Shallow Water ’06

A Joint Acoustic Propagation/
Nonlinear Internal Wave Physics Experiment

BY DAJUN TANG, JAMES N. MOUM, JAMES F. LYNCH, PHIL ABBOT, ROSS CHAPMAN,
PETER H. DAHL, TIMOTHY F. DUDA, GLEN GAWARKIEWICZ, SCOTT GLENN, JOHN A. GOFF,
HANS GRABER, JOHN KEMP, ANDREW MAFFEI, JONATHAN D. NASH, AND ARTHUR NEWHALL

SINCE THE END of the Cold War, the US Navy has had an increasing interest in continental shelves and slopes as operational areas. To work in such areas requires a good understanding of ocean acoustics, coastal physical oceanography, and, in the modern era, autonomous underwater vehicle (AUV) operations.

Each area presents challenges for both the scientist and the Navy. In physical oceanography, a complex interplay among winds, rivers, tides, and local bathymetry drives a nonstationary, shelf-break front and the nonlinear internal wave (NLIW) field. These strongly affect acoustic systems but are not adequately understood. A key oceanographic challenge is to model the fully four-dimensional ocean from the large-scale circulation down to fine scales, which include NLIW packets, internal tides, jets, and density fronts. Both Navy acoustics systems and Navy operations need the “local ocean weather” as well as the “ocean climate” as part of the routine forecast, but the former is not yet available.

In ocean acoustics, the Navy wishes to operate both at low frequencies (100–1000 Hz) and mid frequencies (1000–10,000 Hz), which poses questions on a variety of spatial and temporal scales. For low-frequency acoustics, it has become obvious that fully three-dimensional (spatial) oceanography is necessary for propagation prediction. A bit more surprisingly, it appears that fully three-dimensional (spatial) acoustics codes might be necessary as well, a big divergence from the two-dimensional slice between source and receiver that has been adequate for ocean acoustics to date. At medium frequency, the effects of NLIWs on sonar systems are predicted to be large and critical, but precious little experimental data combining acoustics with a well-characterized coastal ocean is to be found. Another challenge is to try to extrapolate the routinely measured “single bounce” acoustic paths (those with one surface or bottom interaction) to predict how multiple-bounce sonar systems would perform.

In using autonomous vehicles, rapidly and efficiently sampling the oceanography, particularly the temperature field, so as to optimize the placement and performance of acoustics systems is a major technical hurdle to be cleared. The Navy is increasingly interested in using AUVs and gliders for such work, as they can operate persistently over large areas, comparatively inexpensively.

To pursue these questions, the Office of Naval Research (ONR) sponsored a large, multidisciplinary, multi-
institution, multinational experiment off the coast of New Jersey in summer 2006, designated “Shallow Water ’06” (SW06). This large-scale experiment had three named components corresponding to the three major research and technology thrusts: in acoustics, LEAR (for Littoral Environmental Acoustics Research); in physical oceanography, NLIWI (the Non Linear Internal Waves Initiative); and in vehicles, AWACS (for Acoustic Wide Area Coverage for Surveillance).

**EXPERIMENTAL SETUP AND LOGISTICS**

Over its two-month duration (mid-July to mid-September), the SW06 experiment entrained enormous resources: seven ships, 62 moorings, aircraft overflights and satellite coverage, a fleet of ten oceanographic gliders, data-assimilating numerical modeling, real-time data communication from a number of shipboard experiments, and dozens of principal investigators tending to their parts of this coordinated effort.

Two of the logistical components of this effort merit special attention due to their sheer size and novelty: (1) mooring layout, construction, deployment, and recovery, and (2) real-time data and experiment-status communications via the SW06 Web site.

**Moorings**

The core measurement suite of the SW06 experiment was an array of 62 acoustics and physical oceanography moorings (57 long term, 5 short term) that were deployed in a “T” geometry, with the stem of the T stretching 30 km along-shelf at the 80-m isobath, and the top of the T stretching 50 km across-shelf from the 500-m isobath to the 60-m isobath (see Figure 1). The geometry and placement of the T were arranged to provide good along- and across-shelf views of the shelf-break front, the local eddy field, and the nonlinear internal wave field, three oceanographic quantities of primary interest. A small (10 x 10 km$^2$) moored oceanography array was placed at the crossing of the T to look at fully three-dimensional (spatially) nonlinear internal wave structure.

The T geometry was very efficient for notifying fishermen and other mariners where our equipment was located—we simply had to specify the endpoints and crossing of the T. As a result, no gear was lost to fishing activity. Amazingly, we recovered every mooring that we deployed, with about 95% data return, despite having one lithium battery pack explode and one mooring drift out to sea after Hurricane Ernesto.

Figure 1. A graphic overview of the SW06 experiment. Moored instrumentation is deployed in lines in the along-shelf and across-shelf directions to observe shelf-break front and internal wave packets. A fully three-dimensional array at the intersection of the “T” is designed to study the wave-front length scales of nonlinear internal waves. A fleet of six gliders monitors mesoscale oceanography in the region. An “L”-shaped array of hydrophones consists of a horizontal and a vertical line array, and monitors sound transmissions throughout the experiment, while moored and shipboard sources transmit signals. Ships carry out oceanographic, geologic, and acoustic research while networked to each other and to laboratories ashore to share information. Planes and satellites overhead image internal waves and other ocean processes. The bathymetry in the figure is an artist’s rendition showing generally correct but not exact features.
New challenges in the area of oceanographic experiment logistics, real-time field communication, and data fusion and exchange led to the creation of Web-based software tools to enable coordinated, real-time collaboration between researchers at sea and ashore. SW06 researchers could monitor the location of several ships, dozens of moorings, assorted underwater vehicles, and other platforms in near real time. Information gathered off the Internet was merged with information collected during operations at sea and inserted into a basic framework to provide additional support. A primarily wireless network comprised of satellite, shipboard, and global Internet links was used to synchronize Web sites on five ships and multiple shore-based servers so that all participants in the experiment could contribute and monitor platform locations/deployment; ship, glider and aircraft tracks; daily reports; weather information; CODAR (Coastal Ocean Dynamics Applications Radar) and satellite imagery; and ocean-model results. These Web tools allowed us to collect and organize this information into a usable form, and also provided a searchable, time-based archive of the entire experiment. The development of these tools was an important contribution to the experiment, providing the means for using research vessels on scientific expeditions as parts of a real-time ocean observatory.

 PHYSICAL OCEANOGRAPHY (NLIWI)

One of the principal foci of the experiment was internal waves. These come in two general types: (1) linear internal waves that include long-wavelength (tens of kilometers) entities such as internal tides and near-inertial waves, and follow a linear wave equation, and (2) nonlinear internal waves that have short length scales (hundreds of meters), are characterized by large particle velocities, and follow nonlinear wave equations such as the Korteweg-deVries equation. These nonlinear waves have a large acoustical effect, and we now know that they can be found all over the world’s ocean shelves. Indeed, they are nearly as common as surface waves, given stratified waters.

Internal-wave activity on the New Jersey slope is particularly well documented through both satellite synthetic aperture radar (SAR) imagery (Jackson and Apel, 2004) and previous in situ experiments (Apel et al., 2006). SAR imagery clearly reveals the surface signatures of wave packets (Figure 2) that appear to originate near the shelf break and propagate shoreward. But this picture is not a totally simple one. Irregular bathymetry near the shelf break, such as canyons, can produce circular wave fronts that combine with the planar ones from the shelf break and with each other. Along the New Jersey coast, the ambient stratification is also complicated by the existence of a shelf-break front (a salinity-density front/current combination that meanders near the shelf edge), the frequent presence of warm-
core eddies shed from the Gulf Stream, strong freshwater influences, near-inertial wave activity, and the frequent passage of tropical storms. The complexity that all this variability induces into the internal wave field is important to acoustics. As a matter of physical oceanography/acoustics synergy, the suite of moored instruments was designed not only to focus on fundamental aspects of internal-wave physics but also to support the acoustics measurements by defining both the space/time variability of the sound-speed field and the propagation of individual nonlinear internal wave trains through the acoustics array. Numerous local shipboard measurements were made to investigate the evolving wave structure and energetics and to support short-range acoustics experiments. A considerable modeling effort combining oceanography, acoustics, and Navy needs augments these observations. These models encompass mesoscales to NLIW scales, representing an ambitious drive to cover yet more of the full-ocean spectrum with data- assimilating models.

From an observational perspective, the primary objectives were (1) to understand and describe the two- and three-dimensional structures of NLIWs in sufficient detail to aid in improving wave models beyond small-amplitude wave theories that are inadequate but still in use, (2) to define the energy evolution and transport, and (3) to identify wave-generation sites, times, and mechanisms. These are all necessary for prediction of wave generation and propagation over the continental shelf. In addition, important efforts include a quantitative physical assessment of surface radar signatures in terms of small-scale sea-surface variability.

**Background Oceanographic Conditions and Nonlinear Internal Waves in SW06**

While NLIWs appear like clockwork in some parts of the world’s ocean (like the South China Sea; Ramp et al., 2004), prediction of the nonlinear internal wave climate over continental shelves is notoriously difficult. During SW06, NLIW packets were observed at all phases of the barotropic tide. This variability in the timing of waves is not unlike previous continental shelf observations (Colosi et al., 2001; Moum et al., 2007). More importantly, and entirely counter to our expectations, larger and more frequent waves appeared during neap tides than during spring tides (which peaked on 11 August). One of the more interesting challenges posed by our data will be to reconcile this curious observation with our initial expectations.
Observations

Intensive moored observations were designed to capture both mesoscale oceanography and the high-frequency wave climate. Water-column velocity, temperature, and salinity were measured with ~10-m resolution from ten dedicated oceanography moorings. Five bottom landers were deployed at 70-, 80-, 85-, 110-, and 125-m water depths to measure near-bottom density, pressure, and turbulence as well as full-water-column velocity structure at 5-s intervals. Two Air-Sea Interaction Spar (ASIS) buoys were deployed at 70- and 80-m water depths to measure atmospheric conditions, to quantify the surface gravity wave field, and to measure near-surface currents and density. Most instruments were sampled at 30-s intervals to resolve high-frequency waves.

Multiple ships were used to chase wave packets across the continental shelf, hopefully from their birth sites to their death sites. Shipboard experiments to track evolving wave groups, including salomong through the three-dimensional moored array cluster, were conducted from R/Vs Endeavor and Oceanus. These observations included acoustic Doppler current profilers sampled at high spatial and temporal resolution (to map the NLIW velocity field in detail) and in situ measurements of temperature, salinity, and small-scale turbulence.

Highly detailed depictions of wave groups obtained (Figure 3) from these data show the evolving structures of individual waves and how their relative positions within wave groups change as they propagate onshore. Shipboard wave tracking also provided clear observations of so-called “varicose” (mode-2) waves in a geophysical fluid (that is, waves that...
both elevate isopycnals above a density interface and depress them below) and the change in sign from depression waves to elevation waves as they shoal.

Shipboard observations also included X-band radar measurements of the sea surface (Figure 3). These records provided depictions of the wave fronts over 3-nm ranges from the ships, extending our understanding of the shapes of the wave fronts beyond their points of intersection with the ships. Wave-front shapes were tracked even further by aircraft radar flights and satellite SAR observations. One of the challenges of NLIWI will be to obtain a first-order understanding of the structure of the evolving wave fronts.

To monitor the critical mesoscale variability, a suite of 10 gliders was deployed with the goal of continually flying six of these through the moored array, a goal that was largely achieved. These observations were augmented by Scanfish surveys extending across the shelf and over the continental slope to provide a broad perspective of the variability of the shelf-swept acoustics system of four transducers to remotely detect the spectrum of backscattering generated by small-scale sound speed microstructure created by turbulence instabilities within waves. This measurement augments profiling observations of turbulence by providing a continuous sequence between profiles. It also helps to identify the detailed physical structure of the waves.

High-resolution pressure sensors were deployed on three bottom landers along the array. Results show that the seafloor pressure signal of the NLIWs observed by other means during SW06 is measurable (Moum and Nash, in press). This relatively simple measurement suggests new ways to design NLIW detection antennae.

**Modeling**

Modeling of currents and stratification (including sound speed) from the synoptic to the wave scale is ongoing. At large scales, the Regional Ocean Modeling System assimilated data from all platforms to provide real-time maps of the relevant fields. At intermediate scales, the nonhydrostatic MIT general circulation model was nested within the larger model to provide clues as to how the observed NLIWs are generated. At the scale of the waves, a novel model based on optimized mesh-point placement provides detailed simulations of small-scale tide-topography interaction and wave generation. The challenge to the modelers is to represent the sound-speed field from the mesoscale to the small-scale fluctuations caused by the nonlinear waves.

**GEOLOGY (LEAR)**

The seabed forms the bottom boundary condition for shallow-water acoustic and oceanographic experiments, such as SW06. While understanding the acoustic properties of the seabed is of obvious importance to the acoustic experiments, and the bathymetry and roughness is important to the oceanography, we also seek a geologic understanding of the sediments and the stratigraphic geometries in which they were deposited. This type of information provides context for understanding the results and for applying what we learned to other areas. The stratigraphic geometries can help guide extrapolation of bottom inverse results from one location to other parts of the survey region.

One of the big attractions of the SW06 area was the fact that, in addition to having interesting physical oceanography, the seabed had been examined and characterized by previous experiments, thus saving a large amount of effort and money. Any further geological work and stratigraphic surveying would be answering more detailed questions about the bottom, in accord with SW06's status as a "higher-order effects" type of experiment, not an initial survey.

Much of the previous geological work in this area was sponsored by ONR, in large part as a response to a system of buried, remnant river channels just below the seafloor that were suspected to be the sources of "geo-clutter" (false target backscatter returns due to geology) in Navy sonar systems. Such buried chan-
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**The seabed forms the bottom boundary condition for shallow-water acoustic and oceanographic experiments, such as SW06.**
Figure 4. Schematic representation of stratigraphic elements along the strike-and-dip lines (Figure 5) of the SW06 experiment. The strike of a stratum is a line representing the intersection of that feature with the horizontal; the dip is the angle below the horizontal of a tilted stratum. The star represents the approximate location of the “cross-hairs” of the SW06 experiment.

nals are quite common on continental shelves, and so the New Jersey shelf provided a natural laboratory for looking at this effect. The interested reader can find the history of this fascinating work in the following references: initial mapping of fluvial channels (Davies et al., 1992); multibeam surveys and bathymetry (Goff et al., 1999); geophysical and geological sample data (e.g., Goff et al., 2004; Nordfjord et al., 2005, 2006); and long-coring efforts (Nordfjord et al., 2006). As a postscript, it was found that the “geo-clutter,” though appreciable, was often swamped by “bio-clutter” (i.e., reverberation returns from schools of fish on the shelf and often near the shelf break [Makris et al., 2006]). A large ONR experiment to study this type of clutter was initiated as a result.

Though our understanding of the overall geologic history of the SW06 region has grown immeasurably (e.g., Duncan et al., 2000; Goff et al., 2005; Gulick et al., 2005; Nordfjord et al., 2006), major gaps still remain. The “R” horizon is the most prominent and widespread feature in the shallow subsurface (e.g., Milliman et al., 1990; Gulick et al., 2005; Figure 4). We know from sampling and age dating that it is approximately 40,000 years old, but the reasons for its existence are still the subject of much speculation. The new SW06 chirp data and future long coring should provide critical new morphologic and stratigraphic constraints on the origin of R. Lying on top of R is the outer-shelf wedge, composed of alternating layers of sand and mud that are imaged as numerous layered reflections in chirp seismic data. These sediments were most likely deposited as sea level was falling in the lead-up to the last glacial maximum. In some locations, most notably the central site of the SW06 experiment, the top of the layered outer shelf wedge is very erose, cut by grooves oriented ~ east-west, and then filled with sediments that are acoustically transparent (Fulthorpe and Austin, 2004). This surface may be evidence of some sort of catastrophic flood event, or perhaps the grooves were created by icebergs grounding along an ancient seabed. New core samples should help us to resolve the origin of this boundary.

At some time during the last glacial maximum, while sea level was much lower and almost the entire continental shelf was exposed, dendritic networks of river channels were carved into the mid-shelf wedge (the “C” horizon in Figure 4) and, where the wedge thinned, through R as well. When sea level subsequently rose following the melting of the ice-age glaciers, the channels were filled by a sequence of estuarine sediments: a fluvial lag of sand and gravel at the base, followed by muddy sediments deposited where the river met the tide, followed by beach barrier sands (Nordfjord et al., 2006). These sediments, ~ 12,000 years old in the SW06 region, form recognizable layers in the chirp seismic record of the channel-fill sediments. Continued sea-level rise caused the shoreline to pass over the buried channels, creating a widespread erosion surface referred to as the “T” horizon. Large sand bodies formed in the nearshore environment on top of T, and these were shaped into large bedforms (~ 1–5 m high, 1–4 km wide, and 2–10 km long) called sand ridges. These features are so large that they persist to the present day and can be easily mapped...
in the multibeam bathymetry data. But the seabed does not become quiescent with increasing water depth. Evidence in the multibeam and chirp data suggests widespread regions of recent and modern erosion, which has removed the sand sheet in many places, exposing both the T and the R horizons at the seafloor. The result is a seabed with highly variable sedimentary and physical properties, which will be of great importance to the SW06 acoustics experiment results.

**ACOUSTICS (LEAR)**

Though acoustics was the largest overall component of SW06, it makes sense to discuss it after the oceanography and geology, as these set the context for acoustic propagation and scattering. The SW06 experiment had both low-frequency (100–1000-Hz) and medium-frequency (1000–10,000-Hz) components, and examined issues in forward propagation, scattering, and inverse theory.

**Low-Frequency Propagation**

In doing the acoustics transmissions, we used both moored and shipboard sources and receivers. The primary moored receiver site for propagation studies was at the along/across-shelf intersection of the T-shaped mooring, as that is where we have the best oceanographic information. At that site, a long-term horizontal/vertical line array with 48 hydrophone channels was deployed, sampling sound from 50–4500 Hz continuously over a six-week period. This array was augmented by other receivers, (mostly) near the same site, as well as five long-term “single hydrophone receiver units” distributed along the across-shelf line. The moored sources were arranged on the along- and across-shelf lines as well, as these are where we had the best environmental support, and, also, these were “limiting cases” for the azimuthal variations of the acoustic path. These moored sources were augmented by some shipboard sources, which allowed us to fill in the azimuthal propagation direction spectrum.

There are a number of open questions about low-frequency, shallow-water propagation. One of the most interesting of these concerns the azimuthal dependence of shallow-water sound propagation, specifically its strong dependence upon the angle between the acoustic path and the propagation direction of the nonlinear internal wave field (when present). Sound can travel readily in the acoustic duct that is created between individual soliton packet waves, in a manner that keeps the acoustic modes separate and distinct. This leads to higher-intensity regions of the acoustic field, as seen in the top panel of Figure 5. On the other hand, sound that travels perpendicular to the propagation direction of the nonlinear internal waves suffers intense coupling between the modes, which can lead to the severe attenuation of sound (depending upon where one places the source relative to the sur-
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**Figure 5.** The top panel shows, in a top view, a computer model of ducting of sound between nonlinear internal wave crests (denoted by white dashed lines), a known and experimentally observed phenomenon. The bottom panel shows a top view of the ducting and horizontal dispersion of sound between two curved nonlinear internal wave crests, an effect that should be observable and provable using the SW06 data set. Color gradation denotes (relative) intensity in dB.
face mixed layer and thermocline.) At angles intermediate to these extremes, a combination of these effects occurs, and we presently have a rather limited ability to predict the acoustic field for this case due to both oceanographic and acoustic complexity.

Another interesting question about acoustic propagation in shallow water is how much energy is reflected out of plane by internal waves and coastal fronts when the acoustic path is at low (grazing-angle) incidence to these ocean features. The interference of a straight acoustic track (that does not interact with these ocean features) and a reflected path can cause very large, fully three-dimensional acoustic fluctuations, as have been seen in numerous computer simulations. Verification (or refutation) of such effects is an interesting experimental question, and data from SW06 should shed light on this. Yet another question of great interest to LF acoustics is how the three-dimensional irregularity of fronts and the curvature of nonlinear internal waves (which are often taken as two-dimensional, along-shelf symmetric entities to first order) affect acoustic propagation. There is an example of curvature effects in the bottom panel of Figure 5. These curvature effects can lead to both horizontal ducting and horizontal dispersion of sound rays (or “light pipe” and “rainbow” effects in optical parlance), and, in terms of Navy systems, false target bearings, a major concern.

Medium-Frequency Propagation
Many practical sonar systems operate in the mid-frequency band between 1 and 10 kHz. In shallow-water regions, the performance of such systems is strongly influenced by the bottom, sea surface, and water column. This influence can be in the form of sound scattering, refraction, and attenuation. Uncertainties in any or all of these categories are common, so knowing the various mechanisms governing acoustic interaction with the environment is essential to improving sonar performance in shallow water. To gain quantitative understanding of how sound interacts with these environmental factors, it is essential to measure all relevant environmental parameters at sufficient spatial and temporal resolutions, which can be quite fine for mid-frequency acoustics.

The mid-frequency efforts of SW06/LEAR were concentrated on receiving arrays moored in the central area, where the water depth is about 80 m. Five major measurements were made there: (1) short-range acoustics interaction with the bottom and surface, (2) in situ measurement of sediment sound speed to a depth of 1.7 m, (3) bottom backscatter, (4) short-range propagation through known internal waves, and (5) long-range propagation to 10 km along the MORAY1 receiving array from a source deployed from R/V Knorr, within the frequency range 1–20 kHz. A second measurement transmitted both mid- and low-frequency sound (0.05–4 kHz) at a range of 200 m around a circular path encompassing the MORAY1 location.

A new sediment acoustics measurement system (SAMS) was developed to measure in situ sediment sound speed to a depth of 1.7 m with 0.1 m depth increments. This is accomplished by using a vibro-core fitted with a hydrophone at its tip to penetrate into the bottom in controlled depth-steps while 10 acoustic sources located above the bottom transmit sound in the band of 2–35 kHz. This source-and-receive arrangement provides many ray paths crisscrossing the sediment volume, so sediment sound speed as a function of depth is directly imaged.

Bottom backscatter in the frequency band of 3–10 kHz was measured by both a parametric source and by an omnidirectional source combined with a 32-element, vertical-line hydrophone array. Supporting this effort, sediment roughnesses were measured using a sediment conductivity probe that can measure one-dimensional roughness to a resolution of 10 mm in the horizontal direction and 1 mm in the vertical. In addition, a laser scanner was also deployed to provide two-dimensional roughness measurements to a resolu-

A major objective in SW06 was to estimate the regional geoacoustic environment using the best present-day inversion techniques.
tion of 1 mm in both the horizontal and vertical directions.

The effect of nonlinear internal wave trains on mid-frequency sound propagation was measured with the goal of making deterministic assessment of the impact by taking “snap shots” of the internal waves along the acoustic path. This was accomplished by a transmission from a source deployed from R/V Knorr to the MORAY1 receiving array stationed 1000 m away. A CTD chain with 50 elements was towed at 6 kt in the vicinity of the source and receiver by a second ship, R/V Endeavor. Both acoustics and CTD-chain measurements were made in the presence and absence of internal waves, so the impact of internal waves on mid-frequency sound propagation can be quantitatively evaluated.

Finally, mid-frequency, long-range propagation to a 10-km range along the same track on different days with different bandwidths was studied. This research focused on the temporal variability of propagation loss, and also bottom parameter inversion and mode stripping due to surface scattering.

**Low- and Medium-Frequency Bottom Inverses**

Underwater acousticians often use “geoacoustic models” (local sediment layers described by their density, compressional and shear wave speeds, and attenuations) to characterize the bottom for making predictions of the sound levels in the sea. A major objective in SW06 was to estimate the regional geoacoustic environment using the best present-day inversion techniques. Several groups collaborated in experiments that used sound sources over a broad band of frequencies to characterize the bottom, especially at sites where there was extensive geological “ground truth” of the ocean bottom. The technical challenge here is to perfect acoustic survey techniques for bottom properties, which, despite much work over decades, are still being refined and improved. Of equally strong interest to marine sediment acousticians is the opportunity to measure the sediment sound speed dispersion (real and imaginary parts) over a broad frequency band.

The most intensively studied site was the central area at 80-m water depth. As mentioned, this site was first instrumented with a mid-frequency hydrophone array to measure acoustic reflectivity from the seabed at frequencies from 1–20 kHz. On a second visit to the site, we studied sound reflectivity and acoustic propagation at lower frequencies, from 4 kHz down to 50 Hz. The combined set of mid- and low-frequency data and accompanying measurements on geoacoustic properties will provide a unique opportunity to both compare techniques and study dispersion properties.

Another of the current research challenges in doing bottom geoaoustic property inversions, particularly at low frequencies where there are large path lengths between source and receiver, is to eliminate water column fluctuation effects from the inverse for the bottom. Use of inverse methods often requires dealing with a large component of water-column fluctuation noise in the data. However, it is completely unrealistic to ask inverse methods to present an exact realization of the ocean between the source and receiver. Recently developed methods minimize the effects of water-column fluctuations on such inverses,

**Two of the most active and promising technologies in oceanography are powered AUVs and AUV gliders.**

**AWACS**

Two of the most active and promising technologies in oceanography are powered AUVs and AUV gliders. As part of SW06, a component of the AWACS (Acoustic Wide Aperture Coverage for Surveillance) project was conducted to utilize both powered and glider AUVs. The objectives were to: (1) conduct oceanographic surveys of an “interest-
ing area” of ocean (e.g., near the shelf-break front, or in a packet of solitons), (2) create an oceanographic map of that area based on the data, (3) pick a geometry most favorable for acoustic propagation/detection based on that oceanographic map, and (4) use moored and sonobuoy-based acoustic sources and receivers to demonstrate that the geometry so chosen was indeed an optimal one.

In pursuing this plan, small-boat operations were needed for deploying and recovering the small REMUS 100 AUVs and Webb gliders that were to be utilized. Unfortunately, the fringes of hurricanes Ernesto and Florence prohibited small-boat operations. (But fortunately for future programs, this inconvenient small-boat technique has since been superseded by fully “off the deck” operations!). Thus, we used Scanfish surveys from the ship for oceanography and Oasis mobile acoustic sources and sonobuoy receivers for the acoustics. With this hurricane-induced “Plan B,” we were still able to perform the “adaptive acoustic sampling” part of the project. This succeeded well, with especially interesting results coming from trying to predict the shelf-break front and its acoustic effects, as illustrated in Figures 6a and 6b. In these figures, we show the anisotropy in acoustic propagation loss versus azimuth for propagation in the region of the shelf-break front. The anisotropy effects due to fronts and internal waves were of particular interest in SW06.

**POSTSCRIPT**

In the year that has passed since the SW06 experiment, great progress has been made in digesting the many terabytes of data collected. We have made movies of acoustic arrivals at the arrays that graphically show their stability in oceanically calm periods and their gyrations during active periods. In addition, motion pictures of the nonlinear internal waves recorded by our “three-dimensional” mooring array are just coming into being. This graphic imagery, and much more analysis (some not quite so flashy), are providing the grist for a number of scientific publications. We have already lined up special sessions in a number of technical society meetings and a special issue in the *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*. We hope that this “prelude” in *Oceanography* will stimulate readers’ interest in the further publications that will be coming out shortly from this very successful experiment.

---

**Figure 6.** (a) The top panel shows tracks of Oasis mobile acoustic sources (large red and blue circles) emitting sounds to sonobuoy receivers (darker red and blue arc-segments within the circles) in the vicinity of the shelf-break front, in order to study the effects of frontal and shelf oceanography on sound propagation. (b) The bottom panel displays average acoustic propagation loss at 900 Hz and clearly shows: (1) near azimuthal isotropy over the shelf region, and (2) anisotropy (more loss) where the acoustic paths cross the shelf-break front, in the angular sector from (roughly) 90 to 180 degrees. Prediction of the position of the shelf-break front was one of the oceanographic challenges of AWACS.
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