

GC  
7.1  
H36  
1984

INITIAL SETTLEMENT OF MARINE INVERTEBRATE LARVAE:  
THE ROLE OF PASSIVE SINKING IN A NEAR-BOTTOM  
TURBULENT FLOW ENVIRONMENT

by

Cheryl Ann Hannan

B.A., San Jose State University (1976)  
M.A., San Jose State University and  
Moss Landing Marine Laboratories (1980)

SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE  
REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

at the

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

and the

WOODS HOLE OCEANOGRAPHIC INSTITUTION

February 1984

Signature of Author \_\_\_\_\_  
Joint Program in Biological Oceanography  
Massachusetts Institute of Technology  
Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution  
February 1984

Certified by \_\_\_\_\_  
J. Frederick Grassle  
Thesis Supervisor

Accepted by \_\_\_\_\_  
John J. Stegeman  
Chairman, Joint Committee for Biological Oceanography

1985

WHOI-Griff

INITIAL SETTLEMENT OF MARINE INVERTEBRATE LARVAE:  
THE ROLE OF PASSIVE SINKING IN A NEAR-BOTTOM  
TURBULENT FLOW ENVIRONMENT

by

Cheryl Ann Hannan

Submitted to the Massachusetts Institute of Technology -  
Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution  
Joint Program in Biological Oceanography  
in February, 1984 in partial fulfillment of the  
requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

ABSTRACT

The hypothesis that planktonic larvae of benthic invertebrates sink through the water like passive particles in turbulent flows near the seabed was tested in the field using several groups of geometrically different sediment trap designs. A priori predictions regarding the rank order that the various traps would collect larvae in the field were dictated from laboratory flume experiments to determine the relative particle collection efficiencies of traps. The flume flow was seeded with particles having fall velocities similar to those measured, in the laboratory, for nonswimming polychaete larvae. The flume flow speed (of ~ 10 cm/sec) was within the range of near-bottom current velocities measured during trap collecting intervals at the study site.

In seven field experiments, each lasting from one to eleven days, trap collections of Mediomastus ambiseta (a polychaete worm) postlarvae, total bivalve larvae and postlarvae, sabellariid polychaete larvae, and enteropneust postlarvae generally fit the patterns predicted for passive particle collections between or among the trap designs. While the results were statistically more significant during some intervals than during others, the rank order of larval collections within each group of trap designs tested nearly always corresponded precisely to the rank order of passive particle collections by the traps in the flume experiments. Thus, the hypothesis that larvae sinking toward the seabed in the field and passive particles (with fall velocities similar to larvae) sinking in a flume are collected in the same rank order of abundance by near-bottom traps could not be falsified for collections of organisms from three invertebrate phyla.

Collections of the polychaete, Pectinaria gouldii, and of metamorphosing seastar larvae between or among trap designs significantly differed from the patterns predicted for passive particle collections. A testable hypothesis to explain the Pectinaria collections involves unique hydrodynamic properties of these postlarvae, relative to the other organisms collected, and is consistent with the passive sinking

ABSTRACT (cont.)

hypothesis. Trap collections of the seastars may have resulted, at least in part, from larvae adhering to solid trap surfaces during metamorphosis.

The passive sinking hypothesis could not be falsified in most of the field experiments conducted in this study. Thus, hydrodynamical processes must be included in any future studies of processes that determine patterns of larval settlement. However, passive sinking by larvae is not the explicit result of this experimental study. Other processes that could have produced the observed patterns of larval collections among the trap designs now must be tested against the passive sinking alternative hypothesis. However, much more information on the biology and ecology of the larvae collected in this study is required before future process-oriented experiments can be designed.

If larvae sink like passive particles to heights of ~ 50-cm above the seabed, as the results of this study suggest, then it is possible that larvae initially reach the seafloor at sites where particulates, with fall velocities similar to larvae, initially settle. This hypothesis requires experimental testing. Larvae may not remain at these initial settlement sites; however, after larvae initially reach the seafloor via passive physical processes, the larvae may redistribute by actively choosing a preferred microenvironment within that location, by actively swimming above the bottom or remaining on the sediment surface to be resuspended and transported away, by resuspension only during storm events, and/or by passively accumulating around microtopographic structures.

As a precursor to the flume tests of traps, a theoretical analysis of the physical nature of trap biases was conducted. A dimensional analysis of the independent variables involved in the process of trapping particulates suggested that trap collection efficiencies should be a function primarily of trap Reynolds number, trap aspect ratio, the ratio of the fluid velocity to the particle fall velocity, and trap geometry. A review of data from previous studies that flume-tested various trap designs further suggested that particle collection efficiencies of cylindrical traps should decrease over some range of increasing trap Reynolds number, decrease over some range of decreasing particle fall velocity and increase over some range of increasing trap aspect ratio. Theoretical models were then provided to account for these effects. Flume tests, in the present study, of cylinders varying by one order of magnitude in trap Reynolds number supported one of the predictions: particle collection efficiencies of the cylinders decreased by a factor of two over this range of increasing trap Reynolds number. Results of this theoretical and experimental study of trap collection characteristics suggest that more flume experiments to quantitatively determine the nature of trap biases are required before flux estimates, using traps in the field, can be adequately interpreted.

Thesis Supervisor: J. Frederick Grassle  
Title: Senior Scientist  
Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

By spanning two disciplines, this research also spanned a wide range of people and I here thank all my friends, colleagues, and new family who touched the perimeter or dove deep into the center of this research. Without a doubt, my dissertation research would not have been the same without the collaboration and friendship of five persons, whose contributions I will describe in the schmaltzy account that follows.

Brad Butman assisted in many aspects of the flume experiments and he deployed a bottom tripod system at my field study site, providing physical measurements critical to this work. I thank Brad for his unending curiosity, his uncanny probing questions, his stamina for discussions and the warmth and sincerity of his smile.

Char Fuller provided technical assistance for the very thankless aspects of the flume experiments and was my chief dive buddy and right-hand-woman during the field experiments. In fact, Char cheerfully volunteered to do things most people would not have done if you paid them! I thank Char for her thoroughness, her friendship, and her maturity.

Bill Grant motivated and executed many aspects of the theoretical analysis of sediment trap biases. In addition, Bill saved my life a million times with his enthusiasm, his support, his great ideas and his gentleness during all aspects of this research.

Fred Grassle, my major advisor, tried to teach me the classics and I regret having often been such a dense and uncooperative student. Fred's breadth of knowledge as an ecologist and a biological oceanographer was always an invaluable resource; the field study could not have been done without his knowledge of the invertebrates. I thank Fred for his generous nature, his encouragement, and for forgiving me for being so impatient all the time.

Keith Stolzenbach participated, in a big way, in every aspect of the flume experiments from the very beginning to the very end. He was also there for me during the seemingly endless writing stage. I thank him for calling at all the right times and knowing what to say.

I thank the other members of my committee, Bob Whitlatch and Larry Madin and also Nancy Marcus, who chaired my defense, for reading and commenting on this thesis.

Valuable discussions are often hard to identify, except after-the-fact, but I know that I have yet to realize just how many I've had with Brian Binder, Jim Carlton, Gary Tagon, Arthur Nowell and Pete Jumars. I especially appreciate the duet of encouragement I received from Pete and Arthur; they also supervised the first set of larval fall velocity measurements conducted at Friday Harbor Laboratories, WA, and I thank Arthur for the use of his settling chamber and for helping to design mine.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I thank the suite of divers who put up with the miserable pea-soup conditions at my study site: Mel Briscoe, Cathy Cetta, Jerry Cheney, Paul Dragos, Char Fuller, Scott Gallagher, Ann Giblin, Scott Glenn, Bill Grant, George Hampson, Larry Madin, Betsey Pratt, Laurie Raymond, Maggie Rioux, Terry Rioux, Larry Sanford, Bob Spindel, Rod Taylor, and Nina Vassalotti. George Hampson loaned me his own Boston Whaler for the 1980 field experiments, Bill Grant provided use of the RV Sea Truck and Brad Butman provided use of the Whitefoot for the 1982 experiments, all for which I am very grateful. I also thank the W.H.O.I. shop and, especially Bobby Weeks, for all their help with structures and equipment.

Gretchen McManamin skillfully typed several versions of this dissertation. Her cheerfulness and diligence are greatly appreciated. The U.S.G.S. and the W.H.O.I. graphics departments drafted some of the figures and W.H.O.I. graphics gave valuable advice and assistance for the ones that I drafted myself. I thank W.H.O.I. graphics for doing everything on short notice.

This research was funded by a National Science Foundation Dissertation Improvement Grant, A National Ocean Survey/Sea Grant Fellowship (NOAA, Dept. of Commerce Grant No. NA80-AA-D00077), a grant from the Coastal Research Laboratory at W.H.O.I., a grant from the Diving Equipment Manufacturers Association, an Association for Women in Science Predoctoral Award, a National Science Foundation Grant (No. OCE-78-19820) to Dr. J. Frederick Grassle, the W.H.O.I. Education Program, and the U.S. Geological Survey (Woods Hole, MA).

BIOGRAPHICAL NOTE

The author was born on 26 November 1954 in Albany, California and was raised in Concord, California where she graduated from Clayton Valley High School in June 1972. She then attended San Jose State University (San Jose, CA), studying both botany and zoology, and eventually graduated with a B.A. in Zoology in June 1976. The scale was tipped toward invertebrate zoology during her last year at S.J.S.U. when she was the curator for the Invertebrate Zoology Museum at the University. From June 1976 through August 1978, the author attended Moss Landing Marine Laboratories (Moss Landing, CA) and S.J.S.U. in a Master's program while simultaneously coordinating a research grant, funded by the California State Water Resources Control Board, to design methods for using life history analyses of benthic invertebrates to monitor marine pollution. One aspect of this study, testing the feasibility of the life history approach at a local marine sewer outfall, constituted her Master's thesis research for which she obtained an M.A. in Biology (Marine) in May 1980. She was admitted to the W.H.O.I./M.I.T. Joint Program in Biological Oceanography in September 1978. After studying primarily physics and math during her first two years at M.I.T., it was possible for her to conduct interdisciplinary research on the role of small-scale hydrodynamical processes in determining sites for initial settlement of invertebrate larvae onto the seabed. During the spring of 1981, the author also taught a semester course in General Marine Ecology to undergraduates at Yale University. The author has accepted a position as a Postdoctoral Investigator at W.H.O.I. in the Ocean Engineering Department.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
TITLE PAGE	1
ABSTRACT	2
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	4
BIOGRAPHICAL NOTE	6
TABLE OF CONTENTS	7
LIST OF FIGURES	13
LIST OF TABLES	24
LIST OF PRINCIPAL SYMBOLS	28
1. INTRODUCTION AND REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE	31
1.1 Research Components to and Organization of this Thesis	33
1.2 Review of Soft-Bottom Larval Settlement Studies	36
1.2.1 <u>Definition of terms</u>	37
1.2.2 <u>Benthic surveys and process-oriented field studies have focused on processes affecting postlarval and adult organisms</u>	41
1.2.3 <u>Methodological problems with field studies: Larval stages usually were not collected</u>	52
1.2.4 <u>Active habitat selection hypothesis favored, but passive deposition hypothesis rarely considered</u>	53
1.2.5 <u>Pattern and mechanism: A problem of spatial scales</u>	67
1.2.6 <u>Tests of the passive deposition hypothesis</u>	69
1.2.7 <u>Summary of literature review</u>	73
1.3 Significance of the Present Study	74
1.3.1 <u>Compatibility of the passive deposition and active habitat selection hypotheses</u>	74
1.3.2 <u>Applied aspects to this research</u>	78

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont.)

	<u>Page</u>
2. COLLECTION OF PARTICULATES BY NEAR-BOTTOM TRAPS: THEORETICAL ANALYSIS OF THE HYDRODYNAMICAL PROCESSES	83
2.1 Introduction	83
2.1.1 <u>The use of traps to monitor particulate flux</u>	83
2.1.2 <u>Previous theoretical analyses of particle trapping</u>	85
2.1.3 <u>The unique nature of this theoretical analysis</u>	88
2.2 Dimensional Analysis of the Independent Variables Involved in Particle Trapping	89
2.3 Observations from the Literature	93
2.3.1 <u>Particle collection efficiency and trap Reynolds number</u>	94
2.3.2 <u>Particle collection efficiency and the dimensionless velocity-ratio</u>	105
2.3.3 <u>Particle collection efficiency and trap aspect ratio</u>	110
2.3.4 <u>Particle collection efficiency and trap geometry</u>	117
2.3.5 <u>Limitations to these studies</u>	119
2.4 Hypothesized Biased Trapping Effects, Based on Theoretical Considerations and on Results of the Published Laboratory Studies of Trapping Characteristics	125
2.4.1 <u>Background theory</u>	126
2.4.2 <u>Particle collection efficiency should decrease over some range of increasing trap Reynolds number</u>	131
2.4.3 <u>Particle collection efficiency should decrease over some range of decreasing particle fall velocity</u>	146



TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont)

	<u>Page</u>
2.4.4 <u>At a given trap Reynolds number, particle collection efficiency should increase over some range of increasing trap aspect ratio</u>	148
2.4.5 <u>Effects of trap geometry on particle collection efficiency</u>	150
2.5 Summary	153
3. LABORATORY MEASUREMENTS OF LARVAL FALL VELOCITIES AND OF PARTICLE COLLECTION EFFICIENCIES FOR SEVERAL TRAP DESIGNS	155
3.1 Introduction	155
3.1.1 <u>Unique requirements for trap calibrations in the present study</u>	156
3.1.2 <u>Design criteria for calibrations of traps in a laboratory flume</u>	158
3.2 Materials and Methods	172
3.2.1 <u>Measurements of larval fall velocities</u>	172
3.2.2 <u>Measurements in the large chamber</u>	173
3.2.3 <u>Measurements in the small chamber</u>	179
3.2.4 <u>Flume design</u>	185
3.2.5 <u>Seeding the flow with glass beads and sampling the water column</u>	195
3.2.6 <u>Trap tests</u>	213
3.2.7 <u>Calculations of relative particle collection efficiencies</u>	216
3.2.8 <u>Statistical tests used in this study</u>	223
3.3 Results	228
3.3.1 <u>Fall velocities of spherical or irregularly shaped inert particles</u>	228

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont.)

	<u>Page</u>
3.3.2 <u>Fall velocities of polychaete larvae</u>	237
3.3.3 <u>The flume flow regime</u>	256
3.3.4 <u>Effect of the length of the trap collecting interval on relative particle collection efficiency</u>	263
3.3.5 <u>Particle collection efficiencies of a single trap design tested in all of the series</u>	267
3.3.6 <u>Effect of trap design on particle collection efficiency</u>	272
3.3.7 <u>Visualization of flow patterns near the mouths of several trap designs</u>	290
3.4 Discussion	300
3.4.1 <u>Accuracy and precision of fall velocity measurements</u>	300
3.4.2 <u>Measured larval fall velocities and particles used to seed the flume flow</u>	313
3.4.3 <u>Accuracy and precision of particle collection efficiency estimates</u>	316
3.4.4 <u>Measured particle collection efficiencies: variability between replicates and between series</u>	327
3.4.5 <u>Hydrodynamical mechanisms responsible for observed trap biases</u>	335
3.5 Summary	347
4. FIELD EXPERIMENTS TO TEST THE HYPOTHESIS THAT LARVAE SINK LIKE PASSIVE PARTICLES THROUGH NEAR-BOTTOM WATERS	350
4.1 Introduction	350
4.1.1 <u>Choice of traps for field deployment: considerations of larval biology</u>	351

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont.)

	<u>Page</u>
4.1.2 <u>The field study site</u>	357
4.2 Materials and Methods	361
4.2.1 <u>Bottom-moored traps</u>	361
4.2.2 <u>A priori hypotheses concerning trap collections of larvae</u>	368
4.2.3 <u>Samples taken and sampling schedule</u>	373
4.2.4 <u>Sample processing</u>	375
4.2.5 <u>Physical measurements using a bottom-moored tripod system</u>	376
4.3 Results	378
4.3.1 <u>Trap collections of larvae</u>	378
4.3.2 <u>Trap collections of sediments</u>	399
4.3.3 <u>Near-bottom current regime and other physical measurements</u>	403
4.4 Discussion	407
4.4.1 <u>Tests of the a priori hypotheses regarding the collection of larvae by traps</u>	413
4.4.2 <u>Limitations to the a priori hypotheses</u>	416
4.4.3 <u>Variability in collections between trap designs and between trap collecting intervals: physical, chemical and biological explanations</u>	424
4.4.4 <u>Relationship of these results to the passive deposition and active habitat selection hypotheses</u>	433
4.5 Summary	437

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont.)

	<u>Page</u>
5. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	441
REFERENCES	451
APPENDICES	474
A.I Response Time of a Particle to Flow Accelerations	474
A.II Additional Results of the Flume Study	478
A.II.1 <u>A priori hypotheses</u>	479
A.II.2 <u>Results</u>	480
A.II.3 <u>Summary</u>	486
A.III Raw Data for Particle Collection Efficiency Calculations	490
A.IV "Planktonic Larvae May Act Like Passive Particles in Turbulent Near-Bottom Flows" (In Press, <u>Limnology and Oceanography</u> )	517

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>NUMBER</u>		<u>Page</u>
FIGURE 2.1	Relationship between flow speed and trap Reynolds number for lines of constant trap diameter, showing the range in $R_t$ of traps calibrated in the laboratory	96
FIGURE 2.2	Effect of trap Reynolds number on "collection efficiency" in a wind-funnel study of pollen collectors (Tauber 1974)	100
FIGURE 2.3	Effect of trap Reynolds number on "trapping efficiency" in a freshwater study of pollen collectors (Peck 1972)	102
FIGURE 2.4	Effect of trap Reynolds number on "trap efficiencies" in Gardner's (1980a) seawater study of sediment collectors	103
FIGURE 2.5	Effect of trap Reynolds number on "percent collection efficiency" in the seawater study of sediment collectors conducted by Hargrave and Burns (1979)	104
FIGURE 2.6	Behavior of oil droplets in the bottom of traps with various aspect ratios and $R_t$ from the study of Lau (1979)	106
FIGURE 2.7	"Trap efficiencies" of several trap designs tested in the laboratory flume study of Gardner (1980a)	112

LIST OF FIGURES (cont)

<u>NUMBER</u>		<u>Page</u>
FIGURE 2.8	"Percent collection efficiency" of several trap designs tested in the laboratory flume study of Hargrave and Burns (1979)	114
FIGURE 2.9	Diagram of two-dimensional flow past the vertical wall at the mouth of a cylinder, showing flow accelerations	127
FIGURE 2.10	Diagram of particle behavior inside an eddy rotating as a solid core, from the study of Tooby et al. (1977)	140
FIGURE 3.1	Diagrams of nonswimming polychaete larvae showing where the "narcotized length" and "narcotized width" was measured on each individual	177
FIGURE 3.2	Diagram of the "small chamber" used for measuring fall velocities of nonswimming polychaete larvae	181
FIGURE 3.3	Diagram of the flume used to measure particle collection efficiencies of traps	187
FIGURE 3.4	Side-view of the flume at the test section showing location of trap in relation to the peristaltic pump water sampling tubes upstream and the electromagnetic current meter downstream	193
FIGURE 3.5	Size-frequency histograms of the two bead mixtures used to seed the flume flow	197

LIST OF FIGURES (cont.)

		<u>Page</u>
FIGURE 3.6	Comparison of four methods used to sample the water column for particles during three time intervals of the 5/26/82 series	201
FIGURE 3.7	Effect of pump sampling speed on particle concentration in water samples collected over five 8-min intervals during the 5/26/82 and 6/24/82 series	205
FIGURE 3.8	Effect of pump sampling volume on particle concentration in water samples collected over two 8-min intervals in 5/26/82 series	210
FIGURE 3.9	Calculated fall velocities for bead diameters at the midpoint of each Coulter-Counter size class in the 25- $\mu$ m and 46- $\mu$ m bead mixtures	222
FIGURE 3.10	Relationship between particle fall velocity and water temperature for the mean bead diameters and the range of water temperatures that occurred during flume experiments	224
FIGURE 3.11	Relationship between the SD of replicate fall velocity measurements and the number of replicate runs for spherical particles tested in the large chamber	232
FIGURE 3.12	Relationship between the SD of replicate fall velocity measurements and the number of replicate runs for spherical particles tested in the small chamber	234

LIST OF FIGURES (cont.)

	<u>Page</u>
FIGURE 3.13 Profiles of 5-cm averaged fall velocities, measured in the small chamber, for a plastic sphere and a polychaete larva	236
FIGURE 3.14 Relationship between fall velocity and time since anesthetizing treatment began for three spionids tested in the large chamber	246
FIGURE 3.15 Relationship between fall velocity and time since anesthetizing treatment began for four Mission Bay <u>Streblospio benedicti</u> tested in the small chamber	248
FIGURE 3.16 Relationship between fall velocity and size for all larvae tested, for the glass bead mixtures used in the flume, and for quartz spheres	253
FIGURE 3.17 Visualization, using dye, of the turbulent structure of the flume flow regime	257
FIGURE 3.18 Calculated and measured flume flow speeds at the maximum pumping rate for flume water heights of 50 to 75 cm	260
FIGURE 3.19 Vertical profiles of bead concentrations at the test section during all series	262
FIGURE 3.20 Relative particle collection efficiencies of four trap designs, each tested for trap collecting intervals of 4.5, 6.5, and 8.5 min during the 6/7/82 series	264



LIST OF FIGURES (cont.)

		<u>Page</u>
FIGURE 3.21	Relative particle collection efficiencies of two trap designs, each tested for trap collecting intervals of 4.5, 6.5, 8.5 and 16.5 min during the 6/24/82 series	266
FIGURE 3.22	Particle collection efficiencies of trap OPC8.5-2.7, tested in all five series	268
FIGURE 3.23	Relationship between particle collection efficiency and trap Reynolds number for all replicates of trap OPC8.5-2.7 tested during 8.5-min collecting intervals	270
FIGURE 3.24	Relationship between particle collection efficiency and particle concentration in the seeded flume flow for all replicates of trap OPC8.5-2.7 tested for 8.5-min collecting intervals	271
FIGURE 3.25	Relative particle collection efficiencies of trap OPC8.5-2.7, tested during all of the series	276
FIGURE 3.26	Diagrams, to scale, of the Group A traps, OPG8.3-3.0 and OPC8.5-2.7	277
FIGURE 3.27	Relative particle collection efficiencies of the Group A traps, OPG8.3-3.0 and OPC8.5-2.7, tested during series 7/10/82, 7/22/82 and 8/24/82	278
FIGURE 3.28	Relative particle collection efficiencies of traps OPG8.3-3.0, OPC8.5-2.7, OPGC8.5-3.6 and OPC8.5-3.6 tested during series 8/24/82	280

LIST OF FIGURES (cont.)

		<u>Page</u>
FIGURE 3.29	Diagrams, to scale, of the Group B traps, OPG8.3-3.0 OPC8.5-2.7 and OPP8.3-2.7	281
FIGURE 3.30	Relative particle collection efficiencies of the Group B traps, OPG8.3-3.0, OPC8.5-2.7 and OPP8.3-2.7, tested during series 8/24/82	282
FIGURE 3.31	Diagrams, to scale, of the Group C traps, OPC8.5-1.0 OPC8.5-1.9 and OPF8.5-1.9	284
FIGURE 3.32	Relative particle collection efficiencies of the Group C traps, OPC8.5-1.0, OPC8.5-1.9 and OPF8.5-1.9, during 8.5 min collecting intervals in series 6/7/82 and of trap OPF8.3-1.9 during series 7/10/82	285
FIGURE 3.33	Diagrams, to scale, of the Group D traps, OPC8.5-2.7 TBC14.7-1.6 and TBF14.7-1.6	288
FIGURE 3.34	Relative particle collection efficiencies of the Group D traps, OPC8.5-2.7, TBC14.7-1.6 and TBF14.7-1.6, during series 8/24/82 and of traps OPC8.5-2.7 and OPF14.1-1.6 during series 7/22/82	289
FIGURE 3.35	Visualization, using dye, of flow patterns near the mouth of trap TBC7.4-2.9	291
FIGURE 3.36	Visualization, using dye, of flow patterns near the mouth of trap TBC14.7-2.9 and inside trap TBC14.7-1.6	292

LIST OF FIGURES (cont.)

	<u>Page</u>
FIGURE 3.37 Visualization, using dye, of flow patterns near the mouth of a trap that was similar in design to trap OPG8.3-3.0	293
FIGURE 3.38 Visualization, using dye, of flow patterns below the mouth of a trap that was similar in design to trap OPG8.3-3.0	294
FIGURE 3.39 Visualization, using dye, of flow patterns inside a trap that was similar in design to trap OPG8.3-3.0	295
FIGURE 3.40 Visualization, using dye, of the flow near the mouth of trap TBC7.4-2.9 containing a funnel	296
FIGURE 3.41 Visualization, using dye, of the flow near the mouth of trap OPP8.3-2.7	297
FIGURE 3.42 Relationship between the total elapsed time between replicate collections by a trap design and the CV of the mean $E_r$ for that trap	331
FIGURE 4.1 Map of the study site showing the location of Buzzards Bay on Cape Cod (A), the location of Sanders' et al.'s (1980) study area in Buzzards Bay (B), and the exact location of Station 35 (C)	358
FIGURE 4.2 Diagram of the Group C-like trap designs on the three post heights used in the 1980 experiments	362

LIST OF FIGURES (cont.)

	<u>Page</u>	
FIGURE 4.3	Diagram showing the locations, on the bottom at Station 35, of the four approximately parallel transect lines for experiments during the summer of 1980	364
FIGURE 4.4	Diagram showing the locations, on the bottom at Station 35, of the two transect arrangements (A and B) used during the summer of 1982	365
FIGURE 4.5	Diagram showing the locations of the 1980 transects and the first transect arrangement (see Figure 4.4A) used in 1982, relative to each other	367
FIGURE 4.6	Collections of <u>Mediomastus</u> , <u>Pectinaria</u> , sabellariids, bivalves, and enteropneusts in the Group A traps deployed from 7/23/82 to 7/27/82	386
FIGURE 4.7	Relationship between the number of juvenile cunners and the number of <u>Mediomastus</u> and bivalves collected in traps OPC8.5-2.7S and OPG8.3-3.0S during the 7/27/82 interval	388
FIGURE 4.8	Collections of <u>Mediomastus</u> , <u>Pectinaria</u> , sabellariids, and bivalves in the Group A traps deployed from 9/21/82 to 9/22/82	390
FIGURE 4.9	Collections of <u>Mediomastus</u> , <u>Pectinaria</u> , sabellariids, and bivalves in the Group B traps deployed from 9/15/82 to 9/20/82	391

LIST OF FIGURES (cont.)

	<u>Page</u>
FIGURE 4.10 Collections of <u>Mediomastus</u> in the Group C-like traps and in traps OPF12.2-1.7S and OPF15.8-1.4S raised three heights above the seabed and deployed from 8/11/80 to 8/25/80	393
FIGURE 4.11 Collections of <u>Pectinaria</u> in the Group C-like traps and in traps OPF12.2-1.7S and OPF15.8-1.4S raised three heights above the seabed and deployed from 8/11/80 to 8/25/80	394
FIGURE 4.12 Collections of <u>Mediomastus</u> , <u>Pectinaria</u> , sabellariids, bivalves, enteropneusts and seastars in the Group D traps deployed from 8/16/82 to 8/20/82	395
FIGURE 4.13 Collections of <u>Mediomastus</u> , <u>Pectinaria</u> , sabellariids, and bivalves in Group A traps and trap TBC14.7-1.6S deployed from 9/14/82 to 9/15/82	397
FIGURE 4.14 Collections of <u>Mediomastus</u> , <u>Pectinaria</u> , sabellariids, and bivalves in Group A traps and trap TBC14.7-1.6S deployed from 9/20/82 to 9/21/82	398
FIGURE 4.15 Total weight of sediment collected in the Group C-like traps and traps OPF12.2-1.7S and OPF15.8-1.4S raised three heights above the seabed and deployed 8/11/80 to 8/25/80	400
FIGURE 4.16 Percentage of mud (silt plus clay) in sediments collected in the Group C-like traps and traps OPF12.2-1.7S and OPF15.8-1.4 raised three heights above the seabed and deployed from 8/11/80-8/25/80	402

LIST OF FIGURES (cont.)

		<u>Page</u>
FIGURE 4.17	Plots of near-bottom pressure and the north-south and east-west components to the near-bottom currents at Station 35 during interval 7/27/82	404
FIGURE 4.18	Plots of near-bottom water temperature, current speed, and pressure standard deviation at Station 35 during interval 7/27/82	405
FIGURE 4.19	Flow speed-frequency histograms for currents measured 0.5-m above the bottom at Station 35 during interval 7/27/82	408
FIGURE 4.20	Flow speed-frequency histograms for currents measured 1.0-m above the bottom at Station 35 during interval 9/15/82	409
FIGURE 4.21	Flow speed-frequency histograms for currents measured 1.0-m above the bottom at Station 35 during interval 9/20/82	410
FIGURE 4.22	Flow speed-frequency histograms for currents measured 1.0-m above the bottom at Station 35 during interval 9/21/82	411
FIGURE 4.23	Flow speed-frequency histograms for currents measured 1.0-m above the bottom at Station 35 during interval 9/22/82	412

LIST OF FIGURES (cont.)

	<u>Page</u>
FIGURE A.II.1 Relationship between relative particle collection efficiency and aspect ratio for four cylindrical trap designs	481
FIGURE A.II.2 Relative particle collection efficiencies for baffled and unbaffled versions of three cylindrical trap designs	484
FIGURE A.II.3 Relative particle collection efficiencies for trap OPC8.5-2.7 and for screened and baffled versions of this trap design	485
FIGURE A.II.4 Relationship between relative particle collection efficiency and trap Reynolds numbers for straight-sided cylinders	487
FIGURE A.IV.1 Relative particle collection efficiencies of standard cylinders and gallon jars in flume experiments	526
FIGURE A.IV.2 Number of individuals of <u>Mediomastus ambiseta</u> collected in standard cylinders and gallon jars deployed in the field	528

LIST OF TABLES

		<u>Page</u>
TABLE 1.1	Observations of Benthic Postlarval and Adult Polychaetes, Molluscs and Meiofauna in the Water Column	42
TABLE 1.2	Benthic Survey Studies of Infauna and Sediment Distributions Over Areas of the Seafloor	45
TABLE 1.3	Process-Oriented Field Studies of Factors Controlling Soft-Bottom Community Structure; Studies Arranged by the Process Under Investigation	49
TABLE 1.4	Laboratory Experiments on Habitat Selection by Soft-Bottom Invertebrate Larvae, Juvenile or Adult Macrofauna, and Meiofauna	54
TABLE 1.5	Field Experiments on Habitat Selection by Soft-Bottom Invertebrate Larvae, Juvenile or Adult Macrofauna and Meiofauna	59
TABLE 2.1	Ranges of Values for the Dimensionless Ratios, $R_t$ , $u_f/W$ , and $H/D$ , and Trap Geometries Tested in Six Laboratory Studies	95
TABLE 2.2	Calculated Trap Reynolds Numbers for Sediment Traps Deployed in the Field	98
TABLE 2.3	Particle Collection Efficiencies of Noncylindrical Traps Using an Inside Diameter, other than at the Trap Mouth, in Calculations, for the Studies of Gardner (1980a) and Hargrave and Burns (1979)	120



LIST OF TABLES (cont.)

		<u>Page</u>
TABLE 2.4	Calculated Boundary-Layer Thickness in the Flume and for the Flow Speeds used by Gardner (1980a)	124
TABLE 2.5	Maximum Diameters of Spherical Particles that would tend to Spiral Slowly Outward, once Entrained in Eddies of Various Sizes	143
TABLE 3.1	Trap Dimensions and the Number of Trap Radii Between Each Trap and the Side-Walls or Boundary Layers on the Side-Walls of the Flume	190
TABLE 3.2	Effect of Sampling Frequency on Estimating Mean Bead Concentrations During 8.5-min Trap Collecting Intervals of the 6/7/82 and 6/24/82 Series	217
TABLE 3.3	Between-Runs Precision in the Large Chamber: Fall Velocities and Other Physical Characteristics of Spherical Particles	229
TABLE 3.4	Between-Runs Precision in the Large Chamber: Fall Velocities and Other Physical Characteristics of Irregularly Shaped Particles	231
TABLE 3.5	Between-Runs Precision in the Small Chamber: Fall Velocities and Other Characteristics of Spherical Particles	233
TABLE 3.6	Fall Velocity During the First Run and other Information about each Polychaete Larva Tested in the Large Chamber	238

LIST OF TABLES (cont.)

		<u>Page</u>
TABLE 3.7	Fall Velocity During the First Run and other Information about each Polychaete Larva Tested in the Small Chamber	240
TABLE 3.8	Relationship Between 5-cm Averaged Fall Velocities and Distance above Bottom of Small Chamber During the First Runs for Four Mission Bay <u>Streblospio benedicti</u> Larvae	250
TABLE 3.9	Measured Flume Flow Speeds During Two Series of Trap Tests	258
TABLE 3.10	Conditions and Traps Tested During Each Series of Flume Experiments	274
TABLE 3.11	Summary of Between-Runs Precision of Replicate Fall Velocity Measurements for Spheres, Irregularly Shaped Inert Particles and Larvae Tested in the Large and Small Chambers	302
TABLE 3.12	Comparison of Measured Fall Velocities of Nonspherical Particles from a Variety of Studies	314
TABLE 3.13	Results of Statistical Tests of the $H_0$ that $E_r$ Values of a Given Trap Design Decrease During the Time Course of a Series	328
TABLE 3.14	Particle Collection Efficiencies of Noncylindrical Traps Using an Inside Diameter, Other than at the Mouth, in Calculations	342

LIST OF TABLES (cont.)

	<u>Page</u>
TABLE 4.1 Information about the Nine Separate Trap Collection Intervals for which Results are Reported	370
TABLE 4.2 Dimensions of Traps Deployed in the Summer of 1980	371
TABLE 4.3 Percentage of Individuals Retained on the 500-, 300-, and 100- $\mu$ m Screens Relative to the Length of the Trap Collecting Intervals	379
TABLE 4.4 Summary of Near-Bottom Water Temperature and Current Speeds During the 1982 Trap Collecting Intervals	406
TABLE A.III.1 Raw Data, Calculated Particle Collection Efficiencies and Calculated Trap Reynolds Numbers for each Replicate of each Trap Design Tested in this Study	491

