

1 **End-to-end food web control of fish production on Georges Bank**

2
3 **Jeremy S. Collie, Dian J. Gifford, and John H. Steele**

4
5 Collie, J.S., Gifford, D.J., and J.H. Steele. 2009. End-to-end food web control of fish
6 production on Georges Bank. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*.

7
8 Abstract

9
10 The ecosystem approach to management requires the productivity of individual fish
11 stocks to be considered in the context of the entire ecosystem. In this paper, we derive an
12 annual end-to-end budget for the Georges Bank ecosystem, based on data from the
13 GLOBEC program and fisheries surveys for the years 1993-2002. We use this budget as
14 the basis to construct scenarios that describe the consequences of various alterations in
15 the Georges Bank trophic web: reduced nutrient input, increased benthic production,
16 removal of carnivorous plankton such as jellyfish, and changes in species dominance
17 within fish guilds. We calculate potential yields of cod and haddock for the different
18 scenarios, and compare the results with historic catches and estimates of maximum
19 sustainable yield (*MSY*) from recent stock assessments. The *MSYs* of cod and haddock
20 can be met if the fish community is restructured to make them the dominant species in
21 their respective diet-defined guilds. A return to the balance of fish species present in the
22 first half of the 20th century would depend on an increase in the fraction of primary
23 production going to the benthos rather than to plankton. Estimates of energy flux through

24 the Georges Bank trophic web indicate that rebuilding the principal groundfish species to
25 their *MSY* levels requires restructuring of the fish community and repartitioning of energy
26 within the food web.

27

28 Keywords: community dynamics, ecosystem-based management, Georges Bank, marine
29 fish , end-to-end.

30

31 *J.S. Collie and D.J. Gifford, Graduate School of Oceanography, University of Rhode
32 Island, Narragansett, Rhode Island, USA 02882-1197. J.H. Steele, Woods Hole
33 Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, Massachusetts, USA 02543. Correspondence to
34 J.H. Steele, Marine Policy Center, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole,
35 Massachusetts, USA 02543, tel: +1-508-289-2220, e-mail: jsteele@whoi.edu.*

36

37

38 Introduction

39

40 The super-abundance of cod on the fishing grounds of the northwest Atlantic
41 fueled the development of early settlements in North America (Kurlansky, 1997;
42 Rosenberg *et al.*, 2005). The collapse of cod and other principal groundfish stocks was
43 caused primarily by excessive fishing mortality, but the delayed recovery of some stocks
44 has been attributed to several factors in addition to over-fishing. Climate change
45 (Beaugrand *et al.*, 2003), regime shifts (Choi *et al.*, 2004), trophic cascades (Frank *et al.*,
46 2005), and habitat disturbance (National Research Council, 2002) have all been invoked

47 as causes of ecosystem change, while proliferation of pelagic fish species (Frank *et al.*,
48 2005), elasmobranchs (Myers *et al.*, 2007), jellyfish (Jackson *et al.*, 2001) and
49 crustaceans (Worm and Myers, 2003) are described as consequences. These explanations
50 are based predominantly on correlations between individual species or trophic groups and
51 do not quantify the changes in energy fluxes within the overall food web.

52 Measures to halt over-fishing and to rebuild depleted ground-fish stocks (effort
53 reduction, gear modification, and area closures) were implemented by the New England
54 Fishery Management Council starting in 1993 and are continuing with subsequent
55 amendments to the fishery management plans (Fogarty and Murawski, 1998). The US
56 Sustainable Fisheries Act mandates rebuilding of over-fished stocks to levels capable of
57 sustaining maximum sustainable yield (*MSY*) within 10 years (Safina *et al.*, 2005). *MSY*
58 estimates are uncertain, not only because they require knowledge of the productivity and
59 compensatory capacity of each stock, but also because they often imply rebuilding to
60 levels beyond those observed in recent decades. Further, it is very difficult to derive
61 quantitative estimates of the abundance of the pristine stocks, either from historical data
62 (Rosenberg *et al.*, 2005) or by solving for the unfished biomass from population models
63 (Myers *et al.*, 2001). As fish stocks begin to rebuild there is some doubt as to whether
64 *MSY* levels of individual stocks can be attained collectively, given increases in non-
65 commercial species (Link, 2007) and other changes in the marine ecosystem. Decreased
66 growth rates, particularly in haddock (Northeast Fisheries Science Center, 2008), can be
67 interpreted as density- dependent growth caused by limited food supply.

68 A new assessment of the Georges Bank ecosystem (Fig. 1) provides estimates of
69 production at all trophic levels (Steele *et al.*, 2007). We use a unified version of this web

70 to calculate the effects on all trophic groups of a range of adjustments to the internal
71 structure of the ecosystem. These different scenarios determine the consequent changes in
72 production of the three fish guilds, planktivores, benthivores and piscivores (Fig. 1). We
73 estimate the potential production of cod, a piscivore and haddock, a benthivore, under
74 different food-web scenarios by using the maximum fraction that the individual species
75 contribute to the trophic guilds. We compare these scenarios with observed patterns of
76 yields of cod and haddock during the 20th century. Finally, we evaluate the extent to
77 which rebuilding the cod and haddock stocks to *MSY* levels is constrained by the basic
78 productivity of the ecosystem.

79

80

81 Food-web Analysis [main heading]

82

83 A general equation for a prey-predator food web (Steele, 2009) is

84

85
$$\frac{dB_i}{dt} = e_i \left(\sum_j Q_{ij} + G_i \right) - \sum_i Q_{ij} - L_i \quad (1)$$

86

87 where B_i = the biomass of “trophic component” i , Q_{ij} = rate at which B_j is consumed by
88 B_i , G_i = gains from external sources, L_i = losses from the system, and $G_i, L_i > 0$. The
89 transfer efficiency, $e_i = \text{Production } (P_i) / \text{Consumption } (C_i)$, is assumed constant for each
90 component i .

91 The units of biomass can be energy, live weight, particulate organic carbon or a
92 nutrient such as nitrogen. In the last case, “consumption” can include nutrients recycled

93 from heterotrophs to autotrophs. This is the most general formulation, with the Q_{ij} as
94 variables. Because there are typically many more Q_{ij} than equations, the usual practice is
95 to associate a single variable with each compartment – biomass, production or
96 consumption rate – and to linearize the system, permitting matrix solutions for the steady
97 state. There are two options:

98

99 (A) $Q_{ij} = a_{ij} P_j \quad a_{ij} \geq 0 \quad (\text{bottom-up})$

100 and

101 (B) $Q_{ij} = b_{ji} C_i \quad b_{ji} \geq 0 \quad (\text{top-down}).$

102

103 Option (A) follows the expected direction of energy flux, with production by prey
104 determining intake by predators (e.g. Steele, 1974; Sissenwine *et al.*, 1984). Whereas for
105 (B) consumption by the predator determines output from the prey (Christensen and Pauly,
106 1993). Thus (A) describes “bottom-up” and (B) describes “top-down” control.

107

108 Application to Georges Bank [subheading]

109 Recently, the desire to construct end-to-end budgets that encompass external
110 forcing from both climatic change and fishing, has led to combinations of the two
111 approaches (Aydin *et al.*, 2005; Field *et al.*, 2006; Plaganyi, 2007) with bottom-up
112 depictions forced by nutrient fluxes into the system (e.g. NEMURO; Fuji *et al.*, 2002)
113 and top-down treatments starting from fisheries yields (e.g. ECOPATH; Christensen and
114 Pauly, 1993). Steele *et al.* (2007) followed this general approach, dividing the ecosystem
115 components into a lower and upper trophic webs because of their disparate space/time

116 scales and different relation to physical processes (Steele and Collie, 2005). The lower
117 food web is driven by nutrient recycling, and by physical influx of NO₃-rich deeper water
118 with concomitant export off Georges Bank of near-surface water and associated plankton.
119 To encompass the spatial and temporal variability Steele *et al.* (2007) constructed nine
120 budgets for three seasons in three distinct hydrographic regimes on Georges Bank. The
121 output from the nine budgets was used to estimate the annual average fluxes from the
122 lower to the upper components of the web. The data on NO₃ fluxes to drive this part of
123 the system, and the data on plankton and benthos to constrain the output were derived
124 largely from GLOBEC surveys from 1995 to 1999 (Wiebe *et al.*, 2001). The output is
125 expressed as annual average production (gC m⁻² yr⁻¹) of mesozooplankton, suspension-
126 feeding macrobenthos, and deposit-feeding macrobenthos (Fig.1).

127 Fish biomass data from trawl surveys on Georges Bank from 1963 to 2002
128 (Smith, 2004; Steele *et al.*, 2007) were partitioned as piscivores, planktivores and
129 benthivores (Table 1) based on fish diets (Garrison and Link, 2000). For the budget
130 calculations we used the decade 1993-2002, which is coincident with the period of the
131 Georges Bank GLOBEC field program. Further, we assumed (1) the fish eaten by other
132 fish are juvenile pre-recruits (Overholtz, 2000) that eat predominantly zooplankton, and
133 (2) this piscivory is the dominant source of mortality for the pre-recruits. These data and
134 assumptions permitted us to make top-down estimates of the food requirements of the
135 fish communities on Georges Bank in terms of two functional, rather than species,
136 groups—total plankton and total benthos (Fig. 1). The top-down and bottom-up fluxes
137 were matched for the decade 1993-2002 by estimating the required fluxes through the
138 invertebrate carnivore components of the plankton and benthos (Fig. 1) and comparing

139 these fluxes with the available observations (Steele *et al.*, 2007). Flux estimates for these
140 components of the food web generally have relatively large uncertainties, and so are often
141 used to reconcile web budgets (Mackinson and Daskalov, 2007; Link *et al.*, 2008).

142

143 Main findings of previous work [subheading]

144 Three patterns of variability in the Georges Bank ecosystem, which are influenced
145 by some combination of climatic change and fishing pressure, are relevant to this
146 analysis.

147 (1) The 40 years of data for fish populations revealed great inter-annual and inter-
148 decadal variation in species composition (Steele *et al.*, 2007; Gifford *et al.*, 2009) and in
149 the three feeding guilds (Fig. 2a). Over time the species composition shifted from
150 benthivores in the first decade, to piscivores in the third decade, and planktivores in the
151 second and last decades (Fig. 2a). The species in each trophic guild actually feed to
152 different degrees on all three prey categories (Table 1). Benthivores (e.g., haddock and
153 ocean pout) and planktivores (e.g., herring and mackerel) are more restricted in their
154 diets, but piscivores (e.g., cod and winter skate) have an average intake spread fairly
155 evenly among plankton, benthos and juvenile fish. The piscivores should in fact be
156 termed omnivores, but we retain the conventional nomenclature to emphasize predation
157 on fish in the food web. Partitioning of consumption among guilds will be altered by
158 changes in the lower trophic web.

159 (2) Fish consumption of plankton plus benthos (Fig. 2b) showed a marked
160 increase in total food intake by fish after the first decade of the time series. Steele *et al.*
161 (2007) attributed the markedly lower consumption during 1963-1972 to lower primary

162 production resulting from a significantly decreased concentration of NO₃ (Petrie and
163 Yeats, 2000) in an intrusion of the cold Labrador Current (Pershing *et al.*, 2001) at the
164 shelf edge. Because the food web model assumes linear responses, reductions in primary
165 production are distributed proportionally among the three trophic groups.

166 (3) There was a marked decrease in benthos as a fraction of total food
167 consumption by fish (Fig. 2c). Steele *et al.* (2007) attributed this to a decrease in the
168 epifaunal suspension feeders that compete for phytoplankton (Fig. 1), possibly due to
169 habitat destruction (National Research Council, 2002; Hermsen *et al.*, 2003).

170

171

172 Bottom-up representation of the end-to-end food web [main heading]

173 In this study, we have converted the top-down and bottom-up components to a
174 single bottom-up representation of the annual average production by each element of the
175 total food web in carbon units. For the fish components, we took averages for the decade
176 1993-2002, which corresponds most closely to the GLOBEC years used for the lower
177 web calculations. For the lower web, we took weighted averages over the spatial
178 domains and seasons.

179 From equation (1) the resultant expression for a bottom-up food web at steady
180 state is:

181

$$182 \quad e_i \left(\sum_j a_{ij} P_j + G_i \right) - \sum_i a_{ij} P_i - f_i P_i = 0. \quad (2)$$

183 Since $\sum_i a_{ij} = 1$, then

184
$$P_i = e_i \left(\sum_j a_{ij} P_j + G_i \right) - f_i P_i \quad (3)$$

185

186 The matrix $[a_{ij}]$ is given in Table 2, and the vectors for e_i and f_i in Table 3. Upper closure
187 is obtained by total removal of fish guilds by fishing and top predators. Marine mammals
188 and sea birds are implicit as top predators in this budget. Estimates of their consumption
189 of fish (Yodzis, 2001) are approximately 4% and 1% respectively. The coupled set of
190 equations (3) was solved by matrix inversion to obtain the annual production of each
191 trophic component (Table 3). These results were used as a baseline (Scenario 0) for
192 comparing production in other scenarios.

193

194 Food-web scenarios [subheading]

195 Our goal is to examine the consequences of alterations in particular ecosystem
196 processes on the yields of the benthivore, haddock (*Melanogrammus aeglefinus*) and the
197 piscivore, Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*). To do this, we first estimate changes in the fish
198 feeding guilds produced by changes in internal food web processes. To translate from
199 guilds to species requires estimates of the maximum, or expected, fraction contributed by
200 member species to the total biomass of each guild. Using Steele *et al.*'s (2007) fish
201 biomass data, we calculated the maximum fractional abundance of each guild member for
202 each year and then found the 40-year maximum and mean, as percentages, for the three
203 guilds (Table 4).

204 A variety of explanations have been invoked to explain the major changes in the
205 fish communities on Georges Bank and other fishing grounds in the western North

206 Atlantic (Beaugrand *et al.*, 2003; Choi *et al.*, 2004; Frank *et al.*, 2005; Myers *et al.*, 2007;
207 Jackson *et al.*, 2001; Worm and Myers, 2003). We consider a set of scenarios that
208 simulate such changes in the trophic groups resulting from adaptations in internal food-
209 web processes. These scenarios are produced by altering the relative magnitudes of fluxes
210 in the food web from the baseline 1993-2002 production values (Table 3). Technically,
211 this is done by changing values in the production matrix (Table 2; see Appendix for
212 changes). The results are expressed as percent changes from the baseline values in the
213 production of plankton, benthos, and juvenile fish as prey (Fig. 3a) and in the production
214 of planktivores, benthivores, and piscivores as consumers (Fig. 3b).

215 Scenario I: Piscivores are the dominant fish guild. We transferred 70% of the
216 production of planktivores and benthivores to the piscivores, while retaining the
217 proportions of juvenile fish, plankton and benthos in the piscivore diet. This change gave
218 the piscivore guild 66% of total production, matching the maximum in Fig. 2a. The result
219 was a 200% increase in piscivore production (Fig.3b). Total fish production is reduced
220 because more food goes through the juvenile fish.

221 Scenario II: Benthivores are the dominant guild. The benthivore diet consists
222 predominantly of benthos, so relatively little food can be reallocated from the other fish
223 guilds, especially planktivores. Switching some benthos production from piscivores to
224 benthivores increases benthivore production by only 111% (Fig.3b).

225 Scenario III: Carnivorous zooplankton are eliminated. There are numerous
226 suggestions in the recent literature (Pauly *et al.*, 1998; Mills, 2001; Jackson *et al.*, 2001;
227 Lyman *et al.*, 2006) that over-fishing has produced a marked increase in gelatinous
228 zooplankton. On Georges Bank the invertebrate predators on the mesozooplankton

229 include ctenophores, chaetognaths, hydroids and carnivorous copepods. As an extreme
230 case, we have removed them from the food web so that mesozooplankton production
231 goes directly to fish. This removal increases piscivore production by only 51% (Fig. 3b).
232 Understandably planktivore production also increases, but the benthivore increase is
233 negligible.

234 Scenario IV: Carnivorous benthos is eliminated. Increases in shrimp, crabs and
235 lobster in the North Atlantic (Worms and Myers, 2003) and the North Sea (Heath, 2005)
236 have been attributed to over-fishing of cod and other demersal species. An increase in
237 crustaceans has not been reported on Georges Bank, but as an extreme case we
238 eliminated all benthic invertebrate carnivores. Elimination of this link results in an
239 increase of only 32% in piscivore production, but 60% in benthivore production (Fig. 3b).

240 Scenario V: Production of suspension feeding benthos is increased. In the
241 analysis of food consumption by fish over the four decades, consumption of benthos as a
242 percentage of the total fish intake decreased from > 60% at the beginning of the time
243 series to ~30% in later decades (Fig. 2a) (Steele *et al.*, 2007). We simulated this process
244 by redirecting the fluxes of phytoplankton from mesozooplankton to suspension feeding
245 benthos. This reallocation changed the percent of benthos in the aggregate fish diet from
246 31% to 65%, close to the observed change. The switch from plankton to benthos also
247 increases the total (plankton plus benthos) food available because the benthos, unlike the
248 plankton, is not subject to fractional loss by physical export off the Bank. This change
249 resulted in a large increase in benthivore production and a much smaller increase in
250 piscivores (Fig. 3b).

251 Scenario VI: The role of microzooplankton is reduced. It is not evident that
252 changes in fish stocks will restructure the lower trophic web, but this type of trophic
253 cascade has been said to occur in other ecosystems (Frank *et al.*, 2005; Oguz and Gilbert,
254 2007). To illustrate changes within the lower web, we reduced consumption of
255 phytoplankton by microzooplankton by 1/3 and redirected it to the suspension feeding
256 benthos. As expected, this scenario produced a large increase in benthivore production
257 (219%) and smaller gains in piscivores (88%) (Fig. 3b).

258 Scenario VII: Direct input of phytoplankton to detritus is eliminated. Bacterial
259 decomposition of detritus in recycling nutrients is a critical process, but its magnitude in
260 any system is uncertain. In calculating energy budgets for Georges Bank Steele *et al.*
261 (2007) took the fraction of detritus recycled to NH₄ as an unknown and used it to match
262 the calculated and observed rates of recycling. To illustrate the possible effects of
263 decreased recycling, we eliminated the direct input of phytoplankton to detritus. Again,
264 the benthivores gained more than the piscivores (Fig 3b).

265 The general conclusion from these scenarios (Fig. 3b) is that, for piscivores,
266 including cod, the main factors increasing production occur at the higher trophic levels by
267 redistributing fish food (Scenario I) or removing pelagic invertebrate predators (Scenario
268 III). For benthivores, including haddock, the primary increases occur at lower trophic
269 levels and depend predominantly on the switch from mesozooplankton to suspension
270 feeding benthos (Scenarios V, VI). The changes produced by Scenario IV are relatively
271 small and, because there is no evidence of increased carnivore populations in the benthos
272 on Georges Bank, we do not consider this scenario further. Similarly there is no evidence

273 for changes in the lowest trophic levels, so Scenarios VI and VII are not considered
274 further, although they could potentially enhance the switch to benthivores.

275

276 Relation to fishery yields [subheading]

277 As a prelude to considering future changes, we can evaluate how well food-web
278 Scenarios I-VII explain past fishery yields. Several authors (Pauly, 1995; Kurlansky,
279 1997; Myers *et al.*, 2007) have pointed out the problems of “shifting baselines” and
280 emphasized the difficulty of reconstructing the pristine structure of ecosystems such as
281 Georges Bank that have been exploited for centuries (Hennemuth and Rockwell, 1987;
282 Steele and Schumacher, 1999). Quantitative data for landings of cod and haddock extend
283 back to the beginnings of the 20th century (Fig. 4). The early dominance of cod landings
284 is attributed to the fact that haddock did not salt well (Hennemuth and Rockwell, 1987).
285 From 1921 to 1950 the stocks were not considered to be over-fished (Hennemuth and
286 Rockwell, 1987), providing one set of reference values (Fig. 5). After the combined
287 period of low basic productivity and excessive fishing effort in the 1960s and early 1970s
288 (Gifford *et al.*, 2009), the relative magnitude of cod and haddock catches was reversed for
289 the two decades 1971-1990 (Fig. 5). Lastly, for the decade used to construct the food-web
290 budget, 1993-2002, both fisheries were at a low level (Fig. 5). These observations
291 provide a baseline for comparison with the food-web scenarios (Fig. 3).

292 The values for *MSY* of cod and haddock in Fig. 5 are taken from the most recent
293 available estimates (NEFSC, 2008). To relate haddock and cod production to the
294 scenarios for their respective guilds, we take 71% and 74% as the maximum percentage
295 production for a single species in the respective guilds (Table 4). We use the following

296 formula to convert the food-web production rates, P ($\text{gC m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$), to fishery yields, Y (t
297 yr^{-1}) for the whole of Georges Bank

298

$$299 \quad Y = \frac{F}{C/B} \times \frac{P \cdot A}{P/B} \times \frac{MSY}{B_{MSY}}$$

300

301 where, F is the fraction of guild production allocated to cod or haddock (Table 4), A is
302 the area of Georges Bank ($43,000 \text{ km}^2$), C/B is the ratio of carbon to biomass (0.114) and
303 P/B is the production-biomass ratio of piscivores (0.41) or benthivores (0.39) (Steele *et*
304 *al.*, 2007). We used the most recent estimates of MSY and biomass at MSY (B_{MSY})
305 (NEFSC, 2008) to calculate the exploitation rate (U_{MSY}) and hence the fraction of
306 production required to support the fishery at MSY (Table 5).

307 In Fig. 5, Scenario 0 represents the maximum potential yields of cod and haddock
308 assuming no change from the 1993-2002 food-web configuration in terms of fish diets
309 (Table 1), other than realizing maximum dominance of these species within their
310 respective guilds. The yields are intermediate between the earlier (1921-1950) and middle
311 (1971-1990) periods, and can almost achieve the MSY levels for haddock and cod if both
312 species are at their maximum achievable abundances within their respective guilds (Table
313 4). If cod and haddock abundances are closer to the recent 40-year average dominance
314 (Table 4), yields would be well below estimated MSY . Thus it is necessary to consider the
315 consequences of changes within the food web.

316 Scenario I switches the available food toward cod (Fig. 5), but this switch does
317 not benefit haddock and requires a massive reduction in pelagic fish (Fig. 3b). Another
318 possibility is to reduce significantly the role of invertebrate pelagic predators (Scenario

319 III, Fig. 5). This scenario increases the abundance of all fish guilds and, in particular,
320 corresponds to the relative abundance of cod and haddock in the period 1971-1990.
321 Although there is increasing speculation about such ecosystem alterations (Mills, 2001;
322 Jackson *et al.*, 2001; Lyman *et al.*, 2006), there is no direct evidence of such a change in
323 the Georges Bank food web.

324 Scenario V involves the redistribution of primary production from
325 mesozooplankton to benthos, particularly epifaunal suspension feeders (Fig. 5). This
326 change produces relative abundances of cod and haddock similar to the earlier 1921-1950
327 period. The overall increase in production of all fish guilds (Fig. 3) arises from the
328 decrease in washout of plankton from Georges Bank when the benthos is dominant.
329 Washout of plankton from this relatively small off-shore fishing ground is more
330 significant than for larger coastal ecosystems (Klein, 1987). This scenario explains the
331 relative abundance of benthic feeding fish in the decades before 1970, compared with
332 areas such as the North Sea (Cohen and Grosslein, 1987) and compared with the present
333 Georges Bank ecosystem. Steele *et al.* (2007) showed that the fraction of benthic food in
334 the aggregate fish diet declined from ~0.6 in the early 1960s to ~0.3 after 1980 (Fig. 2c).
335 This shift can be linked to the greatly increased habitat disturbance occasioned by
336 modern trawling methods (Hermsen *et al.*, 2003) and/or to environmental changes (Collie
337 *et al.*, 2008).

338

339

340 Discussion

341

342 The use of scenarios in this analysis depends on the acceptance of a linear steady-
343 state description of the Georges Bank trophic web. The natural system is unlikely to be
344 linear and certainly is not at steady state, even as an annual average. But non-linear
345 dynamic simulation models with large numbers of state variables are unlikely to be more
346 realistic and they have less heuristic value (Oreskes *et al.*, 1994; Ginsberg and Jensen,
347 2004). Because linear bottom-up simulations of food webs are generally donor
348 controlled (Steele, 2009) and therefore stable, these scenarios represent asymptotic
349 solutions in dynamic simulations (Steele, 2009). We have not performed specific
350 sensitivity analyses, but the scenarios approximate the sensitivity of the system to
351 changes in the parameters (Appendix). Given these caveats, four inferences may be
352 drawn from these calculations and from Steele et al (2007), beginning with physical
353 processes at the base of the trophic web.

354 (1) Physical forcing, demonstrated by the changes occurring in 1963-1972, can
355 decrease production by a factor of two compared with the later decades and must be
356 considered a “natural” process influencing fish production. Our linear budget model
357 implies that any change in nutrient flux affects the fish guilds equally. Large changes in
358 nutrient supply could affect the partitioning of energy in the lower trophic web, but we
359 have no evidence for a non-linear assumption in continental-shelf ecosystems. It seems
360 unlikely that top-down cascades would alter nitrogen recycling within the microbial web
361 significantly. Possible connections to climatic change (Pershing *et al.*, 2001) suggest a
362 link to periods of negative NAO index. Because the years 1955-1972 experienced the
363 most sustained negative NAO index during the last century (Wisbeck *et al.*, 2001), the
364 total productivity in earlier and later decades may be comparable.

365 (2) Within this overall productivity, a long-term switch from benthic to pelagic
366 production occurring in the 1970s is indicated by a 50% reduction in the fraction of
367 benthos in the aggregate fish diet (Steele *et al.*, 2007). This switch can be attributed to
368 habitat destruction by mobile fishing gear (Hermsen *et al.*, 2003). If nutrient fluxes were
369 comparable for the interwar period and the decade 1993-2002, then the yields of haddock
370 and cod during 1921-1950 would be achieved with 56% and 40% presence in their
371 respective guilds. This is significantly less than the maximum observed value of 72%
372 required with the *status quo*, and is closer to the long-term averages (Table 4).

373 (3) In the various scenarios, the largest changes in cod and haddock stocks arise
374 from major restructuring of the fish community that changes the pattern of species
375 dominance within the fish diet guilds. The marked decline of cod after the 1980s was
376 | balanced by an outburst of another piscivore, winter skate (*Leucoraja ocellata*), a
377 | predator of sand lance (*Ammodytes americanus*) (Gifford *et al.*, 2009). The subsequent
378 decline in winter skate corresponded to an increase in other piscivorous species,
379 principally spiny dogfish (*Squalus acanthias*). The decline in haddock corresponds to a
380 reduction in the proportion of benthic food in the aggregate fish diet (Steele *et al.*, 2007)
381 and also with some increase in another benthivore, ocean pout (*Macrozoarces*
382 *americanus*).

383 (4) Possible increases in pelagic and benthic invertebrate predators are frequently
384 proposed as factors that could result in significant diversion of food energy from
385 vertebrates (Jackson *et al.*, 2001; Worm and Myers, 2003). There is no evidence of an
386 empty fish niche on Georges Bank, nor should we expect such evidence. Significant
387 increases in one fish guild require decreases in others, or major changes within the food

388 web. An increase in cod, within the piscivore guild would require decreases in other
389 piscivores—such as the elasmobranches, winter skate or spiny dogfish—or changes in
390 other guild species such as haddock, herring or mackerel, which maintain the overall
391 balance in the diet of plankton, benthos and juvenile fish.

392 The present challenge is to rebuild the principal demersal species, including cod
393 and haddock, in an ecosystem with an altered fish species composition. Thanks to the
394 very large 2003 year class, Georges Bank haddock is now considered to be in the process
395 of rebuilding (Northeast Fisheries Science Center, 2008), but recent low growth rates
396 suggest that production of benthic food may limit recovery. The yields of other species
397 in the fish community must also be considered. For example, the combined *MSY* of the
398 benthivores haddock, yellowtail (*Limanda ferruginea*), and winter flounder
399 (*Pseudopleuronectes americanus*) totals 45.6 kt (Table 5). Under Scenario 0, the entire
400 production of the benthivore guild would be required to support this combined yield.
401 Scenarios III, IV or V would be required to allow production of the other 11 species in
402 the benthivore guild (Steele *et al.*, 2007). There are several migratory species for which
403 it is difficult to assign a yield to Georges Bank. Of these, Atlantic herring (*Clupea*
404 *harengus*) and Atlantic mackerel (*Scomber scombrus*) are at historically high abundance
405 levels (Steele *et al.*, 2007), a situation that exacerbates the challenge of recovering
406 demersal fish stocks in a pelagic-dominated ecosystem.

407 In summary, we cannot specify whether past or future mechanisms of change,
408 such as regime shifts (Collie *et al.*, 2004), are responsible for the decline of cod and
409 haddock stocks, or are required for their recovery. Our approach cannot define the cause
410 of transitions from one state to another, nor the modifications required to rebuild the

411 demersal fish stocks on Georges Bank. The observed trends in the patterns of dominance
412 within the fish community implicate over-fishing as a primary cause of past changes on
413 Georges Bank, and any future increase in commercial stocks will require significant
414 redistribution within the fish community. A return to the balance of species present
415 during the first half of the 20th century will depend on an increase in the fraction of
416 primary production going to the benthos and may require changes in benthic habitats.

417

418 Acknowledgements

419 We acknowledge NOAA-CICOR award NA17RJ1233 (J.H. Steele) and NSF
420 award OCE0217399 (D.J. Gifford and J.S. Collie). We thank M.J. Fogarty for providing
421 data and comments on an earlier draft. Contribution number ### of the US-GLOBEC
422 Georges Bank program.

423 |

424 References

425

426 Aydin, K., McFarlane, G.A., King, J.R., Megrey, B.A., and Myers, K.W. 2005. Linking
427 oceanic food webs to coastal production and growth rates of Pacific salmon
428 (*Oncorhynchus* spp.), using models on three scales. *Deep Sea Research, II*, 52:
429 757-780.

430 Beaugrand, G., Brander, K.M., Lindley, J.A., Souissi, S., and Reid, P.C. 2003. Plankton
431 effect on cod recruitment in the North Sea. *Nature*, 426: 661-664.

432 Christensen, V. and Pauly, D. (eds.) 1993. Trophic models of aquatic ecosystems. The
433 International Center for Living Aquatic Resources Management (ICLARM)
434 Conference Proceedings, 26, ICLARM, Manila, Philippines.

435 Choi, J.S., Frank, K.T., Leggett, W.C., and Drinkwater, K. 2004. Transition to an
436 alternate state in a continental shelf ecosystem. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and*
437 *Aquatic Sciences*, 61: 505-510.

438 Cohen, E.B., and Grosslein, M.D. 1987. Production on Georges Bank compared with
439 other shelf ecosystems. *In* R.H. Backus & D.W. Bourne, *Georges Bank* pp. 382-
440 391, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.

441 Collie, J.S., Richardson, K., and Steele, J.H. 2004. Regime shifts: can ecological theory
442 illuminate the mechanisms? *Progress in Oceanography*, 60: 281-302.

443 Collie, J.S., Wood, A.D., and H.P. Jeffries. 2008. Long-term shifts in the species
444 composition of a coastal fish community. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and*
445 *Aquatic Sciences*, 65: 1352-1365.

446 Field, J.C., Francis, R.C., Aydin, K. 2006. Top-down modeling and bottom-up dynamics:
447 linking a fisheries-based ecosystem model with climate hypotheses in the northern
448 California Current. *Progress in Oceanography*, 68: 238-270.

449 Fogarty, M.J., and Murawski, S.A. 1998. Large-scale disturbance and the structure of
450 marine systems: fishery impacts on Georges Bank. *Ecological Applications*. 8:
451 S6-S22.

452 Frank, K.T., Petrie, B., Choi, J.S., and Leggett, W.C. 2005. Trophic cascades in a
453 formerly cod-dominated ecosystem. *Science*, 308: 1621-1623.

454 Fuji, M., Nojiri, Y., Yamanaka, Y., and Kishi, M.J. 2002. A one-dimensional ecosystem
455 model applied to time series station KNOT. *Deep-sea Research II*, 49: 5411-5461.

456 Garrison, L.P., and Link, J.S. 2000. Dietary guild structure of the fish community in the
457 northeast United States continental shelf ecosystem. *Marine Ecology Progress*
458 *Series*, 202: 231-240.

459 Gifford, D.J., Collie, J.S., and Steele, J.H. 2009. Functional diversity in a marine fish
460 community. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*. 66, doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsp076

461 Ginzburg, L.R., and Jensen, C.X.J. 2004. Rules of thumb for judging ecological theories.
462 *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, 19: 121-126.

463 Heath, M.R. 2005. Changes in the structure and function of the North Sea fish food web,
464 1973-2000, and the impacts of fishing and climate. *ICES Journal of Marine*
465 *Science*, 62: 847-868.

466 Hennemuth, R.C., and Rockwell, S. 1987. History of fisheries conservation and
467 management. In *Georges Bank* (ed. R.H. Backus, Bourne D.W), pp, 430 446,
468 MIT Press.

469 Hermsen, J., Collie, J.S., and Valentine, P.C. 2003. Mobile fishing gear reduces benthic
470 megafaunal production on Georges Bank. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 260:
471 97-108

472 Jackson, J.B.C. (and 18 co-authors). 2001. Historical overfishing and the recent collapse
473 of coastal ecosystems. *Science*, 293: 629-638.

474 Klein, P. 1987. Assimilation of some physical and biological interactions. In: Backus,
475 R.H., Bourne D.W. (Eds.), *Georges Bank*. MIT Press, Cambridge, pp. 395-405.

476 Kurlansky, M. 1997. *Cod: A biography of the fish that changed the world*. Walker and
477 Co., New York.

478 Link, J. 2007. Under-appreciated species in ecology: the role and dynamics of “ugly fish”
479 in the northwest Atlantic Ocean. *Ecological Applications*, 17: 2037-2060.

480 Link, J., Overholtz, W., O'Reilly, J., Green, J., Dow, D., Palka, D., Legault, C., Vitaliano,
481 J., Guida, V., Fogarty, M., Brodziak, M., Methratta, L., Stockhausen, W., Col, L.,
482 Griswold, C. 2008. The Northeast U.S. continental shelf Energy Modeling and
483 Analysis exercise (EMAX): Ecological network model development and basic
484 ecosystem metrics. *Journal of Marine Systems*, 74: 453-474
485 doi:10.1016/j.jmarsys.2008.03.007

486 Lyman, P.L., Gibbons, M.J., Axelsen, B.E., Sparks, C.A., Coetzee, J., Heywood, B.G.,
487 and Brierley, A.S. 2006. Jellyfish overtake fish in a heavily fished ecosystem.
488 *Current Biology*, 16: 492-493.

489 Mackinson, S., and Daskalov, G. 2007. An ecosystem model of the North Sea to support
490 an ecosystem approach to fisheries management. *Science Series Technical*
491 *Reports CEFAS, Lowestoft, UK.*

492 Mills, C.E., 2001. Jellyfish blooms: Are populations increasing globally in response to
493 changing ocean conditions? *Hydrobiologia*, 451: 55-68.

494 Myers, R.A., Baum, J.K., Shepherd, T.D., Powers, S.P., and Peterson, C.H. 2007.
495 Cascading effects of the loss of apex predatory sharks from a coastal ocean.
496 *Science*, 315: 1846-1850.

497 Myers, R.A., MacKenzie, B.R., Bowen, K.G., and Barrowman, N.J. 2001. What is the
498 carrying capacity for fish in the ocean? A met-analysis of population dynamics of
499 North Atlantic cod. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 58:
500 1464-1476.

501 National Research Council. 2002. Effects of trawling and dredging on seafloor habitat.
502 National Academy Press, Washington, DC

503 Northeast Fisheries Science Center. 2008. Assessment of 19 northeast groundfish stocks
504 through 2007: Report of the 3rd Groundfish Assessment Review Meeting (GARM
505 III), Northeast Fisheries Science Center, Woods Hole, Massachusetts, August 4-8,
506 2008. US Dep Commer, NOAA Fisheries, Northeast Fish. Sci. Cent. Ref. Doc.
507 08-15; 884 p + xvii. www.nefsc.noaa.gov/nefsc/publications/crd/crd0815/

508 Oguz, T., and Gilbert, D. 2007. Abrupt transitions of the top-down controlled Black Sea
509 pelagic ecosystem during 1960-2000. *Deep-sea Research*, I, 54: 220-242.

510 Oreskes, N., Shrader-Frechette, K., and Belitz, K. 1994. Verification, validation and
511 confirmation of numerical models in the earth sciences. *Science*, 263: 641-646.

512 Overholtz, W.J., Link, J.S., and Suslowicz, L.E. 2000. Consumption of important pelagic
513 fish in the northeastern USA shelf ecosystem with some fishery comparisons.
514 *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 57: 1147-1159.

515 Pauly, D. 1995. Anecdotes and the shifting baseline syndrome of fisheries. Trends in
516 Ecology and Evolution, 10: 430.

517 Pauly, D., Christensen, V., Dalsgaard, J., Froese, R., and Torres Jr., F. 1998. Fishing
518 down marine food webs. Science, 279: 860-863.

519 Pershing, A.J., Greene, C.H., Hannah, C., Sameoto, D., Head, E., Mountain, D.G., Jossi,
520 J., Benfield, M., Reid, P.C.R., and Durbin, E.G. 2001. Oceanographic response to
521 climate in the northwest Atlantic. Oceanography, 14: 76-82.

522 Petrie, B., and Yeats, P. 2000. Annual and interannual variability of nutrients and their
523 estimated fluxes in the Scotian Shelf-Gulf of Maine region. Canadian Journal of
524 Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 57: 2536-2546.

525 Plaganyi, E.E. 2007. Models for an ecosystem approach to fisheries. FAO Fisheries
526 Technical Paper 477. 108p.

527 Rosenberg, A.A., Bolster, J.W., Alexander, K.E., and Leavenworth, W.B., Cooper, A.B.
528 and McKenzie, M.G. 2005. The history of ocean resources: modeling cod
529 biomass using historical records. Frontiers in Ecology and Environment, 3: 84-90.

530 Safina, C., Rosenberg, A.A., Myers, R.A., Quinn, T.J., and Collie, J.S. 2005. U.S. ocean
531 fish recovery: staying the course. Science, 309: 707-708.

532 Sissenwine, M.P., Cohen, E.B., and Grosslein, M.D. 1984. Structure of the Georges
533 Bank ecosystem. Rapports et Procès-verbaux des Réunions du Conseil
534 Internationale pour l'Exploration de la Mer, 183: 243-254.

535 Smith, T.D. 2004. The Woods Hole bottom trawl resource survey: development of
536 fisheries-independent multi-species monitoring. ICES Marine Science Symposia,
537 215: 474-482.

538 Steele, J.H. 1974. The structure of marine ecosystems. Harvard University Press.
539 Cambridge, Mass. 128 p

540 Steele, J.H. 2009. Assessment of some linear food web methods. *Journal of Marine*
541 *Systems*, 76: 186-194.

542 Steele, J.H., and Collie, J. S. 2005. Functional diversity and stability of coastal
543 ecosystems. *In The Sea*, Vol. 13 (eds. Robinson, A.R., Brink, K.): 783-817.

544 Steele, J.H., Collie, J.S., Bisagni, J., Fogarty, M., Gifford, D., Link, M., Sieracki, M.,
545 Sullivan, B., Beet, A., Mountain, D., Durbin, E.G., Palka, D., and Stockhausen,
546 W. 2007. Balancing end-to-end budgets of the Georges Bank ecosystem. *Progress*
547 *in Oceanography*, 74: 423-448.

548 Steele, J. H., and Schumacher, M. 1999. Ecosystem structure before fishing. *Fisheries*.
549 *Research*, 44: 201-205.

550 Wiebe, P.H., Beardsley, R.C., Bucklin, A.C., Mountain, D.G. (Editors) 2001. Coupled
551 biological and physical studies of plankton populations: Georges Bank and related
552 North Atlantic regions. *Deep-sea Research*, 48: 1-684.

553 Wisbeck, M.H., Hurrell, J. M., Polvani, L., Cullen, H.D. 2001. The North Atlantic
554 Oscillation: past, present and future. *Proceedings National Academy Sciences*, 98:
555 12876-12877.

556 Worm, B., and Myers, R.A. 2003. Meta-analysis of cod-shrimp interactions reveals top-
557 down control in oceanic food webs. *Ecology*, 84: 162-173.

558 Yodzis, P. 2001. Must top predators be culled for the sake of fisheries. *Trends in Ecology*
559 *and Evolution*, 16: 78-84.

560

561 Table 1. Percentage diets of the three fish guilds. Average and range for the four decades
562 1963-2002 (after Garrison and Link 2000).

563

564	Benthos	Plankton	Fish
565	<hr/>		
566			
567 Benthivores	85 (82-88)	11 (8-14)	4 (0-10)
568			
569 Planktivores	14 (3-25)	82 (74-91)	4 (0-10)
570			
571 Piscivores	42 (37-45)	24 (18-29)	34 (28-37)
572	<hr/>		
573			

574

575 Table 2. The production matrix, $AP = [a_{ij}]$ for an end-to-end Georges Bank food web,
 576 expressed as the percentage of nitrogen or carbon transferred from food web component j
 577 (columns) to component i (rows) including recycling in the lower web. (Note that in the
 578 actual calculations (Eq. 3) the a_{ij} are proportions.)

579

580	B1	B2	B3	B4	B5	B6	B7	B8	B9	B10	B11	B12	B13	B14	B15	B16	B17	
581	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	B1 Inorganic N
582	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	B2 Phytoplankton
583	0	60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	B3 Microzooplankton
584	0	26	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	B4 Mesozooplankton
585	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	B5 Inv. Carn. Plankton
586	0	6	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	B6 Susp.Feed Benthos
587	0	0	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	B7 Meiobenthos
588	0	0	0	16	0	16	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	B8 Dep. Feed Benthos
589	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	54	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	B9 Inv. Carn. Benthos
590	0	0	53	56	0	60	0	0	0	0	83	0	0	0	0	0	0	B10 Bact. Recycling
591	0	8	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	B11 Detritus
592	0	0	0	9	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	B12 Plankton for Fish
593	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	46	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	B13 Benthos for Fish
594	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	39	29	0	0	0	0	B14 Juvenile fish
595	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	54	26	47	0	0	0	B15 Planktivores
596	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	28	10	0	0	0	B16 Benthivores
597	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	17	43	0	0	0	B17 Piscivores

598

599

600 Table 3. Annual values of nutrient input (G), transfer efficiency (e) fractional physical loss (f) for
 601 equation (3) and the resultant rate of annual production P . G and P are in units of $\text{gC m}^{-2} \text{yr}^{-1}$

602

603

604	Food-web component	G	e	f	P
605	B1 NO_3	127.0	1.00	0	127.0
606	B2 Phytoplankton	0	1.00	0.0493	344.0
607	B3 Microzooplankton	0	1.00	0.0346	201.4
608	B4 Mesozooplanton	0	1.00	0.1849	118.6
609	B5 Inv. Carn. Plankton	0	0.20	0	3.33
610	B6 Susp.Feeding Benthos	0	1.00	0	26.21
611	B7 Meiobenthos	0	0.20	0	1.17
612	B8 Dep. Feeding Benthos	0	0.20	0	4.88
613	B9 Inv. Carn. Benthos	0	0.20	0	1.07
614	B10 Bact. Recycling	0	1.00	0.0426	233.9
615	B11 Detritus	0	1.00	0.1567	63.69
616	B12 Plankton for Fish	0	1.00	0	13.59
617	B13 Benthos for Fish	0	1.00	0	5.857
618	B14 Juvenile fish	0	0.30	0	2.110
619	B15 Planktivores	0	0.10	0	0.988
620	B16 Benthivores	0	0.10	0	0.200
621	B17 Piscivores	0	0.10	0	0.264

622

623

624

625

626 Table 4. Maximum and mean fractions of biomass contributed by the annual dominant
 627 species within each of the three fish guilds over the 40 years, 1963-2002.

628

629

	Piscivores	Benthivores	Planktivores
632			
633	0.71	0.74	0.92
634			
635	0.41	0.43	0.59
636			

637

638

639

640

641

642 Table 5. Maximum sustainable yield (MSY), biomass at MSY (B_{MSY}) and exploitation
 643 rate at MSY (U_{MSY}) for four Georges Bank fish stocks (from NEFSC, 2008).

644

Species	MSY (kt)	B_{MSY} (kt)	U_{MSY}
Cod	31.159	148.084	0.210
Haddock	32.746	158.873	0.206
Yellowtail flounder	9.400	43.200	0.218
Winter flounder	3.500	16.000	0.219

645

646

647 Figure legends

648

649 Figure 1. Georges Bank food web. Solid arrows represent predator-prey links. The input,
650 NO_3 , drives the microbial food web including recycling of nitrogen as NH_4 (dashed
651 lines). Dotted lines are physical losses. The ovals for Total Plankton and Total Benthos
652 indicate the food available for the fish guilds. See Table 3 for the flux values.

653

654 Figure 2. Time series of (a) percentage biomass in the three fish guilds, (b) consumption
655 of benthos and plankton by the fish community, and (c) fraction of benthos in the total
656 food intake—plankton plus benthos.

657

658 Figure 3. Effects of individual food web scenarios, I-VII, on (a) percentage changes in
659 production of total plankton and benthos available as food for fish and of juvenile fish
660 that form part of the diet of piscivores, and (b) the production of the three feeding guilds.

661

662 Figure 4. Landings of cod and haddock from Georges Bank (kt yr^{-1}) (from NOAA web
663 site www.nefsc.noaa.gov/nefsc/publications/)

664

665 Figure 5. Average biomass yields of haddock and cod for three periods on Georges Bank
666 compared with maximum sustainable yields (MSY) and with yields estimated from
667 various food-web scenarios assuming that cod and haddock yields are 71% and 74% of
668 their respective guilds (see text).

669

670

671 Appendix. Changes to the percentages in matrix $AP = [a_{ij}]$ (Table 2) required for the
 672 seven Scenarios.

673			
674	Scenario I	Scenario II	
675	AP(14,12)=55;	AP(14,12)=25;	
676	AP(14,13)=42;	AP(14,13)=18;	
677	AP(15,12)=18;	AP(15,12)=63;	
678	AP(15,13)=5;	AP(15,13)=17;	
679	AP(15,14)=4;	AP(15,14)=30;	
680	AP(16,12)=1;	AP(16,12)=5;	
681	AP(16,13)=19;	AP(16,13)=57;	
682	AP(16,14)=2;	AP(16,14)=13;	
683	AP(17,12)=25;	AP(17,12)=7;	
684	AP(17,13)=33;	AP(17,13)=9;	
685	AP(17,14)=94;	AP(17,14)=57;	
686			
687	Scenario III	Scenario IV	
688	AP(5,4)=0.1;	AP(9,6)=0.1;	
689	AP(12,4)=22.9;	AP(13,6)=19.9;	
690		AP(9,8)=0.1;	
691		AP(13,8)=99.9;	
692			
693	Scenario V	Scenario VI	Scenario VII
694	AP(4,2)=6;	AP(3,2)=40;	AP(6,3)=25;
695	AP(6,2)=26;	AP(4,2)=6;	AP(11,3)=1;
696		AP(6,2)=46;	AP(6,2)=14;
697			AP(11,2)=0;
