

# DIC, TA, pH from R/V Pelican cruise conducted in the northern Gulf of Mexico in April and July 2017

Website: <https://www.bco-dmo.org/dataset/772513>

Data Type: Cruise Results

Version: 2

Version Date: 2019-12-20

## Project

» [Collaborative Research: pH Dynamics and Interactive Effects of Multiple Processes in a River-Dominated Eutrophic Coastal Ocean](#) (nGOMx acidification)

Contributors	Affiliation	Role
<a href="#">Cai, Wei-Jun</a>	University of Delaware	Principal Investigator, Contact
<a href="#">Fennel, Katja</a>	Dalhousie University	Co-Principal Investigator
<a href="#">Rabalais, Nancy</a>	Louisiana State University (LSU)	Co-Principal Investigator
<a href="#">Soenen, Karen</a>	Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution (WHOI BCO-DMO)	BCO-DMO Data Manager

## Abstract

Dissolved inorganic carbon, total alkalinity and pH from R/V Pelican cruises conducted in the northern Gulf of Mexico (27.5 N, 30 N, 88 W, 94 W ) from April 5 to 16 and July 7 to 21 in 2017

---

## Table of Contents

- [Coverage](#)
  - [Dataset Description](#)
    - [Acquisition Description](#)
    - [Processing Description](#)
  - [Related Publications](#)
  - [Parameters](#)
  - [Instruments](#)
  - [Deployments](#)
  - [Project Information](#)
  - [Funding](#)
-

## Coverage

**Spatial Extent:** N:29.6415 E:-88.5901 S:27.4999 W:-93.4167

**Temporal Extent:** 2017-04-06 - 2017-07-21

---

## Dataset Description

Dissolved inorganic carbon, total alkalinity and pH from R/V Pelican cruises conducted in the northern Gulf of Mexico (27.5 N, 30 N, 88 W, 94 W ) from April 5 to 16 and July 7 to 21 in 2017.

## Acquisition Description

### DIC and TA

Measure of DIC using NDIR method and of TA using Gran titration. DIC and TA were measured using the instruments from Apollo Scitech Inc. Briefly, for DIC analysis, samples were analyzed at room temperatures. Each seawater sample (0.5 mL) was acidified using phosphoric acid and the evolved CO<sub>2</sub> gas was extracted and carried by pure N<sub>2</sub> gas to an infrared CO<sub>2</sub> detector (Li-Cor 6262) for quantification. TS was determined on 25 mL seawater sample by potentiometric titration, using 0.1 M hydrochloric acid and an open-cell titration system. All TA samples were analyzed in pre-thermostated (25 °C) glass cells. For each DIC or TA sample, sub-samples were sequentially analyzed 2 or 3 times until we obtained two replicates with a precision within 0.1%. The average of the two values is reported. The precision of both the TA and DIC measurements was +/- 2 umol/kg. The accuracies of the TA and DIC measurements were determined by routine analysis of certified reference material (CRM) provided by A. G. Dickson, Scripps Institution of Oceanography.

### DO\_spec

Winkler titration was used for DO analysis. Samples were drawn from Niskin bottles directly into 60 ml BOD bottles and pickled using manganese chloride and sodium iodide/sodium hydroxide. Iodine liberated by acidifying pickled sample was then measured spectrophotometrically using Genesis 30 (Thomas Scientific) spectrophotometer at 466 nm. Blank absorbance from sample turbidity was obtained by adding a few drops of sodium thiosulfate to the sample solution and subtracted from sample absorbance. Calibration was performed by spiking known amounts of potassium iodate. Error on DO was from the uncertainty of measuring absorbance (0.001), which is equivalent of 0.7 uM. Samples which had blank absorbance exceeding 5% of sample absorbance were flagged.

### pH\_elec

pH samples were drawn in 60 ml glass bottles and temperature equilibrated at 25 °C. An Orion Combination electrode connected to a pH meter (Orion Star A211) was used to measure the potential (EMF, mV) generated by the H<sup>+</sup> ions. EMF was calibrated using three NBS buffer solutions at pH 4.01, 7.0, and 10.01 purchased from Fisher Scientific. Probe was kept immersed in the sample until the EMF stabilized. Two EMF readings at a difference of 1 minute were obtained for each sample and average value used with calibration to calculate the pH. Precision on pH is estimated from the standard deviation of the mean of two EMF readings. Samples where such deviation exceeded 0.16% of the mean EMF are flagged.

### **pH\_spec**

Discrete sample pH\_spec was measured spectrophotometrically at 25 degrees Celsius on the total pH scale using purified M-Cresol Purple purchased from R. Byrne at the University of South Florida [Clayton and Byrne, 1993; Liu et al., 2011]. The spectrophotometric pH (25 °C, total scale) was calculated from the ratio of the measured absorbances of mCP at wavelengths  $I_2 = 578$  nm and  $I_1 = 434$  nm following the dissociation constants proposed by Liu et al 2011].

### **Sampling and analytical procedures:**

Measure of DIC using NDIR method and of TA using Gran titration. DIC and TA were measured using the instruments from Apollo Scitech Inc. Briefly, for DIC analysis, samples were analyzed at room temperatures. Each seawater sample (0.5 mL) was acidified using phosphoric acid and the evolved CO<sub>2</sub> gas was extracted and carried by pure N<sub>2</sub> gas to an infrared CO<sub>2</sub> detector (Li-Cor 6262) for quantification. TS was determined on 25 mL seawater sample by potentiometric titration, using 0.1 M hydrochloric acid and an open-cell titration system. All TA samples were analyzed in pre-thermostated (25 °C) glass cells. For each DIC or TA sample, sub-samples were sequentially analyzed 2 or 3 times until we obtained two replicates with a precision within 0.1%. The average of the two values is reported. The precision of both the TA and DIC measurements was +/- 2 µmol/kg. The accuracies of the TA and DIC measurements were determined by routine analysis of certified reference material (CRM) provided by A. G. Dickson, Scripps Institution of Oceanography.

Winkler titration was used for DO analysis. Samples were drawn from Niskin bottles directly into 60 ml BOD bottles and pickled using manganese chloride and sodium iodide/sodium hydroxide. Iodine liberated by acidifying pickled sample was then measured spectrophotometrically using Genesis 30 (Thomas Scientific) spectrophotometer at 466 nm. Blank absorbance from sample turbidity was obtained by adding a few drops of sodium thiosulfate to the sample solution and subtracted from sample absorbance. Calibration was performed by spiking known amounts of potassium iodate. Error on DO was from the uncertainty of measuring absorbance (0.001), which is equivalent of 0.7 µM. Samples which had blank absorbance exceeding 5% of sample absorbance were flagged.

pH samples were drawn in 60 ml glass bottles and temperature equilibrated at 25 °C. An Orion

Combination electrode connected to a pH meter (Orion Star A211) was used to measure the potential (EMF, mV) generated by the H<sup>+</sup> ions. EMF was calibrated using three NBS buffer solutions at pH 4.01, 7.0, and 10.01 purchased from Fisher Scientific. Probe was kept immersed in the sample until the EMF stabilized. Two EMF readings at a difference of 1 minute were obtained for each sample and average value used with calibration to calculate the pH. Precision on pH is estimated from the standard deviation of the mean of two EMF readings. Samples where such deviation exceeded 0.16% of the mean EMF are flagged. Discrete sample pH\_spec was measured spectrophotometrically at 25 degrees Celsius on the total pH scale using purified M-Cresol Purple purchased from R. Byrne at the University of South Florida (Clayton and Byrne, 1993; Liu et al., 2011).

### **Instruments:**

1. 24-bottle rosette equipped with a SeaBird CTD 911.
2. DIC and TA were measured using the instruments from Apollo Scitech Inc.
3. Certified reference material (CRM) provided by A. G. Dickson, Scripps Institution of Oceanography was used to check the accuracies of the TA and DIC measurements.
4. Winkler titration was used for DO\_spec analysis. DO\_spec samples were measured spectrophotometrically using Genesis 30 (Thomas Scientific) spectrophotometer at 466 nm.
5. M-Cresol Purple purchased from R. Byrne at the University of South Florida was used for pH\_spec measurement.

### **Processing Description**

Dataset processing notes:

- The software to do data processing is Microsoft Excel.
- CTD data was downloaded from CTD directly without any further data processing.
- Both TA and DIC was converted to units of  $\mu\text{mol}/\text{kg}$  from  $\mu\text{mol}/\text{L}$  with density equation.
- DO\_spec was converted to unit of  $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$  at 25 °C.
- pH\_spec was calibrated based on Douglas and Byrne [2017] or Müller and Rehder [2018]. The difference between Douglas and Byrne (2017) and Müller and Rehder (2018) was  $0.002\pm 0.001$  for 2017 April cruise.

Data manager processing notes:

- Added ISO\_DateTime\_UTC column
- Negative sign added to longitudinal values (locations at western part of prime meridian)

to data acquired during April 2017

- 4 digits after the decimal for latitude and longitude values
- Version History:
  - Version 1: Original data received 2019-06-07, discrete data acquired during April 2017
  - Version 2: Data received 2019-10-22, added discrete data acquired during July 2017

[ [table of contents](#) | [back to top](#) ]

---

## Related Publications

Clayton, T. D., & Byrne, R. H. (1993). Spectrophotometric seawater pH measurements: total hydrogen ion concentration scale calibration of m-cresol purple and at-sea results. *Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers*, 40(10), 2115–2129. doi:[10.1016/0967-0637\(93\)90048-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0967-0637(93)90048-8)

Douglas, N. K., & Byrne, R. H. (2017). Achieving accurate spectrophotometric pH measurements using unpurified meta-cresol purple. *Marine Chemistry*, 190, 66–72. doi:[10.1016/j.marchem.2017.02.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2017.02.004)

Liu, X., Patsavas, M. C., & Byrne, R. H. (2011). Purification and Characterization of meta-Cresol Purple for Spectrophotometric Seawater pH Measurements. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 45(11), 4862–4868. doi:[10.1021/es200665d](https://doi.org/10.1021/es200665d)

Müller, J. D., & Rehder, G. (2018). Metrology of pH Measurements in Brackish Waters—Part 2: Experimental Characterization of Purified meta-Cresol Purple for Spectrophotometric pH Measurements. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 5. doi:[10.3389/fmars.2018.00177](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2018.00177)

[ [table of contents](#) | [back to top](#) ]

---

## Parameters

Parameter	Description	Units
Cruise	Cruise name	unitless
Date	Date in format YYYY-MM-DD	unitless
Time	Time in format HH:MM:SS	unitless
Station	Station name	unitless
Longitude	Longitude, west is negative	decimal degrees

Latitude	Latitude, south is negative	decimal degrees
Bottom_Depth	Bottom depth	meter (m)
Sample_Depth	Sampling depth	meter (m)
Temperature	Temperature in sampling depth	degrees Celsius (°C)
Salinity	Salinity in sampling depth	PSU
OxygenSBE1	CTD Dissolved oxygen concentration	micromole per kilogram (umol/kg)
OxygenSBE2	CTD Dissolved oxygen concentration	milligram per liter (mg/L)
FluorescenceChl	CTD Fluorescence Chl	microgram per liter (ug/L)
wetCDOM	CTD wetCDOM	milligram per cubic meters (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )
SPAR	CTD Surficial Photosynthetically Available	microEinsteins per square meter per second (uEinsteins/m <sup>2</sup> /second)
PAR	CTD Photosynthetically Available [Active] Radiation	microEinsteins per square meter per second (uEinsteins/m <sup>2</sup> /second)
Turbidity	CTD Turbidity	FTU
Attenuation	CTD Attenuation	1 per meter (1/m)
Transmission	CTD Transmission	%
TA	Total Alkalinity	micromole per kilogram (umol/kg)
flag_TA	Total Alkalinity final flag - flag_2 means precision 0.1%	unitless
DIC	Total dissolved inorganic carbon	micromole per kilogram (umol/kg)
flag_DIC	Total dissolved inorganic carbon final flag - flag_2 means precision 0.1%	unitless
pH_Electrode	pH measured by electrode (NBS scale)	unitless

flag_pHelec	pH measured by electrode final flag - flag_2 means precision +/- 0.02	unitless
pH_Spec	Total scale pH measured by spectrometer	unitless
flag_pHspec	pH measure by spectrometer final flag - flag_2 means precision +/- 0.005	unitless
DO_Spec	Dissolved oxygen measured by spectrometer at 25 degrees celcius	umol/L
flag_DOspect	Dissolved oxygen final flag - flag_2 means precision 0.7umol L-1	unitless
ISO_DateTime_UTC	Date/Time UTC in ISO format (YYYY-MM-DDTHH:MM:SSZ)	YYYY-MM-DDTHH:MM:SSZ

[ [table of contents](#) | [back to top](#) ]

## Instruments

<b>Dataset-specific Instrument Name</b>	
<b>Generic Instrument Name</b>	Niskin bottle
<b>Dataset-specific Description</b>	24-bottle rosette
<b>Generic Instrument Description</b>	<p>A Niskin bottle (a next generation water sampler based on the Nansen bottle) is a cylindrical, non-metallic water collection device with stoppers at both ends. The bottles can be attached individually on a hydrowire or deployed in 12, 24 or 36 bottle Rosette systems mounted on a frame and combined with a CTD. Niskin bottles are used to collect discrete water samples for a range of measurements including pigments, nutrients, plankton, etc.</p>

<b>Dataset-specific Instrument Name</b>	
<b>Generic Instrument Name</b>	CTD Sea-Bird 911
<b>Dataset-specific Description</b>	24-bottle rosette equipped with a SeaBird CTD 911
<b>Generic Instrument Description</b>	The Sea-Bird SBE 911 is a type of CTD instrument package. The SBE 911 includes the SBE 9 Underwater Unit and the SBE 11 Deck Unit (for real-time readout using conductive wire) for deployment from a vessel. The combination of the SBE 9 and SBE 11 is called a SBE 911. The SBE 9 uses Sea-Bird's standard modular temperature and conductivity sensors (SBE 3 and SBE 4). The SBE 9 CTD can be configured with auxiliary sensors to measure other parameters including dissolved oxygen, pH, turbidity, fluorescence, light (PAR), light transmission, etc.). More information from Sea-Bird Electronics.

<b>Dataset-specific Instrument Name</b>	
<b>Generic Instrument Name</b>	Winkler Oxygen Titrator
<b>Dataset-specific Description</b>	Used for DO_spec analysis
<b>Generic Instrument Description</b>	A Winkler Oxygen Titration system is used for determining concentration of dissolved oxygen in seawater.



<b>Dataset-specific Instrument Name</b>	
<b>Generic Instrument Name</b>	Spectrophotometer
<b>Dataset-specific Description</b>	Genesis 30 (Thomas Scientific) spectrophotometer at 466 nm
<b>Generic Instrument Description</b>	An instrument used to measure the relative absorption of electromagnetic radiation of different wavelengths in the near infra-red, visible and ultraviolet wavebands by samples.

[ [table of contents](#) | [back to top](#) ]

---

## Deployments

### PE17-18

<b>Website</b>	<a href="https://www.bco-dmo.org/deployment/772116">https://www.bco-dmo.org/deployment/772116</a>
<b>Platform</b>	R/V Pelican
<b>Start Date</b>	2017-04-05
<b>End Date</b>	2017-04-16

### PE18-02

<b>Website</b>	<a href="https://www.bco-dmo.org/deployment/784911">https://www.bco-dmo.org/deployment/784911</a>
<b>Platform</b>	R/V Pelican
<b>Start Date</b>	2017-07-07
<b>End Date</b>	2017-07-21

[ [table of contents](#) | [back to top](#) ]

---

## Project Information

### **Collaborative Research: pH Dynamics and Interactive Effects of Multiple Processes in a River-Dominated Eutrophic Coastal Ocean (nGOMx acidification)**

**Coverage:** northern Gulf of Mexico, 27.5 N, 30 N, 88 W, 94 W

NSF Award Abstract: Ocean acidification (OA) refers to the lowering of ocean pH (or increasing acidity) due to uptake of atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>). A great deal of research has been done to understand how the open ocean is influenced by OA, but coastal systems have received little attention. In the northern Gulf of Mexico (nGOM) shelf region, pH in bottom waters can measure up to 0.45 units less than the pH of the pre-industrial surface ocean, in comparison to the 0.1 overall pH decrease across the entire ocean. Carbonate chemistry in the ocean is greatly influenced by even small changes in pH, so these seemingly minor changes lead to much greater impacts on the biology and chemistry of the ocean. The researchers plan to study coastal OA in the nGOM, a region subject to high inputs of nutrients from the Mississippi River. These inputs of anthropogenic nitrogen mostly derived from fertilizers leads to increased respiration rates which decreases oxygen concentrations in the water column to the point of hypoxia in the summer. This study will inform us how OA in coastal waters subject to eutrophication and hypoxia will impact the chemistry and biology of the region. The researchers are dedicated to outreach programs in the Gulf and east coast regions, interacting with K-12 students and teachers, undergraduate/graduate student training, and various outreach efforts (family workshops on OA, lectures for the public and federal, state, and local representatives). Also, a project website will be created to disseminate the research results to a wider audience. Increased uptakes of atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) by the ocean has led to a 0.1 unit decrease in seawater pH and carbonate mineral saturation state, a process known as Ocean Acidification (OA), which threatens the health of marine organisms, alters marine ecosystems, and biogeochemical processes. Considerable attention has been focused on understanding the impact of OA on the open ocean but less attention has been given to coastal regions. Recent studies indicate that pH in bottom waters of the northern Gulf of Mexico (nGOM) shelf can be as much as 0.45 units lower relative to pre-industrial values. This occurs because the acidification resulting from increased CO<sub>2</sub> inputs (both atmospheric inputs and in-situ respiration) decreases the buffering capacity of seawater. This interactive effect will increase with time, decreasing summertime nGOM bottom-water pH by an estimated 0.85 units and driving carbonate minerals to undersaturation by the end of this century. Researchers from the University of Delaware and the Louisiana Universities Marine Consortium will carry out a combined field, laboratory, and modeling program to address the following questions. (1) What are the physical, chemical, and biological controls on acidification in coastal waters impacted by the large, nutrient-laden Mississippi River?; (2) What is the link between coastal-water acidification, eutrophication, and hypoxia; (3) How do low pH and high CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in

bottom waters affect CO<sub>2</sub> out-gassing during fall and winter and storm periods when the water column is mixed?; and (4) What are the influences of changing river inputs under anthropogenic forcing on coastal water acidification? Results from this research aim to further our understanding of the processes influencing ocean acidification in coastal waters subject to eutrophication and hypoxia both in the GOM and river-dominated shelf ecosystems globally.

[ [table of contents](#) | [back to top](#) ]

---

## Funding

Funding Source	Award
<a href="#">NSF Division of Ocean Sciences (NSF OCE)</a>	<a href="#">OCE-1559279</a>

[ [table of contents](#) | [back to top](#) ]