Seismic evidence for a slab tear at the Puerto Rico Trench
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[1] The fore-arc region of the northeast Caribbean plate north of Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands has been the site of numerous seismic swarms since at least 1976. A 6 month deployment of five ocean bottom seismographs recorded two such tightly clustered swarms, along with additional events. Joint analyses of the ocean bottom seismographs and land-based seismic data reveal that the swarms are located at depths of 50–150 km. Focal mechanism solutions, found by jointly fitting P wave first-motion polarities and S/P amplitude ratios, indicate that the broadly distributed events outside the swarm generally have strike- and dip-slip mechanisms at depths of 50–100 km, while events at depths of 100–150 km have oblique mechanisms. A stress inversion reveals two distinct stress regimes: The slab segment east of 65°W longitude is dominated by trench-normal tensile stresses at shallower depths (50–100 km) and by trench-parallel tensile stresses at deeper depths (100–150 km), whereas the slab segment west of 65°W longitude has tensile stresses that are consistently trench normal throughout the depth range at which events were observed (50–100 km). The simple stress pattern in the western segment implies relatively straightforward subduction of an unimpeded slab, while the stress pattern observed in the eastern segment, shallow trench-normal tension and deeper trench-normal compression, is consistent with flexure of the slab due to rollback. These results support the hypothesis that the subducting North American plate is tearing at or near these swarms. The 35 year record of seismic swarms at this location and the recent increase in seismicity suggest that the tear is still propagating.


1. Introduction

[2] The northeast Caribbean displays a variety of complex tectonic interactions and a high rate of seismicity [Engdahl et al., 1998; Mann et al., 2002]. Earthquake swarms, clusters of seismic events that occur in a time span of hours or days without a distinct main shock [Roland and McGuire, 2009], have been determined by analysts, based on recordings by land-based stations, to have occurred frequently off the northeastern coast of Puerto Rico during the last 35 years [Pulliam et al., 2007]. Accuracy of these event locations is related directly to the azimuthal distribution of recorded seismic observations. Permanent stations are located only on islands, which creates unavoidable bias in hypocenter locations due to limited azimuthal coverage and the lateral heterogeneity of the subduction zone in which the events typically occur. This limitation can be overcome temporarily by deployments of ocean bottom seismographs (OBSs) in locations seaward of the seismogenic zones; such deployments can be especially helpful if they capture one or more earthquake swarms. This study reports on stress patterns in the northeast Caribbean deduced from two swarms, plus additional events, that were recorded by a joint OBS and land-based seismic network.

[3] The tectonic setting in this region transitions from true dip-slip subduction of the North American (NOAM) plate beneath the Caribbean (CAR) plate along the Lesser Antilles Volcanic Arc, to a transform regime west of Puerto Rico (Figure 1). Oblique subduction of the NOAM plate (recently determined to occur at 20 mm/yr at an azimuth of 254° by DeMets et al. [2010]) dominates in the vicinity of Puerto Rico and the U.S. and British Virgin Islands. In this location, the plate margin is concave in shape, which creates geometric complications as the downgoing NOAM slab is forced around the high-curvature margin [ten Brink, 2005; Mann et al., 2002]. This subduction geometry is likely accommodated by a shear zone or tear within the slab, of which the tearing process could produce earthquake swarms [ten Brink, 2005]. Slab tears have been identified in other tectonically similar regions as well [Bautista et al., 2001; Clark et al., 2008; Govers and Wortel, 2005; Millen and Hamburger, 1998; Miller et al., 2006; Rosenbaum et al., 2008].
The Wadati-Benioff zone of the subducting NOAM slab has been observed by Fischer and McCann [1984], Engdahl et al. [1998], and observed more recently using events from the regional Puerto Rico Seismic Network earthquake database. The maximum depth of the plate has been interpreted from relocated earthquake hypocenters to be 150 km [ten Brink, 2005]. The Wadati-Benioff zone has a 20° dip along the northern boundary of the Puerto Rico Trench, with a sudden 5° dip decrease off the NE coast of Puerto Rico, at approximately 64.5°W [ten Brink, 2005]. This is also the location of the recorded seismic swarms and where a slab tear has been proposed to be actively propagating, based on the bathymetry, gravity, seismicity, stress changes related to the subduction of a seamount, timing of the trench collapse, seismic anisotropy, and continuous GPS vector analyses [ten Brink and López-Venegas, 2012; ten Brink, 2005; Meighan and Pulliam, 2013].

This study focuses on the characterization of local tectonics by combining seismic observations from 6 month, continuously recording OBS and from land-based island stations. From March to September 2007, five OBSs were positioned in and around the region of most frequent previous swarms (Figure 1). The combined Puerto Rico Seismic Network (PRSN) and OBS data set captured approximately 600 events in addition to improving hypocenter constraints with the increased station distribution. The focal mechanism solutions of these events and the stress field in which they occurred were examined in order to understand their relationship with the proposed shear zone or slab tear.

Fifteen seismic stations operated by the Puerto Rico Seismic Network (PRSN) and five OBSs from the Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution (WHOI) were used in this study (Table S1 in the supporting information and Figure 1). Each short-period OBS contained a three-component Geospace GS-11D geophone (two horizontal and one vertical component), a hydrophone, and a Quanterra 330 digitizer/recorder. The OBS deployed the geophone in an external package by means of a fiberglass arm, but did not have a way to determine azimuthal orientation of the two horizontal components once it had reached the seafloor. One option for determining the orientation of horizontal components is to shoot an air gun in a pattern around the OBS and compute geophone orientation from the relative arrival times of subsequent water waves. However, this was not done for this deployment, and as such, the
orientation of horizontal components was not determined. The Quanterra 330s were programmed to record the geophones’ data streams at 100 samples/s; clock drifts were determined and corrected by WHOI Ocean Bottom Seismograph Instrument Pool personnel. The glass spheres used by these OBSs have a depth rating of 6.5 km, so precise placement of the instruments was crucial in and around the Puerto Rico Trench, where depths reach 8.3 km. Three of the OBS stations (D16, D29, and D35) were deployed along the northern edge of the Puerto Rico Trench, station D21 was deployed at the southern end of the Puerto Rico Trench, and station D18 was dropped directly on the Main Ridge Seamount within the trench (Figure 1).

Several factors were taken into account when jointly processing the land-based observations and those from the OBS. The seafloor noise spectrum contains a higher level of background noise with frequencies less than 0.1 Hz than that of land stations [Pulliam et al., 2003]. Coupling between the OBS instrumentation and unconsolidated seafloor sediment can be weak at some OBS sites, which makes it difficult to identify seismic phases and can lead to “ringing” (fairly monochromatic signals). Lastly, amplitudes are often attenuated at higher frequencies compared to land-based observations of the same signals, rendering P and S arrivals more emergent than impulsive, and therefore more difficult to pick accurately.

The land- and seafloor-based seismic observations were compiled into an Antelope database for preprocessing, as described below. (“Antelope” is a commercial seismic analysis package developed by Boulder Real Time Technologies.) Many of the phase arrivals recorded by PRSN stations within our data set had already been picked and their associated events located by analysts at the PRSN, as part of routine monitoring (see also Clinton et al. [2006] for more information regarding the PRSN). Nevertheless, every arrival pick that was used in the analysis was examined and manually adjusted. The picked phase arrivals were associated to corresponding events, P and S wave amplitudes were measured, first-motion P wave polarities were detected, and preliminary hypocenter locations using the iasp91 1-D velocity model were calculated [Kennett and Engdahl, 1991]. All operations that were performed automatically were checked manually. Waveforms that exhibited high levels of seismic noise or abnormal damping were excluded from further location processing because arrivals were indistinguishable from the background noise.

### 3. Seismic Analysis

Details of the event location procedure, including the application of relative relocation algorithms, are the subject of a companion paper (A. M. López-Venegas et al., manuscript in preparation, 2013); only the characteristics of the absolute event locations that are relevant for this study of stress patterns will be summarized here. Events recorded during the 6 month deployment (average magnitude of 2.9) were relocated using the NonLinLoc software package [Lomax et al., 2000]. This program uses nonlinear, global-search algorithms for the probabilistic search of earthquakes within 3-D Earth models to find the minimum-residual solution [Lomax et al., 2000]. NonLinLoc algorithms follow the methods of Tarantola and Valette’s [1982] probabilistic inversion and the shortest-path method of traveltime computation in heterogeneous media [Moser et al., 1992; Wittlinger et al., 1993].

The recorded data set has approximately 600 events, spanning depths from 0 to 200 km and with local magnitudes <4. Depth constraints are discussed further in the previously mentioned companion paper (A. M. López-Venegas et al., manuscript in preparation, 2013). Two seismic swarms occurred during the March–September 2007 OBS deployment. The first was from 16 to 18 April (approximately 40 events) and the second occurred from 24 to 26 June (approximately 180 events), with local magnitudes ranging from 1.7 to 3.7 (derived using the “dbml” Antelope tool). Both of these swarms were located offshore in an area that was surrounded by the OBS, providing good azimuthal constraints for the location; however, the hypocenters do not define a clear Wadati-Benioff zone. In addition, the large swarm on 24–26 June was centered at approximately 19.2°N, 64.5°W (swarm location denoted by star in Figure 1) and spanned depths of approximately 50–90 km.

### 4. Focal Mechanism Solutions

Accurate fault plane solutions for small-magnitude earthquakes require a station distribution with small gaps in azimuth, a well-defined velocity model, and P wave first-arrival polarities. Where station distribution is poor, sampling of the focal sphere is incomplete; therefore, the addition of S/P amplitude ratios can supplement the first-arrival information [Hardebeck and Shearer, 2002, 2003; Julian and Foulger, 1996; Kisslinger, 1980; Snake et al., 1984]. Including these ratios in focal mechanism calculations has also proved successful in regions with limited data coverage and/or events of small magnitude, such as the Alpine Fault in New Zealand, offshore SW Taiwan, Atotsugawa Fault in central Japan, Southern California, São Miguel Island, and western Skagerrak [Boese et al., 2012; Chang et al., 2008; Imanishi et al., 2011; Kilb and Hardebeck, 2006; Silva et al., 2012; Søvensen et al., 2011; Yang et al., 2012].

Advantages of using S/P amplitude ratios include the following: (1) The observation location on the focal sphere is more accurately constrained, (2) the number of observations per event increases, and (3) the ratio values increase with increased proximity to nodal planes [Hardebeck and Shearer, 2002, 2003; Julian and Foulger, 1996; Kisslinger, 1980; Snake et al., 1984; Yang et al., 2012]. At a given station, there are several factors that contribute to the observed amplitude; however, using the S/P ratio generally reduces the need for amplitude corrections [Hardebeck and Shearer, 2002]. In regions where a comprehensive sampling of azimuths with which to constrain the positions of nodal planes is not available, the incorporation of amplitude ratios is critical, as they reach their maxima along nodal planes.

The HASH software package combines first-motion polarity picks with associated S/P amplitude ratios, accounts for errors in both hypocenter locations and seismic velocity models, and returns the best fit solution with associated errors [Kraft et al., 2006; Silva et al., 2012]. HASH generates a set of mechanisms for each event by incorporating the S/P observation uncertainty into the inversion; the average of the set is the preferred mechanism as long as it meets requirements prescribed by the user and the program [Hardebeck and...
Shearer, 2002, 2003]. Imposing this requirement removed 9% of the events from this data set, which generated a total of 545 focal mechanism solutions. Solution uncertainties are estimated from the span of acceptable solutions and a quality flag (A-D) is assigned. “Quality” of mechanisms is determined using the parameters suggested in a recent study by Yang et al. [2012], in which ~200,000 focal mechanisms were recorded by a dense seismic network in Southern California to determine the relationships between fault plane uncertainties and 12 other parameters.

[14] Solution quality was based upon the azimuthal gap between two neighboring stations on the focal sphere, fault plane uncertainties (FPU; the root mean square angular difference in acceptable solutions), probability that acceptable solutions are close to the preferred solution (PROB), and how well the stations sample the focal sphere. The minimum azimuthal gap requirement for A-quality mechanisms was loosened to <170°, equivalent to the B-C quality rating from Yang et al. [2012], because the set of seismographic stations (including OBS) do not provide a comprehensive sampling of azimuths from offshore events in the NE Caribbean. Solutions of A-quality have a FPU < 25° and PROB > 90%, B-quality have a FPU range within 25°–35° and PROB > 80%, C-quality have a FPU range within 35°–45° and PROB > 60%, and D-quality have a FPU range within 45°–75° and PROB > 40%. Cumulatively, 22% of the focal mechanism solutions fall into the A, B, and C categories. From those, the data set was limited to events located within the area of concern and whose PROB was greater than 70%. The best constrained events were located within the OBS network where arrival observations included the P wave first-motion polarity and S/P amplitude ratio. Figure 2 shows an event (ML 1.7) plotted on a focal sphere with the first-motion polarities, S/P amplitude ratios, distribution of acceptable HASH-derived focal mechanism solutions, along with the A-quality preferred solution (an average of the acceptable solutions).

[15] To explore both lateral and depth dependence, the events were divided into 25 km depth intervals. Shallow events (0–10 km) generally had poorly constrained (D-quality) focal mechanism solutions, which likely resulted from hypocenters that were mislocated in depth; many had been located at the physically unrealistic 0 km focal depth. As shown by Hardebeck and Shearer [2002], depth errors will cause significant changes in computed takeoff angles for shallow events (<7 km). Only very few events were observed from 10 to 50 km and, of those, only D-quality solutions were produced. After removing D-quality events, the foci of the remaining events fell between 50 and 150 km depth. The remaining 76 fault plane solutions (Table S2 in the supporting information) were predominantly strike slip and oblique with several normal, reverse, and vertical mechanisms scattered through the study area.

[16] The primary region of interest, NE of Puerto Rico and centered between the five OBS instruments, was generally dominated by strike-slip focal mechanism solutions. The events are clustered in two different regions, one focused proximal to the islands and the other near 19.2°N, 64.5°W, which was also the location of the June swarm (Figure 1). Generally, the depth range 50–75 km showed the greatest concentration of strike-slip events in the region of the swarm, while the area near the islands contained both strike-slip and dip-slip events (Figure 3a). Events found within depths of 75–100 km also include a variety of strike- and dip-slip mechanisms, approximately half of which show a slight oblique combination of both (Figure 3b). Depths of 100–150 km showed an emergence of mechanisms dominated by oblique tension, which are discussed below (Figures 3c and 3d).

[17] The tensional axes of these focal mechanism solutions show a clear pattern, laterally and with depth (Figures 3a–3d). The majority of events located closer to the islands have tension axes oriented roughly N-S, perpendicular to the trench (Figures 3a–3d). Events farther to the northeast (near or within the June swarm region) have tension axes that are dominantly oriented NE-SW, with only a few exceptions (Figure 3a). This pattern of NE-SW tension continues at depths greater than 125 km; however, the number of these NE axes decreases with increasing depth. Within the depth interval of 100–125 km, the events have a mixed distribution of NE and NW oriented tension.
axes (Figure 3c). Then from 125 to 150 km, the tension axes offshore are primarily oriented NW (Figure 3d). The compression axes of the great majority of events in this segment at deeper depths (100–150 km) are oriented in the NE-SW direction, normal to the tension axes.

5. Stress Inversion

In order to reveal spatial variations of the stress interactions and tectonic loading in the region, the stress field for our combined OBS-PRSN data set was computed using the damped inversion method of Hardebeck and Michael [2006]. To minimize the effects of errors associated with individual focal mechanisms, several inversion methods have been developed [Abers and Gephart, 2001; Angelier, 1984; Gephart and Forsyth, 1984; Hardebeck and Michael, 2006; Horiuchi et al., 1995; Michael, 1984, 1987, 1991]. Of these, the inversion method proposed by Michael [1984] is commonly used to determine the direction of the principal stress axes from focal mechanisms [e.g., Imanishi et al., 2011; Matsumoto et al., 2012; Pasquale et al., 2009; Silva et al., 2012; Steffen et al., 2012].

Damped inversion methods aim to choose the best fit and least complex model from multiple permissible ones. Such a method was proposed by Hardebeck and Michael [2006], referred to as SATSI, which is an adaptive smoothing inversion that identifies variations required by the calculated focal mechanisms. The damping feature of SATSI finds a model by minimizing, in the least squares sense, the weighted sum of data misfit and model length. SATSI calculates the stress tensor that best fits a set of fault plane solutions using the standard linear inversion method of Michael [1984, 1987]. This results in the “least complex” stress tensor inversion model that fits the input focal mechanisms to within a user-specified acceptable level. Recent studies have shown that SATSI produces reliable stress tensors consistent with known structures and geology [Steffen et al., 2012; Yoshida et al., 2012].

The region was gridded into equal 25 km × 25 km subareas and each focal mechanism solution was assigned to its closest grid node. Following the methods of Hardebeck and Michael [2006], a spatial damping parameter of $e = 0.50$ was applied, as it minimized both the data variance and model length. The stress tensor results from all grid nodes were analyzed; tensile forces are summarized in Figure 4. Through all four depth bins, the orientation of the tensile axes of SR1 was roughly N-S (Figure 4). The results from SR2 show a more complex interaction of tensile stress axes within the different depth slices. From

Figure 3. Bathymetric maps with focal mechanism solutions. The far eastern corner of Puerto Rico is seen in the bottom left of each subpart. The “beach ball” solutions are displayed in their corresponding ~25 km depth bin that is used throughout the data analysis, with the depth label in the top left corner of each subpart. Tensile stress axes are positioned on top of each beach ball. (a and b) Events near or within the June swarm region have tension axes dominantly oriented NE, with the southeast region of the map dominated by N-S tension. (c) Events are mainly contained to the swarm region and now incorporate a secondary tensile stress oriented NW. (d) The events at this depth mainly show tension oriented NW.
50 to 100 km, the majority of tensile axes of SR2 were oriented NE-SW (Figure 4). From 100 to 125 km, SR2 shows a combination of NE and NW trending tensile forces as determined from the stress inversion. The deepest bin, 125–150 km, shows inversion results dominated by NW-SE oriented tension axes (Figure 4).

6. Discussion

[22] Two major stress regimes are generated by flexural bending of a subducting slab as it enters a trench: (1) a shallow regime where the slab is undergoing extension parallel to the subduction direction, generating shallow trench-normal tensional stress axes; and (2) a deep regime where the slab is being compressed as it bends down-dip, generating deep trench-normal compressive stresses [Bautista et al., 2001]. This combination of depth-dependant compressional and tensional stresses caused by flexural bending can occur with the subduction phenomenon of slab rollback, caused by trench-parallel mantle flow [Battles and Olson, 1998; Civello and Margheriti, 2004; Kincaid and Griffiths, 2003; Kneller and van Keken, 2007].

[23] The oblique subduction along the curved convergent margin produced a variety of earthquake mechanism types, which transition from primarily pure dip slip and strike slip to more oblique with an increase in depth (Figure 3). Regardless of faulting style, the majority of our study area is characterized by NE-SW oriented stress inversion tensile axes, which are consistent with oblique convergence of the NOAM and CAR plates along the Puerto Rico Trench [Calais et al., 2002; DeMets et al., 2010; Jansma et al., 2000; Mann et al., 2002]. Shallow depths along the Puerto Rico Trench are where compressional axes of the Wadati-Benioff zone are oriented parallel to the trench (perpendicular to the trench-normal tension; see Figure 3). This is a pattern documented in other regions, such as Sumatra and Java [Slancova et al., 2000], and is likely due to subduction zone curvature and subsequent lateral stresses.

[24] The N-S oriented tensile stress field in SR1 coincides with an extensional regime dominated by flexural bending of the NOAM lithosphere. This region west of 65°W demonstrates a single uniform stress direction with only limited depth coverage. In contrast, the tensile stress field of SR2 (east of 65°W) has a combination of both trench-normal extension (at shallow depths) with trench-normal compression (at greater depths), indicative of flexural bending of downgoing lithosphere at the point of entry into the subduction trench, and is likely controlled by slab rollback, trench-parallel mantle flow, and, perhaps, trench-normal mantle flow. Figure 5 shows a schematic of the local tectonics with associated stress fields and mantle flow directions.

[25] Mantle flow generally parallels the plate boundaries of the Caribbean and slab rollback is the mechanism commonly attributed to this pattern [Meighan and Pulliam, 2013; Piñero-Feliciangeli and Kendall, 2008; Russo et al., 1996; Russo and Silver, 1994]. Shear wave splitting measurements of seismic anisotropy indicate this trench-parallel mantle flow direction. However, a recent study of seismic anisotropy in the NE Caribbean suggests that the mantle also flows through a gap in the NOAM slab, at approximately 65°W, where the direction of fast polarization was found to be oriented perpendicular to the trench (see mantle flow arrows in Figure 5) [Meighan and Pulliam, 2013]. The explanation proposed here is also consistent with recent evidence that supports the existence of a slab tear from ten Brink and López-Venegas [2012], in which these authors interpret NW motion, as determined by continuous GPS observations, as a result of a tear.

[26] The data suggest that the proposed tear is located between the two distinct stress regimes, SR1 and SR2,
creating two segments of the NOAM slab at approximately longitude 65°W. It is here where a significant shift in trench morphology was observed and determined to be caused by the collapse of the Puerto Rico Trench at 3.3 Ma [ten Brink, 2005]. Seafloor morphology features west of 65°W include a wider trench that is deeper and plunges more steeply into the mantle than that of the trench segment east of 65°W [ten Brink, 2005]. This is also the location at which the Puerto Rico Trench curves most sharply and is thus subjected to increased lateral strain [Toda and Stein, 2002; ten Brink, 2005].

[27] The results of this study, as well as the studies cited above, are consistent with a scenario in which the Main Ridge Seamount serves as an impediment to NOAM subduction. Main Ridge is a 50 km long, 2 km high aseismic volcanic ridge being subducted at the Puerto Rico Trench, as interpreted by ten Brink [2005] and McCann and Sykes [1984] (Figure 4). Sandbox models of seamount subduction [Domínguez et al., 2000] predict the formation of strike-slip faults where the seamount has entered the trench. A northeast trending strike-slip fault has since been observed and mapped off the eastern edge of the seamount by ten Brink [2005]. In this scenario, westward motion of the NOAM slab continues south of this fault, likely demarcated by the swarms and associated events recorded by the OBS deployment. A seamount is expected to generate resistance to subduction [Gutscher et al., 1999] and stress modeling calculations have confirmed that large tensile stresses develop within the slab downdip of a seamount [Toda and Stein, 2002; ten Brink, 2005]. ten Brink [2005] proposed that the onset of Main Ridge Seamount subduction was the proximate cause of the tear and the point of continued rupture propagation is represented by the locus of the swarms. Stress field results support that interpretation indirectly, in that a significant change in the state of stress is revealed by earthquakes from west to east but this change cannot be attributed directly to tearing. Rather, the stress regime in the eastern slab segment (SR2) suggests that the slab is undergoing rollback while the segment immediately to the west is not. These two regimes in such close proximity are incompatible in a single, intact slab. A simpler interpretation is that the lithosphere that entered the subduction zone as a single slab has separated into two and that each of the two stress patterns represents the state of stress in a distinct portion of the former slab. Whether the swarms recorded by OBS represent the propagating tear is unclear; an explanation would have to be found that explains their occurrence over a depth range of 50–150 km and their separation into two distinct stress patterns that correspond to distinct focal depth ranges (50–100 km and 100–150 km). The more easily supportable and straightforward interpretation is that the two stress patterns (SR1 and SR2) represent separate slabs that are experiencing different stresses. A preponderance of evidence accumulated by a variety of studies, including this one, supports the conclusion that a slab tear must exist between SR1 and SR2 in Figure 5.

7. Conclusions

[28] The nature of oblique, curved subduction zones is one that requires, at various locations along its strike, crustal shortening, extension, and shearing in order to accommodate the subduction zone’s complex geometry [Bautista et al., 2001]. When evaluated in the context of previous studies, these results ultimately support the hypothesis that the subducting NOAM plate is tearing in the NE corner of the CAR plate boundary. A tear would allow the NOAM slab to negotiate the sharp turn at the NE Caribbean plate boundary and accounts for the GPS, gravity, morphological, and seismic anisotropy observations reported by previous authors [ten Brink and López-Venegas, 2012; ten Brink, 2005; Meighan and Pulliam, 2013], in addition to these focal mechanisms solutions and stress inversion modeling. The actively increasing rate of seismicity and the location of swarms suggest that the tear is still propagating. In this study, a tear in the NOAM slab is supported by the discovery of two distinct stress regimes: SR2 (east of the tear) is likely dominated by slab rollback and SR1 (west of the tear) has a consistent stress pattern that is coherent with downdip extension. Slab tearing is the likely mechanism that isolates these stress regimes and allows the slab segments to respond quite differently over a short distance. The small number of deep events located north of Puerto Rico, within SR1, further suggests the possibility of slab detachment in that region. Additional observations are needed before the connection between the downdip extensional stress regime, the extreme low gravity
anomaly, and seismicity in this region and their connection to
the slab tear can be understood fully.
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