate fluids are delineated by the dashed oval. Also shown for comparison are data for acid-sulfate fluids sampled from continental hydrothermal systems (Michard, 1989; Lewis et al., 1997) and for high-temperature, endmember fluids from unsedimented, mid-ocean ridge hydrothermal systems (Menez Gwen, Snakepit, Lucky Strike and TAG active mound; Douville et al., 1999). High-temperature (> 300 °C) fluids at all sites show a relatively good correlation between pH and REE concentration. Lower-temperature (<< 300 °C) vent fluids show some departures from this trend. Processes affecting REE concentrations are discussed in text.
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Relative Abundance (%) vs. Rare Earth Elements (REE) for:

A. Vienna Woods, VW1
B. Roman Ruins, RMR4
C. Satanic Mills, SM1
D. Satanic Mills, SM2
E. Suzette, SZ5
F. North Su, NS2
G. DESMOS, D1
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Information about thermodynamic calculations used in this study

Rare earth element (REE) species distribution calculations were carried out using the SpecE8 program, included as a module of the Geochemist’s Workbench (v6.0) software package (Bethke, 1996). The SpecE8 program computes species distributions, mineral saturation states and gas fugacity in aqueous solutions at specified temperature and pressure. The objectives of our calculations are to assess whether differences in composition (e.g., Cl⁻, F⁻ and SO₄²⁻ concentration, pH) among sampled vent fluids result in significantly different REE species distributions that might be consistent with and responsible for the observed differences in REE concentrations and REEN distribution patterns. Our calculations do not predict the absolute abundance or solubility of REEs in these fluids as thermodynamic data for REE mineral solubility and fluid-mineral partitioning are not available.

The thermodynamic database used in SpecE8 calculations was generated using the SUPCRT92 program (Johnson et al., 1992) for a pressure of 50 MPa (500 bars) and temperatures between 0 and 400 °C. Our SUPCRT92 database includes updates to and addition of to inorganic aqueous species formation constants current to November 2007 that are included in the SUPCRT92 compatible data file OBIGT.dat (see OrganoBIOGeoTherm documentation available at http://pdukonline.co.uk/download/obigt/sources). All aqueous species for which thermodynamic properties were considered in these calculations are listed in this SOM. The following compositional parameters (all major and relevant accessory species) were used in the speciation calculations: concentrations of Na⁺, K⁺, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, Ba²⁺,
Fe$^{2+}$, Mn$^{2+}$, Al$^{3+}$, all REEs, Cl$^{-}$, F$^{-}$, SO$_4^{2-}$, SiO$_2$(aq), H$_2$S(aq), H$_2$(aq), and total CO$_2$. Inclusion of full fluid compositions enabled us to examine competition between the REE and other cations to form complexes with available ligands. For example, inclusion of thermodynamic data for Al–fluoride complexes (Tagirov and Schott, 2001) enabled us to examine competition between Al$^{3+}$ and REE$^{3+}$ to form complexes with fluoride (c.f. Gimeno Serrano et al., 2000). The pH value of each fluid measured at 25 °C was used together with aqueous compositional data to calculate pH at in situ temperature. The extended Debye–Hückel equation was used to calculate activity coefficients with extended parameters (β-gamma) and hard core diameters for each species from Wolery (2003). Dissolved neutral species were assigned an activity coefficient of one (Helgeson, 1969). In all calculations, mineral precipitation and redox reactions between species were suppressed. Exceptions were reactions between H$_2$/H$_2$O, Fe$^{3+}$/Fe$^{2+}$, Mn$^{3+}$/Mn$^{2+}$, and Eu$^{3+}$/Eu$^{2+}$. The redox potential for each fluid was calculated based on measured H$_2$(aq) concentrations and the assumption of H$_2$(aq)-H$_2$O equilibrium.

Equilibrium between valency states of redox-sensitive elements was allowed in order to examine the effects of differences in temperature and $f$O$_2$ on the oxidation state and resulting speciation of these elements. Equilibrium between H$_2$S and SO$_4^{2-}$ was suppressed because both species were measured in our hydrothermal fluids and are in a state of non-equilibrium. Suppression of mineral precipitation reflects that our calculations are used to predict species distributions of real fluid compositions at in situ temperature in the absence of mineral precipitation.

In this paper, the theoretically-determined formation constants for REE complexes provided by Haas et al. (1995) are used in species distribution calculations. These theoretical data are not without uncertainty, and for some REE-complexes are not in agreement with
formation constants estimated independently from experimental studies. For example, it has been suggested on the basis of experimental data for Nd speciation in Cl-rich fluids that theoretical constants over-predict the stability of Nd (and other REE) hydroxide complexes (e.g., Gammons et al., 1996; Wood et al., 2002). If this is correct, REE speciation in hydrothermal fluids would be more dominated by REE-chloride complexes than our calculations predict. Most recently, a systematic experimental endeavor has been undertaken to characterize the solubility and speciation of all REEs in aqueous solutions at elevated temperatures with a wide range of chloride- fluoride- and sulfate compositions (e.g., Migdisov et al., 2006; Migdisov and Williams-Jones, 2006, 2007, 2008; Migdisov et al., 2008; Migdisov et al., 2009). The agreement between the theoretically predicted REE formation constants of Haas et al. (1995) and those determined experimentally by Migdisov and co-workers varies. There is relatively good agreement between theoretically and experimentally determined first and second formation constants of REE-chloride complexes (REECl$^{2+}$ and REECl$_2^{2-}$), in particular for the heavy REEs. Experimental studies suggest that the stability chloride complexes of the light REEs are, however, moderately under predicted by theoretical calculations of Haas et al. (1995). No experimental data are available for higher order chloride complexes (i.e., REECl$_3^{0}$ (aq) and REECl$_4^{-}$). There is good agreement between the first and second formation constants of REE-sulfate complexes (REESO$_4^{+}$ and REE(SO$_4$)$_2^{-}$) determined theoretically and experimentally (Migdisov and Williams-Jones, 2008). It is critical to note, however, that experimentally-derived formation constants are available only for three REE (Nd, Sm and Er), and so it is not possible to compare theoretically and experimentally derived formation constants for all REE-sulfate complexes, nor is it possible to consider experimentally determined REE-sulfate thermodynamic data in our calculations.
The theoretically (Haas et al., 1995) and experimentally determined (Migdisov et al., 2009) formation constants of REE-fluoride complexes show considerable discrepancies. For all REEs, the stability of REE-fluoride complexes derived experimentally is significantly lower than that predicted from theoretical calculations. Experimental data suggest that the stability of the heavy-REE fluoride complexes is strongly over-predicted by theoretical calculations and, at elevated temperatures (≥ 250 °C), the stability of heavy-REE fluoride complexes is not greater than that of light-REE fluorides.

The choice of thermodynamic data used will have a small affect on the results of our REE species distribution calculations. For example, the use of experimentally-derived thermodynamic data by Migdisov and co-workers would predict a lower relative abundance of REE-fluoride complexes relative to that of REE-chloride complexes (in particular for the heavy-REEs) within all hydrothermal fluids. The results of Migdisov et al. (2009) would imply that the importance of REE-fluoride complexing (i.e., absolute abundance of REE-fluoride complexes) in high temperature hydrothermal fluids is over-stated. However, it is important to note that our calculations are used only to identify relative differences in the species distribution of the REEs between fluids of different composition. Relative differences in the REE species distribution predicted between fluids are robust in all instances and do not impact our interpretation of the calculations. Clearly, it would be most instructive to model and compare REE species distributions in seafloor hydrothermal fluids using both theoretically- and experimentally-derived REE thermodynamic data. However, a fully inclusive and internally consistent experimental database is not yet available, notably for REE-sulfate, (oxy)hydroxide and carbonate complexes, which would enable such a
comparison with theoretical predictions. In the absence of a complete experimentally-derived REE thermodynamic database, we rely on the theoretical predictions of Haas et al. (1995).
List of aqueous species considered in thermodynamic calculations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Al$^{+3}$</td>
<td>(TS01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al(OH)$_{n}$$^{+3-n}$</td>
<td>(TS01), (SSW97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al(OH)$_{3}$$^{+3-n}$</td>
<td>(TS01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlF$_{n}$$^{+3-n}$</td>
<td>(TS01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlH$<em>{3}$SiO$</em>{4}$$^{2-}$</td>
<td>(TS01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlSO$_{4}$$^{+}$</td>
<td>(TS01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba$^{2-}$</td>
<td>(SH88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BaCO$_{3}$(aq)</td>
<td>(SSH97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BaCl$^{+}$</td>
<td>(SSH97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BaOH$^{-}$</td>
<td>(SSH97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO$_{2}$(aq)</td>
<td>(SHS89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO$_{3}$$^{2-}$</td>
<td>(SH88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca$^{2+}$</td>
<td>(SH88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca(HCO$_{3}$)$^{+}$</td>
<td>(AZ99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaCO$_{3}$(aq)</td>
<td>(SSH97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaCl$_{n}$$^{+2-n}$</td>
<td>(SSH97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaF$^{-}$</td>
<td>(SSH97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaOH$^{-}$</td>
<td>(AZ99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaSO$_{4}$(aq)</td>
<td>(SSH97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce$^{3+}$</td>
<td>(HSS95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce(CO$_{3}$)$^{+}$</td>
<td>(HSS95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce(HCO$_{3}$)$^{+2}$</td>
<td>(HSS95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CeCl$_{n}$$^{+3-n}$</td>
<td>(HSS95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CeF$_{n}$$^{+3-n}$</td>
<td>(HSS95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CeO$_{3}$$^{+3-n}$</td>
<td>(HSS95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce(OH)$_{3}$(aq)</td>
<td>(HSS95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CeOH$^{2-}$</td>
<td>(HSS95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CeSO$_{4}$$^{+}$</td>
<td>(HSS95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl$^{-}$</td>
<td>(SH88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy$^{+3}$</td>
<td>(SH88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy(CO$_{3}$)$^{+}$</td>
<td>(HSS95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy(HCO$_{3}$)$^{+2}$</td>
<td>(HSS95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DyCl$_{n}$$^{+3-n}$</td>
<td>(HSS95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DyF$_{n}$$^{+3-n}$</td>
<td>(HSS95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DyO$_{3}$$^{+3-n}$</td>
<td>(HSS95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DyOH$_{3}$(aq)</td>
<td>(HSS95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DyOH$^{2-}$</td>
<td>(HSS95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DySO$_{4}$$^{+}$</td>
<td>(HSS95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er$^{+3}$</td>
<td>(SH88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er(CO$_{3}$)$^{+}$</td>
<td>(HSS95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er(HCO$_{3}$)$^{+2}$</td>
<td>(HSS95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ErCl$_{n}$$^{+3-n}$</td>
<td>(HSS95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ErF$_{n}$$^{+3-n}$</td>
<td>(HSS95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ErO$_{3}$$^{+3-n}$</td>
<td>(HSS95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ErO$_{2}$H (aq)</td>
<td>(HSS95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ErOH$^{2-}$</td>
<td>(HSS95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ErSO$_{4}$$^{+}$</td>
<td>(HSS95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu$^{2-}$</td>
<td>(SSW97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu$^{3+}$</td>
<td>(SH88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu(CO$_{3}$)$^{+}$</td>
<td>(HSS95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu(HCO$_{3}$)$^{+2}$</td>
<td>(HSS95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EuCl$_{n}$$^{+2-n}$</td>
<td>(HSS95)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
KOH (aq) (SSW97)
KSO\(_4\) (SSW97)
La\(^{3+}\) (SH88)
La(CO\(_3\))\(^{3-}\) (HSS95)
La(HCO\(_3\))\(^{2-}\) (HSS95)
LaCl\(_n\)\(^{3+n}\) (HSS95)
LaF\(_n\)\(^{3+n}\) (HSS95)
LaO\(_n\)\(^{3-n}\) (HSS95)
LaOH (aq) (HSS95)
LaSO\(_4\)\(^-\) (HSS95)
Lu\(^{3+}\) (SH88)
Lu(CO\(_3\))\(^{3-}\) (HSS95)
LuF\(_n\)\(^{3+n}\) (HSS95)
LuO\(_n\)\(^{3-n}\) (HSS95)
LuOH\(^2-\) (HSS95)
LuSO\(_4\)\(^-\) (HSS95)
Mg(HCO\(_3\))\(^+\) (SK95)
Mg\(^{2+}\) (SH88)
MgCO\(_2\) (aq) (SSH97)
MgCl\(^+\) (SSH97)
MgF\(^+\) (SSH97)
MgOH\(^+\) (SSW97)
MgSO\(_4\) (aq) (MS97)
Mn\(^{2+}\) (SSW97)
MnCl\(^+\) (SSH97)
MnF\(^+\) (SSH97)
MnO\(_4\)\(^+\) (SSH97)
MnOH\(^+\) (SSH97)
MnSO\(_4\) (aq) (SSH97)
Na(For) (aq) (SK95)
Na\(^+\) (SH88)
NaAl(OH)\(_3\)F\(_2\) (aq) (TS01)
NaAl(OH)\(_3\)F (aq) (TS01)
NaAl(OH)\(_4\) (aq) (TS01)
NaCl (aq) (SSH97)
NaF (aq) (SSH97)
NaHSiO\(_3\) (aq) (SSH97)
NaOH (aq) (SSH97)
NaSO\(_4\) (PSS95)
Nd\(^{3+}\) (SH88)
Nd(CO\(_3\))\(^{3-}\) (HSS95)
Nd(HCO\(_3\))\(^{2-}\) (HSS95)
NdCl\(_n\)\(^{3+n}\) (HSS95)
NdF\(_n\)\(^{3+n}\) (HSS95)
NdO\(_n\)\(^{3-n}\) (HSS95)
NdOH\(^2-\) (HSS95)
NdSO\(_4\)\(^-\) (HSS95)
O\(_2\) (aq) (SHS89)
OH\(^-\) (SH88)
Pr\(^{3+}\) (SSW97)
Pr(CO\(_3\))\(^{3-}\) (HSS95)
Pr(HCO\(_3\))\(^{2-}\) (HSS95)
PrCl\(_n\)\(^{3+n}\) (HSS95)
PrF\(_n\)\(^{3+n}\) (HSS95)
PrOH\(^2-\) (HSS95)
PrSO\(_4\)\(^+\) (HSS95)
SiF\(_6\)\(^2-\) (SH88)
Sm\(^{3+}\) (SH88)
Sm(CO\(_3\))\(^+\) (HSS95)
Sm(HCO\(_3\))\(^2-\) (HSS95)
SmCl\(_n\)\(^{3+n}\) (HSS95)
SmF\(_n\)\(^{3+n}\) (HSS95)
SmOH\(^2-\) (HSS95)
SmSO\(_4\)\(^+\) (HSS95)
SO\(_2\)\(^2-\) (SH88)
Tb\(^{3+}\) (SSW97)
Tb(CO\(_3\))\(^+\) (HSS95)
Tb(HCO\(_3\))\(^2-\) (HSS95)
TbCl\(_n\)\(^{3+n}\) (HSS95)
TbF\(_n\)\(^{3+n}\) (HSS95)
TbOH\(^2-\) (HSS95)
TbSO\(_4\)\(^+\) (HSS95)
Tm\(^{3+}\) (SH88)
Tm(CO\(_3\))\(^+\) (HSS95)
Tm(HCO\(_3\))\(^2-\) (HSS95)
TmCl\(_n\)\(^{3+n}\) (HSS95)
TmF\(_n\)\(^{3+n}\) (HSS95)
TmOH\(^2-\) (HSS95)
TmSO\(_4\)\(^+\) (HSS95)
Yb\(^{3+}\) (SH88)
Yb(CO\(_3\))\(^+\) (HSS95)
Yb(HCO\(_3\))\(^2-\) (HSS95)
YbCl\(_n\)\(^{3+n}\) (HSS95)
YbF\(_n\)\(^{3+n}\) (HSS95)
YbOH\(^2-\) (HSS95)
YbSO\(_4\)\(^+\) (HSS95)
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