



23           Bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*) produce individually distinctive  
24 vocalizations called signature whistles, first described by Melba and David Caldwell  
25 (1965). The Caldwells observed that isolated, captive dolphins produced whistles with  
26 individually distinctive frequency contours, or patterns of frequency changes over time,  
27 and hypothesized that these whistles were used to transmit identity information (Caldwell  
28 and Caldwell 1965; Caldwell *et al.* 1990). Since the Caldwell's work with isolated,  
29 captive dolphins, several studies have documented signature whistles in a variety of  
30 contexts, including free-swimming captive dolphins (*e.g.*, Janik and Slater 1998; Tyack  
31 1986), briefly restrained wild dolphins (*e.g.*, Sayigh *et al.* 1990, 2007, Watwood *et al.*  
32 2005), and free-ranging wild dolphins (*e.g.*, Watwood 2003; Watwood *et al.* 2004, 2005;  
33 Buckstaff 2004; Cook *et al.* 2004). Janik and Slater (1998) demonstrated that signature  
34 whistles are used to maintain group cohesion, thus supporting the Caldwells' hypothesis.  
35 Janik *et al.* (2006) verified experimentally that bottlenose dolphins respond to signature  
36 whistles produced by familiar conspecifics even after voice featured have been removed,  
37 reinforcing the notion that the contour of a signature whistle carries identity information.

38

39           Signature whistle parameters vary by age (Caldwell *et al.* 1990; Esch *et al.* in  
40 press), sex (Sayigh *et al.* 1995, Esch *et al.* in press), and context (Caldwell *et al.* 1990;  
41 Janik *et al.* 1994; Watwood *et al.* 2005; Esch *et al.* in press). Young dolphins (both male  
42 and female) have higher signature whistle rates than adults, but whistle rate decreases more  
43 quickly with age in males than females (Caldwell *et al.* 1990, Esch *et al.* in press). Adult  
44 dolphins produce more loops per whistle (and therefore longer whistles) than infants and

45 sub-adults (Caldwell *et al.* 1990). Caldwell *et al.* (1990) found that certain parameters of  
46 signature whistles (*e.g.*, frequency, number of loops and duration of loops) appeared to be  
47 closely related to the level of arousal of an individual dolphin; however, these differences  
48 were not consistent across individuals. Esch *et al.* (in press) found that whistle rate and the  
49 number of loops produced per whistle varied by context, and hypothesized that increases in  
50 these whistle parameters may be indicative of stress in bottlenose dolphins. Similarly,  
51 Janik *et al.* (1994) found that 9 of 14 signature whistle frequency and time parameters  
52 differed significantly between isolation and interaction conditions, supporting the existence  
53 of both identity and context related information in signature whistles. However, despite  
54 this variability in an individual dolphin's signature whistle parameters, the overall contour  
55 usually remains highly stereotyped for at least a decade (Caldwell *et al.* 1990; Sayigh *et al.*  
56 1990; Janik and Slater 1994; Esch *et al.* in press).

57

58

59 As described above, signature whistles may consist of a single element (or loop;  
60 *e.g.*, FB24, FB35, Figure 1), or variable numbers of repeated loops, which may or may not  
61 be connected (*e.g.*, connected, FB20, FB118; disconnected, FB138, FB220, Figure 1).  
62 Some multi-looped whistles also contain an introductory and/or terminal loop, which differ  
63 in contour from the central loops (*e.g.*, FB48, FB97, Figure 1; Caldwell *et al.* 1973, 1990,  
64 Sayigh *et al.* 1990). For whistles with multiple disconnected loops some studies have  
65 considered each loop repetition as a separate whistle (*e.g.*, Schevill and Watkins 1962;  
66 Tavolga 1968; McCowan and Reiss 2001), while others have distinguished loops from  
67 whistles (*e.g.*, Caldwell *et al.* 1973, 1990, Sayigh *et al.* 1990, 2007; Buckstaff 2004;

68 Watwood 2003; Watwood et al. 2005; Esch et al. in press). In the present study, we  
69 hypothesized that loops are separated by highly stereotyped time intervals, and that  
70 stereotyped loops and silences between loops both play a part in the production of a unique  
71 signal (based on Caldwell *et al.* 1990). The presence of an introductory and/or terminal  
72 loop (*e.g.*, Figure 1: FB25, FB48, FB54, FB84, FB97, and FB220) supports the idea that  
73 multiple disconnected loops should be considered part of the same unit if separated by  
74 stereotyped silences (Caldwell *et al.* 1973, 1990). It is important that studies of dolphin  
75 communication are consistent in how multi-looped whistles are treated; otherwise studies  
76 that include this type of signal are difficult to compare. Thus, a goal of this study was to  
77 quantify inter-loop intervals in stereotyped sequences of disconnected loops, in order to  
78 test the hypothesis that these intervals are shorter and more consistent (less variable) than  
79 are the intervals between successive whistles.

80

81 A second goal of this study was to quantify the acoustic parameters of signature  
82 whistles (especially maximum frequency, but measurements were also made of minimum  
83 frequency, and overall duration) to update the documented ranges of these values. Many  
84 studies of dolphin signature whistles utilized recording equipment with upper frequency  
85 cut-offs at or below 24 kHz, and were thus unable to measure higher frequencies (*e.g.*,  
86 Azevedo and Oliveira 2007, Dreher 1961, Evans and Prescott 1962, Sayigh *et al.* 1990,  
87 Steiner 1981, Tyack 1986, Wang *et al.* 1995). Currently, the value of 24 kHz reported by  
88 Caldwell et al. (1990) is the highest maximum frequency for signature whistles in the

89 literature. We report values for the fundamental frequency of signature whistles and do not  
90 include harmonics or other types of vocalizations (*e.g.*, echolocation).

91

92         Recordings of long-term resident bottlenose dolphins from brief capture-release  
93 events in Sarasota Bay, Florida (Scott *et al.* 1990; Wells 1991, 2003; Wells *et al.* 2004),  
94 have been collected over a period of 34 years (1975-2008), and many dolphins have been  
95 recorded multiple times (maximum = 15, mean = 3.3). Custom-built suction cup  
96 hydrophones were placed directly on the head of each individual, allowing researchers to  
97 unequivocally identify the vocalizing dolphin. The hydrophones were developed and built  
98 at the Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution (WHOI; circuitry described in Tyack 1985),  
99 and were equipped with 1-2 kHz high-pass filters, above which their frequency response  
100 was flat to 25 kHz. The hydrophones were not calibrated because amplitude values were  
101 not being measured. Whistles were recorded onto either Marantz PMD-430 or Sony TC-  
102 D5M stereo-cassette recorders (frequency response »30-20000 Hz, digitization sampling  
103 rate 96 kHz, 24bit), Panasonic AG-6400 or AG-7400 video-cassette recorders (frequency  
104 response »20-32000 Hz, digitization sampling rate 96 kHz, 24bit), or a Sound Devices  
105 744-T digital recorder (frequency response 10-48000 Hz, sampling rate 96 kHz, 24 bit).  
106 The predominant whistle produced by an animal during a brief capture-release event is  
107 defined as its signature whistle. Other whistles produced during these recording sessions  
108 are called non-signature whistles. The Sarasota Dolphin Community Signature Whistle  
109 Catalogue (Sayigh, unpublished data) currently contains signature whistles from 205  
110 dolphins. Since most dolphins in Sarasota Bay have been captured and released more than

111 once, signature whistle identifications for all dolphins included in this study have been  
112 confirmed by reviewing multiple recordings for an individual animal.

113

114 Twenty whistles produced by each of 28 different dolphins (12 male, 16 female)  
115 were randomly selected from all whistles produced by an individual dolphin in a single  
116 recording session during brief capture-release events between 1988 and 2001 in Sarasota  
117 Bay, FL. These randomly selected whistles were primarily signatures, but in some cases  
118 non-signatures were selected. Dolphins were chosen so a variety of different types of  
119 signature whistle were represented, including:

120

121 1. Loops sometimes connected, sometimes not; may vary in number and/or contour (4 of  
122 28 dolphins; *e.g.*, FB146, FB151, FB166, FB186, Figure 1);

123 2. Loops always disconnected, may vary in number and/or contour (14 of 28 dolphins; *e.g.*,  
124 FB7, FB9, FB11, FB25, FB38, FB48, FB54, FB55, FB84, FB90, FB97, FB101, FB138,  
125 FB220. Figure 1);

126 3. Loops always connected, may vary in number and/or contour (8 of 28 dolphins; *e.g.*,  
127 FB3, FB20, FB67, FB105, FB118, FB122, FB140, FB163, Figure 1);

128 4. No repetitive loop structure (2 of 28 dolphins; *e.g.*, FB24, FB35, Figure 1). In the  
129 recording library of 205 dolphins used as a resource in this study, the four whistle types  
130 listed above were represented as follows: type 1, 4.3%, type 2, 39.9%, type 3, 33.7%, and  
131 type 4, 22.1%.

132

133           A continuous whistle was classified as multi-looped (*i.e.*, consisting of multiple  
134 connected repeated elements) based on previous visual classification of a large dataset of  
135 whistles by human judges (Sayigh *et al.* 2007). To develop a criterion for classifying  
136 whistle elements as disconnected loops or as separate whistles, inter-element intervals were  
137 measured during 30 min of a recording for each of 5 dolphins (FB2, FB15, FB33, FB38,  
138 FB101). None of these recordings were included in the data set used for later analyses.  
139 The mean number of whistle elements in these recordings was  $461 \pm 315$ . Individual  
140 elements were assigned to a single whistle (*i.e.*, a whistle with multiple disconnected  
141 loops) using the criterion defined by Janik and Slater (1998): elements separated by 0.5  
142 seconds or less were considered loops in a single whistle. Whistle classification using this  
143 criterion agreed with visual classification in all cases (Table 1); therefore, this criterion  
144 (*i.e.*, elements that occurred within 0.5 sec of each other) was used to classify whistle  
145 elements as loops *vs.* separate whistles in the current study.

146

147           When possible, a single recording session for each dolphin was analyzed utilizing  
148 Signal/RTSD (Version 3.0, Engineering Design, Belmont, MA) or Avisoft-SASLab Pro  
149 3.2 (Raimund Specht, Berlin, Germany), which are software packages that display real-  
150 time spectrograms. Every whistle produced during the chosen recording session was noted  
151 and numbered, with a minimum sample size of 200 whistles for each dolphin. In six cases,  
152 200 whistles did not occur in the recording session chosen. In these cases, an additional  
153 session was also analyzed in order to reach a minimum of 200 whistles. Sample sizes  
154 ranged from 201 to 2,144 whistles per dolphin (mean =  $308 \pm 416$ ). A table of 20 random

155 numbers was generated (in Microsoft Excel) for each dolphin, based on its total quantity of  
156 whistles. These 20 randomly selected whistles were then subjected to further analyses. For  
157 six dolphins in this study, non-signature whistles were present in the random sample;  
158 however, parameter measurements for signature and non-signature whistles are presented  
159 separately. Only signature whistles were included in inter-loop and inter-whistle interval  
160 comparisons.

161

162         Inter-loop intervals can be distinguished from inter-whistle intervals on the basis of  
163 significant differences in duration and variability. Inter-loop intervals in stereotyped  
164 sequences of disconnected loops were significantly shorter (Table 2, mean inter-loop  
165 interval = 0.10 s, mean inter-whistle interval = 17.1 s; paired t-test,  $df = 15$ ,  $P = 0.01$ ) and  
166 less variable (F-test, Table 2) than intervals between successive whistles. Standard  
167 deviations ranged from 0.01 to 0.06 sec for inter-loop intervals versus 1.74 to 163.17 s for  
168 inter-whistle intervals. Coefficients of variation (CV, calculated as the ratio of standard  
169 deviation to the mean) ranged from 0.09 to 0.77 for inter-loop intervals versus 0.63 to 2.34  
170 for inter-whistle intervals. Inter-loop interval values were more normally distributed while  
171 inter-whistle interval values were logarithmically distributed (Figure 2 a, b). This  
172 difference should be even more pronounced in contexts other than capture-release, when  
173 whistle rates are much lower (*i.e.*, inter-whistle intervals are longer; Esch *et al.* in press).  
174 These different distributions and resulting difference in variances between the two groups  
175 support the conclusion that inter-loop intervals are significantly less variable than inter-  
176 whistle intervals, and may be an important component of signature whistle stereotypy.



177

178           Means, standard deviations, and CV values for frequency maxima and minima, and  
179 duration of each dolphin's signature whistle are presented in Table 3. Values for the 12  
180 non-signature whistles included in the random sample are also shown. Mean maximum  
181 frequencies for signature whistles ranged from 9.3 to 27.3 kHz, with the latter exceeding  
182 the published upper range for bottlenose dolphin signature whistles (24 kHz, Caldwell *et*  
183 *al.* 1990; 17.8 kHz, Janik *et al.* 1994; 23.48 kHz, Buckstaff 2004). Mean minimum  
184 frequencies for signature whistles ranged from 3 to 13.3 kHz, and durations ranged from  
185 0.5 – 2.3 s, similar to values reported in other studies.

186

187           These results indicate that signature whistles have a greater range of frequencies  
188 than was previously reported, due to the increased maximum frequency value presented  
189 here. Variability in maximum or minimum frequencies may be caused by an introductory  
190 or terminal loop, such as a final upswEEP or downswEEP that tails off at a different  
191 frequency from one whistle to another (*e.g.*, FB48, FB54, FB97, FB105, Figure 1).  
192 Coefficients of variation were often higher for dolphins that produced signature whistles  
193 with a variable introductory or terminal loop (Figure 1, Table 3, FB48, FB54, FB97,  
194 FB105). While several dolphins showed higher CV values for maximum than minimum  
195 frequency (Table 3, FB25, FB105), others showed the reverse pattern (Table 3, FB55,  
196 FB90, FB122). Thus, perhaps one frequency parameter (maximum or minimum) plays a  
197 more consistent role in signature whistle stereotypy in a given individual.

198

199 Bottlenose dolphin whistle parameters have been reported in multiple studies,  
200 although few studies distinguish between signature and non-signature whistles. With the  
201 exception of maximum frequency, our findings fall within previously published ranges.  
202 Caldwell et al. (1990) reported maximum frequencies for bottlenose dolphin signature  
203 whistles ranging from 8 – 24 kHz, with minimum frequencies ranging from 1 – 9 kHz.  
204 Signature whistle duration ranged from 0.2 – 2.1 s (Caldwell et al. 1990). Janik et al.  
205 (1994) documented signature whistle parameters for a single captive bottlenose dolphin in  
206 multiple contexts (minimum frequency: 4 kHz, maximum frequency: 17.8 kHz, duration  
207 range: 0.13 - 0.18 s). Buckstaff (2004) reported signature whistle parameters for dolphins  
208 in Sarasota Bay, Florida, as part of a study on the effects of watercraft activity on acoustic  
209 behavior (frequency range: 2.91 – 23.48 kHz, duration range: 0.10 – 4.11 s). Wang et al.  
210 (1995) determined whistle (combined signature and non-signature) parameters for  
211 bottlenose dolphins in Argentina, reporting frequencies ranging from 1.17 – 21.6 kHz, and  
212 a mean duration of 1.14 s. Azevedo and Oliviero (2007) documented characteristics of  
213 whistles from a resident population of bottlenose dolphins in southern Brazil (minimum  
214 frequency range: 1.2 – 17.2 kHz, maximum frequency range: 3.6 – 22.3 kHz, duration  
215 range: 0.048 – 2.458 s). Finally, in a recent study of geographic variation in bottlenose  
216 dolphin whistles (combined signature and non-signature), May-Collado and Wartzok  
217 (2008) provide an extensive review of whistle parameters for bottlenose dolphins in the  
218 Atlantic (minimum frequency range: 1.6 kHz – 18.92 kHz, maximum frequency range: 1.7  
219 kHz – 28.48 kHz, duration range: 0.005 – 1.3 s). May-Collado and Wartzok (2008) report  
220 a higher maximum frequency than our study; however, our study focuses only on signature

221 whistles while May-Collado and Wartzok (2008) do not distinguish among whistle types.  
222 Therefore, our study is the first to extend the frequency range of signature whistles above  
223 24 kHz.

224

225 Caldwell et al. (1990) were the first to suggest that “rather than repeating a constant  
226 section of whistle, dolphins[s] [may] repeat both a section of whistle and an interval of  
227 silence”, and that those intervals may be highly consistent (although inter-loop interval  
228 values were not presented in their study). Our results indicate that inter-loop intervals can  
229 be quantitatively distinguished from inter-whistle intervals, and that inter-loop durations  
230 are much more consistent than inter-whistle durations for dolphins that produced multiple  
231 disconnected loops. While variations in frequency contour provide one mechanism for  
232 creating an individually distinctive whistle, the possible conformations are finite. For  
233 whistles with multiple disconnected loops, the stereotyped silence between loops may  
234 serve as another characteristic by which individual dolphins can distinguish themselves  
235 uniquely. In addition, the presence of a characteristic introductory or terminal loop in  
236 some signature whistles implies that the series of elements is produced as a punctuated  
237 unit. The results of this study indicate that it is appropriate to consider these loops as  
238 components of a single whistle, rather than as separate whistles.

239

240

241

242

243

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

244

245

246 We would like to thank Dolphin Quest, the National Marine Fisheries Service, Mote  
247 Marine Laboratory, and the Chicago Zoological Society for support of capture-release  
248 operations. Thanks to the dedicated staff, students, and volunteers whose efforts ensured  
249 the success and safety of the capture-release operations. We would also like to thank three  
250 anonymous reviewers, whose suggestions greatly improved this manuscript. This work  
251 was funded by a Protect Wild Dolphins grant from the Harbor Branch Oceanographic  
252 Institution, issued to LSS and RSW. The research was conducted under NMFS Scientific  
253 Research Permits No. 417, 655, 945, and 522-1569 issued to RSW, and approvals by the  
254 Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees of both Mote Marine Laboratory and the  
255 University of North Carolina Wilmington.

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

LITERATURE CITED

263

264

265 AZEVEDO, A.F., and A.M. OLIVEIRA. 2007. Characteristics of whistles from  
266 resident bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*) in southern Brazil.  
267 Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 121:2978-2983.

268

269 BUCKSTAFF, K.C. 2004. Effects of watercraft noise on the acoustic behavior of  
270 bottlenose dolphins, *Tursiops truncatus*, in Sarasota Bay, Florida. Marine Mammal  
271 Science 20:709-725.

272

273 CALDWELL, M.C., and D.K. CALDWELL. 1965. Individual whistle contours in  
274 bottlenosed dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*). Nature 207:434-435.

275

276 CALDWELL, M.C., D.K. CALDWELL, and J.F. MILLER. 1973. Statistical evidence for  
277 individual signature whistles in the spotted dolphin, *Stenella plagiodon*. Cetology 16:1-  
278 21.

279

280 CALDWELL, M.C., D.K. CALDWELL, and P.L. TYACK. 1990. Review of the  
281 signature-whistle hypothesis for the Atlantic bottlenose dolphin. Pages 199-234 in S.  
282 Leatherwood and R.R. Reeves, eds. The Bottlenose Dolphin. Academic Press, New  
283 York, NY.

284

285 COOK, M.L.H., L.S. SAYIGH, J.E. BLUM, and R.S. WELLS. 2004. Signature whistle  
286 production in undisturbed free-ranging bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*).  
287 Proceedings of the Royal Society, Series B271:1043-1049.  
288

289 DREHER, J.J. 1961. Linguistic considerations of porpoise sounds. Journal of the  
290 Acoustical Society of America 33:1799-1800.  
291

292 ESCH, H.C., L.S. SAYIGH, J.E. BLUM, and R.S. WELLS. in press. Whistles as potential  
293 indicators of stress in bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*). Journal of Mammology.  
294

295 EVANS, W.E., and J.H. PRESCOTT. 1962. Observations of the sound production  
296 capabilities of the bottlenosed porpoise: A study of whistles and clicks. Zoologica  
297 47:121-128.  
298

299 JANIK, V.M., G. DEHNHARDT, and D. TODT. 1994. Signature whistle  
300 variations in a bottlenosed dolphin, *Tursiops truncatus*. Behavioral Ecology and  
301 Sociobiology 35:243-248.  
302

303 JANIK, V.M. and P.J.B. SLATER. 1998. Context-specific use suggests that bottlenose  
304 dolphin signature whistles are cohesion calls. Animal Behavior 56:829-838.  
305

306 JANIK, V.M., L.S. SAYIGH, and R.S. WELLS. 2006. Signature whistle shape conveys

307 identity information to bottlenose dolphins. Proceedings of the National Academy of  
308 Sciences 103:8293-8297.

309

310 MAY-COLLADO, L.J., and D. WARTZOK. 2008. A Comparison of bottlenose dolphin  
311 whistles in the Atlantic ocean: factors promoting whistle variation. Journal of  
312 Mammalogy 89:1229-1240.

313

314 MCCOWAN, B., and D. REISS. 2001. The fallacy of “signature whistles” in bottlenose  
315 dolphins: a comparative perspective of “signature information” in animal vocalizations.  
316 Animal Behavior 62:1151-1162.

317

318 SAYIGH, L.S., P.L. TYACK, R.S. WELLS, and M.D. SCOTT. 1990. Signature whistles  
319 of free-ranging bottlenose dolphins, *Tursiops truncatus*: Stability and mother-offspring  
320 comparisons. Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology 26:247-260.

321

322 SAYIGH, L.S., P.L. TYACK, R.S. WELLS, M.D. SCOTT, and A.B. IRVINE. 1995. Sex  
323 differences in signature whistle production of free-ranging bottlenose dolphins, *Tursiops*  
324 *truncatus*. Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology 36:171-177.

325

326 SAYIGH, L. S., H.C. ESCH, R.S. WELLS, and V.M. JANIK. 2007. Facts about  
327 signature whistles of bottlenose dolphins, *Tursiops truncatus*. Animal Behavior 74:1631-  
328 1642.

329

330 SCHEVILL, W.E., and W.A. WATKINS. 1962. "Whale and Porpoise Voices." Woods  
331 Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, Massachusetts. Phonograph record and 24-  
332 page booklet.

333

334 SCOTT, M.D., R.S. WELLS, and A.B. IRVINE. 1990. A long-term study of bottlenose  
335 dolphins on the west coast of Florida. Pp. 235-244 in *The Bottlenose Dolphin* (S.  
336 Leatherwood and R.R. Reeves, eds.). Academic Press, New York.

337

338 STEINER, W. 1981. Species-specific differences in pure tonal whistle vocalizations of  
339 five western North Atlantic dolphin species. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*  
340 9:241-246.

341

342 TAVOLGA, W.N. 1968. Marine animal data atlas. Naval Training Device Center, Tech.  
343 Rep., NAVTRADEV CEN 1212-2:i-x, 1-239.

344

345 TYACK, P.L. 1985. An optical telemetry device to identify which dolphin produces a  
346 sound. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* 78:1892-1895.

347

348 TYACK, P.L. 1986. Whistle repertoires of two bottlenosed dolphins, *Tursiops*  
349 *truncatus*: Mimicry of signature whistles? *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*  
350 18:251-257.



351

352 WANG, D., WÜRSIG, B., and EVANS, W.E. 1995. Whistles of bottlenose dolphins:  
353 Comparisons among populations. *Aquatic Mammals* 21:65-77.

354

355 WATWOOD, S.L. 2003. Whistle use and whistle sharing by allied male bottlenose  
356 dolphins, *Tursiops truncatus*. Ph.D. thesis, Woods Hole. 227 pp.

357

358 WATWOOD, S.L., P.L. TYACK, and R.S. WELLS. 2004. Whistle sharing in paired male  
359 bottlenose dolphins, *Tursiops truncatus*. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* 55:531-  
360 543.

361

362 WATWOOD, S.L., E.C.G. OWEN, P.L. TYACK, and R.S. WELLS. 2005. Signature  
363 whistle use by temporarily restrained and free-swimming bottlenose dolphins, *Tursiops*  
364 *truncatus*. *Animal Behavior* 69:1373-1386.

365

366 WELLS, R.S. 1991. The role of long-term study in understanding the social structure of a  
367 bottlenose dolphin community. Pages 199-225 in K. Pryor and K.S. Norris, eds.  
368 *Dolphin Societies: Discoveries and Puzzles*. Univ. of California Press, Berkeley, CA.

369

370 WELLS, R.S. 2003. Dolphin social complexity: Lessons from long-term study and life  
371 history. Pages 32-56 in F.B.M. de Waal and P.L. Tyack, eds. *Animal Social Complexity:*  
372 *Intelligence, Culture, and Individualized Societies*. Harvard University Press,

373 Cambridge, MA.

374

375 WELLS, R.S., H.L. RHINEHART, L.J. HANSEN, J.C. SWEENEY, F.I. TOWNSEND, R.

376 STONE, D. CASPER, M.D. SCOTT, A.A. HOHN, and T.K. ROWLES. 2004.

377 Bottlenose dolphins as marine ecosystem sentinels: Developing a health monitoring

378 system. *EcoHealth* 1:246-254.

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394 Figure and Table legends

395

396 Figure 1. Spectrograms of the signature whistle for each of 28 dolphins. Frequency (kHz)  
397 is on the y-axis and time (s) is on the x-axis. Identical time and frequency scaling was  
398 used among all signature whistle exemplars.

399

400 Figure 2 (a, b). Inter-loop (n = 521) and inter-whistle (n = 290) interval distributions.

401 Intervals are shown in seconds (note different scales).

402

403 Table 1. Results of transition matrix (TM) and visual classifications (VC) of disconnected  
404 element whistle membership.

405

406 Table 2. Mean  $\pm$  SD (CV) inter-loop and inter-whistle durations(s) for each dolphin. CV  
407 values were calculated as the ratio of the SD to the mean. F-tests comparing inter-loop and  
408 inter-whistle variance values were all significant at  $P < 0.001$ .

409

410 Table 3. Means, standard deviations (SD), and coefficients of variation (CV) for 20  
411 whistles from each of the 28 dolphins. Non-signature whistle values are shown for six  
412 dolphins for which the 20 randomly selected whistles included non-signature whistles (\*).

413

414

415

416

Animal	# of elements	# of whistles: TM	# of whistles: VC
FB2	862	442	442
FB15	641	319	319
FB33	396	137	137
FB38	64	28	28
FB101	340	147	147

417

418 Table 1

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

Animal	Inter-loop duration	Inter-whistle duration	F
	$\pm$ SD (CV)	$\pm$ SD (CV)	
FB7	0.12 $\pm$ 0.01 (0.09)	15.40 $\pm$ 12.21 (0.79)	1.4x10 <sup>6</sup>
FB9	0.13 $\pm$ 0.04 (0.31)	8.09 $\pm$ 10.96 (1.35)	7.5x10 <sup>4</sup>
FB11	0.07 $\pm$ 0.02 (0.31)	8.14 $\pm$ 11.02 (1.35)	3.0x10 <sup>4</sup>
FB25	0.07 $\pm$ 0.02 (0.30)	6.28 $\pm$ 14.91 (2.26)	5.2x10 <sup>4</sup>
FB38	0.09 $\pm$ 0.01 (0.11)	10.76 $\pm$ 10.97 (0.98)	1.2x10 <sup>6</sup>
FB48	0.05 $\pm$ 0.01 (0.19)	29.33 $\pm$ 26.15 (0.89)	6.8x10 <sup>6</sup>
FB54	0.09 $\pm$ 0.04 (0.46)	35.08 $\pm$ 60.49 (1.72)	2.3x10 <sup>6</sup>
FB55	0.19 $\pm$ 0.03 (0.14)	13.63 $\pm$ 14.31 (1.05)	2.3x10 <sup>5</sup>
		107.24 $\pm$ 163.17	2.7x10 <sup>8</sup>
FB84	0.11 $\pm$ 0.01 (0.12)	(1.52)	
FB90	0.10 $\pm$ 0.03 (0.27)	6.34 $\pm$ 6.99 (1.10)	5.4x10 <sup>4</sup>
FB97	0.07 $\pm$ 0.01 (0.13)	6.79 $\pm$ 15.88 (2.34)	2.5x10 <sup>6</sup>
FB101	0.23 $\pm$ 0.06 (0.24)	11.92 $\pm$ 18.59 (1.56)	5.9x10 <sup>4</sup>
FB138	0.11 $\pm$ 0.01 (0.09)	2.75 $\pm$ 1.74 (0.63)	3.7x10 <sup>2</sup>
FB146	0.06 $\pm$ 0.02 (0.35)	3.41 $\pm$ 2.66 (0.78)	5.8x10 <sup>1</sup>
FB166	0.07 $\pm$ 0.01 (0.14)	5.25 $\pm$ 3.86 (0.74)	7.6x10 <sup>2</sup>
FB220	0.09 $\pm$ 0.01 (0.13)	2.95 $\pm$ 2.59 (0.88)	3.9x10 <sup>2</sup>

436

437 Table 2

Animal	Sex	Mean freq. max. $\pm$	Mean freq. min. $\pm$ SD	Mean duration $\pm$ SD
		SD (CV) kHz	(CV) kHz	(CV) sec
FB3	F	27.30 $\pm$ 1.87 (0.07)	13.33 $\pm$ 0.53 (0.04)	2.3 $\pm$ 0.69 (0.3)
FB7	F	12.86 $\pm$ 0.48 (0.04)	4.21 $\pm$ 0.30 (0.07)	1.3 $\pm$ 0.23 (0.18)
FB9	F	11.21 $\pm$ 0.53 (0.05)	6.24 $\pm$ 0.41 (0.06)	0.8 $\pm$ 0.15 (0.19)
FB11	F	23.50 $\pm$ 0.78 (0.03)	5.86 $\pm$ 0.20 (0.03)	1.3 $\pm$ 0.47 (0.37)
FB20	M	11.60 $\pm$ 1.40 (0.12)	5.90 $\pm$ 0.49 (0.08)	1.2 $\pm$ 0.55 (0.45)
FB24	M	13.43 $\pm$ 1.55 (0.12)	5.22 $\pm$ 0.88 (0.17)	0.9 $\pm$ 0.16 (0.18)
FB25	F	22.17 $\pm$ 3.55 (0.16)	7.18 $\pm$ 0.18 (0.03)	1 $\pm$ 0.3 (0.31)
FB35	F	15.07 $\pm$ 1.98 (0.13)	5.43 $\pm$ 0.51 (0.09)	0.9 $\pm$ 0.22 (0.24)
FB38	M	14.95 $\pm$ 1.01 (0.07)	5.31 $\pm$ 0.28 (0.05)	0.7 $\pm$ 0.19 (0.28)
*		14.81	5.15	0.1
*		14.68	5.65	0.2
FB48	M	14.42 $\pm$ 0.30 (0.02)	4.14 $\pm$ 0.91 (0.22)	0.9 $\pm$ 0.34 (0.39)
*		9.29	5.27	0.8
*		8.53	6.40	0.2
*		7.03	6.02	0.1
*		9.54	5.15	0.9
*		10.67	7.28	0.8
FB54	F	21.46 $\pm$ 3.65 (0.17)	6.20 $\pm$ 0.57 (0.09)	1.2 $\pm$ 0.37 (0.31)
*		15.06	5.40	0.1
FB55	F	14.97 $\pm$ 0.77 (0.05)	4.35 $\pm$ 1.08 (0.25)	0.9 $\pm$ 0.26 (0.29)

	*		14.85	6.40	0.1
FB67	F	23.02 ± 2.04 (0.09)	4.99 ± 0.19 (0.04)	2 ± 0.38 (0.19)	
FB84	F	19.47 ± 1.76 (0.09)	6.58 ± 0.31 (0.05)	1.2 ± 0.33 (0.27)	
FB90	F	24.68 ± 2.00 (0.08)	3.31 ± 0.70 (0.21)	1.2 ± 0.1 (0.08)	
FB97	F	12.50 ± 0.28 (0.02)	7.00 ± 0.45 (0.06)	1.2 ± 0.35 (0.3)	
FB101	F	15.68 ± 4.51 (0.29)	4.09 ± 0.88 (0.21)	0.8 ± 0.45 (0.53)	
FB105	F	11.56 ± 2.40 (0.21)	4.76 ± 0.42 (0.09)	0.5 ± 0.19 (0.35)	
FB118	M	17.55 ± 1.31 (0.07)	6.73 ± 0.66 (0.10)	1 ± 0.42 (0.41)	
FB122	M	14.21 ± 0.26 (0.02)	5.28 ± 1.66 (0.31)	0.8 ± 0.16 (0.2)	
FB138	M	20.74 ± 1.54 (0.07)	10.09 ± 0.28 (0.03)	1.7 ± 0.36 (0.21)	
FB140	M	18.62 ± 0.71 (0.04)	4.09 ± 0.55 (0.13)	1.8 ± 0.67 (0.37)	
FB146	M	15.40 ± 1.42 (0.09)	6.11 ± 1.21 (0.20)	1.1 ± 0.36 (0.32)	
FB151	F	15.34 ± 2.10 (0.14)	5.23 ± 0.66 (0.13)	0.7 ± 0.14 (0.2)	
	*		9.41	6.15	0.3
FB163	F	25.36 ± 1.72 (0.07)	3.62 ± 0.68 (0.19)	1.3 ± 0.44 (0.33)	
	*		9.54	3.39	0.5
	*		15.18	2.13	0.9
FB166	M	12.34 ± 2.01 (0.16)	3.65 ± 0.91 (0.25)	1.1 ± 0.36 (0.34)	
FB186	M	22.65 ± 1.86 (0.08)	4.26 ± 0.16 (0.04)	0.7 ± 0.25 (0.35)	
FB220	M	9.34 ± 0.35 (0.04)	3.01 ± 0.32 (0.11)	1 ± 0.21 (0.21)	

438

439 Table 3





