

RRH: OCEAN ACIDIFICATION AND HYPOXIA IMPACTS

LRH: WIT AND OTHERS

A SHORT-TERM SURVIVAL EXPERIMENT ASSESSING IMPACTS OF OCEAN
ACIDIFICATION AND HYPOXIA ON THE BENTHIC FORAMINIFER *GLOBOBULIMINA*

TURGIDA

JOHANNES C. WIT¹, MEGAN M. DAVIS², DANIEL C. MCCORKLE¹, JOAN M. BERNHARD^{1*}

¹ Geology & Geophysics Department, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, MA
02543, USA

² Department of Geography and Geology, University of North Carolina Wilmington,
Wilmington, NC, 28403, USA

* Corresponding author. E-mail: jbernhard@whoi.edu

ABSTRACT

The oceans are absorbing increasing amounts of carbon dioxide (CO₂) as a result of rising anthropogenic atmospheric CO₂ emissions. This increase in oceanic CO₂ leads to the lowering of seawater pH, which is known as ocean acidification (OA). Simultaneously, rising global temperatures, also linked to higher atmospheric CO₂ concentrations, result in a more stratified surface ocean, reducing exchange between surface and deeper waters, leading to expansion of oxygen-limited zones (hypoxia). Numerous studies have investigated the impact of one or the other of these environmental changes (OA, hypoxia) on a wide variety of marine organisms, but few experimental studies focus on the simultaneous effects of these two stressors. Foraminifera are unicellular eukaryotes (protists) that live in virtually every marine environment and form an important link in the benthic food web. Here we present results of a short-term (3.5 week) study in which both CO₂ (OA) and O₂ (hypoxia) were manipulated to evaluate the influence of these parameters on the survival of the benthic foraminifer *Globobulimina turgida*. Elevated CO₂ concentrations did not impact short-term survivorship of this species, and furthermore, *G. turgida* had higher survival percentages under hypoxic conditions (0.7 ml/l) than in well-aerated water, regardless of CO₂ concentration.

INTRODUCTION

The anthropogenic increase in atmospheric CO₂ is driving increases in global temperatures and in the net CO₂ uptake by the oceans. As a result, present day open-ocean pH values are already ~0.1 unit lower than pre-Industrial values (Prentice et al., 2001; Feely et al., 2004; Hauri et al., 2009) and are projected to decrease an additional 0.3–0.4 units by the end of this century (Prentice et al., 2001; Orr et al., 2005; Feely et al., 2009). These lower pH values lead to a reduced calcite saturation state [$\Omega_{\text{calcite}} = ([\text{Ca}^{2+}][\text{CO}_3^{2-}] / K_{\text{sp}}^*)$, in which K_{sp}^{*} is the solubility product at a given temperature, salinity and pressure]. The phenomenon of decreasing pH in our seas is termed “ocean acidification” (OA).

At the same time, oxygen is less soluble in warmer waters compared to colder waters, and global warming enhances the stratification of the upper ocean. These two effects reduce the supply of oxygen to the deeper parts of the ocean, expanding zones in which oxygen concentrations are low (hypoxia ($[\text{O}_2] < 1.4 \text{ ml/l}$) (Pörtner et al., 2005; Feely et al., 2010; Keeling et al., 2010; Stramma et al., 2010). Furthermore, eutrophication can exacerbate hypoxia in coastal settings (e.g., Levin et al., 2009).

Numerous studies have investigated the biological impact of both of these environmental changes separately. For example, OA often negatively impacts marine calcifying organisms in terms of lowering their calcification and growth rates (reviewed in Doney et al., 2009). Corals in particular, have received much study; typically higher pCO₂ concentrations yield lower calcification rates (e.g., Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2007; Pandolfi et al., 2011). In coccolithophores, calcification is known to decrease due to OA, both in lab cultures (Riebesell et al., 2000) and in

field assemblages compared to pre-Industrial communities (Meier et al., 2014). Pteropods have been similarly shown to decrease calcification in response to OA (Comeau et al., 2009), as have planktonic foraminifera (Moy et al., 2009). There are exceptions, however, where certain coccolithophore populations can be heavily calcified in low pH waters (Beaufort et al., 2011). In multi-generation experiments, coccolithophores have been shown to adapt to OA by increasing growth rates (Lohbeck et al., 2012). Larval molluscs had lower survival and growth rates when exposed to enriched $p\text{CO}_2$ (Andersen et al., 2013; Gobler et al., 2014). In sum, while most calcareous organisms have lower net calcification rates in response to lower pH, some taxa can have higher net calcification rates while growing in more acidic waters (Ries et al., 2009).

The impact of hypoxia on marine aerobes is also well studied, in part because low oxygen concentrations can occur naturally in the oceans, especially in Oxygen Minimum Zones, silled basins and estuaries (e.g., Keeling et al., 2010). Physiological responses to hypoxia vary widely, depending on organism type (plant, vertebrate, invertebrate, protist, bacteria) (e.g., Pörtner et al., 2005; Seibel et al., 2014). For species that are not normally subject to oxygen depletion, hypoxia can impact behaviors and distributions (biogeography) in addition to growth and reproduction (e.g., Zhang et al., 2010).

Fewer studies published to date have focused on responses to simultaneous decreases in pH and $[\text{O}_2]$ (e.g., Gobler et al., 2014; Hernroth et al., 2015; Jakubowska & Normant, 2015). It is important to determine the impacts of multiple stressors because environmental parameters do not change in isolation and the effects of multiple stressors can be additive, greater than additive (synergistic) or less than additive (antagonistic) (Breitburg et al., 2015). For example, an antagonistic response would be where a given species increases its reproductive yield due to

warming but OA negatively impacts its reproduction, so simultaneous OA and warming appear to invoke no significant response for that taxon in terms of reproduction.

Benthic foraminifera comprise an important component of the food web (Lee & Anderson, 1991; Legendre & le Févre, 1995; Sen Gupta, 1999; Murray, 2006; van Oevelen et al., 2006). The response of foraminifera to either OA (Dissard et al., 2010; Fujita et al., 2011; Haynert et al., 2011; Uthicke & Fabricius, 2012; Keul et al., 2013; McIntyre-Wressnig et al., 2013, 2014; Uthicke et al., 2013; Haynert et al., 2014) or hypoxia (e.g., Bernhard & Reimers, 1991; Bernhard, 1992; Jorissen et al., 1995; Bernhard & Alve, 1996; Bernhard et al., 1997; Geslin et al., 2004) is species specific, in part because benthic foraminifera live in many environments. In general, most foraminiferal species are negatively impacted by OA (e.g., decreased calcification, species shifts to non-calcareous forms; reviewed in Keul et al., 2013), but a few foraminiferal species do not appear to be negatively impacted by OA (e.g., McIntyre-Wressnig et al., 2013; 2014). Where the effects of multiple stressors on benthic foraminifera have been tested, warming and OA were investigated with respect to calcification in the shallow-water foraminifer *Ammonia tepida* (Cushman); both stressors decreased shell weights (Dissard et al., 2010).

Globobulimina turgida (Bailey) is a benthic foraminiferal species that produces a calcium carbonate test, the congeners of which are commonly reported in the literature to have a deep-infaunal sediment habitat (Corliss, 1985, 1991; Risgaard-Petersen et al., 2006; Piña-Ochoa et al., 2010; Koho et al., 2011). These habitats are often characterized by low pH and low [O₂], as a result of the microbial decomposition of sedimentary organic matter (e.g., Emerson and Bender, 1981; Hales et al., 1994). *Globobulimina* Cushman species thus live in oxygen-depleted, low pH environments, and can survive periods of no oxygen (anoxia) due to their ability to perform

complete denitrification (Risgaard-Petersen et al., 2006; Piña-Ochoa et al., 2010; Koho et al., 2011). Thus, we hypothesize that *G. turgida* may be insensitive to certain aspects of climate change (i.e., hypoxia, acidification). In assessing impacts of such global change, it is critical to identify both sensitive and insensitive taxa to gain a more complete vision of future ecosystem functioning. Here, we present results from a study in which both CO₂ (OA) and O₂ (hypoxia) were controlled, to evaluate the influence of both parameters (individually or concurrently) on the survival of the benthic foraminifer *Globobulimina turgida*. As such, this contribution is the first description of the survival response of a benthic foraminiferal species to these two stressors.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Sediment samples were recovered during a 4-day cruise in May 2013 aboard the R/V *Endeavor* to the “Mud Patch” region of the New England continental shelf (approximately 41°30'N, 70°30'W, ~80-m water depth, Bothner et al., 1981). A Soutar boxcorer and multicorer (Ocean Instruments MC800) were used to collect undisturbed sediments containing live foraminifera. On board, the top ~2 cm of boxcored sediment was siphoned off and washed through a stack of sieves (53 µm, 90 µm, 125 µm and 500 µm). The different size fractions, including the <53-µm fraction, were stored immediately in an onboard 5°C environmental room and transported to Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution (WHOI) after the cruise. These foraminifera-bearing sediments were the source of specimens for our experiment (see below). During the cruise, CTD casts were performed to collect bottom-water temperature, salinity and oxygen data, as well as samples for bottom-water alkalinity and Dissolved Inorganic Carbon (DIC) analyses (Table 1).

Working in a refrigerated shipboard van, the top 3 cm of two multicores with undisturbed sediments were sampled at 1-cm resolution. Samples (0–1, 1–2, 2–3 cm) from one multicore were incubated in the environmental room in the viability indicator CellTracker® Green CMFDA (5-chloromethylfluorescein diacetate; hereafter referred to as “CTG”, Life Technologies) with a final concentration of 1 µM in seawater, following the approach of Bernhard et al. (2006). After 12 h, samples were preserved in a ~3.8% paraformaldehyde solution buffered with sodium borate (Borax®) to avoid calcite dissolution. In the shore-based laboratory, these sediment samples were sieved over a 125-µm screen and the coarser fraction analyzed for fluorescent (living) specimens of *G. turgida*. Organisms, including *G. turgida*, that were alive during the CTG incubation had taken up the solution in their cytoplasm, where it is ultimately cleaved into a number of compounds, of which one is the fluorescent compound fluorescein. In living specimens, the cytoplasm had a green fluorescence when viewed under a Leica MZ FLIII epifluorescence stereomicroscope equipped with optics for fluorescein detection (~480 nm excitation; 520 nm emission). Some specimens fluoresced orange/yellow due to combined fluorescence of CTG (green) and chlorophyll autofluorescence (red) from algal ingestion (feeding).

In the ship’s wet lab, samples of 1-cm sections of a separate multicore were centrifuged, filtered with a 0.45-µm syringe filter, and poisoned with a saturated solution of mercuric chloride (10 µl) to obtain pore-water samples for later alkalinity and DIC analyses. On shore, alkalinity values were determined by automated Gran titrations of 1 ml samples, standardized using certified reference materials obtained from Dr. A. Dickson (Scripps Institution of Oceanography). The relative standard deviation of alkalinity analyses of replicate samples from the culture experiments (below) averaged ~0.9% (n = 39). Dissolved inorganic carbon

concentrations were determined manometrically on ~ 5 ml samples, using an automated vacuum extraction system. The relative standard deviation of DIC analyses of replicate samples from the experiments averaged ~1.1% ($n = 47$). Calculations of carbonate system parameters were performed using a spreadsheet version of the CO2SYS program (Lewis & Wallace, 1998) using the total pH scale and the dissociation constants of Mehrbach et al. (1973), as refit by Dickson & Millero (1987), and the calcite solubility of Mucci (1983).

Containers with foraminifera-bearing sediment fractions were connected to a recirculating seawater system at 7°C at WHOI. Sediments from the 125–500- μm size fraction were picked for *G. turgida*. Individual specimens of *G. turgida* that had green/brown coloration, indicating algal uptake (Piña-Ochoa et al., 2010; Koho et al., 2011), were deemed alive and introduced to the experimental setup. In total ~650 individuals were selected, and divided among the 6 treatments in this experiment (Table 2; ~100–110 specimens per treatment). Foraminifera were kept in 140-ml plastic tubs, containing a ~1-cm layer of sediment (grain size <53 μm) and 120 ml of bottom water collected by Niskin bottles on a CTD rosette at the sample site. Bottom-water salinity of collected seawater increased from 34.2 to 35.5, potentially as a result of vacuum filtration over a 0.2- μm filter. Salinity was subsequently adjusted from 35.5 to 35 by adding deionized water (pH ~6.0) and was uniform across all treatments. Any decline in pH due to the added small volume of deionized water would quickly be equilibrated to the atmosphere in each chamber, as described below.

The experiment was conducted in a darkened climate-controlled room maintained at 7°C, colder than the Mud Patch site at the time of sample collection, but typical of winter temperatures at this site. The room was typically lit <1 h per day. Each treatment was housed in a Biospherix C-Chamber to control the atmosphere of the different treatments. The treatments

included combinations of four O₂ [0.7 (A, B), 4.9 (C), 5.9 (D) and 7.1 (E,F) ml/l] and five CO₂ [122 (A), 275 (C), 902 (E), 2000 (B, D) or 3500 (F) ppmv] concentrations (Table 2). The low CO₂ concentration in Treatment A (122 ppmv) was inadvertently caused by simultaneous loss of CO₂ while lowering [O₂], without a CO₂ sensor. Treatment E was intended to serve as a control, but high CO₂ concentrations (~900 ppmv) in the 7°C climate room resulted in elevated CO₂ concentrations in Treatment E. Dissolved oxygen concentrations were assumed to be in equilibrium with the treatment gas and calculated using the known % O₂ and temperature (7°C). Each chamber was connected to a gas source (either 1% CO₂/99% N₂, 100% N₂ or 100% CO₂) and, in 5 of the 6 cases, via a ProCO₂ and/or ProOx controller (Biospherix) to monitor (using CO₂ and/or O₂ sensors) and manipulate its atmospheric composition. In the sixth case (F, 3500 ppmv CO₂), the C-Chamber was not controlled with any Biospherix sensors because it was housed in a Nuaire incubator (Autoflow CO₂ Water-Jacketed incubator (NU4950) attached to a Thermo RTE740 refrigerated bath to maintain temperature at 7°C), which controlled CO₂ via an internal sensor. The C-Chamber atmosphere was linked to the controlled Nuaire atmosphere. Tests from a previous study that used the Biospherix CO₂ system showed that the atmosphere and seawater are in equilibrium within 40 h after introduction to the controlled atmosphere within the chamber (McIntyre-Wressnig et al., 2014). All treatments were fed weekly with 1 ml of a concentrated mixture of live *Dunaliella tertiolecta* Butcher and *Isochrysis galbana* Parke. The calcium carbonate content of the <53-µm experiment sediment was determined on an aliquot of excess sediment by weighing air-dried samples before and after a 12 h submersion in ~2.7 M HCl.

Experimental seawater was sampled for DIC and alkalinity at the end of weeks 1 and 2 and at the end of the experiment (3.5 weeks) to monitor the carbonate system. Water samples

were taken directly from each treatment container, which held seawater, fine-grained sediment and *Globobulimina turgida*. For each time point, we removed 18 ml (8 ml for DIC; 10 ml for alkalinity) and replenished the volume with pre-equilibrated seawater from the same chamber. Carbonate system parameters (pH , Ω_{calcite} , $[\text{CO}_3^{2-}]$, $p\text{CO}_2$) for the experiment were calculated from alkalinity and DIC values using CO2SYS (Lewis & Wallace, 1998), as described above for the field samples.

The experiment was terminated after 3.5 weeks to evaluate short-term survivorship of *G. turgida*. Before termination, each treatment was incubated for 24 h in the viability indicator CTG, using the same methods employed for the multicore samples, as described above. Before microscope analyses, samples were sieved over a 63- μm mesh with seawater. The $>63\text{-}\mu\text{m}$ fraction of the CTG-incubated samples was evaluated for living (fluorescent) foraminifera (Bernhard et al. 2006). Both green and orange/yellow fluorescing individuals of *G. turgida* were regarded as alive at the end of the experiment.

RESULTS

Bottom-water temperature and salinity at the sample site were $\sim 10.4^\circ\text{C}$ and ~ 34.2 , respectively, with little variability between the different CTD deployments, which were taken over 3 days (Table 1). Measured bottom-water DIC and alkalinity values were consistent and varied between 2091–2092 $\mu\text{mol/kg}$ for DIC and 2273–2285 $\mu\text{mol/kg}$ for alkalinity (Table 1). Calculated *in situ* pH (Total scale) and calcite saturation state (Ω_{calcite}) averaged ~ 7.97 and 3.2, respectively. Bottom waters were aerated, with oxygen concentrations between 5.9 and 6.0 ml/l (Table 1).

Concentrations of living *G. turgida* at the sample site were 242, 138 and 128 specimens/50 cm³ for the 0–1, 1–2, 2–3 cm depth intervals, respectively.

Pore-water alkalinity and DIC values varied between 2240–2450 and 2393–2478 µmol/kg, respectively (Table 3). Calculated pore water *pCO₂*, pH (Total scale) and Ω_{calcite} were between 1986–4143 ppm, 7.01–7.36 and 0.39–0.92, respectively (Table 3).

In the experimental cultures, carbonate system parameters varied both between treatments and within treatments over time; DIC ranged from 1610–2393 µmol/kg, and alkalinity ranged from 1927–2392 µmol/kg (Fig. 1, Table 2). Possible causes for the variability in alkalinity are discussed below. The pH varied across treatments, between 7.30–8.42. The calcite saturation state was as low as 0.58 and as high as 4.8. The calcium carbonate content of experimental sediment was 4.1% dry weight.

Globobulimina turgida survivorship at the end of the 3.5-week experiment varied between ~60–90% (Fig. 2). Survival correlated negatively with oxygen content of each treatment ($R^2 = 0.88$, $p < 0.01$, t-test), but did not show a significant correlation with carbonate system chemistry ($p > 0.10$, t-test) (Fig. 3).

DISCUSSION

FIELD ABUNDANCES

Globobulimina turgida is among the most common species at our sampling site (Lang, 2013). Specifically, *G. turgida* comprised 21% of rose-Bengal stained specimens (> 63 µm) in May 2010, when the community was sampled for a different study. Other common species at that time were *Elphidium excavatum* (Terquem) (29%), *Stainforthia fusiformis* (Williamson) (13%), *Trochammina inflata*

(Montagu) (10%), *Bulimina marginata* d'Orbigny (7%) and *Aubignyna hamblensis* Murray, Whittaker and Alve (7%).

Globobulimina turgida was quite abundant at the sampling site when we sampled in May 2013, with over 240 specimens/50 cm³ in the surface cm. These abundances far exceed other published abundances for this species. For example, in the Pacific, the abundances of congener *G. pacifica* Cushman were <5 specimens/50 cm³ (Shepherd et al., 2007). Another Pacific site reported abundances of ~180 rose-Bengal stained *G. pacifica*/50 cm³ and ~70 live conspecifics/50 cm³ as determined by ATP assay (Bernhard, 1992). In the NE Atlantic, abundances of *Globobulimina* spp. were all were below ~125 specimens/50 cm³ (Koho et al., 2008) and *G. affinis* (d'Orbigny) were below 40 specimens/50 cm³ (Fontanier et al., 2002). In the Gullmar Fjord, *G. pseudospinescens* (Emiliani) abundances were <60 specimens/50 cm³ (Risgaard-Petersen et al., 2006). The high abundances of *G. turgida* at our sampling site indicate that this taxon is particularly well adapted to the Mud Patch area.

Because *Globobulimina* is known to denitrify (Risgaard-Petersen et al., 2006), it might be expected that this taxon will have a subsurface peak in abundance, as noted in some publications (e.g., Corliss, 1985; Fontanier et al., 2002; Koho et al., 2008). In our case, the maximum abundance was in the top 1 cm. Surface maxima for *Globobulimina* have also been documented in the literature (e.g., Risgaard-Petersen et al., 2006; Koho et al., 2008; Shepherd et al., 2007). While subsurface maxima also occur, in cases where both types of distributions were noted, surface abundances exceeded subsurface distributions (Koho et al., 2008; Shepherd et al., 2007). Surface maxima of *Globobulimina* most likely are linked to steep redox gradients, as suggested by Koho et al. (2011).

EXPERIMENTAL CONDITIONS

Alkalinity and DIC varied among individual treatments. The DIC values of the treatments were expected to differ due to the range of experimental pCO₂, whereas alkalinity is not affected

directly by changes in $p\text{CO}_2$ (Table 2, Fig. 1). We suspect that the observed differences in alkalinity between treatments were caused by dissolution of calcium carbonate within the sediment. The maximum change in alkalinity between treatments was $\sim 400 \mu\text{mol/kg}$, which corresponds to the dissolution or precipitation of $200 \mu\text{mol/kg}$ calcium carbonate per treatment (Table 2). Each sample contained ~ 10 grams of sediment corresponding to about $4100 \mu\text{mol CaCO}_3$ at a calcium carbonate content of 4.1% (as determined for our experiment sediments). The observed increases in alkalinity in the low-saturation-state treatments (B, F) are only a fraction of the total CaCO_3 present.

We do not think that photosynthesis in the culture containers can explain the observed alkalinity increases; the experiments were conducted in a nearly-dark, climate-controlled room, and although photosynthesis would increase alkalinity slightly, it would cause a much larger decrease in DIC, which did not occur. Although decomposition of organic matter via sulfate reduction or iron oxide reduction can lead to increases in both alkalinity and DIC (Berner et al., 1970), anaerobic processes are unlikely to have been significant even within the thin sediment layer (<1-cm thick) of the containers exposed to low-oxygen treatments.

The observed bottom-water $p\text{CO}_2$ values at the coring site (380–394 ppmv) were close to present day atmospheric $p\text{CO}_2$, while the pore-water alkalinity and DIC values were substantially higher than bottom-water values (Tables 1 and 3). These elevated pore-water concentrations are consistent with diagenetic processes (organic matter decomposition and carbonate dissolution) in the organic carbon-rich sediments of the Mud Patch ($\sim 2\%$ organic carbon; Bothner et al., 1981). The decomposition of organic matter at the sediment-water interface and within the top few centimeters of the sediment causes an increase in pore-water DIC (Emerson & Bender, 1981; Reimers et al., 1986; Archer et al., 1989). The DIC increase causes higher pore-water $p\text{CO}_2$ and

lower pore-water pH values, and can cause undersaturation of pore-waters with respect to carbonate minerals ($\Omega_{\text{carbonate}} < 1$) (Table 3). This undersaturation will tend to dissolve sedimentary calcium carbonate, increasing pore-water alkalinity and ultimately reducing the degree of carbonate undersaturation (Berner, 1980).

In organic-rich sediments, the amount of organic matter that can be decomposed depends in part on the oxygen concentration of the overlying bottom water. Given the measured bottom-water oxygen concentrations (Table 1), and assuming that the pore-waters in the top 1–3 cm were hypoxic but not anoxic (i.e., assuming a pore-water oxygen concentration of 0.7–1.1 ml/l), then approximately 4.9–5.3 ml/l O₂ was consumed by aerobic decomposition of organic matter within the top few cm. This decomposition would increase pore-water DIC by ~350 µmol/kg, assuming a Redfield C:O₂ ratio (106:138) and an O₂:DIC diffusivity ratio of 2. This DIC increase would lead to *p*CO₂, pH (total scale) and Ω_{calcite} of ~5000 ppmv, 7.0 and 0.28, respectively, assuming no “compensation” by calcium carbonate dissolution. If dissolution of sedimentary calcium carbonate is assumed to proceed until Ω_{calcite} increases to a value of 1.0, the pore-water *p*CO₂ would be ~2000 ppmv *p*CO₂, and the pH (total scale) would be ~7.5. The observed pore-water carbonate system values (Table 3) in the shallowest sample are comparable to the “uncompensated” (decomposition only) predictions, and the deeper pore waters are consistent with *in situ* carbonate dissolution acting to compensate for the addition of respiration-derived DIC. The *p*CO₂ levels of the experimental treatments were chosen to yield Ω_{calcite} values of 0.6 to 4.8 (Table 2), spanning the range of observed bottom water and pore-water Ω_{calcite} . Even higher *p*CO₂ levels were used in a recent long-term OA culture experiment with benthic foraminifera, to establish treatment levels that encompassed the range of carbonate chemistry experienced by benthic foraminifera in the field (Haynert et al., 2014).

Studies of foraminiferal responses to hypoxia typically do not control [O₂] as stringently as in our experiment. For example, whole cores were used to assess impact of “strong” hypoxia on survival of a foraminiferal community from a 35-m deep Adriatic site (Pucci et al., 2009). In that study, profiles of oxygen indicate steep gradients, with [O₂] as low as ~0.1 ml/l in some cores for part of the experiment. Likewise, an 8-month experiment had [O₂] <0.2 ml/l for a portion of that experiment, but oxygen levels drifted and were not stringently controlled (Alve & Bernhard, 1995).

SURVIVORSHIP

Benthic foraminiferal microhabitats are predominantly controlled by the availability of oxygen and food (Corliss, 1985, 1991; Bernhard & Reimers, 1991; Bernhard, 1992; Jorissen et al., 1995; Bernhard & Alve, 1996; Sen Gupta, 1999; Murray, 2006), which in turn influence bottom- and pore-water carbonate chemistry. For this experiment with *G. turgida*, we compared survivorship to oxygen concentration and carbonate-system chemistry. No dietary comparison was made because all treatments received identical amounts of food from the same source.

Survival rates of foraminifera in prior OA experiments are not always determined, depending on the scope of the study. A direct comparison of foraminiferal survival rates can be made with the studies of McIntyre-Wressnig et al. (2013, 2014), although survival was determined in those studies using a different method (ATP analysis). After six weeks, survival rates for *Bulimina marginata*, also collected from the Mud Patch, were ~73–89% among control populations and 68–82% in populations exposed to enhanced pCO₂ (1000 and 2000 ppmv; McIntyre-Wressnig et al., 2014). These survival rates for *B. marginata* are similar to those observed in this study for *G. turgida* (60–90%). Survival rates for the temperate bathyal *Bolivina*

argentea Cushman and the reef dwelling *Amphistegina gibbosa* d'Orbigny after six weeks were remarkably similar to each other (~35–78% among both species and all treatments; McIntyre-Wressnig et al., 2014; 2013, respectively) and a bit lower than observed for *G. turgida*.

Survival percentages for *G. turgida* at the end of the experiment were strongly correlated with the oxygen concentration of the treatment chamber's atmosphere, with higher survivorship at lower [O₂] (Fig. 3). Aerobic organisms often show little response to decreasing [O₂] above a threshold concentration of about 1.4 ml/l (Levin et al., 2009; Melzner et al., 2013). Small changes in near-saturated oxygen conditions are not expected to impact survivorship of aerobic foraminifera. Previous studies indicated that *Globobulimina* can live in a low-oxygen environment and is able to survive short periods of anoxia (Corliss, 1985, 1991; Risgaard-Petersen et al., 2006; Piña-Ochoa et al., 2010; Koho et al., 2011). The ability of *G. turgida* to survive such conditions depends, at least partly, on denitrification, using nitrate stored in an internal pool within its cytoplasm (Piña-Ochoa et al., 2010; Koho et al., 2011). This nitrate pool needs replenishment after periods of anoxia; a maximum period for this species of up to 56 days has been reported, although the length of this period hypothetically depends on other environmental parameters such as temperature and pH (Piña-Ochoa et al., 2010; Koho et al., 2011). Interestingly, the survival rates of *G. turgida* were similar in oxic and anoxic treatments (without acidification), as long as the treatment included nitrate (Piña-Ochoa et al., 2010). It is also known that nitrate acquisition in *G. turgida* is slower in treatments with oxygen as opposed to treatments lacking oxygen (Koho et al., 2011). In sum, it is not clear why our *G. turgida* had lower survival rates in aerated treatments compared to hypoxic treatments, but it may be related to denitrification physiology. Other laboratory experiments indeed showed that *G. turgida*

actively migrates from well-oxygenated sediments towards low-oxygen conditions (Koho et al., 2011).

Survivorship of *G. turgida* showed no significant correlation with carbonate system chemistry (Fig. 3). The high $p\text{CO}_2$, low pH, and low calcite saturation state of the pore-water habitat of *G. turgida* (shallow to deep infaunal) may explain the lack of significant effect of increased $p\text{CO}_2$ on short-term survival percentages, as this species presumably is adapted to high $p\text{CO}_2$ / low pH environments. The same may be true for other infaunal benthic foraminifera, and short-term experiments have showed no significant effect of OA on survival for *Ammonia* spp. (Dissard et al., 2010; Haynert et al., 2011; Keul et al., 2013), *Bulimina marginata* and *Bolivina argentea* (McIntyre-Wressnig et al., 2014). It seems likely that the energetic cost of building and maintaining a calcium carbonate test will become too high when the environmental carbonate saturation state or pH values fall below some critical level. Although survivorship provides one measure of the *Globobulimina turgida* response to multiple stressors and suggests this species is insensitive to two major stressors of climate change at the conditions we tested, studies that determine the response of a range of factors, such as growth and calcification rates, test morphology, motility, and reproductive capacity, to these same concurrent stressors are needed to assess this species' full response to ocean acidification and hypoxia.

CONCLUSIONS

Neither reduced $[\text{O}_2]$ (hypoxia) nor elevated CO_2 (OA) lowered *G. turgida* survivorship; in fact, survival percentages for low-oxygen treatments were significantly higher than those for high-oxygen treatments. This hypoxia tolerance may reflect the ability of *G. turgida* to perform

complete denitrification, and its adaptation to the low and variable pH of its natural pore-water habitat. To anticipate changes in ocean ecosystems in the decades ahead, it is imperative to understand the impacts of multiple concurrent stressors on marine fauna, and to identify species that may benefit from climate change; *Globobulimina turgida* may be one of those species. It remains to be determined whether prolonged periods of severe oxygen limitation combined with OA might negatively impact survivorship and calcification of *G. turgida*, and thus whether *G. turgida* will emerge as an ecological winner or loser as a result of intensifying ocean acidification and the expansion of hypoxic zones.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank captain and crew of the R/V *Endeavor* and the science party for their assistance with collecting samples during cruise EN524. We are thankful to Marti Jeglinski, Dave Beaudoin and Inge van Dijk for their help with selecting live specimens for the experiment. Katherine Hoering and Elizabeth Bonk are thanked for performing the DIC and alkalinity analyses, as are two anonymous reviewers for comments on an earlier manuscript version. This research was supported by US NSF grant OCE-1219948 to JMB.

REFERENCES

- Alve, E., and Bernhard, J.M., 1995, Vertical migratory response of benthic foraminifera to controlled decreasing oxygen concentrations in an experimental mesocosm: Marine Ecology Progress Series, v. 116, p. 137–151
- Andersen, S., Grefsrud, E.S., and Harboe, T., 2013, Effect of increased pCO₂ level on early shell development in great scallop (*Pecten maximus* Lamarck) larvae, Biogeosciences, v. 10, p. 6161–6184.
- Archer, D., Emerson, S., and Reimers, C.E., 1989, Dissolution of calcite in deep-sea sediments: pH and O₂ microelectrode results: Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, v. 53, p. 2831–2846.
- Beaufort, L., Probert, I., de Garidel-Thoron, T., Bendiff, E.M., Ruiz-Pino, D., Metzl, N., Goyet, C., Buchet, N., Coupel, P., Grelaud, M., Rost, B., Rickaby, R.E.M., and de Vargas, C., 2011, Sensitivity of coccolithophores to carbonate chemistry and ocean acidification: Nature, v. 476, p. 80–83.
- Berner, R.A., 1980, Early Diagenesis: A theoretical approach, Princeton University Press, Princeton, USA, 241 p.
- Berner, R.A., Scott, M.R., and Thomlinson, C., 1970, Carbonate alkalinity in the pore waters of anoxic marine sediments: Limnology and Oceanography, v. 15, p. 784–787.
- Bernhard, J. M., 1992, Benthic foraminiferal distribution and biomass related to pore-water oxygen content: Central California Continental Slope and Rise: Deep-Sea Research I, v. 39, p. 585–605.
- Bernhard, J. M., and Reimers, C. E., 1991, Benthic foraminiferal population fluctuations related to anoxia: Santa Barbara Basin: Biogeochemistry, v. 15, p. 127–149.

- Bernhard, J.M., and Alve, E., 1996 Survival, ATP pool, and ultrastructural characterization of benthic foraminifera from Drammensfjord (Norway): response to anoxia: Marine Micropaleontology, v. 28, p. 5–17.
- Bernhard, J. M., Ostermann, D. R., Williams, D. S., and Blanks, J. K., 2006, Comparison of two methods to identify live benthic foraminifera: A test between Rose Bengal and CellTracker Green with implications for stable isotope paleoreconstructions: Paleoceanography, v. 21, PA4210, doi:4210.1029/2006PA001290.
- Bernhard, J.M., Sen Gupta, B.K., and Borne, P.F., 1997, Benthic foraminiferal proxy to estimate dysoxic bottom-water oxygen concentrations: Santa Barbara Basin, U.S. Pacific continental margin: Journal of Foraminiferal Research, v. 27, p. 301–310.
- Bothner, M. H., Spiker, E. C., Johnson, P. P., Rendigs, R. R., and Aruscavage, P.J., 1981, Geochemical evidence for modern sediment accumulation on the continental shelf off Southern New England: Journal of Sedimentary Petrology, v. 51, p. 281–292.
- Breitburg, D.L., Salisbury, J., Bernhard, J. M. Cai, W.J., Dupont, S., Doney, S. C., Kroeker, K. J., Levin, L. A., Long, W. C., Milke, L. M., Miller, S. H., Phelan, B., Passow, U., Seibel, B. A., Todgham, A. E., and Tarrant, A. M. (2015) And on top of all that. . . Coping with ocean acidification in the midst of many stressors: Oceanography, v. 28, p. 48-61.
- Comeau, S., Gorsky, G., Jeffree, R., Teyssie, J.-L., and Gattuso, J.-P., 2009, Impact of ocean acidification on a key Arctic pelagic mollusc (*Limacina helicina*): Biogeosciences, v. 6, p. 1877–1882.
- Corliss, B.H., 1985, Microhabitats of benthic foraminifera within deep-sea sediments: Nature, v. 314, p. 435–438.

- Corliss, B.H., 1991, Morphology and microhabitat preferences of benthic foraminifera from the northwest Atlantic Ocean: *Marine Micropaleontology*, v. 17, p. 195–236.
- Dickson, A. G., and Millero, F. J., 1987, A comparison of the equilibrium constants for the dissociation of carbonic acid in seawater: *Deep-Sea Research*, v. 34, p. 1733–1743.
- Dissard, D., Nehrke, G., Reichart, G. J., and Bijma, J., 2010, Impact of seawater $p\text{CO}_2$ on calcification and Mg/Ca and Sr/Ca ratios in benthic foraminifera calcite: results from culturing experiments with *Ammonia tepida*: *Biogeosciences*, v. 7, p. 81–93.
- Doney, S.C., Fabry, V.J., Feely, R.A., and Kleypas, J.A., 2009, Ocean Acidification: the other CO_2 problem: *Annual Review of Marine Science*, v. 1, p. 199–229.
- Emerson, S., and Bender, M., 1981, Carbon fluxes at the sediment-water interface of the deep-sea: Calcium carbonate preservation: *Journal of Marine Research*, v. 39, p. 139–162.
- Feely, R. A., Sabine, C. L., Lee, K., Berelson, W., Kleypas, J., Fabry, V. J., and Millero, F. J., 2004, Impact of anthropogenic CO_2 on the CaCO_3 system in the oceans: *Science*, v. 305, p. 362–366.
- Feely, R.A., Alin, S.R., Newton, J., Sabine, C.L., Warner, M., Devol, A., Krembs, C., and Maloy, C., 2010, The combined effects of ocean acidification, mixing, and respiration on pH and carbonate saturation in an urbanized estuary: *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, v. 88, p. 442–449.
- Feely, R.A., Doney, S.C., and Cooley, S.R., 2009, Ocean Acidification: Present Conditions and Future Changes in a High- CO_2 World: *Oceanography*, v. 22, p. 36–47.
- Fontanier, C., Jorissen, F.J., Licari, L., Alexandre, A., Anschutz, P., and Carbonel, P., 2002, Live benthic foraminiferal faunas from the Bay of Biscay: faunal density, composition, and microhabitats: *Deep-Sea Research I*, v. 49, p. 751–785.

- Fujita, K., Hikami, M., Suzuki, A., Kuroyanagi, A., Sakai, K., Kawahata, H., and Nojiri, Y., 2011, Effects of ocean acidification on calcification of symbiont-bearing reef foraminifers: *Biogeosciences*, v. 8, p. 2089–2098.
- Geslin, E., Heinz, P., Hemleben, C., and Jorissen, F.J., 2004, Migratory response of deep-sea benthic foraminifera to variable oxygen conditions: laboratory investigations: *Marine Micropaleontology*, v. 53, p. 227–243.
- Gobler, C.J., DePasquale, E.L., Griffith, A.W., and Baumann, H., 2014, Hypoxia and acidification have additive and synergistic negative effects on the growth, survival, and metamorphosis of early life stage bivalves: *PLOS ONE*, v. 9, p. 1–10.
- Hales, B., Emerson, S. and Archer, D., 1994, Respiration and dissolution in the sediments of the western North Atlantic: estimates from models of *in situ* microelectrode measurements of porewater oxygen and pH, *Deep-Sea Research I*, v. 41, p. 695–719.
- Hauri, C., Gruber, N., Plattner, G.K., Alin, S., Feely, R.A., Hales, B., and Wheeler, P.A., 2009, Ocean Acidification in the California Current System: *Oceanography* v. 22, p. 60–71.
- Haynert, K., Schönfeld, J., Riebesell, U., and Polovodova, I., 2011, Biometry and dissolution features of the benthic foraminifer *Ammonia aomoriensis* at high pCO₂: *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, v. 432, p. 53–67.
- Haynert, K., Schonfeld, J., Schiebel, R., Wilson, B., and Thomsen, J., 2014, Response of benthic foraminifera to ocean acidification in their natural sediment environment: a long-term culturing experiment: *Biogeosciences*, v. 11, p. 1581–1597.
- Hernroth, B., Krang, A.S., and Baden, S., 2015, Bacteriostatic suppression in Norway lobster (*Nephrops norvegicus*) exposed to manganese or hypoxia under pressure of ocean acidification: *Aquatic Toxicology*, v. 159, p. 217–224.

Hoegh-Guldberg, O., P. J. Mumby, A. J. Hooten, R. S. Steneck, P. Greenfield, E. Gomez, C. D. Harvell, P. F. Sale, A. J. Edwards, K. Caldeira, N. Knowlton, C. M. Eakin, R. Iglesias-Prieto, N. Muthiga, R. H. Bradbury, A. Dubi, and M. E. Hatziolos, 2007, Coral Reefs Under Rapid Climate Change and Ocean Acidification: *Science*, v. 318, p. 1737–1742.

Jakubowska, M., and Normant, M., 2015, Metabolic rate and activity of blue mussel *Mytilus edulis trossulus* under short-term exposure to carbon dioxide-induced water acidification and oxygen deficiency: *Marine and Freshwater Behaviour and Physiology*, v. 48, p. 25–39.

Jorissen, F.J., de Stigter, H.C., and Widmark, J.G.V., 1995, A conceptual model explaining benthic foraminiferal microhabitats: *Marine Micropaleontology*, v. 26, p. 3–15.

Keeling, R.F., Körtzinger, A., and Gruber, N., 2010, Ocean deoxygenation in a warming world: *Annual Review of Marine Science* v. 2, p. 199–229.

Keul, N., Langer, G., de Nooijer, L.J., and Bijma, J., 2013, Effect of ocean acidification on the benthic foraminifera *Ammonia* sp. is caused by a decrease in carbonate ion concentration: *Biogeosciences*, v. 10, p. 6185–6198.

Koho, K.A., Garcia, R., de Stigter, H.C., Epping, E., Koning, E., Kouwenhoven, T.J., and van Der Zwaan, G.J., 2008, Sedimentary labile organic carbon and pore water redox control on species distribution of benthic foraminifera: A case study from Lisbon-Setubal Canyon (southern Portugal): *Progress in Oceanography*, v. 79, p. 55–82.

Koho, K.A., Piña-Ochoa, E., Geslin, E., and Risgaard-Petersen, N., 2011, Vertical migration, nitrate uptake and denitrification: survival mechanisms of foraminifers (*Globobulimina turgida*) under low oxygen conditions: *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, v. 75, p. 273–283.

- Lang, D.M., 2013. Dispersal and propagule banks of benthic foraminifera: Shelf to bathyal settings, Western North Atlantic, University of Georgia, Athens, GA.
- Lee, J. J., and Anderson, O. R., 1991, Symbiosis in Foraminifera, *in* Lee, J. J., and Anderson, O. R. (eds.), Biology of Foraminifera. Academic Press, New York, USA p. 157 – 220.
- Legendre, L., and Le Févre, J., 1995, Microbial food webs and the export of biogenic carbon in oceans. *Aquatic Microbial Ecology*, v. 9, p. 69 – 77.
- Levin, L. A., Ekau, W., Gooday, A.J., Jorissen, F., Middelburg, J.J., Naqvi, S.W.A., Neira, C., Rabalais, N.N., and Zhang, J., 2009, Effects of natural and human-induced hypoxia on coastal benthos. *Biogeosciences*, v. 6, p. 2063–2098.
- Lewis, E., and Wallace, D. W. R., 1998, Program Developed for CO₂ System Calculations. ORNL/CDIAC-105, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, U.S. Department of Energy.
- Lohbeck, K.T., Riebessell, U., and Reusch, T.B.H., 2012, Adaptive evolution of key phytoplankton species to ocean acidification: *Nature Geoscience*, v. 5, p. 346–351.
- McIntyre-Wressnig, A., Bernhard, J.M., McCorkle, D.C., and Hallock, P., 2013, Non-lethal effects of ocean acidification on the symbiont-bearing benthic foraminifer *Amphistegina gibbosa*: *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, v. 472, p. 45–60.
- McIntyre-Wressnig, A., Bernhard, J.M., Wit, J.C., and McCorkle, D.C., 2014, Ocean Acidification not likely to affect the survival and fitness of two temperate benthic foraminiferal species: results from culture experiments: *Journal of Foraminiferal Research*, v. 44, p. 341–351.

Mehrbach, C., Culberson, C. H., Hawley, J. E., and Pytkowicz, R. M., 1973, Measurement of the apparent dissociation constants for carbonic acid in seawater at atmospheric pressure: Limnology and Oceanography, v. 18, p. 897–907.

Meier, K.J.S., Beaufort, L., Heussner, S., and Ziveri, P., 2014, The role of ocean acidification in *Emiliania huxleyi* coccolith thinning in the Mediterranean Sea: Biogeosciences, v. 11, p. 2857–2869.

Melzner, F., Thomsen, F., Koeve, W., Oschlies, A., Gutowska, M.A., Bange, H.W., Hansen, H.P., and Kötzinger, A., 2013, Future ocean acidification will be amplified by hypoxia in coastal habitats: Marine Biology, v. 160, p. 1875–1888.

Moy, A. D., Howard, W. R., Bray, S. G., and Trull, T. W., 2009, Reduced calcification in modern Southern Ocean planktonic foraminifera: Nature Geoscience, v. 2, p. 276–280.

Mucci, A., 1983, The solubility of calcite and aragonite in seawater at varies salinities, temperatures, and one atmosphere total pressure: American Journal of Science, v. 283, p. 780–799.

Murray, J. W., 2006, Ecology and Applications of Benthic Foraminifera, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, USA, 426 p.

Orr, J.C., Fabry, V.J., Aumont, O., Bopp, L., Doney, S.C., Feely, R.A., Gnanadesikan, A., Gruber, N., Ishida, A., Joos, F., Key, R.M., Lindsay, K., Maier-Reimer, E., Matear, R., Monfray, P., Mouchet, A., Najjar, R.G., Plattner, G.K., Rodgers, K.B., Sabine, C.L., Sarmiento, J.L., Schiltzer, R., Slater, R.D., Totterdell, I.J., Weirig, M.F., Yamanaka, Y., and Yool, A., 2005, Anthropogenic ocean acidification over the twenty-first century and its impact on calcifying organisms: Nature, v. 437, p. 681–686.

- Pandolfi, J.M., Connolly, S.R., Marshall, D.J., and Cohen, A.L., 2011, Projecting coral reef futures under global warming and ocean acidification: *Science*, v. 333, p. 418–422.
- Piña-Ochoa, E., Koho, K.A., Geslin, E., and Risgaard-Petersen, N., 2010, Survival and life strategy of the foraminiferan *Globobulimina turgida* through nitrate storage and denitrification: *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, v. 417, p. 39–49.
- Pörtner, H.O., Langenbuch, M., and Michaelidis, B., 2005, Synergistic effects of temperature extremes, hypoxia, and increases in CO₂ on marine animals: From Earth history to global change: *Journal of Geophysical Research*, v. 110, p. 1–15.
- Prentice, I. C., Farquhar, G. D., Fasham, M. J. R., Goulden, M. L., Heimann, M., Jaramillo, V. J., Kheshgi, H. S., Le Quéré, C., Scholes, R. J., and Wallace, W. R., 2001, The Carbon Cycle and Atmospheric Carbon Dioxide, *in* Houghton, J. T., Ding, Y., et al. (eds.), Climate Change 2001: The Scientific Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Third Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change Cambridge, New York, 2001, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, USA, p. 183 – 238.
- Pucci, F., Geslin, E., Barras, C., Morigi, C., Sabbatini, A., Negri, A., and Jorissen, F.J., 2009, Survival of benthic foraminifera under hypoxic conditions: Results of an experimental study using the CellTracker Green method: *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, v. 59, p. 336–351.
- Reimers, C.E., Fischer, K.M., Merewether, R., Smith K.L. Jr., and Jahnke, R.A., 1986, Oxygen microprofiles measured *in situ* in deep ocean sediments: *Nature*, v. 320, p. 741–744.
- Riebesell, U., Zondervan, I., Rost, B., Tortell, P.D., Zeebe, R.E., and Morel, F.M.M., 2000, Reduced calcification of marine plankton in response to increased atmospheric CO₂: *Nature*, v. 407, p. 364–367.

- Ries, J.B., Cohen, A.L., and McCorkle, D.C., 2009, Marine calcifiers exhibit mixed responses to CO₂-induced ocean acidification: *Geology*, v. 37, p. 1131–1134.
- Risgaard-Petersen, N., Langezaal, A.M., Ingvardsen, S., Schmid, M.C., Jetten, M.S.M., op den Camp, H.J.M., Derkson, J.W.M., Piña-Ochoa, E., Eriksson, S.P., Nielsen, L.P., Revsbech, N.P., Cedhagen, T., and van der Zwaan, G.J., 2006, Evidence for complete denitrification in a benthic foraminifer, *Nature*, v. 443, p. 93–96.
- Seibel, B.A., Hafker, N.S., Trubenbach, K., Zhang, J., Tessier, S.N., Portner, H.O., Rosa, R., and Storey, K.B., 2014, Metabolic suppression during protracted exposure to hypoxia in the jumbo squid, *Dosidicus gigas*, living in an oxygen minimum zone: *Journal of Experimental Biology* v. 217, p. 2555–2568.
- Sen Gupta, B. K., 1999, *Modern Foraminifera*, Dordrecht, Kluwer Academic Publishers, The Netherlands, 371 p.
- Shepherd, A.S., Rathburn, A.E., and Perez, M.E., 2007, Living foraminiferal assemblages from the Southern California margin: A comparison of the >150, 63–150, and >63 µm fractions: *Marine Micropaleontology* v. 65, p. 54–77.
- Stramma, L., Schmidtko, S., Levin, L.A., and Johnson, G.C., 2010, Ocean oxygen minima expansions and their biological impacts: *Deep-Sea Research I*, v. 57, p. 587–595.
- Uthicke, S., and Fabricius, K.E., 2012, Productivity gains do not compensate for reduced calcification under near-future ocean acidification in the photosynthetic benthic foraminifer species *Marginopora vertebralis*, *Global Change Biology*, v. 18, p. 2781–2791

Uthicke, S., Momigliano, P., and Fabricius, K.E., 2013, High risk of extinction of benthic foraminifera in this century due to ocean acidification, *Scientific Reports*, v. 3, p. 1769–1774.

van Oevelen, D., Soetart, K., Middelburg, J. J., Herman, P. J. M., Moodely, L., Hamels, I., Moens, T., and Heip, C. H. R., 2006, Carbon flows through a benthic food web: Integrating biomass, isotope and tracer data: *Journal for Marine Research*, v. 64, p. 453–482.

Zhang, J., Gilbert, D., Gooday, A.J., Levin, L., Naqvi, S.W.A., Middelburg, J.J., Scranton, M., Ekau, W., Pena, A., Dewitte, B., Oguz, T., Monteiro, P.M.S., Urban, E., Rabalais, N.N., Ittekkot, V., Kemp, W.M., Ulloa, O., Elmgren, R., Escobar-Briones, E., and Van der Plas, A.K., 2010, Natural and human-induced hypoxia and consequences for coastal areas: synthesis and future development: *Biogeosciences*, v. 7, p. 1443–1467.

Received 8 October 2014

Accepted 20 April 2015

TABLE CAPTIONS

Table 1. Bottom-water values for three different CTD casts (events) at the sample site. Values for temperature, salinity, O₂, alkalinity and DIC were measured, while *p*CO₂, pH_(total scale), CO₃²⁻ and Ω_{calcite} were calculated using CO2SYS (Lewis & Wallace, 1998).

Table 2. The water chemistry of each experimental treatment. Treatment designations are listed from low to high O₂ and low to high CO₂ in case of equal O₂-levels. Values for *p*CO₂, O₂, alkalinity and DIC were measured, while pH_(total scale), CO₃²⁻ and Ω_{calcite} were calculated using CO2SYS (Lewis & Wallace, 1998). Treatment F has no error bars for carbonate system parameters because only one sample was successfully measured for alkalinity and DIC.

Table 3. Pore-water carbonate-system parameters as calculated from measured DIC and alkalinity using CO2SYS (Lewis & Wallace, 1998). Values for pH are reported on the Total scale.

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1. Carbonate-chemistry parameters (alkalinity (A), CO_3^{2-} (B), DIC (C) and Ω_{calcite} (D)) for the different treatments over the course of the experiment. Alkalinity (A) and DIC (C) were measured, while CO_3^{2-} (B) and Ω_{calcite} (D) were calculated using CO2SYS (Lewis & Wallace, 1998). Treatment F has only a single point over the course of the experiment because only one sample was successfully measured for DIC and alkalinity. Carbonate-system parameters varied between treatments and over the course of the experiment, which might be related to the precipitation/dissolution of calcium carbonate within each treatment.

Figure 2. Survivorship (%) for *G. turgida* from the different experiment treatments presented by $[\text{O}_2]$ and $p\text{CO}_2$. Shading of the bars relates to $[\text{O}_2]$, with darker shades being more depleted in oxygen.

Figure 3. *Globobulimina turgida* survivorship (%) versus $[\text{O}_2]$ (A), alkalinity (B), Ω_{calcite} (C) and $p\text{CO}_2$ (D). Survivorship was significantly correlated with oxygen (A), while no significant relation existed with the carbonate-system parameters (B, C, D).

2325 Wit, Table 1

Event	Temperature	Salinity	O ₂	Alkalinity	DIC	pCO ₂	pH	CO ₃ ²⁻	Ω _{calcite}
	°C		ml/l	µmol/kg	µmol/kg	ppmv		µmol/kg	
EN524-1	10.64 ± 0.02	34.25	5.87 ± 0.007	2283 ± 3	2091 ± 3	380 ± 13	7.97 ± 0.01	139 ± 4	3.28 ± 0.09
EN524-5	10.74 ± 0.02	34.28	5.87 ± 0.10	2285 ± 4	2092 ± 1	380 ± 6	7.098 ± 0.01	140 ± 2	3.30 ± 0.05
EN524-12	10.15 ± 0.11	34.05	5.96 ± 0.060	2273 ± 4	2091 ± 1	394 ± 8	7.95 ± 0.01	132 ± 2	3.12 ± 0.05

Treatment	O ₂	pCO ₂	Alkalinity	DIC	pH	CO ₃ ²⁻	Ω _{calcite}
	ml/l	ppmv	μmol/kg	μmol/kg		μmol/kg	
A	0.7 ± 0.02	122 ± 30	1927 ± 45	1610 ± 59	8.42 ± 0.09	201 ± 29	4.8 ± 0.70
B	0.7 ± 0.02	2000 ± 40	2291 ± 49	2334 ± 36	7.42 ± 0.17	33 ± 13	0.79 ± 0.30
C	4.9 ± 0.12	275 ± 6	2024 ± 50	1869 ± 66	8.06 ± 0.08	109 ± 18	2.59 ± 0.43
D	5.9 ± 0.14	2000 ± 40	2392 ± 47	2393 ± 70	7.56 ± 0.02	45 ± 6	1.08 ± 0.15
E	7.1 ± 0.17	902 ± 40	2184 ± 39	2142 ± 41	7.70 ± 0.01	56 ± 0.8	1.33 ± 0.02
F	7.1 ± 0.17	3500 ± 70	2308	2392	7.30	24	0.58

Event	Depth	Alkalinity	DIC	CO ₂	pH	CO ₃ ²⁻	Ω _{calcite}
	cm	µmol/kg	µmol/kg	ppmv		µmol/kg	
EN524-10	0-1	2241	2393	4143	7.01	16	0.39
EN524-10	1-2	2450	2478	1986	7.36	39	0.92





