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The relation between internal wave variability and larger and smaller scales of motion is investigated, using the IWEX data set. To investigate the role of internal waves in the vertical diffusion of large scale momentum, the time variability of the vertical flux of horizontal internal wave momentum (estimated from temperature and current data) is compared to that of the mean vertical shear. It is found that internal waves do not contribute significantly to the diffusion of mean flow momentum. However, Müller [1976] has recently suggested that the interaction between internal waves and quasi-geostrophic motions lead to an important diffusion of mean flow momentum (but no vertical diffusion of mass), provided relaxation processes within the internal wave field are taken into account. For strong relaxation processes, the interaction should be essentially local in space and time and the diffusion of momentum can be represented by diffusion coefficients. On the basis of calculations by Olbers [1976], Müller introduced a relaxation time (for simplicity, independent of wavenumber) of the order of 5 days, and predicted a large wave-induced vertical viscosity \( \nu_z = 0.4 \text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1} \) and a moderate horizontal viscosity \( \nu_h = 7 \text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1} \). Müller and Olbers [1975] also proposed a rather consistent zero-order energy balance for the deep sea internal wave field: energy input from the mean flow, redistribution of this energy by resonant wave-wave interactions and dissipation at high vertical wavenumber and low frequencies, presumably by wave breaking, so that internal waves would be contributing significantly to the vertical diffusivity of mass.

The empirical testing of these ideas has been inconclusive. Frankignoul [1976] found evidence in a portion of the MODE current meter data that the internal wave continuum in the vicinity of the main thermocline contributes positively to the horizontal diffusion of mean flow momentum and has a relaxation time of about 1–4 days. This is consistent with Müller's theory, but attempts to observe the predicted vertical viscosity have failed. Ruddick and Joyce [1978] found in Polynode current meter data that the vertical flux of horizontal wave momentum was one order of magnitude smaller than Müller's prediction, and uncorrelated with local shears in regions of low eddy activity, where the theory should be applicable. The IWEX data analyzed in this paper show a similar lack of correlation. This suggests that the internal wave vertical viscosity (if any) is at least one order of magnitude smaller than predicted by Müller [1976], consistent with McComas and Bretherton [1977] and McComas [1978], who showed that the nonlinear processes controlling the vertical symmetry of the internal wave field have much shorter characteristic time scales than the nonlinear interactions in a symmetric spectrum like the one considered by Olbers [1976], thereby reducing significantly Müller's viscosity.

Field data are nevertheless suggestive of an interaction between internal waves and geostrophic eddies. Frankignoul [1976] observed during Mode that the total internal wave energy (dominated by near-inertial oscillations) was behaving like the square mean vertical shear, consistent with a quasi-local interaction and a somewhat smaller vertical viscosity than in Müller [1976]. The observed correlation did not have a very large statistical significance, but Ruddick and Joyce [1978] report similar results at Polynode moorings with strong currents. They also observed that the fluctuations in shear lead somewhat those of internal wave energy and that the square mean velocity was equally well correlated with the other variables. At other moorings, with lower eddy activity the correlations were too small to be significant. During IWEX, some similarity between internal wave energy and square mean shear is also observed, as reported below. A novel feature of this study is that we could also monitor the changes in the finest structure activity. These changes show interesting correspondences with the fluctuations in the eddy and internal wave fields.

In the present paper, we investigate the variability of the internal wave field during IWEX, with emphasis on the empirical testing of the dynamical role of internal waves. Preliminary results of IWEX have been discussed by Briscoe [1975]. The specification of a kinematic model of the mean internal wave field is reported by Müller et al. [1978]. Gaussianity is discussed by Briscoe [1977], temperature fine structure by Joyce and Desaubies [1977], turning point effects by Desaubies [1975] and the low frequency current and temperature fields by Bryden [1976].
TABLE 1. Records of Velocity u and Temperature T Used in This Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Depth, m</th>
<th>N, cph</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>u</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>604</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>606</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>u</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>u</td>
<td>611</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>u</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>u</td>
<td>639</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>u</td>
<td>u</td>
<td>731</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>1014</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>u</td>
<td>u</td>
<td>1023</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>u</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>2050</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N is the buoyancy frequency estimated from CTD profiles at the beginning and end of the experiment.

In section 2, the short but highly accurate IWEX data are described, and the method of analysis is presented. The accuracy of the internal wave Reynolds stress estimates is discussed in section 3, and their time variability compared to variations in the mean vertical shear in section 4. The time variability of the internal wave energy is described in section 5, and compared to fluctuations in the square mean vertical shear. In section 6, we discuss the temporal variability of the temperature structure intensity as inferred from moored measurements of vertical temperature differences. This is shown to be similar to spatial variability of temperature structure, which was mapped for a single 12 day period during the Mode experiment.

2. DATA DESCRIPTION AND ANALYSIS

The basic data set collected during IWEX has been described by Briscoe [1975] and Tarbetta et al. [1976], and only a short summary is given here. A trimooored array of sensors was placed in the Sargasso Sea at 27°44'N, 69°51'W for 42 days. The trimoooring was nearly a perfect tetrahedron, approximately 6 km on a side, and the apex was at the top of the thermocline at 600 m depth. The mooring was very stable, ±0.2 m vertical motion at the apex float, ±1 m at 1000 m depth. The main array was located between 604 and 1023 m depth and the instruments spaced nominally in a logarithmic fashion: 17 modified vector averaging current meters (VAMCM) measured the east (u), and north (u) current components, the temperature T and the temperature difference ΔT at 1.74 m vertical separation, with 225 s sampling intervals. In addition, three Geodyne 850 current meters measured u, u, and T at 2050 m depth, with 900 s sampling intervals.

The instruments considered in this study (Table 1) gave high quality data for the whole duration of the experiment, except at 2050 m, where the high frequency currents are not reliable during the first 20 days because the current meter rotors were often below the threshold point. All records were divided into 25 overlapping pieces of 75 hr (the local inertial period is 25.8 hr and the M2 tidal period 12.4 hr). The vertical displacement is estimated by

\[ \gamma(t) = -\frac{\langle \Delta T \rangle}{\Delta x} \]

where \( \langle \Delta T \rangle \) is the mean temperature difference in each piece. The vertical velocity \( u_\gamma \) is constructed by time differencing of (1). Each piece was Fourier analyzed after application of a cosine bell window, providing estimates of the co-spectra \( C_{ij}(\omega; t) \) and quad-spectra \( Q_{ij}(\omega; t) \) between time series \( i \) and \( j \) at 37.5 hr intervals. These were subsequently averaged into two broad frequency bands. The ‘total band’ covers practically the whole internal wave frequency range [0.04–2.4 cph], whereas the ‘continuum band’ is limited to the internal wave continuum [0.1–2.4 cph]. Since the piece length (75 hr) corresponds to the spectral gap between internal wave and quasigeostrophic eddy frequencies, we are considering the variations of the internal wave statistical properties on the eddy time scale. In the following, high frequency fluctuations are denoted by a prime, low frequency ones by angle brackets.

Corresponding time series of the mean currents \( \langle u(t) \rangle \) were obtained by applying a Gaussian filter of 75 hr width to the 25 pieces (Figure 1). Time series of the mean vertical shear \( \langle u \rangle / \partial x \) are constructed by differencing these data above and below the level considered. For small vertical separation between the instruments (up to a few hundred meters), the shear cannot be properly estimated since the changes in horizontal current

![Fig. 1. Time series of the low-frequency current vectors at four different depths.](image1)

![Fig. 2. Time behavior of the mean vertical shear (thick line) and of the vertical flux of horizontal momentum in the continuum band (dashed line) and in the total band (thin line) at 731 m.](image2)
where we have assumed zero mean vertical velocity and constant thermal diffusion coefficient \(K\), with \(\alpha, \beta = 1, 2\) and \(i = 1, 2, 3\). Multiplying by \(u_i'\) and averaging yields

\[
\left\langle u_i' \frac{\partial T}{\partial t}\right\rangle + (u_i)(u_i' \frac{\partial T}{\partial x})
+ (u_i' u_i') \frac{\partial (T)}{\partial x} + (u_i' u_i') \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + (u_i u_i') \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} = K(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} T')
\]

Using relation (1) is equivalent to keeping only the first and fifth terms.

The second term is induced by Doppler shift and can be readily compared to the first term by

\[
\frac{(u_i')(\frac{\partial T}{\partial x})}{(u_i')(\frac{\partial T}{\partial t})} = \frac{(u_i')(\frac{\partial T}{\partial x})}{(u_i')(\frac{\partial T}{\partial t})}
\]

where \(C\) is the internal wave phase speed, much larger than the relatively small current velocities observed during IWEX (cf. Figure 1). Using a spectral model of the internal wave field, Ruddick [1977] estimates the ratio (4) to be no more than 5%. This small noise is proportional to \(\langle u_i'\rangle\).

The third term represents the horizontal advection of mean temperature by internal waves and the ratio of the third term to the fifth term can be estimated directly from the data. Using relation (1), we write

\[
\left| \frac{(u_i'u_i')(\frac{\partial T}{\partial x})}{(u_i'u_i')(\frac{\partial T}{\partial x})} \right| = \left| \frac{(u_i'u_i')(\frac{\partial T}{\partial x})}{(u_i'u_i')(\frac{\partial T}{\partial x})} \right|
\]

where \(f\) is the Coriolis parameter, \(N\) the Brunt-Väisälä frequency, and \(\gamma = 1, 2\) for \(\beta = 2, 1\), respectively. Here we have used the thermal wind relation to express horizontal density gradients as a function of vertical shears and assumed that the vertical temperature and density gradients are proportional. This seems permissible, since a very tight \(T/S\) relationship holds in the Sargasso Sea. Low frequency fluctuations in the mean vertical temperature gradient \(\Delta T/\Delta x\) at 731 and 1023 m were at most 15% of the mean, hence the use of a constant value for \(\gamma\) in (5) introduces little error. The ratio (5) can now be estimated from the calculated co-spectra \(C_{n\alpha}\) and \(C_{n\beta}\). To reduce the statistical uncertainties, we have used time-space averages (after WKB scaling) for the whole IWEX array down to level 10. Taking \(\delta(\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x}) = 3 \times 10^{-5} \text{s}^{-1}\) and \(\delta(\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x}) = 10^{-4} \text{s}^{-1}\) as representative values of the shear at 731 and 1024 m, we find that the ratio (5) is about 15% near \(\omega = f\), 10% at \(f < \omega < 0.1 \text{ cph}\) and generally less than 5% at \(\omega > 0.1 \text{ cph}\). The dominant contribution in the third term in (1) induces errors in \(C_{n\alpha}\) proportional to the shear at right angle.

Scale analysis for weakly nonlinear internal waves suggests that the fourth term in (1) is unimportant. The diffusive term (sixth term in (1)) can be compared to the first term. Using the wave dispersion relation, one has

\[
K(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} T')) \approx \frac{N^2 \omega k}{\omega (\omega^2 - f^2)}
\]

where \(\alpha\) denotes the horizontal wavenumber. If we choose for \(K\) the molecular diffusivity, the sixth term is always negligible (except at \(\omega \approx f\)). For larger 'turbulent' diffusivities, the sixth term may be important at low frequencies.
The largest source of error in the internal wave stress estimates may be the temperature and current fine structure that contaminates the recorded signal, especially at high frequencies [Joyce and Desaubies, 1977]. Müller et al. [1978] have shown empirically that the relative temperature fine structure contamination increases with increasing frequencies, accounting for about 10% of the measured energy at high frequencies. The velocity fine structure contamination, added to the currentmeter noise, is more important. Although their combined effects are small near \( \omega = \bar{j} \), they account for about 30% of the measured energy at \( \omega = 0.1 \text{ cph} \) and become comparable to the wave energy at \( \omega = 1 \text{ cph} \). Lacking any combined velocity/temperature fine structure model, we cannot estimate the resulting contamination of \( \text{C}_\text{st} \), which depends upon the correlation between velocity and temperature fine structure. Errors ranging between 5% near \( \bar{j} \) up to 50% at high frequencies do not seem unreasonable.

In summary, the estimates of the vertical flux of internal wave horizontal momentum are rather noisy, perhaps with 20% error at medium frequencies and more at very low and very high frequencies.

**Statistical uncertainties.** The statistical uncertainties in the computed cross-spectra can be evaluated in each piece from their standard deviation \( \sigma_{ab} \) about the true value given by [Jenkins and Watts, 1969]

\[
\sigma_{ab} = \left( \frac{u_a^2 u_b^2}{\nu} \right)^{1/2}
\]

Here \( u_a^2 \) is the variance of the \( i \) component of the velocity in the continuum or total band, and \( \nu \), the effective number of degrees of freedom calculated from Nussl [1971] and Persson [1974], and corrected for the redness of the cross-spectra (J. Willebrand, private communication, 1976). We have assumed \( \omega \) behavior for the stress, consistent with Garrett and Munk [1975], which yields \( \nu = 39 \) and 59 for the total and continuum band, respectively.

Time series of the stress estimates at 731 and 1023 m are displayed in Figures 2 and 3. The error bars have not been represented, but none of the estimates is statistically different from zero at the 95% level of significance. However, the stress in the total band undergoes large fluctuations and periods can be found where the stress estimates, averaged over several pieces to increase \( \nu \), are significantly non-zero. On the other hand, the stress in the continuum band looks more like white noise. The above behavior is consistent with Müller et al. [1978], who found from the whole time averaged IEW data that the internal wave field was vertically symmetric except at inertial and tidal frequencies. Similarly, Sanford [1975] and Leaman [1976] have observed a downward propagation of near-inertial waves in the same oceanic region. Note that the stress in the total band is largest when the total internal wave energy is largest (Figure 5).

### 4. On the Internal Wave-Induced Vertical Viscosity

If the viscosity concept is applicable to parameterize the effects of internal waves on the mean flow, fluctuations in the wave momentum flux should be related to fluctuations in the mean vertical shear by

\[
(u_a') (u_b') = -\nu_\epsilon \frac{\partial (u_a)}{\partial x_b}
\]

Müller [1976] pointed out by considering the potential vorticity of the mean flow that the wave horizontal buoyancy flux \((u_d b')\) was acting on the mean flow as well as the wave vertical flux of horizontal momentum, hence that both fluxes should be taken into account. He found that practically all the 'effective' wave stress was due to the internal wave continuum (at \( \omega > 2j \)). This appears clearly in Ruddick and Joyce’s [1978] formulation of the effective stress

\[
(u_a' u_b') = \frac{\rho}{\nu} \frac{1}{\omega^2} \eta_{ab} (u_d b') \approx (u_a u_b') \left( 1 - \frac{\rho}{\omega^2} \right)
\]

where \( \epsilon_{ij} = 1 \) if \( i, j, k \) is even (odd) cyclic permutation of 1, 2, 3, and is equal to zero otherwise. The last relation, derived under the WKB approximation in the horizontal direction, shows how the buoyancy flux cancels the momentum flux at near inertial frequencies. The reduction in stress is small in the internal wave continuum, 3% if \( C_\text{st} \) behaves as \( \omega^{-3} \). Since most of the effective stress is contributed by frequencies in the internal wave continuum, the stress \((u_a u_b')\) in the continuum band provides a good estimate of the total effective internal wave stress.

Figures 2 and 3 suggest that fluctuations in continuum stress (heavy dashed line) are not related to fluctuations in the mean vertical shear (heavy continuous line). This is confirmed by correlation analysis of the combined east and north data, which shows insignificant correlation (0.2) at both levels. Although the slopes of the regression lines are not different from zero in a statistical sense, they are worth reporting, since they define error bars on the eddy viscosity estimates. Assuming no errors in the shear values, one finds

\[
\nu_\epsilon = (30 \pm 48) \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}
\]

at 731 m and

\[
\nu_\epsilon = (168 \pm 227) \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}
\]

at 1023 m.

Error bars are 95% confidence limits, assuming independent samples for the stress estimates, which is substantially correct. The shear is noisy, however, particularly at 731 m. Assuming comparable percentage errors for the shear and stress values increases the estimate (10) by a factor of 6, and the estimate (11) by a factor of 5. On the other hand, taking into account the likely underestimation of the vertical shear at 1023 m (section 2) should decrease (11) by a factor of 2 at least. Thus the error bars seem comparable at both levels, and the data are
too noisy to detect a wave-induced vertical viscosity, if any, of absolute value of the order of $10^{-2}$ m$^2$ s$^{-1}$. Nonetheless, the IWEX data do not support the large value of the vertical viscosity ($4 \times 10^{-3}$ m$^2$ s$^{-1}$) proposed by Müller [1976].

Finally, we note that fluctuations in total stress (thin line) are positively correlated with fluctuations in the mean vertical shear, with shear leading stress by a few days. Both time series are strongly autocorrelated, however, and the statistical significance of these correlations should be assessed with longer data. Although the correlation between total internal wave stress and vertical shear might be indicative of an important dynamical process, it is of little relevance to the problem of wave viscosity, since near-inertial motions do not contribute significantly to the effective internal wave stress (cf. relation (9)).

5. INTERNAL WAVE ENERGY VARIABILITY

During IWEX, the energy in the internal wave field did not remain stationary. Figure 4 displays the evolution of the total energy density per unit volume,

$$E = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\omega}^{N} (u_i'u_i') + N^2(t') \, d\omega$$

estimated near the apex from the cross-spectral analysis at levels 1-5 (between 604 and 639 m). Two energy bursts, mainly due to large inertial oscillations, can be seen in mid-November and in early December. Similar features are found at 731 m and, to a certain extent, at 1023 m (Figure 6, middle panels). Time series of the total potential energy at 2050 m (not shown) suggests uncorrelated behavior at larger depths.

In the internal wave continuum, the energy behaves differently [Frankignoul, 1974]. A dominant feature of the observed continuum variability is a slow linear increase during the entire period of measurement, occurring throughout the whole thermocline. This increase is clearly seen in Figure 5, where the energy at the different levels has been scaled in a WKB manner ($E \sim N$) to facilitate the comparison. By regression analysis we estimated the increase in internal wave continuum energy at 45% from the beginning to the end of the experiment. As discussed in the appendix, we believe the trend to be statistically significant. No statistically significant circular polarization was detected for the continuum.

Since the trimoor was extremely stable, the variability of internal wave energy is not induced by mooring motions. Furthermore, variations in the mean vertical temperature gradient are small (section 2), so that the variability cannot be caused by local variations in the Brunt–Väisälä frequency. The observed nonstationarity of the internal wave field is presumably due to variations in its energy sources and sinks.

In the following and in section 6, we compare the fluctuations in internal wave energy to changes in the larger-scale eddy field and the smaller-scale temperature fine structure field. A word of caution is needed at first: most energy time series discussed below are very short (covering perhaps one-half eddy 'cycle'), non-Gaussian and generally autocorrelated. It was decided not to attempt the statistical testing of the observed correlations, and it should be emphasized that artificial correlations are expected from short realizations of processes with long, comparable length scales, even if they are statistically independent.

If the mean flow acts as a source of internal waves, one expects that the square mean vertical shear (referred to hereafter as (shear)$^2$) varies in the same manner as the total internal wave energy. The correlation will be strong if the interaction is quasi-local in space and time, but more difficult to observe if the waves propagate rapidly away. In Figure 6, time series of the (shear)$^2$ estimated at 731 and 1023 m are compared with time series of the total internal wave energy (dominated by near-inertial motions). Although the mid-November peak in $E$ at 731 m follows closely a peak in (shear)$^2$, the correlation between the two curves is poor at this level. This occurs in part because the early December peak in $E$ has no counterpart in (shear)$^2$. However, the comparison between the mean current at 731 m and higher levels suggests that strong vertical shears
(indicated by the arrow) may have occurred at the top of the
thermocline a few days earlier, corresponding to high inertial
wave activity near the apex (Figure 4). It could not be decided
if this local shear event was due to instrument uncertainties
(section 2). At 1023 m, where the shear is best estimated, the
total internal energy and the (shear)² behave similarly, and
their cross correlation function peaks to 0.65 when the (shear)²
leads by 75 hr. On the other hand, the energy in the internal
wave continuum seems uncorrelated with (shear)² at both
levels.

Clearly, the IWEX data are too short to be conclusive, but
the correlation between E and (shear)² observed at 1023 m is
consistent with similar observations reported for the more
extensive Mode and Polymode data [Frankignoul, 1976; Rud-
dick and Joyce, 1978].

6. TEMPERATURE FINESTRUCTURE VARIABILITY

Joyce and Desaubies [1977] have shown that the variability
in temperature difference, measured over vertical separations
small compared to the vertical coherence scale of internal
waves, could be interpreted as a measure of finestructure in-
tensity. To the extent that this is related to thermal dissipation,
the temperature difference variability monitors the intensity of
vertical mixing.

The description of the temporal variability of the fine struc-
ture intensity during IWEX will be complemented by a dis-
cussion of some data taken during MODE, that show compa-
ramble spatial variations.

Temporal variability during IWEX. A Cox number (ΔT²)/
(ΔT)² has been estimated in each 75-hour piece at 622 m
(levels 1–5, Figure 4), 731 m, and 1023 m (Figure 6). Since this
estimate is dependent upon the level of internal wave vertical
advection, we have also derived a normalized Cox number
which takes into account some of the direct dependence of this
estimator upon root mean square vertical displacement of
isotherms by internal waves [cf. Joyce and Desaubies, 1977].
The two estimates are usually similar (cf. Figures 4 and 6) and
we have chosen the uncorrected Cox number for further anal-
ysis. At 622 m, the Cox number seems to fluctuate in time like
the total internal wave energy E, but leads by a few days. At
731 m, the Cox number is uncorrelated with E, but resembles
(shear)² (in particular, the normalized Cox number), although
the correlations are small. At 1023 m, the time behavior of the
Cox number is very similar to one of (shear)² and E. The
correlation with (shear)² is maximum at zero lag (0.60),
whereas the correlation with E (0.55 at zero lag) peaks when
the Cox number leads E by 75 hours (0.76). Correlations with
the internal wave continuum are small.

As in section 5, the IWEX data are too short to decide
whether the finestructure intensity varies like the mean square
vertical shear and (or) the total internal wave energy, or if the
correspondences apparent in Figures 4 and 6 are accidental.
It should be pointed out that the variations in Cox number are
somewhat smaller than the variations in total internal wave
energy and (shear)². This may be due to a higher noise level in
the finestructure data, or to the existence of different sources
for temperature finestructure activity and its slow decay.

Spatial variability during Mode. Large-scale patterns in
temperature finestructure activity have been sought in a 12-day
period (May 14–26, 1973) during Mode where a broad spatial
coverage of 30 WHOI/Brown CTD stations were available.
We chose a 200 decibar segment of the main thermocline
beginning at the pressure of the 14.4°C isotherm. As an index
we use a Cox number based on the temperature gradient

Fig. 7. (Left) Objective map of the 14.4°C isotherm displacement (in decibars, positive downward) from its mean level of 635 db in the period May 14–26, 1973, during Mode. The vectors represent the averaged velocity at about 700 m. (Right) Objective map of deviation of the Cox number about its mean of 0.38 during the same period. Crosses indicate position of CTD stations.
variance between 2 and 10 m, normalized by the square of the mean gradient over the 200 decibel segment. Joyce [1976] found this index to vary by a factor of 2 over a broad region of the N.W. Atlantic. The variations in the Mode data are less by a factor of 2 than in Joyce [1976]. Isotherm depth and Cox number have been hand contoured and also objectively mapped [Bretherton et al., 1976] in a box 300 km on a side centered on 28.5°N, 69.5°W, with correlation lengths of 100 and 50 km, respectively (Figure 7). The shorter scale for Cox number variability was required for agreement between subjective and objective maps, thereby suggesting that the scales of thermocline displacement and finesstructure variability are different. Figure 7 (left) shows that the Mode mesoscale eddy was nearly in the center of the box during this period, with regions of large isotherm slope to the northwest and southeast. Vectors represent the average velocity at a depth of about 700 m, estimated from moored current meters [from Frankignoul, 1976]. Cox numbers are consistently lower than average within the eddy and larger to the south, just downstream of the maximum geostrophic shear. These data demonstrate that the finesstructure activity is organized in mappable, large-scale patterns and is related to mesoscale motions, as seemed to be the case for the time variability of the finesstructure during IWEX.

7. Conclusions

The IWEX experiment has provided the most complete and accurate data set to date to investigate the kinematics of deep-sea internal waves. These data are rather short (42 days) for studying dynamics; and information on near-surface fields and large-scale flows are lacking as well. The present study aimed nonetheless at describing the variability of the internal wave field during IWEX, and at exploring the relation between this variability and the larger and smaller scales of motion. Particular emphasis was given to testing the prediction by Müller [1976] that internal waves contribute strongly to the vertical diffusion of large-scale flow momentum.

Although the IWEX data are particularly well suited for estimating the vertical flux of horizontal momentum from temperature and current measurements, the internal wave stress estimates are rather noisy and contaminated by a number of sources, many of which arise through the use of temperature data to estimate the vertical velocity. It was found that the vertical viscosity due to internal waves cannot be as large as proposed by Müller (0.4 m² s⁻¹), but that the data are too noisy to detect a possible wave-induced viscosity in absolute value of the order of 10⁻² m² s⁻¹. Similar conclusions were reached by Ruddick and Joyce [1978] for the longer (but more noisy) Polymode data, hence there is little hope that the dynamical role of internal waves can be established in this manner. Since a vertical viscosity of 10⁻² m² s⁻¹ would still be 1 or 2 orders of magnitude larger than commonly used in numerical modeling, the question remains of interest. Perhaps a more conclusive analysis will become possible with the development of current meters measuring directly the three components of the velocity.

The internal wave energy variability observed during IWEX suggests that some kind of dynamical coupling exists between internal waves and quasi-geostrophic eddies. The observed correlations in the time behavior of total internal wave energy and square mean vertical shear are of questionable statistical significance, but are similar to weak correlations reported in Mode [Frankignoul, 1976] and Polymode [Ruddick and Joyce, 1978] data. These correlations are consistent with the hypothesis of a quasi-local interaction between internal waves and larger-scale shear flows. An effective positive vertical viscosity of the order of 10⁻² m² s⁻¹ is consistent with the magnitude of the energy fluctuations in all three data sets (using the method of Frankignoul [1976] and a relaxation time of 10 days for the internal wave field), but we feel that the observed correlations during IWEX are too uncertain to undertake such analysis here.

Finally, we found that the level of finesstructure activity varies both in time (in the IWEX data) and in space (in Mode data). These fluctuations seem related to the variability of the quasi-geostrophic flow field, though could be possibly due to internal wave variability via wave breaking. Note, however, that Hogg et al. [1978] suggested from more extensive measurements around Bermuda that the finesstructure activity (greatly enhanced near the island) was related to the geostrophic eddy flow but not to the internal wave field. More data should be investigated. The present study suggests that the temporal and spatial variability of internal waves and finesstructure should be investigated in parallel with the variability of the eddy field.

Appendix: Nonstationarity in the Internal Wave Continuum

As a simple model, we assume that the spectrum of the internal wave continuum grows linearly with time while preserving its shape, at a rate α which can be conveniently estimated by regression analysis. We use as dependent variable the logarithm ε(i, t) of the total energy density at the 25 frequencies (slightly less at the deepest level) dividing the internal wave continuum (see Müller et al. [1978] for details) and at depths from Table 1 ranging from 609 to 1023 m. The index identifies each of the nine instruments and each of 25 different frequency bands. Setting

ε(i, t) = (ε(i)) + α(t - τ) + z(i, t)

where the angle brackets indicate time averaging, the estimated slope α is determined by minimizing

\[ \sum \sum \bar{z}^2(i, t) \]

For small energy increases as observed in the IWEX data, it can be easily shown that α is a good estimator of α. An increase in energy of 45% is found between the beginning and the end of the experiment. If we make the usual assumption that the errors z(i, t) are uncorrelated random variables with constant variance, the confidence interval amounts to ±4% in the increase. It was verified that the residuals are indeed uncorrelated in time. However, the residuals of the energy time series for different frequencies and (or) instruments are correlated to a certain degree. Thus the confidence interval is larger. Yet, there is little doubt that this is a true non-stationarity, since the slope is significantly non-zero at the 95% level if more than two of the energy time series are uncorrelated. It was also found that the slope was not significantly different when considering subsets of frequency or depth ranges, or using horizontal kinetic energy or potential energy rather than total energy.

In an earlier stage of this study, we had high-passed one record of velocity and temperature (A4 in Table 1) and constructed time series of the energy into two frequency bands (0.4-0.8 and 0.8-1.6 cph) using overlapping pieces of 9.4 hr (203 pieces). The long term variability of the variance was investigated by comparing the sample cumulative distribution
functions constructed from the first and second halves of the data, using a Kolmogorov-Smirnov two sample test. This non-parametric test was applied separately to the horizontal kinetic energy and the temperature variance in each band. In all four cases, the hypothesis of stationarity was rejected at the 95% confidence level. Thus, this case study was consistent with our conclusion of non-stationarity.
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