Effect of carbon addition and predation on acetate-assimilating bacterial cells in groundwater
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Abstract

Groundwater microbial community dynamics are poorly understood due to the challenges associated with accessing subsurface environments. In particular, microbial interactions and their impact on the subsurface carbon cycle remain unclear. In the present project, stable isotope probing with uniformly-labeled $[^{13}\text{C}]-\text{acetate}$ was used to identify metabolically-active and inactive bacterial populations based on their ability to assimilate acetate and/or its metabolites. Furthermore, we assessed whether substrate availability (bottom-up control) or grazing mortality (top-down control) played a greater role in shaping bacterial community composition by separately manipulating the organic carbon supply and the protozoan grazer population. A community fingerprinting technique, Terminal Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism (T-RFLP), revealed that the bacterial community was not affected by changes in acetate availability but was significantly altered by the removal of protozoan grazers. In silico identification of terminal restriction fragments and 16S rDNA sequences from clone libraries revealed a bacterial community dominated by Proteobacteria, Firmicutes, and Bacteroidetes. Elucidation of the factors that structure the bacterial community will improve our understanding of the bacterial role in the carbon cycle of this important subterranean environment.

Introduction

Groundwater is important both as drinking water and as a source of freshwater flowing into coastal marine environments (Zektser & Everett, 2004; Mulligan & Charette, 2006). The groundwater microbial community is known to consist of prokaryotes, picoeukaryotes, and viruses (Ghiorse & Wilson, 1988; Fry et al., 1997; Goldscheider et
al., 2006). The eukaryotic community within aquifers is dominated by small (< 5 μm) flagellates although amoebae, ciliates, and fungi can also be present (Sinclair & Ghiorse, 1987; Novarino et al., 1997; Zarda et al., 1998; Andrushchyshyn et al., 2007; Brad et al., 2008). Research on groundwater bacterial diversity has primarily focused on contaminated aquifers (Zarda et al., 1998; Ludvigsen et al., 1999; Haack et al., 2004; Lehman et al., 2004; Bowman et al., 2006) or on comparisons between contaminated and pristine aquifers (Shi et al., 1999; Griebler et al., 2002). An endemic groundwater bacterial community has not been identified, although the groundwater microbial community is distinct from the microbial diversity observed in soil and other aquatic habitats (Griebler & Lueders, 2009). A recent study of the microbial community in a pristine aquifer revealed a spatially variable community that was dominated by Proteobacteria and that included both Euryarchaeota and Crenarchaeota (López-Archilla et al., 2007). While no study has examined temporal variability of bacterial diversity in pristine aquifers, the abundance and size of bacterial cells in aquifers can be temporally variable (Velasco Ayuso et al., 2009).

An important factor regarding the groundwater bacterial community is the extent to which the microorganisms are involved in biogeochemical processes. However, the presence of a microorganism is not always indicative of its role in biogeochemical cycles and the proportion of metabolically-active bacterial cells within groundwater is unknown. In marine ecosystems, metabolically-active bacterial cells can comprise between 1 and 80% of DAPI-stained cells (Karner & Fuhrman, 1997). Aquifers contain the same range of eutrophic and oligotrophic conditions as marine ecosystems and so it is likely that a similar range of metabolically-active cells will be observed in aquifers. Incubations with
labeled carbon substrates have revealed that some members of the groundwater bacterial community can incorporate $^{13}$C-labeled organic compounds (toluene, benzene, or acetate) into polar lipid fatty acids with concomitant appearance of $^{13}$C-labeled inorganic carbon (Pombo et al., 2002; Kästner et al., 2006) or methane (Pombo et al., 2005). As a result, the bacterial community appears to consume and alter organic matter during transport through aquifers (DeFlaun et al., 1997; Fuller et al., 2000), suggesting a critical role for bacteria in the subsurface carbon cycle.

Bacterial community composition is generally determined by a balance between substrate availability (bottom-up control) and mortality due to grazing or viral lysis (top-down control). There are conflicting views as to whether top-down or bottom-up control prevails under different ecological conditions. Modeling results indicate top-down control is more important in both marine and freshwater eutrophic environments (Sanders et al., 1992; Thelaus et al., 2008). Conversely, model and experimental results indicate the opposite in oligotrophic marine ecosystems (Gasol et al., 2002). Due to substantial variations in organic carbon and inorganic nutrient concentrations within aquifers, groundwater ecosystems can range from oligotrophic to eutrophic conditions. This range further complicates broad assessments of whether top-down or bottom-up control plays the larger role in structuring bacterial community composition within groundwater (Corno & Jürgens, 2008). While there is spatial variability in nutrient concentrations within our study area (Charette & Sholkovitz, 2006), the groundwater used for the present project is comparable to a pristine oligotrophic aquifer due to its low nutrient and organic carbon concentrations.
The interaction between grazers and the bacterial community in groundwater is not clear. Protozoan grazers are found in both pristine and contaminated aquifers, although their abundances are lower in pristine aquifers (Sinclair et al., 1993; Novarino et al., 1997). Grazing is known to occur in the subsurface and in flow-through columns designed to mimic in situ conditions (DeLeo & Baveye, 1997; Kinner et al., 1997; Eisenmann et al., 1998). In contaminated aquifers, interactions between grazers and their bacterial prey are linked to changes in the degradation of organic contaminants (Madsen et al., 1991; Tso & Taghon, 2006). To our knowledge, only one study has examined the effect of grazers on bacterial community composition and its results were inconclusive because removing grazers either decreased or increased bacterial diversity depending on sampling depth within the aquifer (Nagaosa et al., 2008).

Here we present the results of a study designed to examine patterns in the diversity of bacterial cells involved in acetate metabolism, and to further test factors which may be controlling microbial community structure in groundwater. There are differences in diversity between the free-living and sediment-associated bacterial community within groundwater (Lehman et al., 2001; Lehman et al., 2004). However, the present project focused on the free-living microbial community because of their ability to be transported to the coastal ocean at our study site (Cambareri & Eichner, 1998; Mulligan & Charette, 2006). In contrast to many coastal aquifers, temporal and spatial changes in groundwater chemistry at this site have been fairly well-characterized by other investigators (Valiela et al., 1990; Charette et al., 2001; Charette et al., 2005; Bone et al., 2006) and thus our results can be placed within the appropriate geochemical context.
Materials and Methods

Experimental setup

Groundwater was sampled from the freshwater zone of the aquifer at the Waquoit Bay National Estuarine Research Reserve (Figure 1). Polyethylene tubing lined with fluorinated ethylene propylene was inserted 2.4 m into the ground, and groundwater was pumped to the surface using a peristaltic pump at 100 ml min\(^{-1}\). A YSI 556 MPS handheld sensor (YSI Incorporated, Yellow Springs, OH) was used to characterize the groundwater used for the experiment. The initial conditions were: temperature = 10.6 - 13.2ºC; salinity < 0.1, pH = 6.4 - 6.6; and dissolved oxygen concentration = 8.5 - 10.2 mg L\(^{-1}\). The oligotrophic nature of our groundwater was confirmed by the low dissolved organic carbon concentrations (75 μM, see Table 2), and low nitrate (6 μM) and phosphate (< 0.1 μM) concentrations obtained from discrete, aqueous samples analyzed with a Lachat Instruments QuickChem 8000 Nutrient Analyzer.

Polycarbonate bottles (2.5 L bottles) were filled with 1.8 L of water, leaving about three cm of headspace within the bottles. At the bottom of each bottle was 500 g of autoclaved playground sand similar in texture to the in situ aquifer sand; the sediment covered the bottom three cm of each 25 cm high bottle. The sediment was homogenized after autoclaving and prior to adding to the bottles. Half of the bottles received whole, unfiltered, groundwater and half of the bottles received groundwater filtered through a 1 μm Polycap™ 36 AS filter. The filter unit (Whatman Inc. Florham Park, NJ) had been soaked in 10% hydrochloric acid followed by a rinse with 2 L of Milli-Q and 1 L of unfiltered groundwater prior to use. Examination of microbial cells stained with DAPI from a parallel experiment conducted with the same groundwater indicates that the protist
community is primarily comprised of flagellates between two and five μm long which would be captured by a 1 μm filter (data not shown).

The filled bottles were allowed to equilibrate in the dark for three days at room temperature (20ºC). Experimental bottles were then separated into three additional treatments: no carbon addition, a single addition of carbon, and multiple carbon additions (Table 1). Carbon was added as unlabeled acetate (Fisher, enzyme grade) or [¹³C]-acetate (99% ¹³CH₃-¹³COOH from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Andover MA). Final acetate concentration in the bottles was 200 μM, which was added either as 200 μM on day four, or as 40 μM every other day for a total of 200 μM of acetate by day 12 of the incubation period.

The incubations lasted a total of 13 to 15 days. At the conclusion of the experiment one ml of water from each bottle was set aside for flow cytometry, and then up to one liter from each bottle was filtered through either combusted 0.2 μm Anodisc filters (Whatman International Ltd. Maidstone, England) or 0.22 μm Sterivex filters (Millipore Corp. Billerica, MA). Filters were stored at -80ºC until further processing. Water passed through the Anodisc filters (40 mL) was acidified using hydrochloric acid to pH~2 and stored at 4ºC for dissolved organic carbon (DOC) analysis.

**Flow Cytometry**

Water samples for flow cytometry were fixed with 0.2% w/v paraformaldehyde (final concentration), placed in the dark for at least 10 min at room temperature to harden cells, and stored at -80ºC until sample processing. A Becton-Dickinson FACSCalibur flow cytometer was used for cell enumeration. Heterotrophic cells were enumerated after staining with a 1x working stock of SYBR Green I (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) for 15 min.
following a protocol modified from Marie et al. (1997). Flow rates for cell abundance calculations were determined by the addition of known concentrations of 1 μm Fluoresbrite YG microspheres (Polysciences, Warrington, PA) to each sample prior to loading on the flow cytometer. The coefficient of variability between triplicate runs on the flow cytometer to determine bacterial abundances was <7%.

**Dissolved organic carbon (DOC)**

DOC concentrations were measured with a Shimadzu TOC-VCSH total organic carbon analyzer using sucrose as a standard solution. DOC concentration was determined by subtracting the instrument blank area from the average peak area and dividing by the slope of the standard curve. Comparisons to low carbon water and deep-sea reference water provided by Prof. D. Hansell (University of Miami) were made daily. The coefficient of variability between triplicate injections was <1%.

**DNA extractions**

DNA was extracted from all filters using the UltraClean Soil DNA Kit (MoBio Laboratories, Inc. Carlsbad, CA), following the manufacturer’s alternative protocol with the following additional modifications. The outer plastic shell of the Sterivex unit was broken. The filter was then removed and cut with flame-sterilized scissors prior to the DNA extraction. After addition of 200 μl Solution IRS, samples were vortexed in a Mini-Beadbeater™ (BioSpec Products, Inc. Bartlesville, OK) at 4800 rpm for 5 minutes. The volume of solution S3 was reduced to 1.25 ml, and the addition of Solution S4 and the subsequent centrifugation step was repeated twice.
Ultracentrifugation

Extracted DNA was mixed with cesium chloride and spun in a Beckman Coulter Optima L-80 XP Ultracentrifuge (Fullerton, CA) following protocols modified from Freitag et al. (2006). DNA was mixed with 1x TE buffer (10 mM Tris and 1 mM EDTA) to obtain a final concentration of 500 ng of DNA in 800 μL, and 4.25 mL of a cesium chloride solution (measured refractive index = 1.4143, corresponding to a calculated density of 1.859 g ml$^{-1}$) was added to 4.9 mL OptiSeal tubes. DNA was spun at 140,000 x g for 66 hours at 20ºC using a VTi 65.2 vertical rotor. Each batch of tubes included a standard to identify the proper separation of $^{12}$C- and $^{13}$C-labeled DNA. The standard was comprised of equal amounts of DNA extracted from *Halomonas halodurans* grown on unlabeled glucose or [U-$^{13}$C] glucose (99%; Cambridge Isotope Laboratories).

At the conclusion of the ultracentrifugation run, a series of 250 μL aliquots were removed from each tube using a pipette starting with the fraction at the top of the tube. Each fraction’s refractive index was measured with an AR200 Digital Refractometer (Reichert, Inc. Depew, NY). The refractive index was converted to buoyant density using a linear regression calculated from tables translating refractive index to buoyant density. DNA was then precipitated following a protocol adapted from Griffiths et al. (2000) and Freitag et al. (2006). Two volumes of a 30% w/v polyethylene glycol 6000/1.6 M sodium chloride solution were added to each fraction. Fractions were incubated at 4ºC for 24 hours and then centrifuged at 20,000 x g for 15 minutes at 4ºC. The supernatant was discarded and 1 ml of cold 70% ethanol was added to each fraction followed by centrifugation at 20,000 x g for 10 minutes at 4ºC. This step was repeated twice more, discarding the supernatant each time for a total of three washings. The DNA pellet was
then dried and resuspended in 10 mM Tris. DNA from two adjacent fractions was then combined resulting in eight fractions from each sample.

Two factors were used to determine which fractions contained DNA labeled with $^{13}$C and which contained $^{12}$C-DNA. First, we examined the separation of $^{12}$C-DNA and $^{13}$C-DNA in our standard tube with quantitative PCR (see below for details). The position of the $^{12}$C and $^{13}$C peaks between the different ultracentrifugation runs varied <0.01 g ml$^{-1}$ within the cesium chloride gradient (n = 4, data not shown), implying that separation of DNA was reproducible between individual ultracentrifugation runs. Second, we examined variability in $^{12}$C-DNA buoyant density. DNA was extracted from a no carbon addition bottle, separated by ultracentrifugation, and processed in the same manner as the $^{13}$C-substrate DNA. As expected, PCR product was only obtained from the upper, $^{12}$C-DNA, region of the cesium chloride gradient. The density of fractions which did not amplify with PCR were noted and used to constrain the $^{13}$C-DNA region of the cesium chloride gradient. Based on these two independent assessments, densities $\geq 1.7258$ g ml$^{-1}$ contained $^{13}$C-labeled DNA.

**Quantitative PCR**

Quantitative PCR was used to amplify a section of the 16S rRNA gene with the ABsolute™ QPCR SYBR Green Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc. Waltham, MA). Reaction conditions were 1x master mix and 200 nM of each primer: 27F and 519R (Operon Biotechnologies, Inc. Huntsville, AL). An enzyme activation cycle (95°C, 15 minutes) was followed by 40 cycles of denaturation (95°C, 15 seconds), annealing (55°C, 30 seconds), and extension (72°C, 30 seconds). This was followed by a melting curve program (95°C, 15 seconds, 55°C, 15 seconds) concluding at 95°C for 15 seconds.
Community fingerprinting

Terminal Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism (T-RFLP) analysis was used to assess bacterial community composition in DNA extracted from the groundwater filling the sample bottles and DNA obtained from the ultracentrifugation fractions. DNA was amplified using the GoTaq Master Mix (Promega Corp. Madison WI). Reaction conditions were 2-10 ng of DNA, 700 nM FAM-labeled 27F and 700 nM 519R, and 1x GoTaq colorless master mix which contains 200 μM dNTPs (final concentration) and 1.5 mM MgCl₂ (final concentration). PCR conditions were an initial denaturation (95°C for 5 minutes) followed by 35 cycles of denaturation (95°C, 30 seconds), annealing (46°C, 30 seconds), extension (72°C, 90 seconds), and a final extension cycle of 72°C for 5 minutes. Due to the low recovery of DNA precipitated from the cesium chloride, nested PCR was used to amplify DNA from the ultracentrifugation fractions. This involved an initial amplification with 15 cycles of the PCR program described above followed by transfer into a new PCR reaction and amplification for an additional 35 cycles of the same PCR program. At least two additional negative controls from the first PCR reaction were run in the second reaction to detect possible contamination due to the increased number of cycles. PCR products were digested using 1 U of the restriction endonuclease Hin6I (Fermantas International, Inc. Burlington, Ontario) in Tango Buffer at 37°C for 2 hours. The choice of the enzyme was based on the high number of possible restriction fragments obtained with a virtual digest at the Microbial Community Analysis web site (Shyu et al., 2007). After the restriction digest, DNA was precipitated with 0.3 vol 2 M lithium chloride and 2 vol 100% ethanol, centrifuged 15 min at 3220 x g, washed twice with 2
vol cold 70% ethanol, and dried. After mixing with Hi-Di formamide (Applied
Biosystems) and MegaBACE™ ET900-R size standard (GE Healthcare,
Buckinghamshire, UK), the terminal restriction fragments (TRFs) were analyzed on an
Applied Biosystems 3730XL capillary sequencer.

Chromatograms were analyzed using DAx Data Acquisition and Analysis software
Version 8.0 (Van Mierlo Software Consultancy Eindhoven, the Netherlands). The ladder
added to each well included 37 fragments of known size ranging from 60 to 900 base
pairs, and the position of these peaks was used to determine the length of TRFs within
each well. The position of TRFs between samples was aligned using Matlab code written
by Liam Finlay (Finlay, L., J. Kitner, S.J. Giovannoni and E.B. Kujawinski,
unpublished). The program gathers fragment lengths from all TRFs and applies a user-
defined error (0.75 for the present project) to align TRFs from different wells on the same
T-RFLP plate or between multiple plates. Peaks above an experimentally determined
threshold value (100 fluorescent units for the present project) were then converted into a
presence/absence matrix, thereby not considering differences in relative peak heights
between samples.

**In silico identification of TRFs**

The web-based Microbial Community Analysis tool (MiCA, Department of
Biological Sciences, University of Idaho, http://mica.ibest.uidaho.edu/) was used to
obtain in silico identifications of the TRFs (Shyu et al., 2007). The in silico analysis
results in a list of 16S rRNA genes with restriction sites which would produce fragments
the length of the user-provided TRFs. The web site allows the user to change the
sensitivity of the analysis, and the following settings were used in the present project: one
mismatch within one base from the 5’ end of the primer and a window size of 2 base pairs. We wrote code in Matlab to place the taxonomic identity of each sequence within the Hugenholtz taxonomic outline of 16S rRNA genes available from greengenes.lbl.gov (DeSantis et al., 2006). A single TRF may match different 16S rRNA genes. In order to eliminate TRFs matching 16S rRNA genes within different taxonomic groups, we considered a hit to be valid only if more than 50% of the sequences matching the TRF were from the same taxonomic group.

**Cloning and sequencing of 16S rRNA genes**

Two samples were chosen for further analysis using clone libraries followed by DNA sequencing: one from a bottle with whole water (p02) and a second sample from a 1 μm-filtered bottle (p01). Both of the bottles had received a single addition of carbon. Almost full-length 16S rRNA genes were amplified and analyzed using conditions previously described (Longnecker & Reysenbach, 2001). Briefly, DNA was amplified using 27F and 1492R. The resulting PCR products were cloned using the pCR4-TOPO vector (TOPO-TA, Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s directions. DNA was extracted from the resulting colonies using a modified alkaline lysis protocol (Sambrook et al., 1989). Plasmid DNA was screened for appropriate-sized inserts with vector-specific primers. The PCR-amplified inserts were then digested with 1U of the restriction endonucleases MspI and HinPI following the manufacturer’s instructions (New England Biolabs). The resulting products were separated by gel electrophoresis on a 3.5% NuSieve GTG agarose gel (Lonza) run in TBE buffer at 4°C. The clones were separated into different phylotypes based on the RFLP banding patterns.
At least one of each RFLP pattern was sequenced to 2x coverage by cycle sequencing using Applied Biosystems Big Dye Terminator v3.1. The coverage of each clone library was assessed using \( C = [1 - (n/N)] \times 100 \) where \( n \) = number of RFLP groups with a single clone and \( N \) = the total number of clones examined (Good, 1953).

Sequences were assembled using Sequencher (Gene Codes Corporation), and the primer and vector were trimmed from each sequence. Chimeras identified by Bellerophon (Huber et al., 2004) were removed from further analysis. GenBank sequence accession numbers are FJ602393-FJ602433; clones are preceded by ‘p02’ (whole water bottle) or ‘p01’ (1 μm-filtered bottle).

The phylogenetic association of each clone was determined using the small subunit rRNA taxonomy and alignment pipeline (STAP, Wu et al., 2008). STAP gathers related 16S rDNA sequences, aligns them to the target sequence, and then automatically generates phylogenetic trees to determine the taxonomic assignment for each DNA sequence.

**Indicator Species Analysis**

Indicator Species Analysis (ISA) was used to identify TRFs unique to different treatments (Dufrene & Legendre, 1997; McCune & Grace, 2002). ISA takes into account the relative abundance and the relative frequency of each TRF within a pre-defined group. The output from ISA is an indicator value for each TRF, with higher indicator values assigned to TRFs that are most representative of a pre-defined group. The highest indicator value possible is 100, obtained when a TRF is found within all samples of only one pre-defined group. The significance of the indicator value was evaluated by Monte Carlo simulations during which groups were randomized 1000 times and the indicator
value of the randomized data was compared to the real data. A cutoff of p<0.05 was used to determine if peaks were statistically significant indicators of the pre-defined groups. The use of relative abundance information in T-RFLP is problematic due to the potential for PCR bias (Suzuki & Giovannoni, 1996), therefore only the presence or absence of a TRF was used in the ISA calculations which reduced the number of TRFs with significant indicator values. While the use of ISA is not common in microbial ecology, it proved to be useful in identifying TRFs within different redox zones of tropical soil (Pett-Ridge & Firestone, 2005).

**Statistical analysis**

Nonmetric multidimensional scaling (NMS) (Kruskal, 1964; Mather, 1976) was used to analyze variability in bacterial community composition. NMS is a multivariate statistical technique that can be used to examine similarities, or differences, between samples by reducing the comparisons between samples from a multidimensional space to fewer dimensions, preferably two or three. Differences between samples were calculated based on the presence or absence of TRFs. The differences were then presented graphically in a multidimensional space; samples which are close together in the ordination are more similar than samples located further apart. Distances between samples were calculated with a distance measure using the Fathom toolbox (David Jones, University of Miami – Rosenstiel, http://www.rsmas.miami.edu/personal/djones/matlab/matlab.html). The distance measure used was either the Bray-Curtis distance measure or a relative Bray-Curtis distance measure as noted in the results section. The relative Bray-Curtis distance measure normalizes the distances by the total number of TRFs in each sample; this removes TRF
number as a factor in inter-sample variability. The statistics toolbox in Matlab was used to run the NMS analyses, and additional code was written to assess the dimensionality of the data set by comparing 40 runs with real data to 50 runs with randomized data. Additional axes were considered if the addition of the axis resulted in a significant improvement over the randomized data (at \( p \leq 0.05 \)) and the reduction in stress was greater than 0.05. The p-values were calculated as the proportion of randomized runs with stress less than or equal to the observed stress which was calculated using Kruskal’s stress formula 1; stress is a measure of goodness of fit used in NMS. The proportion of variation represented by each axis was assessed with a Mantel test to calculate the coefficient of determination \( (r^2) \) between distances in the ordination space and distance in the original space.

One-way analysis of similarity (ANOSIM) was used to assess if groups visualized by NMS were statistically significant. Matlab code for ANOSIM was also from the Fathom toolbox. The Bray-Curtis distance matrix calculated for the NMS was used for ANOSIM, and the distances were converted to ranked distances prior to ANOSIM calculations. The significance of each group was tested by 1000 randomizations of the dataset, and p-values were calculated to determine the probability of no difference between groups.

**Results**

**Bacterial abundance and DOC concentrations**

In the groundwater used to establish the experiment, the abundance of grazers was less than 100 cells ml\(^{-1}\). By the conclusion of the experiment, both bacterial abundances and dissolved organic carbon concentrations were elevated relative to the initial
groundwater (Table 2). Measurements of the abundance of grazers present at the conclusion of the experiment were not conducted. Bacterial abundances in the water were highest in 1 μm-filtered bottles even when no carbon was added to the bottles. Dissolved organic carbon concentrations were higher in bottles with whole water compared to bottles with 1 μm-filtered water, and were higher in bottles which received multiple additions of carbon as opposed to a single addition of carbon. The increase in dissolved organic carbon in the bottles which did not receive added carbon was likely due to carbon leaching off the sediment added to each bottle, although we cannot discount the contribution of DOC exuded by bacterial cells.

**Bacterial community analysis based on DNA extracted from filters**

Variability in bacterial community composition within the groundwater filling the bottles was assessed using NMS analysis of the T-RFLP data; we did not assess the bacterial community composition in the sand remaining at the bottom of each sampling bottle. The first step in this process was to compare similarities between samples based on the presence or absence of TRFs using the Bray-Curtis distance measure. NMS was then used to visualize differences between samples as shown in Figure 2. The NMS calculation resulted in an ordination with a final stress of 0.1734, and $r^2 = 0.75$ with the variability split between the two axes ($r^2$ on axis 1 = 0.38, $r^2$ on axis 2 = 0.26). The bacterial community in bottles which received no carbon was not distinct from the bacterial community in bottles which received one or multiple carbon additions (Figure 2A). All of the bottles received the same aliquot of autoclaved sediment at the beginning of the experiment. There were also no significant differences in bacterial community composition between bottles which received unlabeled acetate compared to bottles with
labeled acetate (Figure 2B). The presence of grazers significantly altered the composition of the bacterial community (ANOSIM, $R = 0.48$, $p = 0.0010$). The separation of the whole water and grazer-free bottles is visually evident by the clustering of whole water samples on the left side of axis one, and grazer-free samples on the right side of axis one (Figure 2C).

The in silico analysis at the MiCA web site produced over 36,000 matches to the TRFs we obtained from our samples. The results from the in silico analysis for the bottles which received a single addition of acetate compared to bottles which received multiple acetate additions were combined because of the lack of significant differences in community composition between those two treatments. Taxonomic assignments restricted to the phylum level identified between 30 and 48% of the TRFs from the present study. At the lower taxonomic levels (class, order, etc.), the number of TRFs identified dropped further because of an increase in the number of ambiguous identifications (data not shown). Based on results from the in silico analysis, the bacterial community in the sample bottles at the conclusion of the experiment was dominated by Firmicutes and Proteobacteria, with smaller contributions from Actinobacteria and Bacteroidetes (Table 3). The initial groundwater community was also dominated by Firmicutes and Proteobacteria (Table 3 – column 1), but this conclusion is based on lower numbers of TRFs due to the smaller number of samples processed from the initial groundwater.

The two clone libraries provided more detailed phylogenetic information about the bacterial diversity in a whole water bottle (clone library p02) compared to a 1 μm-filtered bottle (clone library p01). A total of 192 clones were analyzed with 96 clones from each
clone library. After screening of the clone libraries using RFLP, 22 clones were fully sequenced from p01 while 28 clones were sequenced from p02. The clone library coverage of p01 was slightly higher than the coverage of p02 (91% and 87%, respectively). After removing chimeras, more than half of the sequences were from the Proteobacteria and the Bacteroidetes (Table 4) and most of the sequences were present in both clone libraries.

There were differences in phylogenetic diversity between the clone libraries and the in silico diversity assessment from the T-RFLP data. No Firmicutes were present in the clone libraries and only a single Actinobacteria sequence was observed. We used Probe Match at the Ribosomal Database Project (RDP, Cole et al., 2009) to examine if the differences could be due to the presence of mismatches between our primers and the 16S rDNA sequences archived at RDP. There were 176,281 Firmicute sequences at RDP (as of December 2008), and the 519R primer we used for the T-RFLP matches 77%, 85%, or 87% of those sequences if zero, one, or two mismatches are allowed. For the 1492R primer used for the clone libraries, only 2%, 10%, or 12% of the Firmicute sequences match if zero, one, or two mismatches are allowed. A similar situation was observed for the Actinobacteria where 65-75% of the 54,945 Actinobacteria sequences at RDP match the 519R primer, but only 3-17% of Actinobacteria sequences match the 1492R primer. Thus, we conclude that the clone libraries were likely biased against Firmicutes and Actinobacteria. This comparison between DNA sequences and primers presupposes that the sequences available from RDP are broadly representative of the DNA sequences which are added to online databases at an exponential rate (Benson et al., 2009).
Bacterial community composition following ultracentrifugation

DNA extracts from seven bottles which received $^{13}$C-acetate were subjected to ultracentrifugation: two bottles from each of the carbon addition treatments listed in Table 1, except for the whole water bottles with multiple carbon additions where only one replicate was subjected to ultracentrifugation. A higher number of unique TRFs was obtained within the fractions from 1 μm-filtered bottles compared to fractions from the whole water bottles (Table 3). Identifications from the in silico analysis of the $^{13}$C-labeled DNA fractions were predominantly Proteobacteria and Firmicutes (Table 3). Due to our conservative choices for the in silico analysis, only a portion of the TRFs were identified and therefore a component of the bacterial diversity remains unknown.

Patterns in the community composition of active ($^{13}$C-labeled) bacterial cells in whole and 1-μm filtered treatments were assessed using NMS. A relative Bray-Curtis distance measure was used for this NMS analysis. This removes the bias from the observed differences in the number of TRFs between the whole and 1 μm-filtered samples. The resulting NMS ordination had a final stress of 0.1289 and $r^2 = 0.8395$ with $r^2$ on axis 1 = 0.6224 and $r^2$ on axis 2 = 0.1582 (Figure 3). Similar to the observations for the DNA extracted from the filters, there was no significant difference in the composition of $^{13}$C-labeled DNA from the bottles which received a single addition of carbon compared to bottles which received multiple carbon additions (ANOSIM, p-value > 0.05). However, there was a significant difference in the composition of active cells in the bottles with whole water compared to bottles with 1 μm-filtered groundwater (ANOSIM, $R = 0.2573$, $p = 0.0234$).
The output from the Indicator Species Analysis provided three TRFs with significant indicator values: a Bradyrhizobiales, a Fibrobacterales, and one TRF which could not be identified. Significant indicator values were only obtained for TRFs primarily found within the \( ^{13} \text{C} \)-labeled DNA. The Fibrobacterales (indicator value = 80, \( p \)-value = 0.004) and the unidentified TRF (indicator value = 80, \( p \)-value = 0.016) were primarily found within the \( ^{13} \text{C} \)-DNA from 1 µm-filtered bottles. Bradyrhizobiales had high indicator values for \( ^{13} \text{C} \)-DNA in both whole (indicator value = 100, \( p \)-value = 0.0090) and 1 µm-filtered bottles (indicator value = 72, \( p \)-value = 0.036), but not in the \( ^{12} \text{C} \)-DNA fractions. The indicator values for the Bradyrhizobiales are different between the whole water and 1 µm-filtered water because the analysis was run twice: once for the whole water bottles to compare \( ^{12} \text{C} \)- and \( ^{13} \text{C} \)-DNA, and a second time for the 1 µm-filtered bottles. Based on the higher indicator value, the Bradyrhizobiales is a better indicator of the \( ^{13} \text{C} \)-labeled community in the whole water bottles compared to the 1 µm-filtered bottles.

**Discussion**

Microorganisms in the subsurface represent a large component of bacterial biomass (Whitman *et al.*, 1998) and understanding the factors which control bacterial community composition and metabolic activity are critical to link the microbial community with subsurface biogeochemical cycles. We used a community fingerprinting technique, T-RFLP, to assess how variability in carbon supply and grazing affected the community structure of metabolically active bacterial cells in groundwater. Our use of T-RFLP was conservative in that we sought to explain patterns in bacterial community composition. Previous modeling studies and careful analysis of in situ samples has shown this to be a
valid use of T-RFLP data (Hartmann & Widmer, 2008; Zhang et al., 2008). We also recognize that manipulating the groundwater can alter both bacterial diversity (Massana et al., 2001; Agis et al., 2007) and organic matter (Fuhrman & Bell, 1985). Thus, as with any experimental manipulation, there are compromises with respect to experimental design. In the present project we recognize that separating bacterial cells from grazers via filtration artificially altered the in situ community. An alternative design would be to add cultured flagellates to groundwater which has its own methodological concerns.

The active and inactive components of the bacterial community in our samples were separately identified using stable isotope probing. While stable isotope probing provides a window into the diversity and community composition of the metabolically active community (Neufeld et al., 2007a), it is not without methodological problems (Neufeld et al., 2007b). We attempted to minimize these issues with a number of constraints. For example, we relied on incubations without added carbon to confirm the location of \(^{13}\)C-labeled DNA. Although this experiment was shorter than other studies that rely on stable isotope probing, transfer of labeled carbon within the microbial community was still likely during our incubations. As a result we do not claim that all the organisms identified within the “active” community are directly involved in acetate metabolism. Instead, we suggest that these organisms are participants in the broader carbon cycle within groundwater. Finally, the experiment relied on a single carbon source and our conclusion about the diversity of active cells is therefore only based on bacterial cells able to assimilate acetate and/or its metabolites. Acetate was chosen as a substrate in the present project to allow us to build on previous research in which acetate assimilation was used to characterize bacterial activity in a hydrocarbon-contaminated aquifer (Pombo
et al., 2002; Pombo et al., 2005). Inferences about other carbon sources will require additional research; however, our conclusions about the diversity of cells able to assimilate acetate are an important step towards identifying the bacterial community involved in the carbon cycle within groundwater.

Previous studies have shown that variability in the rate of substrate addition affected bacterial diversity (Carrero-Colón et al., 2006), and that microorganisms able to respond rapidly to changes in substrate availability can out-compete microorganisms adapted to steady nutrient concentrations (Pernthaler et al., 2001). In contrast, in the present study, variability in substrate supply (i.e. bottom-up control) did not affect bacterial community composition. Although bacterial abundance increased during the incubations suggesting that the bacterial community in the bottles was able to grow under our experimental conditions, there were no shifts in bacterial community composition which could be linked to substrate supply.

Experimental manipulation of the grazer population indicated that top-down control affected bacterial community composition in the present study. In aquatic ecosystems, the presence of grazers alters bacterial community composition (Suzuki, 1999; Jürgens & Matz, 2002; Beardsley et al., 2003; Vázquez-Domínguez et al., 2005), but the mechanism by which grazers select their prey and shape the bacterial community remains poorly understood (Boenigk & Arndt, 2002; Weisse, 2002). In a sedimentary aquifer, Nagaosa et al. (2008) found removal of grazers resulted in an increase in the number of operational taxonomic units identified within DNA collected 2 m below the surface, but the opposite situation was observed at 10 m depth. In the present study, we observed more restriction
fragments in $^{13}$C-DNA from grazer-free bottles compared to the number of restriction fragments found in $^{13}$C-DNA from the whole water bottles.

We consider two possible explanations for grazer control of bacterial diversity. First, grazers selectively consume more active bacterial cells (González et al., 1990; Sherr et al., 1992; González et al., 1993; del Giorgio et al., 1996). In our whole water incubations, the grazers could have removed the active bacterial cells resulting in the observed decrease in bacterial diversity. This removal of active bacterial cells was observed in our data as a lower number of TRFs when grazers were present. Alternatively, the increased diversity in the grazer-free incubations could reflect bacterial cells able to grow only when grazing pressure was relieved. Although the present project only considered the presence or absence of different bacterial phylogenetic groups, higher bacterial abundances were observed in the grazer-free incubations. Therefore data on the abundance of metabolically active bacterial cells will be necessary in order to assess which mechanism better explains the lower diversity in whole water incubations: growth in the absence of grazers or grazing of active cells.

The combination of the in silico analysis of the T-RFLP data and the clone libraries provided valuable information on the diversity of bacterial cells within the bottles. However, in silico analyses and clone libraries have different limitations when used to assess patterns in bacterial diversity. The in silico analyses ignore potential differences between the observed and predicted length of the restriction fragments and whether multiple organisms can have restriction fragments of the same length (Kitts, 2001; Thies, 2007). To reduce these issues, we chose to be conservative and we focus here on the phylum level rather than present more detailed taxonomic information. Meanwhile, 16S
rDNA clone libraries offer less coverage of microbial diversity than is possible with pyrosequencing (Sogin et al., 2006) or metagenomic approaches (Rusch et al., 2007). We observed differences between the clone libraries and the in silico analysis which may be due to the use of two different reverse primers: one for the T-RFLP analysis and one for generating the PCR product used for the clone libraries. While both primers were designed to target Bacteria, the presence of mismatches between the primer and a target sequence decreases the likelihood a sequence will be amplified (Sipos et al., 2007; Bru et al., 2008). Furthermore, even when in silico analyses reveal a high level of specificity for a primer set, use of the primer set can result in amplification of a significant proportion of non-target sequences (Morales & Holben, 2009). The mismatches between target sequences and primers we observed using RDP’s Probe Match likely biased our clone library against the Firmicutes and Actinobacteria identified from the in silico analysis of the T-RFLP data. Amplicon size, primer mismatch, cloning issues, and PCR-induced errors can all bias bacterial diversity assessments (Huber et al., 2009). Therefore, due to the observed differences between the two methods used in the present study, we base our conclusions on the diversity of bacterial cells in our incubations on the combination of both datasets rather than relying solely on the T-RFLP data or the clone libraries.

The dominance of Proteobacteria in both the in silico analyses and the clone libraries is consistent with previous observations of Proteobacteria within aquifers (López-Archilla et al., 2007; Blöthe & Roden, 2009). Proteobacteria have been observed in regions of sulfate reduction, denitrification, and iron-based metabolisms (López-Archilla et al., 2007) suggesting this phylum may play a role in these biogeochemical transformations. Proteobacteria are capable of many different metabolisms, and the
possibility that they are involved in iron-based metabolisms is intriguing due to the presence of iron in both groundwater (Charette & Sholkovitz, 2006) and sediments (Charette et al., 2005) sampled within 100 meters of our sampling location. Furthermore, most probable number (MPN) assays have enumerated bacterial cells participating in both iron oxidation and iron reduction in groundwater (Blöthe & Roden, 2009). In that same study, clone libraries generated from the groundwater and the MPN cultures were entirely Proteobacteria, with Betaproteobacteria representing more than 50% of the 16S rDNA sequences (Blöthe & Roden, 2009). While we cannot definitely state that the Proteobacteria identified within our bottle incubations were involved in iron-based metabolisms, evaluating microbial involvement in the subsurface iron cycle at our study site will be an interesting area of future research.

Two other phylogenetic groups, Saprospiraceae and Firmicutes, were dominant in our assessment of bacterial diversity. However, their importance is harder to assess because the Saprospiraceae were only found in the clone libraries while the Firmicutes were only identified with the in silico analysis of the T-RFLP data. To our knowledge, Saprospiraceae have not previously been observed in aquifers though they are found in freshwater lakes and ponds (Schauer & Hahn, 2005), in activated sludge (Kong et al., 2007; Xia et al., 2008), and in an experiment examining carbon cycling in activated sludge (Ginige et al., 2004). Firmicutes have also been identified within aquifers although they are generally more abundant in contaminated aquifers. Two studies have found a small proportion of Firmicutes within pristine aquifers (Miyoshi et al., 2005; López-Archilla et al., 2007). Within hydrocarbon-contaminated aquifers, Firmicutes can account for 30-60% of DNA sequences obtained from the bacterial community.
Due to the small number of studies on oligotrophic aquifers, we cannot make any inferences as to what causes such variability in the prevalence of the Firmicutes. However, Firmicutes were present in groundwater prior to the onset of the present project, and their presence within the Waquoit Bay aquifer indicates that much remains to be understood about bacterial diversity within non-hydrocarbon contaminated aquifers. To our knowledge, the presence of Firmicutes in groundwater has not been correlated with any single microbial metabolism, and therefore we cannot speculate on their role in the ecosystem.

Indicator Species Analysis provided two bacterial groups on which to focus future research efforts. A TRF identified as Fibrobacterales was diagnostic of the grazer-free bacterial community able to assimilate acetate. Fibrobacterales is a small phylum within the Bacteria and the few which have been described are responsible for degradation of cellulose within ruminants (Krause & Russell, 1996; Montgomery et al., 1998). Since Fibrobacterales were found within the $^{13}$C-DNA community, they do not appear to have been passively transported through the subsurface but were instead actively involved in the carbon cycle within the grazer-free incubations. The second TRF with a significant indicator value was a Bradyrhizobiales within the Alphaproteobacteria. This group is often associated with root nodules, and includes diverse metabolisms such as nitrogen-fixation, and both aerobic and anaerobic respiration (Kuykendall, 2005). Future work will be needed to assess how these two groups are involved in biogeochemical cycles within groundwater.
In conclusion, the present project revealed that the availability of carbon did not structure community composition in a groundwater bacterial community. Instead, the community composition of acetate-assimilating bacterial cells shifted in response to the removal of grazers in our experimental manipulations. Phylogenetic identifications from our incubation experiments included microbial groups known to be present in groundwater as well as the first identification of the Saprospiraceae which indicates that we lack a complete picture of bacterial diversity in groundwater. The present study further provides an important step in determining the factors which control the bacterial community and carbon cycling in groundwater. Further studies will be needed to assess whether these patterns are unique to the Waquoit Bay aquifer or extend to other aquifers.
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Table 1. Experimental bottles were divided based on differences in carbon addition and whether the groundwater was filtered to remove grazers. All of the carbon addition bottles received the same total concentration of carbon either as a single addition of 200 μM carbon (single addition), or five additions of 40 μM carbon (multiple addition). For each carbon addition treatment, two of the bottles received unlabeled acetate and the remaining bottles received [13C]acetate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carbon</th>
<th>Filtered</th>
<th># of bottles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>none</td>
<td>Whole</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>none</td>
<td>1 μm-filtered</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single addition</td>
<td>Whole</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single addition</td>
<td>1 μm-filtered</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple addition</td>
<td>Whole</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple addition</td>
<td>1 μm-filtered</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Summary of ancillary data collected from selected bottles at the conclusion of the experiment. Values are means ± standard deviations. Only single measurements are available for the no carbon added bottles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Initial</th>
<th>Whole</th>
<th>1 μm-filtered</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bacterial abundance (x 10^4 cells ml⁻¹)</strong></td>
<td>1.61 ± 0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple carbon addition</td>
<td>28.5 ± 13.4</td>
<td>73.5 ± 37.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single carbon addition</td>
<td>19.6 ± 18.9</td>
<td>27 ± 11.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No carbon addition</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dissolved organic carbon (μM)</strong></td>
<td>75 ± 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple carbon addition</td>
<td>198 ± 61</td>
<td>139 ± 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single carbon addition</td>
<td>138 ± 3</td>
<td>107 ± 10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No carbon addition</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. The number of terminal restriction fragments (TRFs) for the different phylogenetic groups identified using the in silico analysis. Not all of the TRFs were identified, and the number of TRFs putatively identified was further reduced as described in the methods. ‘Unclassified sequences’ are environmental sequences which have been deposited in GenBank, but have not received any further taxonomic assignment. Data in the table are from DNA extracted from filters or DNA removed from the cesium chloride gradient following ultracentrifugation. Identification based on DNA removed from the cesium chloride gradient is further split between the whole water bottles and the 1 μm-filtered bottles, there is a subsequent division based on whether or not the TRF was found within the $^{12}$C- or the $^{13}$C-region of the cesium chloride gradient.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phylum</th>
<th>Prior to experiment</th>
<th>Final diversity within bottles</th>
<th>Whole water</th>
<th>1 μm-filtered water</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$^{12}\text{C-DNA}$</td>
<td>$^{13}\text{C-DNA}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proteobacteria</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firmicutes</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actinobacteria</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteroidetes</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorobi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrobacteres</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acidobacteria</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aminanaerobia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planctomycetes</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gemmatimonadetes</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haloanaerobiales</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP9_JS1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unclassified sequences</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># of TRFs with good taxonomic assignments</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total # of TRFs</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>548</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Summary of phylogenetic information from the 16S rDNA sequences from the clone libraries: one from a bottle with whole water and one from a bottle with 1 μm-filtered water.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phylum</th>
<th>Class Order (-ales) / Family (-aceae) / Genus</th>
<th>Whole water</th>
<th>1 μm-filtered water</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actinobacteria</td>
<td>Microbacteriaceae</td>
<td>Aureo-Microbacterium</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proteobacteria</td>
<td>Alphaproteobacteria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rhizobiales</td>
<td>Rhizobiaceae</td>
<td>Rhizobium/Agrobacterium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sphingomonadales</td>
<td>Novosphingobium</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bradyrhizobiales</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Methylbacteriaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unclassified</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Caulobacterales</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Consistiales</td>
<td>Caedibacteraceae</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Betaproteobacteria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burkholderiales</td>
<td>Comamonadaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oxalobacteraceae</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ralstoniaceae</td>
<td>Cupriavidus/Wautersia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ralstoniaceae</td>
<td>Unclassified</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gammaproteobacteria</td>
<td>Moraxellaceae</td>
<td>Acinetobacter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epsilonproteobacteria</td>
<td>Helicobacteraceae</td>
<td>Wolinella</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteroidetes</td>
<td>Saprospiraceae</td>
<td>Unclassified</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Flavobacteriales</td>
<td>Unclassified</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure legends

Figure 1. Map of groundwater sampling region. Groundwater was pumped from a well installed at the Waquoit Bay National Estuarine Research Reserve. The well was within the freshwater region of the aquifer above the zone where freshwater and saltwater mix within the subterranean estuary (Charette et al., 2005).

Figure 2. Non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMS) analysis showing the differences in bacterial community composition between samples based on T-RFLP analysis of DNA extracted from filters prior to ultracentrifugation. Each point within the figure is a single sample. Points that are closer together are more similar, while points that are located further apart display greater differences. All three panels of the figure contain the same NMS results with the samples coded differently to highlight (A) the rate of carbon addition, (B) the type of carbon added, and (C) filtration to remove grazers.

Figure 3. Patterns in the community composition of $^{13}$C-labeled DNA (‘active’ bacterial cells based on their ability to assimilate acetate and/or its metabolites) in the incubations based on the NMS analysis. (A) and (B) contain the same NMS results with (A) coded to high the effect of carbon addition and (B) revealing the differences between the whole and 1 μm-filtered bottles.
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